



# Oregon

**State Board of Examiners for  
Engineering & Land Surveying**

670 Hawthorne Ave. SE, Suite 220

Salem, OR 97301

(503) 362-2666

Fax (503) 362-5454

E-mail: osbeels@osbeels.org

## EXAMINATIONS & QUALIFICATIONS COMMITTEE

Minutes of Meeting

February 14, 2014

### Members present:

Steven Burger, Chair

Anne Hillyer

Jason Kent

### Staff present:

Mari Lopez, Administrator

Jenn Gilbert, Executive Assistant

Matt Bryan

Jennifer O'Neill

Joy Pariente

Brianna Weekly

### Others present:

Katharine Lozano, Assistant Attorney General

William Boyd (Observer)

Ken Hoffine (Observer)

The meeting of the Examinations and Qualifications Committee meeting was called to order at 9:43 a.m. in the OSBEELS Conference Room at 670 Hawthorne Avenue SE, Suite 220, Salem, OR 97301.

### **Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

### **Informational**

#### **NCEES Launches Computer-Based Testing**

The Committee reviewed the information provided by NCEES regarding the recent transition to computer-based testing. There was no additional discussion.

### **New Business**

#### **Question Form – Gilbert, Damien**

Mr. Gilbert submitted a Question Form requesting the Board consider amending the list of branches examined by the Board to include Traffic Engineering. Ms. Lopez confirmed that Mr. Gilbert is aware of the procedures set out in rule to request the addition of a branch. The

Committee directed Staff to contact Mr. Gilbert and direct him back to Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 820-010-0450, which addresses the procedure followed when petitioning the Board to amend the list. There was no further discussion.

#### Committee Mission Statement

The Committee reviewed the Mission, Functions and Goals statement for the EQC, which was last adopted January 2011. The Committee plans on reviewing the take at home examination addressing Oregon's laws and rules to ensure compliance with rule changes at the April 2014 Committee meeting, in accordance with the stated Committee goals. Additionally, the Committee will review the forms used for application and renewal-related actions. There was no further discussion.

#### Email Correspondence – German, Kevin

Mr. German requested the Board grant him an exception to the PLS by comity application deadline. The request was initially made on Mr. German's behalf by the Nevada Board, but they were informed that Mr. German must make the request on his own. Mr. German explained that his company had a work opportunity arise in Oregon that left him no time to apply. Mr. Burger asked if the temporary permit allowance applied in this situation. Ms. Lopez explained that temporary permits to practice, issued under Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 672.109 and 672.127, are only for professional engineers and registered professional photogrammetrists and serve to allow practice while awaiting licensure by comity, if they have made application for registration, and, in the judgment of the Board, has the other necessary qualifications for registration. The Committee denied Mr. German's request and recommended he review OAR 820-010-0442. There was no further discussion.

#### Upper Division Courses from Oregon State University

The Committee reviewed the information provided by Oregon State University regarding current upper division coursework available to Forest or Civil Engineering students. This list is used by the Registration Department when reviewing the transcripts of individuals applying for the FLS with one of the aforementioned engineering degrees. There was no additional discussion.

#### Mangubut, Frederick

Mr. Mangubut has applied to take the 16-hour NCEES SE examination. During the review of his application, it was discovered that the FE requirement contained in ORS 672.102(1) was waived by Ed Graham, who was the Executive Secretary in 2001 when Mr. Mangubut received his PE in Oregon. His waiver was granted on the basis of examination and experience equivalency from the Philippines. He has passed the PE examination. According to OAR 820-010-0417, individuals applying for the structural engineering examination or licensure as a structural engineer must have taken the FE, unless they're enrolled as an engineering intern in another state. Mr. Mangubut does not meet either of these requirements. The Committee directed Staff to respond to Mr. Mangubut and inform him that, in order to be eligible to sit for the structural examination through Oregon, he must be enrolled as an engineering intern in an NCEES jurisdiction or pass the FE. There was no further discussion.

#### OAR 820-020-0045

*Lawson, Ian M.* – Mr. Lawson marked on his FE examination application that he was currently providing professional engineering or land surveying services, which is a violation of OAR 820-020-0045(5). He explained that he is involved in correcting CAD models and drawing, creating test fixtures and fluid analyses at his current place of employment. The Committee determined to put Mr. Lawson’s application on hold pending the results of a Law Enforcement Committee (LEC) preliminary evaluation. The Regulation Department will gather information to assess if there are any title use violations on the part of the individual or unlicensed practice violations related to his employer. There was no further discussion.

