

**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION
Annual Performance Progress Report (APPR)
for Fiscal Year 2013-2015**

Submitted: February 15, 2013

To obtain additional copies of this report, contact Name of Agency at agency phone and address, or visit
http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/OPB/GOVresults.shtml#Annual_Performance_Reports.

Agency Mission:

To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon's Communities

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| ABOUT THIS REPORT | |
| TABLE OF MEASURES | 1 |
| PART I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 2 |
| PART II: USING PERFORMANCE DATA | 4 |
| PART III: KEY MEASURE ANALYSIS | 7 |

ABOUT THIS REPORT

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to summarize the agency's performance for the reporting period, how performance data are used and to analyze agency performance for each key performance measure legislatively approved for the 2009-2011 biennium. The intended audience includes agency managers, legislators, fiscal and budget analysts and interested citizens.

1. PART I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY defines the scope of work addressed by this report and summarizes agency progress, challenges and resources used.
2. PART II: USING PERFORMANCE DATA identifies who was included in the agency's performance measure development process and how the agency is managing for results, training staff and communicating performance data.
3. PART III: KEY MEASURE ANALYSIS analyzes agency progress in achieving each performance measure target and any corrective action that will be taken. This section, the bulk of the report, shows performance data in table and chart form.

KPM = Key Performance Measure

The acronym "KPM" is used throughout to indicate **Key Performance Measures. Key performance measures are those highest-level, most outcome-oriented performance measures that are used to report externally to the legislature and interested citizens. Key performance measures communicate in quantitative terms how well the agency is achieving its mission and goals. Agencies may have additional, more detailed measures for internal management.**

Consistency of Measures and Methods

Unless noted otherwise, performance measures and their method of measurement are consistent for all time periods reported.

TABLE OF MEASURES

| KPM# | 2011-2013 Key Performance Measures (KPMs) | Page # |
|-------------|---|---------------|
| 1 | Runway Pavements in Good or Better Condition (%) | 417 |
| 2 | Runways Meeting or Exceeding Approach Surface Standards (%) | 419 |
| 3 | State airports with current inspections (#) | 420 |
| 4 | Federal Funds obligation rate (%) | 421 |
| 5 | Customer Service – “Good” and/or “Better” ratings (%) | 423 |
| 6 | Aircraft Registered (% against FAA database) | 424 |
| 7 | Pilots Registered (% against FAA database) | 425 |
| 8 | Best Practices Used by State Aviation Board (%) | 427 |

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Contact: Mitch Swecker, Director | Phone: 503.378.2340 |
| Alternate: Matt Maass, State Airports Manager | Phone: 503.378.2523 |

1. SCOPE OF REPORT

- These KPM are intended to measure the Department of Aviation’s : (a) effectiveness and the efficiency in maintaining safe public-use airports within Oregon funded by aviation fuel tax receipts, (b) performance as viewed by its customers, (c) accountable and satisfactory performance of fiduciary responsibilities for management of federal and state funds received in grants and fees, (d) effectiveness in registering pilots and aircraft used to fund Oregon’s Search and Rescue Program, and (e) effective and close coordination with the State Aviation Board in implementing policies for aviation in Oregon.
- Due to standards imposed by federal or other state governmental agencies, the inability to target performance in some programs or activities, the lack of need for performance measurement for decision-making in some programs or activities, a lack of effect on safety, funding, or state-wide implications, the following programs and activities are not included within the Department of Aviation’s Key Performance Measures: (a) completion of construction/capital improvement projects, (b) incidental fee-programs (aircraft dealers, private airport registrations, etc), (c) promulgation of legislation, (d) ability to compete for appropriation of federal funds for Oregon, (e) self-sufficiency of state-owned airports.

2. THE OREGON CONTEXT

Air transportation is an important part of Oregon’s transportation system and airports are critical components of Oregon’s transportation infrastructure. They support the state’s economic and social wellbeing and livability by enabling the quick, efficient, and safe movement of people and goods. In 2013 there are 97 public use and over 360 private use airports in Oregon which provide a variety of different services to Oregonians, businesses and tourists.

