Implementation of Senate Bill 212

A Joint Report of the Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Land Conservation and Development, Department of Agriculture, and Health Services of the Department of Human Services

January 2003



State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality







This report has been prepared by the Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Land Conservation and Development, Department of Agriculture, Health Services of the Department of Human Services, in conjunction with local governments and other interested parties, and in accordance with the requirements of ORS 215.246.

Contents

Introduction1
Implementation of the Amendments2
Inventory of the Existing Operations
A. Reclaimed Water Inventory4
B. Agricultural and Industrial Process Water Inventory4
C. Biosolids Inventory4
D. Septage Inventory5
Soil Types6
Reported Effects on Adjacent or Nearby Farm and Forest Operations
Conclusion7
Appendices
I. Response to Public Comments8
II. 2001 Land Application Laws & DEQ's Procedure for Proposals to Land Apply Reclaimed Water, Industrial Process Water, and Biosolids on Exclusive Form Loc (FEU) Londo
Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) Lands
III. Statement of Intent, House Amendments to SB 212-A, 5/14/2001
IV. Bibliography21

Introduction

Senate Bill 212 was passed by the Seventy-first Oregon Legislative Assembly and became effective on June 21, 2001 amending Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 215. The law allows the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, and biosolids for agricultural, horticultural or silvicultural production on land zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) subject to the issuance of a license, permit or other approval by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and as provided in ORS 215.246 to 215.251.

The law includes a requirement for a report to the 2003 Legislature on the implementation of the amendments and contains information about the nature and extent of the various land application operations in Oregon. The specific requirements for the report are as follows:

Section 8: The State Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality, the Department of Land Conservation and Development and the Health Division of the Department of Human Services, in conjunction with local governments and other parties interested in the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water or biosolids, shall prepare a joint written report to the Seventy-second Legislative Assembly on the implementation of the amendments to ORS 215.213 and 215.285 by sections 1 to 3 of this 2001 Act and sections 4 to 7 of this 2001 Act not later than February 1, 2003. The report shall include an inventory of the number, type, acreage, location and zoning of existing operations applying reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water or biosolids to land in Oregon. For biosolids, the inventory shall include an estimate of the amount of land and a general description of the types of land on which the land application is occurring, but the inventory need not identify particular land application sites. The report shall include a description of the methods of transport of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water and biosolids, the soil types of the lands on which the land application occurs, the agricultural, horticultural and silvicultural products grown and the reported effects, if any, on adjacent or nearby farm and forest operations. The report also shall describe the applicable land use regulations, standards or criteria used by the Department of Environmental Quality to evaluate applications for licenses, permits and approvals for the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water or biosolids and include a bibliography of the most relevant articles and reports regarding alternative methods to land application and the possible effects of land application to human or animal health and soil productivity. The report also may include other matters the agencies or interested parties consider pertinent to the purposes of the report. Prior to submittal of the report, the agencies shall distribute a draft of the report to interested parties and take written public comment on it. The final report must describe the written comments received and explain how the comments were addressed.

The report was developed jointly by DEQ, the State Department of Agriculture (ODA), the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), and Health Services of the Department of Human Services (Health Services). DEQ was the primary author of the report and DLCD provided extensive assistance with writing and contributing to the land use portions of the document. DEQ provided opportunity for public comment from December 16, 2002 to 5:00 PM January 10, 2003 and distributed the draft report to the land application facilitation stakeholders involved in developing the legislation. DLCD distributed the draft report to all county planning directors. Two organizations submitted written comments. Responses to the public comments are included as an appendix to the report.

Implementation of the Amendments

The 2001 amendments to ORS Chapter 215 (Chapter 488 Oregon Laws 2001) permit the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, and biosolids as an allowed use on land zoned EFU. Furthermore, the amendments prescribe specific requirements for approving land application operations in conjunction with Oregon land use law. Requirements include a process for a local land use decision, in accordance with ORS 197.015 (10), that allows for the opportunity for public comment when land application operations are proposed. This public comment requirement is in addition to any public notification and comment solicitation required by the issuance of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) or Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) permit by DEQ.

DEQ requires permits for all land application operations and it is the primary objective of DEQ to safeguard public health and the environment when permitting land application operations. DEQ uses a combination of technical assistance, inspections and permitting to help land application operations and citizens understand and comply with state and federal environmental regulations.

Land application can offer an environmentally beneficial and economical method of managing highly treated wastewater and wastewater residuals, and has been practiced in Oregon for over 30 years. Furthermore, the recycling of these materials can be a component of environmental sustainability goals. Applying these materials to the land can improve soil productivity and can provide essential nutrients for crop production. Land application of treated wastewater can provide many benefits, including helping to offset the need for using drinking water supplies for non-drinking purposes and protecting sensitive streams from wastewater discharges while often times being the most economical alternative for managing treated wastewater.

Although the law took effect immediately upon passage, implementation required several preliminary steps. DLCD revised Goal 3 (Agricultural Land) Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 660-033 to incorporate these amendments into the statewide program for land use planning. DEQ developed a guidance document describing the procedures the agency uses to process land application proposals in compliance with the legislation (see Appendix II) and trained staff on its use. The guidance document is available to the public so that potential applicants and other interested parties can understand how DEQ processes land application proposals.

Outreach was also conducted to provide information on the new amendments. The Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies conducted two one-day workshops for its member organizations regarding these amendments. DEQ presented information on agency guidance pertaining to the amendments. Attendees included local planning departments, member wastewater agencies and representatives from the farming community.

Between June 2001 (when implementation of the amendments began) and October 2002, DEQ processed 8 applications subject to the requirements of these amendments. There are several steps the applicants go through prior to receiving approval for land application. These steps include the following: 1) obtain the required DEQ application and Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) form, 2) submit the completed LUCS to the county planning office for its review and approval, 3) the county conducts its land use review process in accordance with the requirements of the law and returns to the applicant the LUCS with any findings in accordance with the law, 4) the applicant submits the DEQ application and approved LUCS to DEQ for processing, 5) DEQ processes the applicant receives final approval from DEQ to conduct its land application operation. DEQ does not approve land application operations until the land use decision process has been completed. Although the amendments require some additional steps in planning and implementing land application on land zoned EFU.

DEQ and DLCD, in cooperation with local planning departments, are working together to ensure that land application operations, subject to the provisions of the law, continue to go through the land use decision process as required. The agencies continue to provide technical assistance to the regulated community and the public. Existing or future guidance may be revised in order to facilitate the sound implementation of the law.

Inventory of Existing Operations

The law requires the Legislative report to include an inventory of the number and type of application sites as well as a number of other facts about land application in Oregon. This proved to be a time-consuming effort because there is currently no statewide database to track this information. The majority of information used to complete this report was gathered from permits, management plans, site authorization letters and annual reports from DEQ's regional and headquarters offices.

To improve data tracking of land application operations, DEQ has secured an EPA database for biosolids and septage management and will begin transitioning to this system in 2003. DEQ is also evaluating options for tracking data for reclaimed water, and agricultural and industrial process water operations. Information that will be collected includes: soil types, field locations, crop types, and nutrient and pollutant loading. These improvements will assist with compliance tracking and make information more readily available to stakeholders and other interested parties.

The land application of reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, and biosolids has occurred in virtually every county in the state. Currently, 33 out of 36 counties have active land application operations. The total amount of land used for land application activities in 2001 was approximately 41,938 acres, as follows:

Reclaimed water	3,950 acres
Agricultural process water	18,450 acres
Industrial process water	153 acres
Septage	767 acres
Biosolids	18,618 acres
Total:	41,938 acres

According to the Oregon Agricultural Statistics Office there are 17.2 million acres of land in farms throughout Oregon. Approximately 16 million of those acres are zoned EFU. Thus, land application occurs on 0.24% of all farmland. Approximately 80% of land application operations have sites located on land zoned EFU. Thus, land application occurs on 0.21% of all farmland zoned EFU.

A. Reclaimed Water Inventory

Definition: The term reclaimed water refers to highly treated effluent from municipal wastewater treatment facilities <u>and processes</u> that has been treated to such a high level it can be used safely and effectively for non-drinking water uses such as landscape and agricultural irrigation, heating and cooling, and industrial processing.

Treatment Standards: Treatment standards and reuse requirements are prescribed in OAR 340-055 (Regulations Pertaining to the Use of Reclaimed Water (Treated Effluent) from Sewage Treatment Plants) and include specific site management and crop use requirements. There are several regulatory requirements a land application operation must follow in order to apply reclaimed water to the land. Any facility that land applies reclaimed water must have a NPDES or WPCF permit from DEQ. DEQ consults with Health Services during the permitting process to determine if there are any issues associated with public health. Part of this consultation consists of Health Services reviewing reclaimed water applications prior to DEQ approval. A Reuse Management Plan is a component of every permitted land application operation and describes the generation and management of the reclaimed water. Details include a description of the quantity and quality of reclaimed water, treatment methods used at the treatment plant to clean the wastewater, transportation of the reclaimed water to the land application site, soil characteristics, pollutants, nutrients, crop requirements, buffers to surface and ground water, and agronomic rate calculations which describe the amount of reclaimed water that will be land applied to meet the nutrient and water consumption requirements of the crop.

Prior to land application, reclaimed water undergoes extensive treatment that removes pollutants and pathogens in the wastewater. Reclaimed water is categorized into four levels (Level I-IV) based on its quality following the treatment process. The allowed use is dependent on the level of treatment that has been achieved during the

treatment process. For example, Level IV reclaimed water can be land applied with fewer restrictions and to more varieties of crops, compared to Level I, because it has undergone more extensive treatment.

