Background, Purpose and Scope of the Oregon Safe Employment Act and these Rules

(1) The Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEA) includes the statutes described below:
   (a) ORS 654.001 to .295, providing for safety and health conditions in places of employment, workplace safety committees, hazard communication and hazardous substances, and health and sanitation inspections.
   (b) ORS 654.412 to .423, providing for safety of health care employees.
   (c) ORS 654.750 to .780, providing for hazardous chemicals in agriculture.

(2) ORS 654.062 provides that:
   (a) An employee should notify the employer of any violation of law, regulation or standard pertaining to safety and health in the place of employment when the violation comes to the knowledge of the employee.
   (b) Any employee or representative of the employee may complain of such violation to the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS) whether or not the employee notifies the employer. DCBS will follow the procedures provided by ORS 654.062 (3) and (4).
   (c) The Civil Rights Division (division) of the Bureau of Labor and Industries has jurisdiction to enforce ORS 654.062(5), which provides that it is an unlawful employment practice for any person to bar or discharge from employment or otherwise discriminate against any employee or prospective employee because that individual has opposed any practice prohibited by OSEA; made any complaint or instituted or caused to be instituted any proceeding under or related to OSEA; testified or is about to testify in any such proceeding or exercised on behalf of the employee, prospective employee or others any right afforded by OSEA.

(3) Employees and prospective employees are also protected from discrimination under ORS 654.062(5):
   (a) By any person, wether or not the person is the employee's or prospective employee's employer;
   (b) If the employee or prospective employee is perceived to take any actions described in subsection (2)(c) of this rule; or
   (c) If the employee or prospective employee opposed a practice that the employee or prospective employee in good faith believed was prohibited under OSEA.
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Definitions: Discrimination for Opposition to Practices Prohibited by Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEA)

(1) “Bureau” means the Bureau of Labor and Industries.
(2) “Division” means the Civil Rights Division of the Bureau of Labor and Industries.
(3) “Employee” means As defined in ORS 654.005.

(1) “Discrimination” includes but is not limited to:
   (a) Barring or discharging an individual from employment;
(b) Treating an individual differently than others in compensation, terms, conditions or privileges of employment;
(c) Retaliating against or harassing an individual for participating in activities protected by ORS 654.062(5); or
(d) Actions described in (a)-(c) of this section taken against individuals by persons that are not the individual’s employer or prospective employer, such as, but not limited to, labor organizations and employment agencies.

(2) “Employee” includes:
(a) Any individual, including a minor whether lawfully or unlawfully employed, who engages to furnish services for a remuneration, financial or otherwise, subject to the direction and control of an employer, and includes
(b) Salaried, elected and appointed officials of the state, state agencies, counties, cities, school districts and other public corporations,
(c) Any individual including but not limited to a volunteer who is provided with workers’ compensation coverage as a subject worker pursuant to ORS chapter 656, whether by operation of law or by election.

(4) “Employer” means includes:
(a) Any person who has one or more employees, or
(b) Any sole proprietor or member of a partnership who elects workers’ compensation coverage as a subject worker pursuant to ORS 656.128.
(c) Any successor or assignee of an employer. As used in this paragraph, “successor” means a business or enterprise that is substantially the same entity as the predecessor employer according to criteria adopted by the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services in OAR 437-001-0015.

(5) “Place of employment” includes:
(a) Every place, whether indoors or out or underground, and the premises and structures appurtenant thereto, where either temporarily or permanently an employee works or is intended to work; and
(b) Every place where there is carried on any process, operation or activity related, either directly or indirectly, to an employer’s industry, trade, business or occupation, including a labor camp, wherever located, provided by an employer for employees or by another person engaged in providing living quarters or shelters for employees.

(6) “Place of employment” does not include:
(a) Any place where the only employment involves non subject workers employed in or about a private home; and
(b) Any corporate farm where the only employment involves the farm’s family members, including parents, spouses, sisters, brothers, daughters, sons, daughters-in-law, sons-in-law, nieces, nephews or grandchildren.