Oregon’s size, geography, and population distribution make air transportation more important for access, mobility, and connectivity than many other states. Air transportation plays a key role in connecting Oregon’s rural populations with services, time sensitive cargo and commerce in both cities and rural communities., Aviation is also a logistic and economic bridge to the national and international air transportation system. This is particularly true in many areas outside of the Willamette Valley where access to the major commercial service airports is hours away. Oregon’s urban and rural communities depend heavily on their airports.

Oregon’s system of airports plays an important role in economic development. The economic significance of Oregon’s airport system is demonstrated by the following facts:

- There are more than 400 aviation related businesses in Oregon.
- More than three million visitors arrive each year at Oregon’s commercial service and general aviation airports
- Spending by visitors and associated spin-offs account for a total annual benefit of approximately six billion to Oregon’s economy
- Visitor spending supports over 135,000 jobs in Oregon with an annual payroll estimated at \$ 2.4 billion
- Approximately 12,000 jobs are created by aviation-related tenants at Oregon’s airports, and an additional 13,000 secondary jobs support tenant-related jobs
- Annual output or spending related to all tenants at Oregon’s system of commercial and general aviation airports is estimated at 5.9 billion

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

Oregon’s public-use airports play a key role in ensuring economic growth and maintaining high standards of livability throughout the state. Airline passengers, overnight mail, air cargo, air ambulance, forest fire suppression, crop spraying, military use, and aviation-related businesses all depend on an adequate network of airports.

3. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The Legislatively-Approved Budget (LAB) included all approved Department of Aviation KPMs. Only KPM # 1 (Runway Pavements in Good or Better Condition) remains unchanged from previous KPM. KPM # 2 (Runway meeting or Exceeding Approach Surface Standards) and KPM # 5 (Customer Service Ratings) were amended to comport with the Oregon Aviation/Systems Plan metrics and are making progress to both and new measurement criterion. Remaining five KPM are relatively new and reflect “Progress Unclear.”

| KPM Progress Summary | Key Performance Measures (KPMs) with Page References | # of KPMs |
|--|---|------------------|
| KPMs MAKING PROGRESS at or trending toward target achievement | (2) Runways meeting or exceeding approach surface standards (3) Public Use and state airports with current inspections (#), (4) Federal Funds obligation rate (%), (5) Customer Satisfaction survey results. (6) Aircraft Registered in Oregon, (8) Aviation Board Best Practices | 6 |
| KPMs NOT MAKING PROGRESS not at or trending toward target achievement | (1) Runway Pavements in Good or Better Condition. (7) Percent of pilots registered in Oregon | 2 |
| KPMs - PROGRESS UNCLEAR target not yet set | | |
| Total Number of Key Performance Measures (KPMs) | | 8 |

4. CHALLENGES.

For those KPM addressing the condition of state-owned airports the following represent the challenges: Legislative approval of requested budget, declining fuel tax revenues, increasing prices for asphalt, changes in bidding practices used by counties and commercial purchasers of asphalt, the increasing lengths of runways, weather patterns, and the changing character (weight, wingspan, type) of aircraft using Oregon’s public-use airports.

5. RESOURCES USED AND EFFICIENCY

Department of Aviation (Aviation) – Agency Totals

| | 2009-2011 Actual | 2011-2013 Legislatively Approved | 2013-2015 Governor’s Recommended | 2013-2015 Legislatively Adopted |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Other Funds | 7,320,330 | 5,724,565 | 6,098,002 | |
| Federal Funds | \$3,711,681 | 4,086,055 | 4,769,741, | |
| Total Funds | \$11,032,011 | \$9,810,620 | \$10,867, 743 | |
| Positions | 17 | 12 | 12 | |