Facility Information: Approximately 89 municipal wastewater treatment facilities are permitted by DEQ to land apply reclaimed water. These facilities apply reclaimed water to 3950 acres of land since 2000 consisting of several types of crops. The primary crop grown is grass for pasture or hay production. Reclaimed water is also applied to golf courses, poplar and Christmas trees, recreation ball fields, and is used by treatment facilities for landscape irrigation. All reclaimed water is distributed to land application sites via irrigation pipelines. There is one facility that distributes reclaimed water for use as cooling water in power generation. Approximately 90% of these facilities apply reclaimed water to land zoned EFU. The other facilities apply to land zoned for forest, light or heavy industrial, or in combination with EFU land. The majority, approximately 85%, of reclaimed water operations are conducted on publicly owned land where the municipality is ensured long-term use of the property. Many of the operations are in part managed or leased by commercial growers who are responsible for the day-to-day farming operations.

B. Agricultural and Industrial Process Water Inventory

Definition: The terms "industrial process water" and "agricultural process water" when used in the context of land application refer to wastewaters that have undergone some level of biological treatment and have been deemed suitable in quality for use for beneficial purposes. These wastewaters do not contain sewage; if they were mixed with sewage they would be classified as domestic wastewater. For the purposes of this report, agricultural process water refers specifically to wastewater derived from the processing of fruit, vegetables, or other food products. Industrial process water refers to wastewater from any process of industry, manufacturing, trade, or business, or from the development or recovery of any natural resources. Examples of industrial process water include wastewater from pulp and paper processing, blow down water from power generation, and wash water from aggregate processing.

Treatment Standards: The basis for regulation of agricultural and industrial process water is found in OAR 340-045 (Regulations Pertaining to NPDES and WPCF Permits) and DEQ's "Guidelines for Land Application of Industrial Wastewater." Reuse activities require a NPDES or WPCF permit issued to the generator of the process water. These permits govern the use of process waters and include a detailed Reuse Management Plan, similar to plans required for reclaimed water, which describes the land application operation from the point of wastewater generation to the final end-use through land application.

Facility Information: Approximately 25 facilities land apply agricultural process water and 7 facilities apply industrial process water. These facilities apply process water to 18,603 acres of land since 2000. Agricultural process water accounts for 99% of the acreage. All but one facility land apply process water on privately owned land. Facilities are typically adjacent to or in close proximity to land application sites. Thus, process water is transported via irrigation pipeline directly to the site for land application or stored in temporary holding lagoons. The process water is applied to feed and food crops, pasture, and a limited volume is applied to trees for fiber production. The majority of process water is applied to land zoned EFU. Three facilities land apply to areas zoned light industrial or heavy industrial.

C. Biosolids Inventory

Definition: The term biosolids refers to the nutrient-rich organic solids that are derived from wastewater treatment at municipal wastewater treatment facilities and have undergone extensive treatment to meet federal and state regulations that allow their use for land application.

Treatment Standards: OAR 340-050 (Land Application of Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facility Biosolids, Biosolids Derived Products, and Domestic Septage) prescribes the methods, procedures, and restrictions for biosolids land application in Oregon. Best management practices, public notification requirements, and record keeping and reporting requirements are included in OAR 340-050. Furthermore, these rules reference the federal biosolids regulations (Part 503 Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge, Subchapter O in Chapter I of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations), which are used to set minimum pollutant and treatment standards.

Treatment includes pathogen reduction and vector attraction reduction requirements. OAR 340-045-063 (Industrial Pretreatment) and federal pretreatment regulations (40 CFR Part 403) prescribe pretreatment standards for nondomestic discharges to wastewater treatment facilities. Many Oregon wastewater treatment facilities are required to have pretreatment programs. These programs are designed to reduce the level of pollutants discharged by industry and other non-domestic wastewater sources into municipal sewer systems, and thereby, reduce the amount of pollutants going into biosolids or reclaimed water. Some communities have set more stringent standards in their pretreatment programs than is required by the state, in order to ensure higher quality biosolids (see Appendix I, Comment 4). These regulations are administered through a NPDES or WPCF permit issued by DEQ to the wastewater facility that generates the biosolids.

The majority of biosolids produced in Oregon meet the treatment standards required by state and federal regulations, and are applied to the land as soil amendments and nutrient sources for crop production. If they do not meet standards, then they must be disposed of at a sanitary landfill.

It is important to note that there are limited alternatives for managing biosolids. Recycling biosolids via land application is the most predominate method used in Oregon and in the Unites States. The other methods include landfilling and incineration. DEQ encourages the recycling of biosolids, compared with disposal at a landfill or incinerator, when it is done in a manner which protects public health and maintains or improves environmental quality.

Facility Information: There are approximately 332 domestic wastewater treatment facilities that are capable of generating biosolids. Of these facilities, 108 applied biosolids to 18,618 acres of land in 2001. Three of these facilities operate wastewater lagoons, which typically require land application of biosolids once per decade or every other decade. One hundred and seven of these facilities transported biosolids from the respective wastewater facility to the field for land application via truck. The truck is typically outfitted for manure application and thus is designed for operation in farm fields. Only one facility uses an existing irrigation pipeline to transport biosolids for land application. The land application process occurs prior to the crop being planted or when the harvestable portion of the crop is not exposed.

Biosolids are primarily applied to hay and pasture crops. These types of crops account for about 95% of the land application operations. Other crops include trees, feed corn, wheat, and nursery products. Biosolids are also periodically used for soil improvement projects, such as on federal Conservation Reserve Program lands and to restore decommissioned forest roads. Four wastewater facilities in the state produce a biosolids compost which is either sold or given away to the public. Biosolids compost products that are bagged and provide a nutrient guarantee are subject to the same ODA fertilizer registration process as commercial fertilizer products.

The majority of biosolids are applied to land zoned EFU. Approximately 80% of biosolids land application operations have one or more sites zoned EFU. The next most frequent zoning designation is Farm Forest. There are a limited number of land application sites zoned Industrial or Forest Conservation. Approximately 80% of land application sites are under private ownership. The remaining land is owned by the local government that operates the wastewater treatment facility.

D. Septage Inventory

Definition: The term domestic septage is defined in OAR 340-050 and refers to the domestic wastewater from residential and commercial septic tanks. This does not include liquid or solid material removed from septic tanks that receive either commercial wastewater or industrial wastewater. For the purposes of Senate Bill 212 septage was included in the definition of biosolids but separated in the legislative report because of how it is regulated.

Any person who operates a Sewage Disposal Service as defined by OAR 340-071 is required to have a valid Sewage Disposal Service License issued by DEQ. There are currently 166 licensed Sewage Disposal Services (pumpers) operating statewide. One of the services offered by licensed businesses is the removal and disposal of septic tank pumpings (septage) from residences and commercial operations. Most of the septage is disposed at municipal wastewater treatment facilities. Another option for disposal is to beneficially use the septage through land

application. Prior to land application the licensed business or land owner must obtain a WPCF permit from DEQ which governs the land application practice in accordance with OAR 340-050.

Treatment Standards: Treatment standards and land application requirements are prescribed in OAR 340-050. The septage is physically screened of any objectionable items (i.e. plastics), lime is added to destroy pathogenic organisms and the septage is applied to the land in accordance to a Septage Management Plan. The management plan describes septage quality, site characteristics, mandatory buffers to surface and groundwater, and agronomic rate calculations that determine the volume that can be applied per acre.

Facility Information: In Oregon, approximately 13 out of 166 Sewage Disposal Services operations are permitted for land application. This accounts for less than 10% of the total volume of septage pumped from homes and commercial businesses annually. This volume was transported by truck and applied to a total of 767 acres in 2001. The remaining volume was disposed at municipal wastewater treatment facilities where it becomes part of the domestic wastewater stream and ultimately treated to become biosolids. The acreage consisted primarily of grass for hay and pasture. In addition, septage was applied to land in the federal Conservation Reserve Program and a small parcel of forestland. The majority of the acreage is zoned EFU or Farm Forest. All but one of the facilities is owned and operated on privately owned land.

Soil Types

The law requires that the report include information on the soil types at application sites. However, this information is not readily available for the existing operations. Verification of each soil type would require manual review of each land application permit and management plan. DEQ intends on including soil information as part of their data tracking efforts for future land application operations. Generally speaking, the soils found at land application sites include hundreds of the more than 2000 types of soils found in Oregon.

Before a land application site is permitted, the soil at the site is evaluated to determine if or how it is suitable for the specific land application project. This evaluation includes the nutrient, water, and pollutant capacity of the soil, and the productivity with respect to the known or desired crop yield of a specific site. University Extension fertilizer guidelines are used to determine appropriate nutrient requirements of the crop. Depending on the land application operation and material being land applied, soil testing is conducted during the course of the operation to evaluate pollutant and/or nutrient status in order to assist with short and long-term site planning.

Most land application operations take place at sites with soils that are already suitable for the production of crops. The application supplements the existing nutrient or irrigation program. However, biosolids are often applied to non-agricultural soils to improve or restore marginally productive sites. The high organic matter content of biosolids can improve the productivity of a soil or in some cases restore non-productive soil into fertile agricultural land.

Reported Effects on Adjacent or Nearby Farm and Forest Operations

There have been a limited number of reported incidents where land application operations have resulted in adverse effects to adjacent or nearby farm or forest operations. In the past five years there have been one agricultural process water, one reclaimed water, and two biosolids land application operations that have resulted in verified adverse effects. These incidents may have been preventable with additional management controls at the land application sites. Two of the incidents were the result of acute rain events that caused run-off onto adjacent properties. One of the run-off events caused the owner of the property to vacate the premises. The other two incidents were the result of over application that caused run-off or overspray onto adjacent properties. There have not been any documented long-term environmental effects from these incidences. Incidents involving overspray of reclaimed water or agricultural process water have occurred due to changes in wind speed or direction. Overspray is managed by designing irrigation systems to automatically shut-off at a specified wind speed or by closely monitoring irrigation systems when wind is problematic.

