STATE OF OREGON

Department of Administrative Services Risk Management

2017-19 Risk Charge Methodology Allocation Review

DAS Risk Management Program

Introduction

DAS Risk Management insures and protects the people, property and activities of state government. We insure what others won't: The unique, diverse and often hazardous business of state government.

- Our experts recommend mitigation strategies to minimize or prevent the cost of loss, both minor and catastrophic.
- When loss does occur, we manage the claim process that lets state agencies fiscally recover and carry on with their mission.
- ☐ When appropriate, DAS Risk Management partners with insurance brokers to purchase commercial insurance.

DAS Risk Management Program

The lines of coverage available to customers are:

- ☐ General Liability: coverage for claims alleging error and omissions made by state employees, agents and volunteers
- Auto Liability: coverage for public's losses resulting from automobile accidents when the state is alleged to be at fault
- ☐ General Property: coverage for state property losses
- ☐ Auto Property: coverage for damage to state vehicles
- ☐ Workers Compensation: coverage for employees and volunteer work-related injuries and illnesses
- Volunteer Injury: optional coverage for volunteers in lieu of workers compensation that supplements their existing health insurance coverage
- Special Coverage: coverage for unique risks not covered in the above types of coverages. Examples include excess property, aviation, catastrophic loss, inmate injury, and employee dishonesty

DAS Risk Management Services

- ☐ Claims Management
 - Investigation
 - Claims payments
 - Mediation
 - Litigation
- **☐** Risk Consulting
 - Consultations
 - Risk assessments
 - Commercial insurance
 - Training
- **■** Management of the Insurance Fund
 - Actuarial reviews
 - Cash flow analysis
 - Reserve reports
 - Fund status reports, BCAR & NAIC's RBC
 - Investment allocation

Problems Facing The Risk Fund

- **☐** Legal expenses are increasing significantly
- **☐** Tort cap limit increases
- ☐ Complexity of liability claims and litigations
- **☐** Workers' Comp cost increases
 - Older workforce
 - Increased medical cost
 - Increases in time loss paid
- **☐** Legislative mandated transfers
- ☐ A-87 penalty
- ☐ Timing of the receipt of SAIF dividends —Linked to A-87 penalty

What Are the Costs Built Into Risk Charges

☐ Risk Management Program Administration Cost

- Claims management cost: Legal, underwriting and other costs
- Risk consultation cost
- Finance cost
- Administrative overhead costs

☐ Commercial Insurance Cost including

- Workers' Comp insurance premium
- Liability insurance premiums
- Excess property premiums
- Boiler & Machinery commercial insurance premiums
- Crime insurance premium
- Aviation liability
- Employee dishonesty & Public official liability bond

Note: The cost of commercial insurance premiums comes from the insurance broker

☐ The Projected ultimate statewide losses:

- Are determined from annual actuarial forecasts based on past losses and trends by the independent actuary - AON
- Then, adjusted by existing fund balances and expected investment earnings.

Forecasted and Billed Risk Charges

| Cost of Risk | | Billed Charges (2013-15) | Forecasted Charges (2015-17) | | | Billed After LFO (2015-17) | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----|---------------------------------|--|
| Total Workers' Comp | \$ | 45,716,198 | \$ | 52,685,775 | \$ | 45,541,776 | |
| Total Property (Auto & General) | \$ | 20,131,997 | \$ | 21,400,038 | \$ | 18,498,254 | |
| Total Liability (Auto & General) | \$ | 42,378,349 | \$ | 49,585,029 | \$ | 42,861,467 | |
| Total Risk Admin | Included in the coverage lines | | | | | | |
| Total Cost of Risk | \$ | 108,226,544 | \$ | 123,670,843 | \$ | 107,250,203 | |
| Percentage Increase/Decrease | | | | 14.3% | | -0.9% | |

Note:

A business decision was made by DAS Risk Management in consultation with the Customer Utility Board to keep risk charge increases stable by reducing the budgeted \$123.7 M to \$111.3 M with a 10% investment offset.

Forecasted and Billed Risk Charges-Continues

| Cost of Risk | Bi | lled After LFO (2015-17) | For | recasted Charges (2017-19) | Published Charges (2017-19) | |
|----------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Total Workers' Comp | \$ | 45,541,776 | \$ | 58,003,592 | \$ | 44,908,536 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Property (Auto & General) | \$ | 18,498,254 | \$ | 16,557,166 | \$ | 14,618,858 |
| | | | | | | |
| | Φ. | 12.061.465 | Ф | 40,410,622 | Φ. | 27 40 6 220 |
| Total Liability (Auto & General) | \$ | 42,861,467 | \$ | 48,410,632 | \$ | 37,496,229 |
| Total Risk Admin | | N/A | \$ | 10,226,580 | \$ | 10,226,580 |
| | | | | · · · | | |
| Total Cost of Risk | \$ | 107,250,203 | \$ | 133,197,970 | \$ | 107,262,243 |
| Percentage Increase/Decrease | | | | 24.2% | | 0.01% |