*Olson, Justin D.* – Mr. Olson marked on his FE application that he was currently providing professional engineering or land surveying services, which is in violation of OAR 820-020-0045(5). He explained that his job title is “Systems Protection and Control Engineer” and there are engineers responsible for approving the designs drafted by unlicensed persons. Although Mr. Olson’s work is performed in Oregon, the engineers approving his work are based in Vancouver, WA. The Committee determined to put Mr. Olson’s application on hold pending the results of a LEC preliminary evaluation. The Regulation Department will gather information to assess if there are any title use violations on the part of the individual or unlicensed practice violations related to his employer. There was no further discussion.

## **Review of Applications**

### **Comity Applications**

*Bell, Robert Allen* – Mr. Bell submitted an application for registration as a professional land surveyor by comity. Mr. Bell submitted an official transcript from Chemeketa Community College demonstrating completion of land surveying-related courses. Mr. Bell’s supporting documentation demonstrates 10 years and 10 months of qualified land surveying experience. OAR 820-010-0228(5) allows for the Board to apply time spent on education toward required qualifying experience. After discussion, the Committee determined that Mr. Bell’s coursework (41 quarter hours) equates to a full year of experience. The Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Bell’s application for admission to the October 2014 Oregon Specific Land Surveying exam. There was no further discussion.

*Greer, Steven R.* – Mr. Greer submitted an application for registration as a professional engineer by comity. Mr. Greer reported disciplinary action taken against him by the Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Nevada Board). Mr. Greer submitted a letter explaining that he faced disciplinary action beginning in May 2005 for submitting incomplete plans and submitting calculations that didn’t match the plans with which they were submitted. This disciplinary action resulted in a signed Stipulated Agreement dated December 27, 2005. This issue was deemed resolved on May 5, 2006. His license was suspended for six months, but the suspension was stayed and probation imposed for a period of one year and he received a \$1,150 civil penalty.

Ms. Hillyer noted that it seems as though Mr. Greer fully cooperated with the Nevada Board and successfully completed his probation. Mr. Burger reminded the Committee that it has been nine years since Mr. Greer’s violation and he has had no further violations reported by regulatory boards participating in the NCEES disciplinary action database. Mr. Kent agreed that time is a mitigating factor in this situation, as Mr. Greer has continued to practice without any violations for the past nine years. Mr. Burger suggested the Committee consider a risk-based decision

making approach when reviewing applications to evaluate the level of danger posed by the applicant's offense and the likelihood the individual will offend again. Mr. Burger said he's comfortable approving Mr. Greer's application, as he feels he is unlikely to reoffend. Staff informed the Committee that there are no other areas of concern regarding Mr. Greer's application – he has all required references and 31 years of experience. The Committee directed Staff to ensure there are no additional disciplinary actions issued by boards not participating in the NCEES database. If no additional violations are discovered, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Greer's application for registration as a professional engineer by comity. If additional violations are discovered, the application will return to EQC for additional review. There was no further discussion.

*Hanson, Basil Micah* – Mr. Hanson submitted an application for registration as a professional land surveyor by comity. Mr. Hanson submitted an official transcript from Westwood College demonstrating his Associate of Applied Science in Surveying (AAS). However, his AAS degree is not designated as a technology degree, which does not meet the language outlined in OAR 820-010-0226(3)(h). The Committee noted that it appears Mr. Hanson's degree meets all the requirements of a land surveying technology degree. Mr. Kent asked why Staff didn't approve Mr. Hanson's application. Ms. Gilbert explained that the names of degrees and courses must match the language in rule for Staff to approve. Otherwise, the information is forwarded to the Committee for consideration. Mr. Bryan pointed out that this issue rarely comes up for Staff, as most schools use the "technology" designation in their degree names. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Hanson's application for admission to the April 2014 Oregon Specific Land Surveying examination. There was no further discussion.