If an incident results in an impact to adjacent or nearby farm or forest operations DEQ will take enforcement action and may revoke the authority to operate at that land application site. In addition, there are instances where operators have voluntarily withdrawn land application sites prior to enforcement actions.

Conclusion

As of October 2002, eight land application operations that have been subject to the requirements of these amendments have been permitted for land application on land zoned EFU. Local and state agencies, and the regulated community should continue to coordinate efforts through updating guidance, continued trainings, and regular communication in order to maintain sound implementation of these amendments.

Appendices

I. Response to Public Comments

Comment period	The public comment period opened on December 16, 2002 and closed at 5:00 p.m. on January 10, 2003.
	Two organizations submitted written comments: the Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies (ACWA), and the Umatilla County Resource Services and Development Department Planning and Development Division (Umatilla).
Organization of comments and responses	Summaries of individual comments and agency responses are provided below. The commenter is identified in parenthesis. General comments are followed by specific comments.

General Comments

Comment 1 (ACWA) Importance of reclaimed water in meeting Oregon's water resource needs	The report inadequately stresses the important role that reclaimed water can play in meeting Oregon's water resource needs. Oregon does not have adequate water to meet its growing municipal, agricultural, industrial, and commercial needs while attempting to maintain adequate in-stream flows. Substituting reclaimed water for potable water, where environmentally sound and appropriate, is a critical factor in meeting the State's water demands. This will be particularly important in the future as the State's population increases.
Response	The report presents an inventory of reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, and biosolids land application operations in Oregon. A comprehensive description of the numerous potential environmental benefits from recycling these materials was not included in the scope of the report. Under the section, "Implementation of the Amendments", the land application of treated wastewater is described as helping to "offset the need for using drinking water supplies for non-drinking purposes and protecting sensitive streams from wastewater discharges". No changes were made in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 2 (ACWA)</i> Recognize reclaimed water and biosolids recycling as sustainable practices.	Beneficially reusing municipal wastewater and biosolids is an element of achieving the State's sustainability goals, and the sustainability aspects of reclaimed water and biosolids use should be added to the report.
Response	Information was added to the "Implementation of the Amendments" section of the report in response to this comment.

Comment 3 (ACWA)	Research by Oregon State University has demonstrated the soil quality
Recognize the	improvement and crop yield increase where biosolids are properly applied
agricultural benefits of	to agricultural land. Recycling biosolids to farm land improves soil
biosolids application.	properties, such as reduced soil erosion, improved tilth, texture, increased
	earth worm and microbial activity, and water holding capacity, which make

	conditions more favorable for root growth and increases the drought tolerances of vegetation. Biosolids application also supplies nutrients essential for plant growth, including nitrogen, sulfur and phosphorus, as well as some essential micro- nutrients such as nickel, zinc, copper, molybdenum, and selenium. Biosolids can also serve as an alternative to substitute for expensive chemical fertilizers, reducing costs to Oregon farmers.
	The nutrients in the biosolids offer several advantages over those of chemical fertilizers because biosolids contain both organic and inorganic forms of nutrients. The small percentage of inorganic nutrients found in biosolids provides a quick release of nutrients for immediate crop growth and the larger percentage of organic nutrients found in biosolids are released slowly, throughout the growing season to plants. These organic forms of nutrients are less water-soluble and, therefore, less likely to leach into groundwater or run off into surface waters.
Response	The report presents an inventory of reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, and biosolids land application operations in Oregon. A comprehensive description of the numerous potential environmental benefits from recycling these materials was not included in the scope of the report. Under the section, "Implementation of the Amendments", the land application of biosolids is recognized as improving soil productivity and providing essential nutrients for crop production.
	No changes were made in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 4 (ACWA)</i> Oregon's municipalities' environmental track record for excellence in pretreatment and biosolids.	 Expand report to discuss Oregon's pretreatment program. Successful biosolids land application programs are built on the back of successful pretreatment programs that adequately identify and control toxics. Oregon's local government pretreatment and biosolids programs have been identified as national leaders by the federal EPA, on a routine basis. ACWA members that have won national EPA recognition have included: Pretreatment Program; City of Salem – First place nationally, Clean Water Services – First and second places nationally, Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission/City of Eugene/City of Springfield – Second place nationally, and City of Wilsonville – First place nationally. Biosolids Program; OMI; Gresham – First place nationally, OMI; Hood River - First place nationally, City of Portland – Second place nationally, and Clean Water Services – Second place nationally. These national honors emphasize the strength of the regulatory programs in place at the local and state level for preventing toxic materials from reaching land application systems and in meeting environmental standards for land application of biosolids.
	Commission (City of Eugene, City of Springfield and portions of Lane County) have set more stringent standards in their pretreatment programs than is required by the state, in order to ensure higher quality biosolids.
Response	The report was modified to include information regarding pretreatment in relation to biosolids treatment in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 5 (ACWA)</i> Biosolids land application alternatives	Municipal wastewater treatment processes produce biosolids. The water quality benefits of advanced wastewater treatment facilities depend on routine removal of biosolids. These biosolids must be managed; they do not go away. The options are limited and include: Incineration, Landfilling, and Beneficially reusing biosolids. The report should be clearer about the environmental impacts and consequences of pursuing either of the other alternatives.
Response	A statement regarding management alternatives was added under the "Biosolids Inventory, Treatment Standards" section in response to this comment.

Specific Comments

<i>Comment 6 (ACWA and Umatilla)</i> Implementation of the Amendments	 There are numerous benefits to an environmentally sound reclaimed water and biosolids program. This needs to be stressed. Add emphasis to the word "can". This paragraph is written in an objective context, describing the presumed benefits of land application. To be consistent, the second sentence should read, "[a]pplying these materials to the land can improve soil productivity and <u>can provides supplement</u> essential nutrients for crop production.
Response	 See responses to comments 1 and 2. No changes were made in response to this comment. In order to remain consistent with the original language of the report the word "can" was added in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 7 (ACWA)</i> Related to Inventory of Existing operations	It would be helpful to have an inventory of the reclaimed water being used in urban settings such as irrigation water for golf courses, parks, schools, airports, or large tracts of municipally owned land, and the amount of EFU land that is municipally owned. This inventory of current uses could be the catalyst for increased reclaimed water uses in the state.
Response	The law requires the report to include an inventory of the number and type of application sites as well as a number of other facts about land application in Oregon. This proved to be a time-consuming effort because there is currently no statewide database to track this information. An inventory of the reclaimed water being used specifically in urban settings or large tracts of municipally owned land, or of the amount of EFU land that is municipally owned would require the use of a detailed tracking system (i.e. electronic database) or additional resources to gather the information. As stated in the report, to improve data tracking of land application operations, DEQ has secured an EPA database for biosolids and septage management and will begin transitioning to this system in 2003. DEQ is also evaluating options for tracking data for reclaimed water and agricultural and industrial process water operations. Information that will be collected includes: soil types, field locations, crop types, and nutrient and pollutant loading. These improvements will assist with compliance tracking and make information more readily available to stakeholders and other interested parties.

	No changes were made in response to this comment.
Comment 8 (ACWA)	Modify definition of "reclaimed water" to read "…refers to highly treated
Inventory of Existing operations	effluent from municipal wastewater treatment facilities and <u>processes</u> that has been treated"
Response	The word "processes" was added to the definition of reclaimed water in response to this comment.
Comment 9 (ACWA)	The report should be clear that biosolids that do not meet the treatment
Biosolids Inventory, Treatment Standards	standards are landfilled. The existing sentence in the report implies that they are land applied, tested, then treated if need be.
Response	Biosolids that do not meet federal and state standards can not be applied to the land. Typically, biosolids that do not meet these standards are disposed at a sanitary landfill.
	The sentence under the "Biosolids Inventory, Treatment Standards", section was changed in response to this comment.
Comment 10 (ACWA)	Additional information on biosolids composting operations is needed

<i>Comment 10 (ACWA)</i> Biosolids Inventory, Treatment Standards	Additional information on biosolids composting operations is needed.
Response	Composting is one of the many processes used in the treatment and generation of biosolids. Other treatment processes, such as anaerobic and aerobic digestion are used on a far greater scale in Oregon to meet federal and state biosolids treatment standards. A description of the various processes and specific biosolids operations were not in the scope of this report. Detailed information on the various biosolids operations and treatment technologies can be obtained from DEQ or local municipal wastewater treatment facilities. No changes were made in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 11 (ACWA)</i> Septage Inventory	 Stress that commercial septic tanks contain sanitary, not industrial wastes. Additional information on the percentage of septage that is treated at a municipal treatment plant and that which is land applied is needed.
Response	• The land application of domestic septage does not include liquid or solid material removed from a septic tank, cesspool, or similar treatment works that receive either commercial wastewater or industrial wastewater.
	Additional information was added to the "Septage Inventory, Definition" section in response to this comment.
	• In Oregon, approximately 13 Sewage Disposal Services operations are permitted for land application. This accounts for less than 10% of the total volume of septage pumped from homes and commercial businesses annually. The remaining 90% was disposed at municipal

	wastewater treatment facilities where it becomes part of the domestic wastewater stream and ultimately treated to become biosolids.Additional information was added to the "Septage Inventory, Facility Information" section in response to this comment.
<i>Comment 12 (ACWA)</i> <i>and Umatilla)</i> Reported Effects to Adjacent or Nearby Farm or Forest Operations	 The four examples are what percentage of biosolids land application activities over those 5 years? Additional information in an appendix on each of these cases would be useful. For instance, in the case an acute rain event that caused the owner of the property to vacate the premises. This property had been flooded by similar rain events in the past - unrelated to nearby biosolids application. One sentence claims that there "have not been any documented long-term environmental effects from these incidences." Do you have similar information relative to the impact to human health? Will DEQ take enforcement action if there is an impact to residential, industrial or commercial land? It may be worthwhile to mention that permits do not allow an applicator or the application process to trespass onto adjacent lands regardless of land use or zoning.
Response	• Two of the four reported effects to adjacent farm and forest operations were related to biosolids and account for approximately 0.5% of all land application sites approved within the past 5 years. As stated in the report, these incidents may have been preventable with additional management controls at the land application sites and two of the incidents were the result of acute rain events that caused run-off onto adjacent properties. It is improbable that acute rain events or other unpredictable severe weather events can be accounted for more than a few days in advance of the event. The law states that the land application "inventory need not identify particular land application sites". Detailed information on the four reported effects is available from DEQ regional offices.
	• The four incidents were in relation to physical impacts to adjacent farm or forest operations and no impacts to human health were reported. Long-term effects to the environment accounts for the time since the incidents were reported.
	• DEQ takes enforcement action, when necessary, regardless of land use zoning. This includes any effects to residential, industrial or commercial land.
	• DEQ permits and authorizes land application to specific sites with pre- determined legal boundaries. DEQ does not permit or authorize land application to property without owners consent.
	No changes were made in response to this comment.

