



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay

Lincoln (2010)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision, Goals, & Values

JJIS Vision

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Goals In support of the vision, JJIS strives to accomplish the following goals:

| Vision Statement | Goals in Support of Vision Statement |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies. | <p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Develop statewide reporting to support policy making and to inform system stakeholders and the public about juvenile justice in Oregon. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process. | <p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. | <p>JJIS will provide data and information to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; and • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies. | <p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee. |

JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon's juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs; and
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Jean Straight, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director
Juvenile Services Division
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Ken Dukek, Director
Curry County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director
Polk County Juvenile Department

Molly Rogers, Director
Wasco County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director
Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Jann Brown, Senior Manager
Business Applications & Technology
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Phil Cox, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services

Karen Daniels, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator
Department of Corrections

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

JJIS Staff

Cherie Lingelbach, Manager
JJIS Policy & Standards

Don Crossley, Manager
JJIS Development & Reports

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from juvenile detention facilities. There are three statewide reports and three for each county . The three reports are:

Detention Admissions Reason by Demographics

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

Detention Length of Stay by Demographics

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant and Other. Pre-adjudication admission reasons are further grouped by juvenile admissions and automatic transfers to adult court,

Percentages, sub-totals and grand totals are provided for each category.

Notes about the information

Admissions: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

Length of Stay: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth who have been released from a detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

Comparing the three reports: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

County: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and ethnicity may be as

recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operate Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

April 2011

| Admission Reason | Total | % | Sex | | | Age at Admission | | | | Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | Female | Male | Unknown | 12 and Younger | 13 - 15 | 16 - 17 | 18 and Older | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Native American | Other/Unknown | White |
| 2010 Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Lincoln County - Current Jurisdiction</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation | 2 | 1.9% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Law Violation | 32 | 29.6% | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 20 |
| Parole Violation | 12 | 11.1% | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Probation Violation | 41 | 38.0% | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 34 |
| Runaway from Another State | 3 | 2.8% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour) | 4 | 3.7% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 14 | 13.0% | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 108 | | 21 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 63 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 81 |
| % | | | 19.4% | 80.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 58.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 1.9 | 75.0 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | 67.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction | 40 | 100.0% | 5 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 33 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 40 | | 5 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 33 |
| % | | | 12.5% | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 37.5 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 82.5 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | 25.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant | 7 | 63.6% | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Other | 4 | 36.4% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 11 | | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| % | | | 0 % | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.8 |
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | 6.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Lincoln | 159 | | 26 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 85 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 123 |
| % | | | 16.4% | 83.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 53.5 | 11.3 | 0.0% | 1.3 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 77.4 |
| Lincoln % of Statewide Total | 100.0% | | 16.4% | 83.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 53.5 | 11.3 | 0.0% | 1.3 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 77.4 |

| Admission Reason | Total | % | Length of Stay | | Sex | | | Age at Admission | | | | Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Total Admits | % of Admits | Days of Custody | Average LOS | Female | Male | Unknown | ≤ 12 | 13 - 15 | 16 - 17 | 18+ | African Am. | Asian | Hispanic | Native Am. | Other/Unkn. | White |
| 2010 Detention Length of Stay by Demographics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lincoln - Current Jurisdiction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-BM11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 53 | 50.0% | 97 | | 14 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 37 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 25 | 23.6% | 146 | | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 23 | 21.7% | 402 | | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| 31+ Days | 3 | 2.8% | 146 | | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Non-BM11 | 104 | | 791 | 7.6 | 21 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 80 |
| BM11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 1 | 0.9% | 1 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31+ Days | 1 | 0.9% | 191 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total BM11 | 2 | | 192 | 96.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 106 | | 983 | 9.3 | 21 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 61 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 80 |
| % | | | | | 19.8% | 80.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 57.5 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 12.3 | 8.50 | 1.9 | 75.5 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 67.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 17 | 41.5% | 38 | | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 23 | 56.1% | 126 | | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 1 | 2.4% | 22 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 41 | | 186 | 4.5 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 34 |
| % | | | | | 12.2% | 87.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 36.6 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 12.20 | 0.0 | 82.9 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 25.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 5 | 45.5% | 7 | | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 3 | 27.3% | 18 | | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 3 | 27.3% | 60 | | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 11 | | 85 | 7.7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| % | | | | | 0% | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 81.8 |
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | | 7.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Lincoln | 158 | | 1254 | 7.9 | 26 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 84 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 14 | 2 | 123 |
| % | | | | | 16.5% | 83.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 53.2 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 77.8 |
| Lincoln % of Statewide Total | | 100.0% | | | 16.5% | 83.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 53.2 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 77.8 |

| Admission Reason | Total | % | Length of Stay | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | 1 - 3 Days | 4 - 8 Days | 9 - 30 Days | 31 - 59 Days | 60 - 89 Days | 90 + Days |
| 2010 Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Lincoln County - Current Jurisdiction</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation | 2 | 1.9% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| New Law Violation | 32 | 30.2% | 21 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Parole Violation | 12 | 11.3% | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Probation Violation | 39 | 36.8% | 15 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Runaway from Another State | 3 | 2.8% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour) | 4 | 3.8% | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 14 | 13.2% | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 106 | | 54 | 25 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| % | | | 50.9% | 23.6 | 21.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | 67.1% | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction | 41 | 100.0% | 17 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 41 | | 17 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 41.5% | 56.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | 25.9% | | | | | | | |
| Warrant and Other | | | | | | | | |
| Warrant | 6 | 54.5% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 5 | 45.5% | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Warrant and Other | 11 | | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 45.5% | 27.3 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Warrant and Other % of County Total | 7.0% | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Lincoln | 158 | | 76 | 51 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| % | | | 48.1% | 32.3 | 17.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Lincoln % of Statewide Total | 100.0% | | 48.1% | 32.3 | 17.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 |