*Wood, Michael P.* – Mr. Wood submitted an application for registration as a professional land surveyor by comity. Mr. Wood submitted an official transcript from Western Oregon University demonstrating his bachelor's degree in Geography and an official transcript from Washington Community College System demonstrating coursework completed. The transcripts provided do not explicitly demonstrate completion of a degree and/or coursework pursuant to OAR 820-010-0226 or 820-010-0228. Mr. Wood's land surveying experience consists of seven years and 10 months verified by references. The Committee discussed that Mr. Wood does not meet the 12 years of experience to qualify for comity registration based solely on experience, nor does he meet the educational requirements. Mr. Wood requested special consideration and included explanations of the applicability of his coursework to the practice of land surveying. Ms. Hillyer noted that none of the courses listed on Mr. Wood's transcripts are surveying courses. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board deny Mr. Wood's application for registration as a professional land surveyor by comity. There was no further discussion.

*Wright, Michael A* – Mr. Wright submitted an application for a Structural PE registration by comity. Mr. Wright has provided an official verification from the Washington State Board verifying his successful passage of the structural 16-hour Western examination in 1991. From 1985 until October 2005, Oregon required successful passage of the 8-hour NCEES SE I and the 8-hour NCEES SE II examinations. Staff said they believe that the 16-hour Western examination addressed the seismic topics required by Oregon for a structural examination to be considered substantially equivalent. Ms. O'Neill suggested that a prior applicant with the same qualifications may have been denied because the Western exam from that time period was

deemed not to be substantially equivalent to the NCEES I and II, but upon further review of the previous, closed file, that was determined not to have been the basis for the prior applicant's denial. AAG Lozano noted that, historically, much of the committee's evaluation of substantial equivalency of exams has been based on whether exams from other jurisdictions have included testing on seismic issues, which are crucial in Oregon. She suggested the staff research the Western exam from the year Mr. Wright sat for it, to determine if it included a seismic portion, so that it could be properly evaluated for substantial equivalency. Mr. Burger requested Staff generate a reference list of all examinations considered substantially equivalent to Oregon examinations during various timeframes. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Wright's application for registration as a structural professional engineer by comity if the structural examination verified by the Washington Board included a seismic portion. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** The structural examination verified by the Washington Board did include a seismic portion and was therefore substantially equivalent to the examination required by Oregon at the time. Mr. Wright was added to the comity list for approval.

### Examination Applications

*Bruns, Kevin R.* – Mr. Bruns submitted an application for the Electrical PE examination. Mr. Bruns submitted seven complete references, only two of which are registered PEs. Neither of the PE references indicated they supervised his work. As a result, he doesn't meet the requirements outlined in OAR 820-010-0212(1)(c)(A), 820-010-0230(2) and 820-010-0255(2). Mr. Bruns requested a waiver of the number of PE references required. Mr. Burger noted that it is common for electrical and mechanical engineers to have difficulty in providing registered references, especially if they work under the Industrial Exemption. He added that Mr. Bruns has references for all of his qualifying time, but they are not PEs. Mr. Kent asked if this issue comes up frequently in EQC meetings and if exemptions are always granted. Mr. Burger said exemptions are normally granted if there are supervisory references available for all qualifying experience, regardless of licensure. Mr. Kent asked if the PE references must have supervised the applicant's work. AAG Lozano explained that the supervisory aspect may be waived based on review of the quality of the submitted references. Each application like Mr. Bruns' is reviewed on a case-by-case basis. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Bruns' request for a waiver of the number of PE references required. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This waiver was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Byers, Emily Jean.* – Ms. Byers submitted an application for the Civil PE examination. Ms. Byers submitted an official transcript from the University of the Pacific demonstrating her Master of Science (MS) degree in Engineering Science to count toward one year of qualified experience. The University of the Pacific doesn't offer an EAC of ABET accredited undergraduate degree in Engineering Science. Therefore, it doesn't meet the language outlined in OAR 820-010-0230(3). According to the transcript, the concentration of Ms. Byers MS degree is in civil engineering. A representative of the University of the Pacific supplied OSBEELS with additional information regarding their MS degree program. Staff explained that Ms. Byers' situation is similar to Mr. Hanson's, in that the programs are not named in a manner that is easily applicable to the language in OSBEELS rules and statutes. The Committee

recommends the Board accept that Ms. Byers' MS degree meets the requirements outlined in rule. There was no further discussion