Comment 13	It may be useful to footnote the definition of "land use decision."
(Umatilla)	
Definition of Land Use	

The definition of "Land Use Decision" in accordance with ORS 197.015 (10) is as follows:
"Land use decision":
(a) Includes:
(A) A final decision or determination made by a local government or special district that concerns the adoption, amendment or application of:
(i) The goals;(ii) A comprehensive plan provision;
(iii) A land use regulation; or
(iv) A new land use regulation;
(B) A final decision or determination of a state agency other than the commission with respect to which the agency is required to apply the goals;
or (C) A decision of a county planning commission made under ORS 433.763; (b) Does not include a decision of a local government:
(A) Which is made under land use standards which do not require
interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment;
(B) Which approves or denies a building permit issued under clear and
objective land use standards;
(C) Which is a limited land use decision;
(D) Which determines final engineering design, construction, operation, maintenance, repair or preservation of a transportation facility which is otherwise authorized by and consistent with the comprehensive plan and
land use regulations; or
(E) Which is an expedited land division as described in ORS 197.360;
(c) Does not include a decision by a school district to close a school;
(d) Does not include authorization of an outdoor mass gathering as defined in ORS 433.735, or other gathering of fewer than 3,000 persons that is not anticipated to continue for more than 120 hours in any three-month period;
and
(e) Does not include:
(A) A writ of mandamus issued by a circuit court in accordance with ORS 215.429 or 227.179;
(B) Any local decision or action taken on an application subject to ORS 215.427 or 227.178 after a petition for a writ of mandamus has been filed under ORS 215.429 or 227.179; or
 (C) Any decision in a declaratory judgment action under section 1, chapter 955, Oregon Laws 2001.
The report was amended to include the citation "(ORS 197.015 (10))" next to the term "land use decision".

<i>Comment 14</i> <i>(Umatilla)</i> Implementation of the Amendments	Modify the report to include the following edits:. "DLCD revised Goal 3to address- incorporate these amendments into the statewide program for land use planning. AND "The guidance document is available to the public"
Response	The report was modified in response to this comment
	·
<i>Comment 15</i> <i>(Umatilla)</i> Implementation of the	• Include a sentence or footnote explaining that if a LUCS is submitted without findings it will be sent back to the local planning department.

Amendments	• A LUCS is not specifically listed in the paragraphs describing the process for the other three types of waste (it is listed for septage). The reference should be consistent for each type of waste describing the process
Response	• The guidance document states that DEQ does not approve land application operations until the land use decision process has been completed. DEQ and DLCD, in cooperation with local planning departments, are working together to ensure that the land use decision process is being conducted in accordance with the provisions of the law. No changes were made in response to this comment.
	• When a LUCS is required for a land application operation that is subject to the provisions of the law, there is no discretion regarding the type of waste including reclaimed water, agricultural and industrial process water, biosolids or septage. The report was modified to remove inconsistent language regarding the use of a LUCS for septage operations.

Comment 16 (Umatilla)	Clarify if reclaimed water is piped directly from the source or simply applied via irrigation pipelines
Reclaimed Water	
Inventory, Facility	
Information	
Response	Reclaimed water is typically piped directly from the source to the land application site. Reclaimed water is not distributed via irrigation canals. No changes were made in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 17</i> <i>(Umatilla)</i> Industrial Process Water	Include food processing waste as an example of industrial process water.
Response	Food processing water is "agricultural process water" which is also a type of "industrial process water". No changes were made in response to this comment.

<i>Comment 18</i> <i>(Umatilla)</i> Bibliography	Include the extensive bibliography at the end of the report. Was the bibliography used in writing the report?
Response	The report was modified to include the Bibliography at the end of the report. A provision of the law (Section 8, Chapter 488, Oregon Laws 2001), requires a bibliography of the most relevant articles and reports regarding alternative methods to land application and the possible effects of land application to human or animal health and soil productivity. The bibliography was not used to generate information for the various sections of the report. The bibliography also includes several articles and publications that describe potential benefits of land application and relative technical justification for land application.

II. 2001 Land Application Laws & DEQ's Procedure for Proposals to Land Apply Reclaimed Water, Industrial Process Water, and Biosolids on Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) Lands

Purpose: This document is intended to provide information on the 2001 Legislative Act relating to land application practices and land use regulations (Senate Bill 212), and also describes the steps that the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) uses to process land application proposals in compliance with this Act.

History and Benefits of Land Application: The land application of organic residuals and reuse of wastewater (reclaimed water) has been practiced in Oregon and nationally for decades. When done in accordance with appropriate environmental regulations and guidance, land application is beneficial for a number of reasons. Biosolids contain soil amendment properties as well as important nutrients that can improve crop production. Reclaimed water and industrial process water can provide nutrient benefits and reduce the demand for irrigation water from ground or surface water sources. The use of reclaimed water can also reduce the demand for potable water supplies, which can be used instead for drinking water and instream flow protection. Water quality and water availability continue to be serious issues confronting growing communities in Oregon. Finding appropriate uses for reclaimed water, industrial process water, and biosolids are necessary options for many communities in their efforts to comply with federal and state water quality laws. The practice of land application presents important conservation potential and helps extend existing water supplies. Organic residuals and wastewater that were once considered waste products to be disposed, are now valuable resources.

Until the passage of the 2001 legislation, there was considerable uncertainty regarding the land use requirements for land application on EFU zoned lands. Questions existed about whether particular land application activities were farm uses, utility facilities or something else. In 1999, Jackson County approved the City of Ashland's land application proposal as a farm use without making a formal land use decision, and signed-off accordingly on DEQ's Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS). This decision was appealed by a citizen group to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). LUBA concluded that the County's decision constituted a "land use decision" under ORS 197.015 (10)(b)(A) and that the County failed to provide public notice and an opportunity for hearing. LUBA also determined that under current law in this case, and using the appropriate decision-making process, land application may be determined a farm use or a utility facility use. The City appealed the decision to the Court of Appeals. The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), Department of Agriculture (ODA) and DEQ submitted an amicus brief, which supported the position that county notice and opportunity for hearing should be required for land application activities. The Court of Appeals upheld LUBA's decision on this point. In another case, Cox v. Polk County, the Court of Appeals reversed LUBA's decision that the proposed land application was a utility facility, however let stand the county and LUBA's determination that the proposed use was a farm use.

The Ashland court case triggered a mediation that involved the affected agencies and interested parties. Key issues identified in the mediation process included:

- The lack of direct reference to land application as an allowable use in the EFU statutes (ORS 215); and, uncertainty over whether such activities were a "farm use" or a "utility facility".
- Land application practices and regulations and related public health and safety issues.
- The implications of city ownership of EFU land and land application practices on available EFU land in the State, particularly on lands adjacent to Urban Growth Boundaries.

Legislative Remedy: In 2001, the Legislature approved Senate Bill 212, amending ORS 215.213 and 215.283. Highlights of the Act include:

- Subject to issuance of a permit or approval by DEQ, land application of industrial process water, reclaimed water and biosolids is an allowed use on EFU zoned land. Because land application is listed as an allowed use in ORS 215.213(1), counties may not impose additional land use restrictions or conditions on land application practices, beyond those specified in the statute.
- Other facilities or uses on the same EFU tract are included in the allowed use if they are accessory to and reasonably needed for land application to occur on the proposed site. The Act also disallows certain uses, e.g. utility facility service lines.

- Before a county land use decision is made on a land application proposal, the applicant responds in writing to public comments received by the county that identify alternative sites or methods for managing the industrial process water, reclaimed water or biosolids. The applicant's response describes how the alternative sites or methods were considered and why they were not selected. The land use decision can not be remanded or reversed, unless the applicant fails to provide a written response when required.
- DEQ is required to determine, through its review and approval process, that the practice of land application will not reduce the productivity of the subject land.
- Land application of biosolids is exempt under the Act when transported by vehicle to EFU land (a DEQ LUCS is not required).
- Land application of materials that are not described in the Act are not subject to the Act's provisions, e.g. confined animal feeding operation wastes.
- Land division, for purposes of land application, is not allowed in EFU zones.
- Restrictions apply in changing the use of land where land application practices has occurred.