Department of Aviation

II. USING PERFORMANCE DATA

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

| | | | | |
|-----|-------|------|------|--|
| FTE | 17.00 | 11.5 | 11.5 | |
|-----|-------|------|------|--|

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Contact: Mitch Swecker, Director | Phone: 503.378.2340 |
| Alternate: Matt Maass, State Airports Manager | Phone: 503.378.2523 |

The following questions indicate how performance measures and data are used for management and accountability purposes.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>1 INCLUSIVITY Describe the involvement of the following groups in the development of the agency’s performance measures.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff: Management and represented staff. • Elected Officials: Governor’s Office • Stakeholders: Oregon Airport Manager’s Association, Oregon Pilot’s Association, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association – NW Chapter • Citizens: Airport Advisory Committees – State-Owned Airports, local OPA and EAA chapters |
| <p>2 MANAGING FOR RESULTS How are performance measures used for management of the agency? What changes have been made in the past year?</p> | <p>In conjunction with the annual updates to the Oregon Aviation/System Plan 2007, the KPM are calculated and used to make adjustments to annual budget priorities, outreach, and capital improvement program projects. KPM # 2 (Runway meeting or Exceeding Approach Surface Standards) and KPM # 5 (Customer Service Ratings) were amended to comport with the Oregon Aviation/Systems Plan metrics and are making progress to both and new measurement criterion.</p> |
| <p>3 STAFF TRAINING What training has staff had in the past year on the practical value and use of performance measures?</p> | <p>In 2010, there was significant staff reorganization based on a multiagency management review team. The team included many recommendations to improve processes, staff performance and metrics. The KPMs are metrics that have practical economic and safety value to the agency.</p> |
| <p>4 COMMUNICATING RESULTS How does the agency communicate performance results to each of the following audiences and for what purpose?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff: Regular compliance with metrics related to KPMs. KPMs are current for airport safety(inspections), Using FAA and Operations funding for pavement and airport infrastructure improvements. Metrics for generating revenue from aircraft and pilot registration are daily activities measured and reported to the Aviation Board. Customer Service is a daily activity. Customer surveys conducted periodically to determine agency performance and provide feedback. • Elected Officials: Review with Chair, Senate and House Subcommittee on Transportation, Governor’s Office • Stakeholders: Post results to agency website; brief at stakeholder conferences/meetings; publish in ODA newsletter • Citizens: Post results to agency website; brief at stakeholder conferences/meetings; publish in ODA newsletter |

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

| KPM # 1 | Runway Pavements in Good or Better Condition | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Goal | All Oregon’s public-use airports shall have runway pavements in good or better condition. | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. | |
| Data source | Pavement Evaluation Program measures all public-use airports in Oregon once every three (3) calendar years. Use of Micropaver software provides fact-based data indicating order of priority, budget, and specific work requirements annually. | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation, contact person is Heather Peck, State Planning and Construction Manager, 503.378.3168. | |

1. OUR STRATEGY:


Maximize state and federal funds so that all public-use airports’ runways are in fair or better condition. Target is percentage of paved airport runways rated fair or better within the total inventory of 66 paved public use airports in Oregon.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS:

Consulting firms assist in the program to ensure quality assurance and quality control as well as analysis of program deliverables. Firms bid to assist the program for five-year contract to manage engineering aspects and on-site construction oversight as well inspect and manage software and data collection for the pavement evaluation process. ODA reviews all data, compiles additional analysis, individually inspects the airports and manages the work of the contractors, consultants, engineers and inspectors. Grading scale was changed to reflect that scale “good or better” was changed to “fair or better” for the same metric. KPM should be change to reflect fair or better to effectively measure the same metric.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING:

Pavement Maintenance continued as scheduled in 2011 and 2012 and has been successful in completing the program work as scheduled. Work was divided into 3 smaller contracts in 2012 to make it easier for MW/ESB contractors to bid. Results were contracted work finished ahead of schedule. 10 year study of the PMP program funded by FAA showed that PMP program extended service life of airport pavement by 20 plus years. FAA standards are that pavement is good for 20 years so this essentially doubles the life of pavement and potentially cost avoids an entire renovation cycle.



Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

4. HOW WE COMPARE:

Comparator state information is not available.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS:

Weather conditions, availability of matching funds for local municipalities and construction scheduling are all factors that may potentially affect results in any given period. Over time heavy emphasis on crack sealing instead of surface treatments has had the effect of decreasing overall pavement condition as recently shown in the 2012 index (for additional detail see ODA 2012 PMP Study). A complete PMP study was done in 2012 and ODA will incorporate recommendations that will enhance pavement preservation.

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE:

Potential decline in fuel tax revenue during 2013 and the future could impact the ability to conduct the full PMP program. Future funding revenue streams will be analyzed. Modifications to the type of pavement work to enhance overall pavement preservation. Agency will continue to review bidding practices to incorporate all local and regional contractors.

7. ABOUT THE DATA:

The data is available and collated annually. The State of Oregon’s 66 paved airports that are a part of this program are split up into 3 regions. The work alternates yearly by region. Every year a pavement evaluation is done for one region, the following construction season (or year) the construction work takes place.

| KPM # 2 | Runways Meeting or Exceeding Approach Surface Standards | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Goal | All Oregon’s public-use airports shall have runways meeting or exceeding approach surface standards. | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. | |
| Data source | FAA part 77.25 standards require a 20:1 glide slope for visual meteorologic conditions (VMC) for public use airports. Federal dollars are available for NPIAS (National Plan of Integrated Airports System) for obstruction removal. Funding for nonNPIAS airports lags due to declining operations funding for obstruction removal. | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation, contact person is State Airports Manager, 503.378.4880. | |

1. OUR STRATEGY

100% compliance with FAA standards. Identify state and federal funds ensure maximum number of airports with proper approach surface standards.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS

Data shows an increase in number of airports that meet or exceed approach surface standards. This is affected by obstruction removal projects at several state owned airports. Aurora, Cottage Grove, Siletz Bay and Bandon. There is still insufficient funding to remove obstructions not eligible for federal funding, primarily 16 state owned airports.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING

Increase of 6% due to projects done at state owned airports.

4. HOW WE COMPARE

Comparator state information is not available.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS.


Funding for obstruction removal at 16 non-federally funded state owned airports is not available.

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE

Must identify funding resources to reduce backlog of obstructions at non-NPIAS airports to improve percentage of airports with 20:1 glideslopes to improve safety for approaching aircraft. Cost of removal of obstructions could approach \$750,000.

7. ABOUT THE DATA

The data is available annually.



| | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| KPM # 3 | State airports with current inspections | Measured since: 2008 |
| Goal | All Oregon’s public-use airports shall have current FAA 5010 and state airports should have quarterly self inspections on file. | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. | |
| Data source | FAA | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation, contact person is Mitch Swecker, 503.378.4880. | |

1. OUR STRATEGY

Target is percentage of airport runways rated good or better within the total inventory of public use airports in Oregon

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS

ODA conducts 97 FAA sponsored inspections over a three year period. One third of the inspections are conducted annually. (29 in 2011). ODA conducts quarterly self-inspections at 28 state owned airports. Total possible number of inspections is 144.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING

ODA completed 137 of 142 inspections (96%). Inspections were not conducted at Owyhee Reservoir due to remote location inaccessible by auto.

4. HOW WE COMPARE

Comparator state information is not available.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS.

Reduction in staffing has reduced ability to maximize airport inspections. ODA has one maintenance staff and two operations staff for 28 airports.

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE

Identify additional revenue sources and cost reduction to offset revenue shortfall.