Stat. Auth.: ORS 654.062(5); ORS 659A.805; & ORS 659A.001
Stats. Implemented: ORS 654.005; ORS 654.062, ORS 659A.001
employment agencies, or any other person in a position to discriminate against another person’s employee or prospective employee.

Stat. Auth.: ORS 654.062(5)
Stats. Implemented: ORS 654.062(5)
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[Persons Protected from Discrimination Under OSEA]

Scope of Protection under ORS 654.062(5)

(1) ORS 654.062(5) prohibits discrimination against an employee or prospective employee (“individual”) because the individual:

(a) Made any complaint or instituted or caused to be instituted any proceeding under or related to the Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEA);
(b) Testified or is about to testify in any such proceeding;
(c) Exercised on behalf of the individual or others any right afforded by OSEA;
(d) Engaged in a practice provided for by OSEA; or
(e) Opposed any practice prohibited by OSEA or which the individual in good faith believed was prohibited by OSEA; or
(f) Is perceived to take any actions described in subsections (a) through (e) of this rule.

(2) ORS 654.062(5) does not state to whom or in what manner an individual must oppose a practice in order to be protected from discrimination. Protected actions include the individual communicating opposition to practices prohibited by OSEA or which the individual in good faith believes are prohibited by OSEA to anyone, including but not limited to:

(a) Coworkers;
(b) Employers; and
(c) Newspapers and other news media.

(3) The protection of ORS 654.062(5) for opposing practices does not generally extend to an individual refusing to work or walking off the job. However, an individual would have protection under ORS 654.062(5) for such actions if the individual has reasonable cause to believe that:

(a) The work, work area, equipment or other factors pose an imminent risk of serious injury or death due to hazardous conditions not inherent in the job;
(b) There is insufficient time or opportunity, or it would be futile for the individual to inform the employer of the risk factors and request that the employer address them because the employer is not available or denies the risk factors exist or refuses to address the risk factors; and
(c) There is insufficient time or opportunity to seek assistance from regulatory enforcement authorities.

(4) ORS 654.062(5) protects employees and prospective employees exercising rights under OSEA. Such employees are protected whether they are exercising these rights on their own behalf or on the behalf of other employees or prospective employees. "Employees" include volunteers who are covered under the Oregon workers’ compensation system. Employees or prospective employees on whose behalf another employee or person acts are also protected if:

(a) The employee or prospective employee is perceived to be complaining about or opposing an unsafe or unhealthy workplace;
(b) The complaint was, in fact, the employee’s or prospective employee’s, but was made by another person.

(2) ORS 654.062(5) protects employees from employer retaliation in instances where the employer does not know the identity of the employee making a health or safety complaint, but takes action against those the employer perceives to have made the complaint or against employees who may have complained.

(5) ORS 654.062(5) does not protect an employee who refuses to comply with OSEA or the employer’s legitimate safety rules.

Stat. Auth.: ORS 654.062(5)
Stats. Implemented: ORS 654.062(5)
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[Employee Opposition to Health and Safety Hazards]

Procedures for Complaints; Statutes of Limitation; Private Right of Action; Statutory Construction; Remedies
(1) Employees or prospective employees alleging violations of ORS 654.062(5) of the Oregon Safe Employment Act (OSEA) may file a complaint with the Civil Rights Division (division) of the Bureau of Labor and Industries as aggrieved persons as provided in ORS 659A.820 and OAR 839-003-0031.

(2) Aggrieved persons alleging violations of ORS 654.062(5) must contact the division within 90 days after the date on which the aggrieved person has reasonable cause to believe they have been discriminated against. An aggrieved person would have reasonable cause to believe a violation has occurred on the earliest date that the aggrieved person:
   (a) Believed discrimination had occurred against the aggrieved person for opposing practices prohibited by OSEA; and
   (b) Knew or should have known of the right to file a complaint with the division and of the requirement to contact the division within 90 days after the alleged discrimination.