Process for Land Application Proposals: As the State Agency that issues environmental approval for land application practices, DEQ has consulted with the DLCD, ODA, and Department of Human Services (DHS) to ensure that its process meets the intent of the new Act. The following steps described below apply to:

- New land application proposals (except those involving vehicle transport of biosolids).
- Significant modifications to permits, approvals and permit renewals, e.g. use of additional lands.
- 1. The applicant obtains the required DEQ application and LUCS forms, and submits the LUCS to the county planning office for its review and approval.
- 2. The county conducts its land use review process in accordance with the requirements under the Act.
- 3. The county completes the LUCS form and returns it to the applicant with the attached findings:
 - The proposed activity constitutes land application for purposes of agricultural, horticultural, silviculture production, or for irrigation in connection with a use allowable in EFU zoned land under ORS 215.
 - Any proposed facilities necessary for the land application practice to occur on the subject site are accessory to and reasonably necessary as allowed by the Act.
 - Approval of the LUCS is subject to DEQ's issuance of the necessary environmental approvals or permits.
- 4. The applicant submits the DEQ application and approved LUCS to DEQ for processing. DEQ processes the application and conducts a technical review in accordance with its rules. The review, depending on what material is applied to the land, may include the following:
 - Pollutant and nutrient testing
 - Determination of agronomic rate
 - Determination of agronomic or pollutant loading
 - Determination of water assimilation capacity
 - Site assessment and evaluation
 - Crop type and cropping system
 - Application methods and equipment requirements
 - Site access and harvest restrictions
 - Monitoring requirements
 - A written determination that the land application activity will not reduce the productivity of the land in question.
- 5. DEQ submits all Reclaimed Water Reuse Plans to the DHS for comment (OAR 340-055-0015(2)), and consults with DHS on any effluent quality limitations (OAR 340-055-0015(4)).
- 6. Applicants intending to land apply reclaimed water are required to submit a "Registration of Reclaimed Water Use" form (<u>http://wrd.state.or.us/publication/pdfs/reclaimform96.pdf</u>) to the Water Resources Department (ORS 537.131, 537.132 and 537.610(h)). Either agency can supply applicants with this form, however it requires a DEQ signature.
- 7. DEQ issues an approval or denial to the applicant, and provides a copy to the county planning office.

In situations where a LUCS is denied or appealed:

1. When DEQ receives a county-denied LUCS, the applicant is informed that DEQ

can not process the application until county approval is provided.

- 2. If a county land use decision is appealed after DEQ receives an approved LUCS, DEQ's policy is to process the application unless ordered otherwise by a court stay or invalidation of the county decision. A county may withdraw or modify its LUCS decision before the permit is issued.
- 3. If a county-approved LUCS is successfully appealed after DEQ issues a permit, DEQ may revoke or suspend the permit, or delay its decision until the appeals process is exhausted. In making its decision, DEQ consults closely with the applicant and county government.

Landappimpl.doc 1/08/02

III. Statement of Intent, House Amendments to SB 212-A, 5/14/2001

The following statement of intent has been prepared on behalf of the coalition of entities responsible for developing the House Amendments to A-Engrossed SB 212, sponsored by Senator Messerle. This coalition includes representatives of the Sabroso Company, the Northwest Food Processors Association, the Oregon Farm Bureau, the Oregon League of Cities, the Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies, the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality, the Department of Land Conservation and Development, and the Governor's Office. This group has been working to create a clear set of standards for the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, and biosolids.

Sections 1, 2 and 3

A. Under sections 1, 2 and 3 of the House Amendments, the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids, and associated uses are added as a permitted use under ORS 215.213(1) and ORS 215.283(1) on lands zoned for exclusive farm use. The House Amendments move the authorization for these uses from a stand-alone section of ORS ch. 215 to 215.213(1) and 215.283(1) in order to ensure that the uses are subject to the holding of the Oregon Supreme Court in *Brentmar v. Jackson County*, 321 Or 481 (1995) where the Court determined that counties may not adopt or apply additional local land use criteria or standards governing the uses listed in subsections (1) of 215.213(1) and 215.283(1).

B. The uses allowed under the new ORS 215.213(1)(cc) and 215.283(1)(z) are defined and limited in several ways. First, the substance that is land applied must be reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids. The terms "reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, [and] biosolids" are intended to include all of the types of substances that are or may be approved for land application under a license, permit or approval of the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). These substances include, without limitation, septage that meets the requirements of ORS 454.800.

The substance being applied to the land also must be applied for agricultural, horticultural or silvicultural production, or for irrigation in connection with another use allowed in the zone, such as a golf course or park. And, the use must be one that is subject to a license, permit or other approval of the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) under one of the listed statutes. These statutory references include licenses for the disposal of septage, National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits (where there is some discharge to waters of the United States), and Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) permits. Finally, the uses are allowed subject to the additional limitations and conditions of sections 4 to 7 of SB 212A, which are described below. The uses must meet all of the applicable se requirements in order to be allowed under the new subsections (1)(cc) or (1)(z).

If the use(s) do not meet these requirements, they may be allowed under other provisions of ORS ch. 215, including (but not necessarily limited to) ORS 215.213(1)(d) and 215.283(1)(d) (as utility facilities necessary for public service), or under ORS 215.203 (as a farm use). For example, the land application of water from a confined animal feeding operation is a farm use allowed under ORS 215.203. Section 7 of the House Amendments further clarifies that this legislation is not intended to alter whether a particular use that does not qualify under this legislation is or is not a farm use under ORS 215.203.

C. SB 212A is intended to apply prospectively, to any land use decision required for new facilities carrying out land application, or uses involving land application. New facilities or uses involving the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids, usually will require a land use decision in most, if not all, cases in order to determine whether the proposed under the approvedfacility or use qualifies under this Act. DEQ's State Agency Coordination (SAC) Program and OAR ch. 340, div. 18, require a land use compatibility statement for new licenses, permits and certain other approvals. As described in the decisions of the Oregon Court of Appeals and the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals in *Friends of the Creek v. Jackson County*, ___ Or Luba __ (slip op. No. 98-158, 8/31/99), affirmed, 165 Or App 138 (2000), determining whether this type of use qualifies as a farm use, as a utility facility necessary for public service, or (now) as a use allowed under ORS 215.213(1)(cc) or 215.283(1)(z) will usually require "* * interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment." For similar reasons, the determination of whether the facility and/or use qualifies under this Act also will in most, if not all, cases usually constitute a "permit," for purposes of ORS 215.402(4), requiring notice and an opportunity for a local

hearing under ORS 215.416. Nothing in this legislation is intended to alter DEQ's SAC Program or to require amendment of OAR ch. 340, div. 18, regarding when a land use compatibility statement is required by DEQ.

This legislation also is intended to apply to the renewal or modification of licenses, permits and certain other approvals by DEQ, where a land use compatibility statement is required under OAR ch. 340, div. 18 and/or under DEQ's SAC Program. However, it also is intended that the nonconforming use status of existing land application facilities be preserved, as provided for in ORS 215.130 and local ordinances. As a result, in cases where the facilities are not altered, or are altered in a manner that is within the standards set forth in ORS 215.130(9) and (10), it is intended that the entity have the right to continue the use without complying with this Act. Where, for whatever reason, an existing land application facility or use does not meet the requirements of a non-conforming use, it is intended that this Act apply to any land use decision concerning the facility or use. Nothing in this legislation is intended to alter DEQ's SAC Program or to require amendment of OAR ch. 340, div. 18, regarding when a land use compatibility statement is required by DEQ.

Section 4

A. Subsection (1)(a) requires, as a condition to the uses allowed under ORS 215.213(cc) or 215.283(z), that DEQ make the required determination. This determination does not have to be made at the time (or before) any land use decision regarding the use is made. Rather, it is intended as a condition of the use that may be met following any land use review.

Subsection (1)(b) is intended to make it clear that a use that qualifies under this Act is not also subject to other provisions of the listed statutes. This clarification is added in response to the decision of the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals in *Cox v. Polk County*, ____ Or LUBA ___ (slip op. No. 2000-030, 11/2/2000), where the Board held that land application facilities may fall under more than one category of the uses allowed under ORS 215.203, 215.213 and 215.283.

B. Subsection (3) requires a process for consideration of alternatives. As noted above, DEQ's SAC program requires the applicant for a license, permit or other approval for land application to obtain a land use compatibility statement for a new land application facility, and under certain circumstances for an existing one that is being substantially modified. The requirement for a land use compatibility statement from the county will, in most if not all cases, normally trigger a land use decision, requiring notice and an opportunity for comment or hearing. Subsection (3) is intended to provide persons interested in a proposal for ed new land application operation, or a substantial modification to an existing one, an opportunity to comment on possible alternatives to the proposal. The applicant is required to consider such comments and explain in writing how it considered them. The term "consider" was chosen carefully by the coalition, and is intended to require a *process* for consideration and comment, rather than to create a substantive standard of review. In other words, as long as the applicant states in writing how the identified alternatives were considered and explains why they were not utilized (if they were not), nothing more is required, and the Land Use Board of Appeals and the courts are not authorized by this subsection to "second-guess" whether the applicant's decision was right or wrong.

C. Subsection (4) specifies uses related to the land application that are included in the new uses allowed. First, the language is intended to clarify that the treatment of reclaimed water, process water, or biosolids that results from the uptake of nutrients in plants is part of the allowed use. Other types of treatment not involving the interaction of pollutants with vegetation and soils, are not included in the authorized use. However, it is recognized that changes in the biological or chemical make up of the reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water or biosolids do occur in the normal course of transportation or storage of the reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids prior to land application. So long as the reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids meets DEQ standards for land application at the time of transportation to the tract where land application or temporary storage will occur, such incidental changes in the biological or chemical make up of the reclaimed water, or biosolids are allowed. In contrast, treatment resulting from processes other than land application or incidental changes during transportation or storage is not allowed under other provisions of law).