7. ABOUT THE DATA

The data is available and updated monthly as a management tool. Summary is compiled annually.



| KPM # 4 | Percentage of total federal funds obligated or spent | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Goal | Obligate 100% of available federal funding. Adopt best business practices to administer an efficient and effective grant program. | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. | |
| Data source | Departmental electronic data base and individual airport sponsor project/grant files. | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation, contact person is Chris Cummings, 503.378.4880. | |


1. OUR STRATEGY:

Oregon currently owns and operates 28 public use airports. Of these, 12 are parts of the National Plan of Integrated Airport System (NPIAS) and are eligible for federal funding through the FAA Airport Improvement Program. Each of these 12 NPIAS airports receives \$150,000 annually in FAA funds (Non-Primary Entitlement - NPE), for a total of \$1.8M/year. The state has up to four years to expend these funds on FAA eligible airport improvement projects. If funds are not spent or transferred to other NPE airports, the funds are returned to the FAA and redistributed as discretionary funds at other airports anywhere in the United States.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS:

Since the majority of the airport projects cost more than \$150,000; ODA pools the available NPE funds from other airports to accomplish larger capital construction projects. In 2012, NPE funds from 11 airports were pooled and transferred to Chiloquin State Airport to complete a runway, apron and obstruction removal project. ODA has developed a 5 year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) plan that identifies capital improvement at each of the State’s 12 NPIAS airports. By having Airport Improvements identified in advance, ODA in not in jeopardy of having any entitlement dollars expiring. Also, in 2012 ODA has begun implementing the Statewide Capital Improvement Project (SCIP) program, which coordinates projects on a statewide level among the 55 federally funded airports. To date, the SCIP program has redirected approximately \$500,000 expiring dollars to other airports within Oregon, thus keeping entitlement fund within the State for future construction projects.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING: ODA continues to make improvements in planning, monitoring and closeout of federally funded airport projects. As stated above the Department currently utilizes all available NPE funds and coordinates the transfer of NPE funds between other airports to minimize the amount of funds to be returned to the FAA to be used as discretionary dollars at other airports.



Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

4. HOW WE COMPARE:

Comparator state information is not available.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS:

Congressional authorization of NPIAS airport funding program under 2012 FAA reauthorization bill changed grant match for airport owners (sponsors) from 5% to 10%. Statewide, there may be airports that are unable to make their grant match. ODA has sufficient funds for the 13-15 biennium to provide grant match for all scheduled projects.

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE:

Continued support of the SCIP program to ensure that no NPE funds expire and are returned to the FAA from any state of Oregon NPIAS airport.

7. ABOUT THE DATA:

The data is updated on a continual basis and is available on demand.

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| KPM # 5 | Percent of customers rating their satisfaction with agency's customer service as "good" or "excellent"; overall customer service, timeliness, accuracy, helpfulness, expertise and availability of information | Measured since: 2006 |
| Goal | Excellent Customer Satisfaction | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. | |
| Data source | Customer Satisfaction Survey | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation Director, 503.378.2340. | |

1. OUR STRATEGY:

Evaluate comments by customers and constituents on Customer service and response time to improve service to aviation customers.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS: ODA survey Percentages

include Good or Excellent results combined. Survey covers tenants, aircraft owners, pilots and airport managers.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING: Customer satisfaction has remained stable. Staff was reduced by 5 at beginning of 2011-2013 Biennium.

4. ABOUT THE TARGETS: Since Oct 2009, ODA has undergone substantial reorganization and has downsized to 11.5 FTE.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS:

Survey was conducted entirely on line using Survey Monkey.

6. HOW WE COMPARE:

Comparator state information is not available.

7. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE:

Continue to work with aviation community to provide customer service to stakeholders and constituents. Continue outreach and education on aviation issues. .