• A business decision was also made by DAS Risk Management in consultation with the CUB to maintain cash & investment balances @ \$107.3 million as well maintain the 2015-17 risk charge levels for the 2017-19 biennium

| Historical Data - Forecasted and Billed -Published Risk (2011/13 - 2017/19) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------------|----|--------------|------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| 2011 -13 Billed Risk Charges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | R | isk Admin | | Total | Percent Change | Remarks |
| \$ 15,887,255 | \$ | 38,990,790 | \$ | 51,602,886 | | N/A | \$ | 106,480,931 | 0% | |
| | | | | 2012 15 | D.11 | | N | | | |
| 2013 -15 Billed Risk Charges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | R | isk Admin | | | Percent Change | |
| \$ 21,131,997 | \$ | 42,315,701 | \$ | 45,812,189 | | N/A | \$ | 108,259,886 | 1.7% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 -17 Forecasted Risk Charges | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | R | isk Admin | | Total | Percent Change | |
| \$ 21,400,038 | \$ | 49,585,029 | \$ | 52,685,775 | | N/A | \$ | 123,670,843 | 14.2% | 10% Investment Offset |
| | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | led Risk C |] ha | O | | |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | R | isk Admin | • | Total | Percent Change | |
| \$ 18,498,254 | \$ | 42,861,467 | \$ | 45,541,776 | | N/A | \$ | 107,250,203 | -0.9% | Additional \$4.6 Million LFO Reduction |
| | | | | 404F 40 F | | | | (1) | | |
| | | | | 2017 -19 Fo | | | k C | O | | |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | | isk Admin | | Total | Percent Change | Risk Charges Forecasted To Increase By 24.2% |
| \$ 16,557,166 | \$ | 48,410,632 | \$ | 58,033,592 | \$ | 10,226,580 | \$ | 133,197,970 | 24.2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 -19 Published Risk Charges | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | With The Approval of The CUB, Cost Kept at |
| Property | | Liability | W | orkers' Comp | R | isk Admin | 1 | Risk Admin | Percent Change | 2015-17 Levels or a 0.01% Increase |
| \$ 14,618,858 | \$ | 37,496,229 | \$ | 44,908,536 | \$ | 10,226,580 | \$ | 107,262,243 | 0.01% | |

Risk Charge Allocation Methodologies Review

Definitions

- ☐ Frequency: # of claims
- ☐ Severity: Total cost of claims
- ☐ FTE: # of full-time equivalent positions
- ☐ TIV: Total Insurable Value. Total property value and assets reported on the annual risk report

Minimum charge:

- ☐ Nominal charge agencies pay to be part of Insurance Fund.
- ☐ Ensures agencies pay a portion of costs.
- ☐ Historically, minimums worked with waivers to subsidize costs.

Waiver:

- □ Deduction of one or more losses of a stated amount.
- ☐ Historically, waivers worked with minimums to stabilize budget disruptions especially for smaller agencies that suffered a loss.
- ☐ Waived amount are <u>reallocated</u>, resulting in larger agencies or agencies with more losses paying the redistributed amounts.

Issues With The Old Allocation Methodology

Risk Administration

- ☐ Not currently paid by all agencies
- ☐ Many non-claims related services provided

Waivers

- ☐ Costs don't disappear
- ☐ They are reallocated and paid by other agencies
- ☐ Amount allocated is forward looking
- ☐ Allocation methodology is backward looking and heavily loss weighted

2017-19 Rate Allocation Goals

- □ Implement allocations that are
 - Equitable
 - Eliminate / Reduce subsidy
 - Avoid / Minimize agency budget disruption

Changes to the Allocation Methodology for 2017-19

- ☐ Waivers eliminated
- ☐ Minimums eliminated for all but Risk administration
- ☐ Risk administration now a separate allocation bucket
- ☐ Minor allocation change for Workers' Compensation

Risk Rate Methodology Comparison Summary

2015-17 Risk Rate Methodologies

Auto Property:

- Allocation based on 20% Frequency, 80% Severity
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- No Risk Administration charges

2017-19 Risk Rate Methodologies

Auto Property:

- Allocation based on 20% Frequency, 80% Severity
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk Administration costs allocated separately

General Property:

- Allocation based on 75% Severity, 25% Total Insurable Value (TIV)
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk administration charges included in loss allocation

General Property:

- Allocation based on 75% Severity, 25% Total Insurable Value (TIV)
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk administration costs allocated separately

Risk Rate Methodology Comparison Summary-Continues

2015-17 Risk Rate Methodologies

2017-19 Risk Rate Methodologies

Auto Liability:

- Allocation based on 20% Frequency, 80% Severity
- Waive one loss up to \$100k
- No minimum charge
- Risk Administration charges included in loss allocation

Auto Liability:

- Allocation based on 20% Frequency, 80% Severity
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk Administration costs allocated separately

General Liability:

- Allocation based on 15% Frequency, 75% Severity, 10% FTE
- Waive one loss up to \$200k
- \$2,000 minimum charge
- Risk Administration charges included in loss allocation

General Liability:

- Allocation based on 15% Frequency, 75% Severity, 10% FTE
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk Administration costs allocated separately

Risk Rate Methodology Comparison Summary-Continues

2015-17 Risk Rate Methodologies

2017-19 Risk Rate Methodologies

Workers' Compensation:

- Allocation based on 100% Severity
- Waive up to 4 claims average time loss
- \$1,500 minimum charge
- Risk Administration costs included in loss allocation

Workers' Compensation:

- Allocation based on 90% severity and 10% FTE
- No waivers
- No minimum charge
- Risk Administration costs allocated separately

Risk Administration:

 Included in Workers' Comp, General Property, Auto Liability and General Liability rates

Risk Administration:

- Allocation based on 25% each Frequency, Severity, FTE and TIV
- \$1,250 minimum charge

Projected Outstanding Losses, Cash & Investment and Funded Level Report



Questions?

Onyemaechi Odoemelam

State of Oregon
DAS Risk Management
Finance Analyst
503-373-1855

Onyemaechi.odoemelam@oregon.gov