Other facilities, equipment or uses on the same tract where the land application occurs are included in the allowed use if they are accessory to and reasonably needed for land application to occur on the subject tract. Facilities, equipment and uses are accessory to land application if they are secondary or subordinate to the primary function of the use (land application). Thus, for instance, a storage pond and piping on the tract are allowed if their size is reasonable in relation to the acreage of land application and given the location of the facility in terms of how often or what period of time the land application is allowed. Similarly, pumping facilities are generally allowed as accessory uses. In contrast, a pond used primarily for the treatment of municipal sewage through evaporation, resulting in solids that are then land applied, would not be allowed (maintenance of storage ponds to clear out the build-up of solids incidental to the storage prior to land application, would be for treatment of reclaimed wastewater through a method other than land application. Facilities, equipment and uses are reasonably necessary for land application to occur if it is shown that they are normally a component of a land application system.

D. Subsection (5) describes uses not authorized by this Act. This is intended to clarify that treatment of reclaimed water or biosolids by means other than land application is not allowed under this Act (it may be allowed under other provisions of law, including but not limited to ORS 215.213(1)(d) and 215.283(1)(d) as a utility facility. Use of odor agents, or agents to control algae in storage ponds, like periodic clean-out of solids as part of routine maintenance, is not considered treatment.

Section 5

Under this section, as long as biosolids are transported by vehicle to the tract where land application will occur, both the transportation and the land application are allowed outright if done under a license, permit or approval issued by DEQ. the use of vehicles to transport biosolids from a treatment facility to lands where the biosolids will be land-applied is allowed. In addition, this section provides that the approval of this use is not a permit for purposes of ORS 215.204(4) or a land use decision under ORS 197.015, and therefore does not require notice or an opportunity for comment or hearing. This particular use is a routine practice that is carried out widely just as is the agricultural practice of using fertilizer. The applications tend to be for short time periods, and to change in location often. As a result, it is not practicable to provide notice and an opportunity for comment.

Section 8

The goal of the study is to gather readily available existing reports, data, and technical or scientific information regarding the environmental benefits and impacts of land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids in Oregon. The report will also describe existing state programs involving the land application of reclaimed water, agricultural or industrial process water, or biosolids.

IV. Bibliography

Abdel-Jawad, M., et al. 1999. Advanced technologies for municipal wastewater purification: technical and economic assessment. Desalination 72:251-261.

Abdel-Wahab, A., and Batchelor, B. 2001. Chloride Removal From Recycled Cooling Water Using Ultra-High Lime With Aluminum Process. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Abdulraheem, M.Y.1989. Health considerations in using treated industrial and municipal effluents for irrigation. Desalination 72: 81-113.

Abi-Sambra, B.C., Cook, P., Lange, P., and Zepeda, J. 2001. The Use of Microfiltration and Reverse Osmosis to Treat Secondary Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent and Provide High Quality Reclaimed Water. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Adham, S., Gagliardo, P., Boulos, L., Oppenheimer, J., and Trussell, R. 2001. Feasibility of the Membrane Bioreactor Process for Water Reclamation. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 203-209.

Aguilar, R. 1993. Rehabilitation of southwestern rangelands using sewage sludge: Technology applicable to piñonjuniper ecosystems. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM. 236: 126–132.

Alame, J., et al. 1998. Comparison of microbiological indicators for assessment of health risk in using treated wastewater or surface water. J. Eur. Hydrol. 28(3): p. 297-306.

Alderslade, R. 1981. Public Health Associated with the Disposal of Sewage Sludge to Land: Recent Experience in the United Kingdom In: Characterization, Treatment, and Use of Sewage Sludge. P. L'Hermite H. Ott, eds. D. Reidel Publishers, London. 372–388.

Alloway, B. J., and Jackson, A. P. 1991. The behavior of heavy metals in sewage sludge-amended soils. The Science of the Total Environment 100: 151–176.

Alonso, E., Santos, A., Solis, G.J., and Riesco, P. 2001. On the Feasibility of Urban Wastewater Tertiary Treatment by Membranes: A Comparative Assessment. Desalination, 141, 39.

Amano, K, Kageyama, K, Watanabe, S, and Takemoto, T. 2002. Calibration of model constants in a biological reaction model for sewage treatment plants. Water Research 36:1025-1033.

Ammerman, D.K. 1998. Water reclamation takes hold. Water Environ. Technol. p. 67-72.

Andersen, C. 1979. Cadmium, Lead, and Calcium Content, Numbers and Biomass, in Earthworms (Lumbricidae) from Sewage Sludge Treated Soil. Pedobiologia 19:309–319.

Anderson, A. 1992. Trace elements in agricultural soils. Fluxes, balances and background values. Report, 40 pp.

Anderson, D.A. 1983. Reproductive Success of Columbian Black-Tailed Deer in a Sewage-Fertilized Forest in Western Washington. J. of Wildlife Mgmt. 47(1):243–247.

Anderson, D.A. 1985. Influence of Sewage Sludge Fertilization on Food Habits of Deer in Western Washington. J. Wildl. Manage. 49(1):91–95.

Anderson, J., Adin, A., Crook, J., Davis, C., Hultquist, R., Jimenez-Cisneros, B., Kennedy, W., Sheikh, B., and van der Merwe, B. 2001. Climbing the Ladder: A Step by Step Approach to International Guidelines for Water Recycling. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 1-8.

Anderson, T.J. and Barrett, G.W. 1982. Effects of Dried Sludge on Meadow Vole (Microtus pennsylvanicus) Populations to Two Grassland Meadow Communities. J. Appl. Ecol. 19:759–772.

Anderson, T.J., Barrett, G.W., Clark, C.S., Elia, V.J., and Majel, V.A. 1982. Metal Concentrations in Tissues of Meadow Voles from Sewage Sludge-Treated Fields. J. Environ. Qual. 11(2):272–277.

Andrews, D.A., Mawer, S.L., and Matthews, P.J. 1983. Survival of Salmonellae in Sewage Sludge Injected into Soil. Journal of Effluent and Water Treatment 23:72–74.

Angelakis, A.N., et al. 1997. Wastewater reuse in Mediterranean regions: need for guidelines. Util. Aguas Regeneradas Biosolidos, p. 13/1-13/27.

Angelakis, A.N., et al. 1999. The status of wastewater reuse practice in the Mediterranean Basin: Need for guidelines, in Water Res. p. 2201-2217.

Angle, J.S., McGrath, S.P., Chaudri, A.M., Chaney, R.L., and Giller, K.E. 1993. Inoculation effects on legumes grown in soil previously treated with sewage sludge. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 25(5):575–580.

Anthony, R. G. and Wood, G.W. 1979. Effects of Municipal Wastewater Irrigation on Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat. In: Utilization of Municipal Sewage Effluent and Sludge on Forest and Disturbed Land. Sopper, W. and Kerr, S., eds. pp. 213–224.

Anthony, R.H. and Kozlowski, R. 1982. Heavy Metals in Tissues of Small Mammals Inhabiting Wastewater Irrigated Habits. J. Environ. Qual. 11(1):20–22.

Aranda, J. M., O'Connor, G. A., and Eiceman, G. A. 1989. Effects of sewage sludge on di-(2-ethylhexyl) pthalate uptake by plants. J. Environ. Qual. 18:45-50.

Arther, R. G., Fitzgerald, P. R., and Fox, J. C. 1981. Parasite Ova in Anaerobically Digested Sludge. Journal of The Water Pollution Control Federation 53:(8)1334–1338.

Asano T. and Levine A. 1996. Wastewater reclamation, recycling and reuse: Past, present, and future. Water Science and Technology 33(10-11): p. 1-14.

Asano, T. 2001. Water Reuse -- A Future Perspective. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Aulentaa, F, Bassanib, C, Ligthart, J, Majonea, M, and Tilchec, A. 2002. Calorimetry: a tool for assessing microbial activity under aerobic and anoxic conditions. Water Research 36:5 pp 1297-1305.

Averill, L.E. 1978. The Effect of the Application of Sewage Sludge to a Forest Ecosystem on the Hematology of a Vole Population, Microtus townsendii. M.S. Thesis, UW, Seattle. 60 p.

Baatrup, G. 2001. Helping to Solve California's Energy Problems with Recycled Water. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Babcock, R.W. Jr., McNair, D.A., Edling, L.A., and Nagato, H. 2001. Potential For Decentralized Residential Treatment and Reuse of Wastewater in Hawaii. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Babish, J.G., Stoewsand, G.S., Furr, A.K., Parkinson, T.F., Bache, C.A., Gutenmann, W.H., Wszolek, P.C., and Lisk, D.J. 1979. Elemental and Polychlorinated Biphenyl Content of Tissues and Intestinal Aryl Hydrocarbon Hydroxylase Activity of Guinea Pigs Fed Cabbage Grown on Municipal Sewage Sludge. J. Agric. Food Chem. 27(2):399–402.

Bahri, A. 1999. Agricultural reuse of wastewater and global water management. Water Sci. Technol. 40(4-5): p. 339-346.

Banin, A. 1999. Recycling and reuse of wastewater for irrigation in the Mediterranean region: approaches, precautions and potentials. Ann. Chim. 89(7-8): p. 479-488.

Barbarick-KA, Ippolito-JA. 2000. Nitrogen fertilizer equivalency of sewage biosolids applied to dryland winter wheat. Journal of Environmental Quality 29 (4) : 1345-1351.

Barkay, T., Tripp, S. C., and Olson, B. H. 1985. Effect of Metal-Rich Sewage Sludge Application on the Bacterial Communities of Grasslands. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 49(2):333–337.