(A) If a notice required by OSEA, as provided in OAR 437-001-0275(2)(a), was properly posted in the employee’s workplace, continuously on and following the date of the alleged retaliation, the division will find that the employee knew or should have known of the requirement to contact the division within 90 days after the alleged discrimination.

(B) If the employer failed to post the required OSEA poster, the requirement to contact the division within 90 days after the alleged discrimination will begin on the date the employee learned of the right to file a complaint and of the 90 day requirement. The employee may establish this date based on the employee’s own statement or other evidence offered by the employee.

(C) If the employer disagrees with the employee’s presented date as the date the employee learned of the right to file a complaint, the burden is on the employer to show that the employee knew or should have known on an earlier date.

(D) If extenuating circumstances exist, the division may extend the 90-day period as provided in 29 CFR §1977.15(3).

(3) Upon receipt of a complaint the commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries (commissioner) will process the complaint under the procedures, policies and remedies established by ORS chapter 659A and the policies established by ORS 654.001 to 654.295, 654.412 to 654.423 and 654.750 to 654.780 in the same way and to the same extent that the complaint would be processed if the complaint involved allegations of unlawful employment practices under ORS 659A.030 (1)(f).

(4) (a) If the commissioner dismisses the complaint, the commissioner will issue a notice to the aggrieved person pursuant to ORS 659A.880 that a civil action may be filed within 90 days of the dismissal.

(b) The aggrieved person may appeal the determination to the Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Division (OR-OSHA) within 15 calendar days of issuance of the determination.

(5) Provisions of OSEA are to be construed to the extent possible in a manner that is consistent with any similar provisions of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 USC ch.15 § 651-678 as amended (OSHA).

(6) An affected employee or prospective employee may bring a civil action in any circuit court of the State of Oregon against any person alleged to have violated ORS 654.062 (5). The civil action must be commenced within one year after the employee or prospective employee has reasonable cause to believe a violation has occurred, unless a complaint has been timely filed under ORS 659A.820.

(7) The commissioner or the circuit court may order all appropriate relief including rehiring or reinstatement to the employee’s former position with back pay:

[(1) Osea 654.062(5) prohibits discrimination against an employee because the employee “opposed” health and safety hazards in the workplace. OSEA does not specify to whom or in what manner an employee must oppose health and safety hazards and be protected. The concern is not with how the opposition is communicated, but with the employer's reaction to the opposition. What constitutes opposition covers a broad range of activities including, but not limited to the following:
   (a) An employee opposing health and safety hazards in a co-worker discussion that is overheard by management;
   (b) An employee opposing employee health and safety hazards in a letter to a newspaper read by management; or
   (c) An employee opposing employee health and safety hazards by written or verbal protest to the employer.

(2) OSEA does not normally cover an employee opposing health and safety hazards if the employee refuses to work or walks off the job. If an employee, however, refuses to perform work that presents risk of serious injury or death, the employee would have OSEA protection under the following conditions:
   (a) The employee has reasonable cause to believe the work or work area poses an imminent risk of serious injury or death due to hazardous conditions not inherent in the job; and
   (b) The employee has reasonable cause to believe there is insufficient time or opportunity to seek employer redress or to resort to regulatory enforcement channels. (For example, the employer refuses to correct the hazard, denies the danger exists, is not available, or no regulatory representative is available.)

(3) A person alleging discrimination for reporting or opposing unsafe or unhealthy work conditions under ORS 654.062 must contact the division within 30 days of having reasonable cause to believe that such violation has occurred. An employee would have reasonable cause to believe a violation has occurred on the earliest date that the employee:}
(a) Believed retaliation had occurred against the employee for opposing employee health and safety hazards; and
(b) Knew or should have known of the right to file a complaint with the division and of the requirement that the complaint be filed within 90 days of the alleged retaliation.

Stat. Auth.: ORS 654.062(5); ORS 659A.805
Stats. Implemented: [ORS 654.005; &] ORS 654.062(5); ORS 659A.030(1)(f)