**Update:** This degree was accepted during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Harlan, Paul M.* – Mr. Harlan submitted an application for the Forest PE examination. Mr. Harlan submitted seven complete references, three of which are from registered PEs. However, none of the PE references provided indicated they supervised his qualified forest engineering experience. As a result, he doesn't meet the requirements outlined in OAR 820-010-0212(1)(c)(A), 820-010-0230(2) and 820-010-0255(2). Mr. Harlan requested a waiver of the number of PE references required. Staff reminded the Committee that Mr. Harlan's situation is similar to Mr. Bruns', in that there are few PEs in forest engineering and all of his time is covered through his references, but not through supervisors or PEs as required. As Mr. Bryan reviewed Mr. Harlan's experience record with the Committee, AAG Lozano pointed out a potential practice violation. Ms. Lopez noted that Mr. Harlan's experience record says he oversaw licensed individuals as an unlicensed individual. Mr. Kent also noted that Mr. Harlan couldn't find enough PE references, but yet he states in his application that he supervises PEs. AAG Lozano said the work environment may fall under the Industrial Exemption, but a preliminary evaluation should be conducted regardless. The Committee determined to put Mr. Harlan's application on hold pending the results of a LEC preliminary evaluation. The Regulation Department will gather information to assess if there are any unlicensed practice violations on the part of the individual or unlicensed practice violations related to his employer. There was no further discussion.

*Kalina, Tyler P.* – Mr. Kalina submitted an application to take the Mechanical PE examination. In accordance with OAR 820-010-0230(1)(a), Mr. Kalina needs to have a minimum of four years of active engineering work. Four non-registered references verify five years and nine months of Mr. Kalina's experience. Mr. Kalina also provided three PE references, but none of those individuals supervised his work. Mr. Kalina requested a waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Kalina's request for waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This waiver was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Massie, William* – Mr. Massie submitted an application to take the Naval Architecture PE examination. In accordance with OAR 820-010-0230(1)(a), Mr. Massie needs to have a minimum of four years of active engineering work. None of Mr. Massie's engineering experience is verified by a supervisory PE reference. Non-registered references verify five years and eight months of experience. Mr. Massie's employer falls under the Industrial Exemption. Mr. Massie requested a waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Massie's request for waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This waiver was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Sabo, John J.* – Mr. Sabo submitted an application to take the Mechanical PE examination. He has provided an official transcript from University of Portland demonstrating his ABET accredited Bachelor of Science (BS) degree in Mechanical Engineering. Additionally, Mr. Sabo provided two supervisory PE references who verify four years and nine months of his experience

in accordance with OAR 820-010-0230(1)(a) , 820-010-0212(1)(c)(A) and 820-010-0230(2). Mr. Sabo has provided five references, two of whom hold registration in a NCEES jurisdiction. He does not have a third reference that holds a registration in an NCEES jurisdiction, but he does have one reference who is a registered architect. Mr. Sabo requested a waiver of the PE reference requirement. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Sabo's request for a waiver of the PE reference requirement. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This waiver was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Sandborgh, Sean C.* – Mr. Sandborgh submitted an application to take the Environmental PE examination. He has provided official transcripts from Montana State University demonstrating his non-ABET accredited BS degrees in Chemistry and Physics, official transcripts from Stanford University demonstrating his MS degree in Civil and Environmental Engineering and official transcripts from Oregon State University demonstrating his doctorate in Chemical Engineering. Based on the transcripts submitted, Mr. Sandborgh has only three of the required six subjects listed as completed in accordance with OAR 820-010-0225(3)(d). He also provided Staff with links to current descriptions of the courses listed in his transcripts, but these descriptions were not applicable when he took the courses. Mr. Sandborgh requested special consideration of his application. After discussion, the Committee directed Staff to request that Mr. Sandborgh have the applicable colleges submit descriptions of the course content from the years he completed the courses to allow the Committee to appropriately evaluate equivalency prior to the April 2014 meeting. There was no further discussion.