Barton, R.G., Seeker, W.R., and Bostian, H.E. 1991. Behavior of Metals in Municipal Sludge Incinerators. TransI ChemE 69(pt.B)(Feb.):29–36.

Bary, A.I., C.G. Cogger, and D. Sullivan. 1996. Biosolids fertilization in low precipitation dryland cropping systems. p. 11-12. In: R. McClellan, B.C. Miller, R.J. Veseth, S.O. Guy, D.J. Wysocki, and R. Karow (eds.), 1995 Pacific Northwest On-Farm Test Results. Dept. of Crop and Soil Sciences Technical Report 96-1, Washington State University, Pullman, WA.

Basta N, Gradwohl R, Snethen K, Schroder J. 2001. Chemical Immobilization of Lead, Zinc, and Cadmium in Smelter-Contaminated Soils Using Biosolids and Rock Phosphate. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:1222-1230.

Basta, N.T., and Tabatabai, M.A. 1991. Determination of total metals in sewage sludges by ion chromatography. Journal of Environmental Quality 20(1): 79–88.

Battersby, N. S. and Wilson, V. 1989. Survey of the anaerobic biodegradation potential of organic chemicals in digesting sludge. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55, 433-439.

Baveye, P., M.B. McBride, D. Bouldin, T.D. Hinesly, M.S.A. Dahdoh, and M.F. AbJel-sabour. 1999. Mass Balance and Distribution of Sludge-Borne Trace Elements in a Silt Loam Soil Following Long-Term Applications of Sewage Sludge. The Science of the Total Environment. 227, 13-28.

Baxter, J. C., Barry, B., Johnson, D.E., and Kienholz, E.W. 1982. Heavy Metal Retention in Cattle Tissues from Ingestion of Sewage Sludge. J. Environ. Qual. 11(4):616–620.

Behel, D., Jr., Giordano, P.M., and Stephenson, D.R. 1986. Attenuation of Cd and Pb solubility in municipal waste incinerator ash. Communications in Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 17(4):385–392.

Behel, D., Nelson, D.W., and. Sommers, L. E. 1983. Assessment of heavy metal equilibria in sewage sludge treated soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 12: 181–186.

Bell, J. P. and Tsezos, M. 1987. Removal of hazardous organic pollutants by biomass adsorption. Jour. Water Pollut. Contr. Fed. 59:191-198.

Bell, P.F., James, B.R., Chaney, R.L. 1991. Heavy metal extractability in long-term sewage sludge and metal salt amended soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 20: 481–486.

Benitez E., Melgar R., Sainz H., Gomez M., and Nogales R. 2000. Enzyme activities in the rhizosphere of pepper (Capsicum annuum, L.) grown with olive cake mulches. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 32:1829-1835.

Bennett, R.L. and Knapp, K.T. 1982. Characterization of particulate emissions from municipal wastewater sludge incinerators. Environ. Sci. Tech. 16(12):831–836.

Bennett, R.L., Knapp, K.T., and Duke, D.L. 1984. Chemical and physical characterization of municipal sludge incinerator emissions. Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory. March, 1984.

Benninger–Truax, M., and Taylor, D.H. 1993. Municipal sludge metal contamination of old-field ecosystems: Do liming and tilling affect remediation? Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 12(10): 1931–1943.

Berlincioni, M. and di Domenico, A. 1987. Polychlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorodibenzofurans in the soil near the municipal incinerator of Florence, Italy. Environ. Sci. Technol. 21(11):1063–1069.

Berrow, M.L., and Burridge, J.C. 1990. Persistence of metal residues in sewage sludge treated soils over seventeen years. Inter. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 39(2): 173–177.

Bertrand, J.E., Lutrick, M.C., Breland, H.L., and West, R.L. 1980. Effects of Dried Sludge and Corn Grown on Soil Treated with Liquid Digested Sludge on Performance, Carcass Quality and Tissue Residues in Beef Steers. J. of Anim. Sci. 50(1):35–40.

Bertrand, J.E., Lutrick, M.C., Edds, G.T., and West, R.L. 1981. Metal Residues in Tissues, Animal Performance and Carcass Quality with Beef Steers Grazing Pensacola Bahiagrass Pastures Treated with Liquid Digested Sludge. J. Anim. Sci. 53(1):146–153.

Bettinelli, M., and Baroni, U. 1990. A microwave oven digestion method for the determination of metals in sewage sludges by ICP-AES and GFAAS. Inter. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 43: 33–40.

Bevacqua, R.F., and Mellano, V.J. 1994. Cumulative effects of sludge compost on crop yields and soil properties. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 25(3/4): 395-406.

Beyer, W. 1990. Evaluating Soil Contamination. Report #: PB90-267444, 37 pp.

Bierman, P.M. and Rosen, C.J. 1994. Phosphate and Trace Metal Availability from Sewage Sludge Incinerator Ash. J. Environ Qual. 23(4):822–830.

Bingham, F.T., Page, A.L., Mahler, R.J., and Ganje, T.J. 1975. Growth and cadmium accumulation of plants grown on a soil treated with a cadmium enriched sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 4: 207–211.

Bingham, F.T., Page, A.L., Mitchell, G.A., and Strong, J.E. 1979. Effects of liming an acid soil amended with sewage sludge enriched with Cd, Cu, Ni, on yield and Cd content of wheat grain. Journal of Environmental Quality 8: 202–207.

Bishop, K., Ring, S. J., Zoltai, T., Manos, C. G., Ahrens, V. D., and Lisk, D. J. 1985. Identification of asbestos and glass fibers in municipal sewage sludges. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 34: 301–308.

Bitton, G., Davidson, J. M., and Farrah, S.R. 1979. The Transport Pattern of Viruses Through Soils: A Critical Outlook. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 12:449–457.

Bitton, G., Pancorbo, O. C., Overman, A. R., and Gifford, G. E. 1978. Retention of Viruses During Sludge Application to Soils. Journal of Progressive Water Technology 10(5/6):597–606.

Bitton, G., Pancorbo, O.C., and Farrah, S.R. 1984. Virus Transport and Survival After Land Application of Sewage Sludge. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 47(5):905–909.

Bonomo, L., C. Nurizzo, and E. Rolle. 1999. Advanced wastewater treatment and reuse: related problems and perspectives in Italy. Water Science and Technology, 40: p. 21-28.

Borchert, H. 1983. Influence of increasing amounts of sewage sludge on the soil structure. In: G. Catroux, P. L'Hermite, and E. Suess (Eds.), The Influence of Sewage Sludge Application on Physical and Biological Properties of Soils. Boston & London: D. Reidel Publishing Company, pp. 77-86.

Bostian, H.E., Crumpler, E.P., Palazzolo, M.A., and Barnett, K.W. 1988. Emissions of metals and organics from four municipal wastewater sludge incinerators—preliminary data. Environmental Protection Agency, Radian Corp. May, 1988.

Bouldin, D.R. 1997. Why Guidelines for Beneficial Use of Sludges in Agriculture Are Different and Estimates of Alternatives. Soil Science Society of North Carolina Proceedings. Vol 40.

Bourgeous, K.N., Darby, J.L., and Tchobanoglous, G. 2001. Ultrafiltration of Wastewater: Effects of Particles, Mode of Operation, and Backwash Effectiveness. Wat. Res., 35, 10, 77.

Bourrouet, A., Garcia, J., Mujeriego, R., and Penuelas, G. 2001. Fecal Bacteria and Bacteriophage Inactivation in a Full-Scale UV Disinfection System Used for Wastewater Reclamation. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 187.

Bradley, B., Richard, D., and Tresan, B. 2001. Decentralized Treatment Offers Cost Effective Reuse for a Sustainable New Commu. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Brallier, S., Harrison, R.B., Henry, C. L., and Dongsen, X. 1995. Liming effects on availability of Cd, Cu, Ni, and Zn in a soil amended with sewage sludge 16 years previously. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 84: 1–12.

Brandt, C.A., and Hendrickson, P.L. 1990. Review of Municipal Sludge Use as a Soil Amendment on Disturbed Lands. Report #: DE90 015835, 24 pp.

Bray, B.J., Dowdy, R.H., Goodrich, R.D., and Pamp, D.E. 1985. Trace Metal Accumulations in Tissues of Goats Fed Silage Produced on Sewage Sludge-Amended Soil. J. Environ. Qual. 14(1):114–118.

Brendecke, J.W., Axelson, R. D., and Pepper, I.L. 1993. Soil microbial activity as an indicator of soil fertility: long-term effects of municipal sewage sludge on an arid soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 25(6):751–758.

Breuer, D. W., Cole, D. W., and Schiess, P. 1979. Nitrogen transformation and leaching associated with wastewater irrigation in Douglas-fir, poplar, grass, and unvegetated systems [in Washington]. In: W. E. Sopper and S. N. Kerr (Eds.), Utilization of Municipal Sewage Effluent and Sludge on Forest and Disturbed Land. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, pp. 19–33.

Brian K. Richards, John H. Peverly, Tammo S. Steenhuis, and Barry N. Liebowitz. 1997. Effect of Processing Mode on Trace Elements in Dewatered Sludge Products. Journal of Environmental Quality. 26:782-788.

Brian K. Richards, Tammo S. Steenhuis, John H. Peverly, and Murray B. McBride. 1998. Metal Mobility At An Old, Heavily-Loaded Sludge Application Site. Environmental Pollution. 99:365 377

Broschat, T.K. 1991. Manganese binding by municipal waste composts used as potting media. J–Environ–Hortic. 9(2): 97–100.

Brown, J.R., and Brush, L. 1992. Lime stabilized sludge treatment of acid soils relative to soil acidity minimums for sludge application. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 23(11/12): 1257–1273.