8. ABOUT THE DATA: The data is available on a biennial basis.



| KPM # 6 | Percent of Aircraft Registered | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Goal | Enroll all eligible Oregon based aircraft into ODA database | |
| Oregon Context | | |
| Data source | ODA Electronic Database | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation State Airports Manager, 503.378.4880. | |

1. OUR STRATEGY


ODA has updated the aircraft and pilot registration database to ensure more accurate data and revenue collection.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS

ODA is required by ORS 837 to charge a fee for civil aircraft registration. Aircraft Registration fees support the 10% grant match to FAA federal grants for airport improvements. Target is 100% accuracy. Modernizing database and increasing collection efforts are intended to increase this percentage and validate accuracy and collect all due revenue.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING

4407 current active aircraft
 43 dealer aircraft
 604 no response/past due
 5054- 604 = 4450
 4450//5054 = 89%



4. HOW WE COMPARE

Comparative data is not available

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS.

Many more pilots are submitting aircraft registrations that indicate they are no longer flying due to cost or other reasons.

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE

Continue development of aircraft and pilot registration database, aggressive reconciliation of erroneous data and pursuit of all revenue identified as due to ODA.

7. ABOUT THE DATA:

ODA reconciles state data with FAA aircraft database and aggressively pursues unregistered aircraft.

| KPM # 7 | Percent of Pilots Registered | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Goal | Reconcile with FAA data and register all pilots with Oregon addresses | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. http:// | |
| Data source | ODA Electronic databases and FAA pilot database | |
| Owner | Department of State Airports Manager, 503.378.4880. | |

ODA is in process of validating all pilot and aircraft registrations via comparison to FAA database and letters to pilots and aircraft owners.

1. OUR STRATEGY

ODA is required by ORS 837 to charge a fee for Oregon pilot registration. \$12 for initial pilot registration and \$24 for two year renewal term.

2. ABOUT THE TARGETS

Fees for pilot registration go to Search and Rescue organizations including OEM for .59 FTE of an aviation search and rescue position.

3. HOW WE ARE DOING


Ongoing clean up of database and elimination of duplicate registrations and expired pilot registrations show 4807 current and past due pilot registrations. 4472 are currently registered and paid up to date. 335 are shown as past due. 91 % of pilots previously registered are currently registered in 2012. A slight decline from 2011 is indicative that more pilots are reporting they are no longer flying due to cost, condition of aircraft. Age of pilot base is also a concern. Fewer younger pilots are getting licensed due to cost and time required.

4. HOW WE COMPARE:

State comparative data is not available.

5. FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS.

Increase in fees, letters to pilots in the database as part of a stepped up registration campaign increased the number of pilots in the database. Process is ongoing.



Department of Aviation

III. KEY MEASURE ANALYSIS

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

6. WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE

Continue modernization of database and reconciliation of pilot registrations.

7. ABOUT THE DATA

ODA continues the process of validating all pilot and aircraft registrations via comparison to FAA database, city and county records and letters to pilots and aircraft owners.

Agency Mission: To Preserve and Enhance Aviation in Supporting Oregon’s Communities

| KPM # 8 | Total of Best Practices by the Board of Aviation | Measured since: 2008 |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Goal | Excellent Customer Satisfaction | |
| Oregon Context | Not Applicable. http:// | |
| Data source | Self Assessment of best practices | |
| Owner | Department of Aviation Director, 503.378.4880. | |

- OUR STRATEGY:**
ODA Board completes Best Practices survey to improve statewide aviation policy and oversight.
- ABOUT THE TARGETS:**
List of 15 best practices self assessment sent to 7 Oregon Aviation Board members for 2012.
- HOW WE ARE DOING:**
7 of 7 board members responded to 15 best practices identified. Results indicate average of 13 best practices complied with. Two areas that need improvement are formal performance feedback for the Aviation Director needs improvement and more board training needs to be conducted.
- HOW WE COMPARE:**
Comparative state information is not available.
- FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS:** Board meetings have been moved to monthly from quarterly. Informal feedback is regular and re-occurring.
- WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE**
Board provide formal performance feedback to Director. Increase board training.
- ABOUT THE DATA:** Survey results reflect slight improvement in best practice compliance over 2010 best practice survey results