*Smith, John A.* - Mr. Smith submitted an application for the FE examination based upon a combination of his education and experience. Mr. Smith submitted an official copy of his Joint Services Transcript (JST). In addition, Mr. Smith has provided a detailed experience record describing 11 years and eight months of qualified electrical engineering experience. Of the 11 years and eight months described, only three years and eight months are verified by references and only two years and seven months of this time has been verified by supervisory PE references. The Committee discussed whether it should consider the combination of Mr. Smith's education and experience as meeting the requirements outlines in OAR 820-010-0227. The Committee reviewed Mr. Smith's JST and determined that six months of that education qualified toward his experience requirements, as he had between nine and 18 semester hours of applicable education in the military. During the review of the JST, Committee members were looking for courses matching the requirements found in OAR 820-010-0225(3)(d). Since they were not evaluating a degree, the six course threshold was not applicable. After applying an additional six months of experience, Mr. Smith still needs three years and 10 months of experience to qualify to sit for the FE. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This application was denied during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Unger, Brian M.* – In correspondence with Staff regarding his application, Mr. Unger referred to himself as a “design engineer.” The Committee determined to put Mr. Unger's application on hold pending the results of a Law Enforcement Committee (LEC) preliminary evaluation. The Registration Department will gather information to assess if there are any title use violations on the part of the individual or unlicensed practice violations related to his employer. There was no further discussion.

*Walker, Matthew J.* – Mr. Walker submitted an application to take the Mechanical PE examination. He has provided an official transcript from the United States Coast Guard Academy demonstrating his ABET accredited BS degree in Mechanical Engineering. Mr. Walker also provided an official transcript from the University of Florida demonstrating his MS degree in Mechanical Engineering. Mr. Walker needs to have a minimum of three years of active practice in engineering work in addition to his degrees. None of Mr. Walker's engineering experience is verified by a supervisory PE reference. Non-registered references verify 12 years and 11 months of experience. Mr. Walker does not have a supervisory PE reference who can verify the three years of his engineering experience needed to qualify him to sit for the examination. Mr. Walker requested a waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. The Committee noted that Mr. Walker's experience gained in the Coast Guard would fall under a federal exemption from licensure and the references he has provided did supervise his work. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Walker's request for a waiver of the supervisory PE reference requirement. There was no further discussion.

**Update:** This waiver was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

There was a break from 12 p.m. until 12:45 p.m. for a Special Board Meeting and lunch.

#### Reapplication

*Adrian II, Gordon D.* – Mr. Adrian applied for the Electrical PE examination. He has applied for this examination 18 times and has failed the examination six times. He has failed to register or not shown up for 10 examination administrations. His last attempt was during the October 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Adrian has reviewed six texts in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). Mr. Adrian was previously warned that failure to register or show up for an examination after Board approval would result in denial of his next reapplication. Mr. Kent expressed a desire to address the issue of "no shows" because it takes seats away from other applicants who genuinely want to take the examination. AAG Lozano said the Committee may place a limit on attempts, no shows or both. Mr. Burger said he felt that not showing up for a licensure examination demonstrates a lack of professionalism. He suggested a cutoff of five for allowable no shows, due to the fact that some no shows are unavoidable due to medical or familial issues. Mr. Kent said he would be comfortable with a much lower ceiling for no shows. AAG Lozano reminded the Committee that if an application was denied after five no shows, hearing rights are then active. The Committee determined to set a limit of five no shows before denial of a reapplication, with the fourth no show generating a warning from the Board regarding potential denial of future reapplications. The Committee determined to not set a limit for number of professional examination attempts. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Adrian's reapplication for the Electrical PE examination in October 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Beaderstadt, Blair* – Mr. Beaderstadt applied for the Electrical PE examination. He has applied for this examination seven times and has failed the examination six times. His last attempt was during the April 2012 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Beaderstadt has been engaged

in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Beaderstadt's reapplication for the Electrical PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Beyer, Michael* – Mr. Beyer applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination five times and has failed the examination four times. His last attempt was during the October 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Beyer has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Beyer's reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Dey, Shahrzad* – Mr. Burger recused himself from discussion on this reapplication. Ms. Dey applied for the Civil PE examination. She has applied for this examination seven times and has failed the examination twice. Her last attempt was during the October 2009 administration. Since her last attempt, Ms. Dey has been engaged in self-study and has been gaining experience through her employer in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Ms. Dey's reapplication for the Electrical PE examination for April 2014, but include a warning about future application denials if she fails to register or show up for this examination.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Ferguson, Casey* – Mr. Ferguson applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination six times and has failed the examination five times. His last attempt was during the April 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Ferguson has been engaged in self-study and an online review course in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Ferguson's reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Golick, Zachariah* – Mr. Golick applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination seven times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up from two examination administrations. His application was also denied by the Board once. His last attempt was during the April 2012 administration, but he was approved for the April 2013 administration. Mr. Golick provided the same evidence of preparation as included with his April 2013 reapplication. This evidence was notification of enrollment in a Spring 2013 review course. AAG Lozano said this application is incomplete. She directed Staff to request evidence of completion of the course he claimed above or other evidence of preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1).

*Gutierrez, Rodger Conan* – Mr. Gutierrez applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination four times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. His last attempt was during the October 2011 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Gutierrez has been engaged in review courses, developmental training and gaining work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Gutierrez’s reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Hines, Brendan Cassidy* – Mr. Hines applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination four times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. His last attempt was during the October 2011 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Hines has been engaged in review courses and gaining work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Hines’ reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Ingram, Jonathan M.* – Mr. Ingram applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination four times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. His last attempt was during the April 2011 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Ingram has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Ingram’s reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Kowalczyk, Paul M.* – Mr. Kowalczyk applied for the NCEES PLS examination. He has applied for this examination three times and has failed the examination twice. His last attempt was during the April 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Kowalczyk has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Kowalczyk’s reapplication for the NCEES PLS examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Larkin, Michael R.* – Mr. Larkin applied for the NCEES PLS examination. He has applied for this examination five times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. His last attempt was during the April 2012 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Larkin has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Larkin’s reapplication for the NCEES PLS examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*May, Tracy L.* – Mr. May applied for the Civil PE examination. He has applied for this examination four times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. His last attempt was during the April 2011 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. May has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. May's reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Mitasev, Alexander J.* – Mr. Mitasev applied for the Electrical and Computer PE examination. He has applied for this examination three times and has failed the examination twice. His last attempt was during the October 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Mitasev has been engaged in self-study in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Mitasev's reapplication for the Electrical and Computer PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Rivero Gore, Maria A.* – Mr. Kent recused himself from discussion of this reapplication. Ms. Rivero Gore applied for the Civil PE examination. She has applied for this examination eight times, has failed the examination five times and has failed to register or not shown up for one examination administration. Her last attempt was during the April 2013 administration. Since her last attempt, Ms. Rivero Gore has been acquiring work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). Ms. Hillyer questioned Ms. Rivero Gore's additional preparation, as it consisted of all work and no additional courses or studying. Ms. Lopez informed the Committee that a reference could be provided when claiming time at work as additional preparation. AAG Lozano said that is one option. She said the Committee can also require the individual to take classes in addition to gaining additional experience. Ms. O'Neill mentioned that Ms. Rivero Gore has already forwarded her application to the October 2014 administration. After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Ms. Rivero Gore's reapplication for the Civil PE examination for October 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Simescu, Andreea L.* – Ms. Simescu applied for the Civil PE examination. She has applied for this examination seven times and has failed the examination six times. Her last attempt was during the October 2013 administration. Since her last attempt, Ms. Simescu has been engaged in self-study, review courses and acquiring additional work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Ms. Simescu's reapplication for the Civil PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Wilson, Mark L.* – Mr. Wilson applied for the Structural PE examination. He has applied for this examination four times, has failed the examination twice and has failed to register or not shown up for the examination administration once. His last attempt was during the October 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Wilson has been acquiring additional work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Wilson's reapplication for the Structural PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

*Winter, William J.* – Mr. Winter applied for the Forest PE examination. He has applied for this examination three times and has failed the examination twice. His last attempt was during the April 2013 administration. Since his last attempt, Mr. Winter has been engaged in self-study, continuing education courses and acquiring additional work experience in preparation for the examination, in accordance with OAR 820-010-0465(1). After discussion, the Committee recommends the Board approve Mr. Winter's reapplication for the Forest PE examination for April 2014.

**Update:** This reapplication was approved during the February 21, 2014 Special Board Meeting.

### **CPD Clarification**

#### **Cornforth, Derek H.**

Mr. Cornforth wrote a letter to OSBEELS expressing disbelief at the rule that authoring a book can only generate a maximum of 10 professional development hours (PDH), considering that he spent six years working on his book. Mr. Burger asked if authoring a book may be someone's full time job. AAG Lozano said authoring a book can occur concurrently with full-time employment. The Committee determined to send this topic to the full Board for discussion and assignment to a Committee for review, if necessary. There was no further discussion.

The meeting adjourned at 1:32 p.m.