Brubaker, G.F., Hall, J., Kirk, J., and Perkins, T. 2001. Assessing Reclaimed Water Use And Biomass Production On An Irrigated, Short-Rotation Tree Farm In Northeast Florida. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Buhrmann, F., et al. 1999. Treatment of industrial wastewater for reuse. Desalination p. 263-269.

Burge, W. D. and Marsh, P. B. 1978. Infectious Disease Hazards of Landspreading Sewage Wastes. Journal of Environmental Quality 7:1–9.

Burton, A. J., Hart, J. B., Jr., and Urie, D. H. 1990. Nitrification in Sludge-amended Michigan forest soils. J. of Env. Quality 19(3): 609–616.

Butler T, Sikora L, Steinhilber P, and Douglass L. 2001. Compost Age and Sample Storage Effects on Maturity Indicators of Biosolids Compost. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:2141-2148.

Calvet, R., and Bourgeois, S. 1990. Some experiments on extraction of heavy metals present in soil. Intern. Journal Environ. Anal. Chem. 39: 31–45.

Campa, H. III, Woodyard, D.K., and Haufler, J.B. 1986. Deer and Elk Use of Forage Treated with Municipal Sewage Sludge. IN: The Forest Alternative. Cole, D.W., Henry, C.L., and Nutter, W.L., eds. pp. 188–198.

Campanella, L., Ferri, T., Petronio, B. M., and Piccolo, A. 1989. Effect of speciation in sludges on the adsorption of leached metals from soil. The Science of the Total Environment 79(3): 223–231.

Campbell, H.W., Cresuolo, P.J. and Bridle, T.R. 1982. Fate of heavy metals and potential for clinker formation during pilot scale incineration of municipal sludge. Wat. Sci. Tech. 14:463–473.

Cannon FS, Yeh TY. 2001. Copper removal to PPB residuals via iron coagulants and biosolids storage conditioning. Journal Of Environmental Engineering 127:712-723

Carlson, M. 1992. Municipal effluent irrigation of fast-growing hybrid poplar plantations near Vernon, British Columbia. Forestry–Chronicle 68(2): 206–208.

Cesario L., Fisher, J., and Rommelmann, D. 2001. Denver Water Nonpotable Reuse Project. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Chalmers, R.B., Corneille, R.W., and Dawes, T.M. 2001. The Groundwater Replenishment System – A New Reliable, Cost-Effective Source of Water for Southern California. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Chander, K. and Brookes, P.C. 1991. Effects of heavy metals from past application of sewage sludge on microbial biomass and organic matter accumulation in a sandy loam and silty loam United Kingdom soil. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 23(10):927–932.

Chander, K. and Brookes, P.C. 1993. Residual effects of zinc, copper and nickel in sewage sludge on microbial biomass in a sandy loam. Soil biol. Biochem. 25(9):1231–1239.

Chander, K. and Brooks, P.C. 1991. Is the dehydrogenase assay invalid as a method to estimate microbial activity in copper-contaminated soils. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 23(10):909–915.

Chander, K., and Brookes, P.C. 1991. Microbial biomass dynamics during the decomposition of glucose and maize in metal-contaminated and non-contaminated soils. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 23(10):917–925.

Chaney, R.L., Stoewsand, G.S., Furr, A.K., Bache, C.A., and Lisk, D.J. 1978. Cadmium Deposition and Hepatic Microsomal Induction in Mice Fed Lettuce Grown on Municipal Sludge-Amended Soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 26(4):992–997.

Chaney, W.R., Strickland, R.C., and Lamoreaux, R.J. 1977. Phytotoxicity of cadmium inhibited by lime. Plant and Soil 47: 275–278.

Chang, A.C. and Page, P.L. 2000. Trace Elements Slowly Accumulating, Depleting in Soils. California Agriculture 54 (2) : 49-55.

Chang, A.C., Page, A.L., and Warneke, J.E. 1987. Long-term sludge applications on cadmium and zinc accumulation in Swiss chard and radish. Journal of Environmental Quality 16: 217–221.

Chang, A.C., Page, A.L., Warneke, J.E., Resketo, M.R., and Jones, T.E. 1983. Accumulation of cadmium and zinc in barley grown on sludge-treated soils: A long-term field study. Journal of Environmental Quality 12: 391–397.

Chang, A.C., Warneke, J.E., Page, A.L., and Lund, L.J. 1984. Accumulation of heavy metals in sewage-sludge treated soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 13: 87–91.

Chaudri, A.M., McGrath, S.P., and Giller, K.E. 1992. Metal tolerance of isolates of Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii from soil contaminated by past applications of sewage sludge. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 24(2):83–88.

Chaudri, A.M., McGrath, S.P., and Giller, K.E. 1992. Survival of the indigenous population of Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii in soil spiked with Cd, Zn, Cu and Ni salts.: Soil–Biol–Biochem. 24(7):625–632.

Chaudri, A.M., McGrath, S.P., Giller, K.E., Rietz, E., and Sauerbeck, D.R. 1993. Enumeration of indigenous Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii in soils previously treated with metal-contaminated sewage sludge. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 25(3):301–309.

Cheng, C., Kimmins, J.P., and Sullivan, T.P. 1996. Forest Fertilization with Biosolids: Impact on Small Mammal Population Dynamics. Northwest Science 70(3):252–261.

Choi, J., and Valentine, R. 2001. Studies on the Formation of N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) in Drinking Water: A New Chloramination Disinfection By-Product. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Christie P., Easson D.L., Picton J.R., and Love S.C.P. 2001. Agronomic value of alkaline-stabilized sewage biosolids for spring barley. Agronomy Journal 93:144-151.

Cicek, N., et al. 1998. Using membrane bioreactor to reclaim wastewater. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. p. 105-113.

Cimino, G., and Toscano, G. 1993. Effects of digested sewage sludge on yield and heavy metal accumulation in horticultural species. Bioresour. Technol. 46(3): 217–220.

Clement, R.E., Tosine, H.M., Osborne, J., Ozvacic, V., Wong, G., and Thorndyke, S. 1987. Emissions of chlorinated organics from a municipal sewage sludge burning incinerator. Chemosphere 16(8/9):1895–1900.

Close, C., Nunez, A., Duffy, D., Small, G.G. 2001. Evaluation of Techniques to Monitor Rate of Plugging in Vadose Zone Recharge Wells. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Close, C., Williams, D., Shannon, J. and Conroy, A. 2001. Recharge Feasibility Assessment for the City of Phoenix North Gateway Water Reclamation Plant. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Cogger C, Bary A, Fransen S, and Sulllivan D. 2001. Seven Years of Biosolids versus Inorganic Nitrogen Applications to Tall Fescue. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:2188-2194.

Cogger, C.G. and D.M. Sullivan. 1999. Worksheet for calculating biosolids application rates in agriculture. Pacific Northwest Extension Publ. 511. Washington State University Cooperative Extension, Pullman, WA.

Cogger, C.G., D.M. Sullivan, A.I. Bary, and J.A. Kropf. 1997. Biosolids nutrient management in dryland wheat in the Pacific Northwest. p. 14-26. In: Proc. Western Nutrient Mgmt.Conf., Vol. 2. March 6-7, 1997. Salt Lake City, UT.

Cogger, C.G., D.M. Sullivan, A.I. Bary, and J.A. Kropf. 1998. Matching plant-available nitrogen from biosolids with dryland wheat needs. J. Prod. Agric. 11:41-47

Cogger, C.G., D.M. Sullivan, A.I. Bary, and S.C. Fransen. 1999. Nitrogen recovery from heat-dried and dewatered biosolids applied to forage grasses. J. Envir. Qual. 28:3 754-759.

Cole, D. W. 1982. Response of forest ecosystems to sludge and wastewater applications—A case study in western Washington. In: W. E. Sopper, E. M. Seaker, and R. K Bastian (Eds.), Land Reclamation and Biomass Production with Municipal Wastewater and Sludge. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, pp. 274–291.

Cole, S. 1998. Reclaimed wastewater continues flow toward tap. Environmental Science and Technology 32: p. 496-497.

Conroy, A., and Ashby, S. 2001. A Water Reuse Partnership for Today, Tomorrow and the 21st Century: The Roosevelt Irrigation District Exchange Agreement. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Cooke C.M, Gove L., Nicholson F.A., Cook H.F., and Beck A.J. 2001. Effect of drying and composting biosolids on the movement of nitrate and phosphate through repacked soil columns under steady-state hydrological conditions. Chemosphere 8,44:797-804.

Cooley, J. H. 1979. Effects of irrigation with oxidation pond effluent on tree establishment and growth on sand soils. In: W. E. Sopper and S. N. Kerr (Eds.), Utilization of Municipal Sewage Effluent and Sludge on Forest and Disturbed Land. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, pp. 145–153.

Cooper, R.C. 1991. Public health concerns in wastewater reuse. Water Sci. Technol. 24(9): p. 55-65.

Cosulich, W.F. 1979. Incineration of sludge and refuse with waste heat recovery. Journal Water Pollution Control Federation (WPCF) 51(7):1934–1938.

Cote, P., Mourato, D., and Schneider, C. 2001. Immersed Ultrafiltration for Tertiary Treatment of Sewage Effluent. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Cottenie, A., Kiekens, L., and van Landschoot, G. 1984. Problems of the mobility and predictability of heavy metal uptake by plants. In: P. L'Hermite and L. Ott (Eds.), Processing and Use of Sewage Sludge. D. Reidel Publishing, pp. 124–131.

Cotxarrera, L, Trillas-Gaya, M, Steinbergb, C and Alabouvetteb, C. 2002. Use of sewage sludge compost and Trichoderma asperellum isolates to suppress Fusarium wilt of tomato. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36:467-476.

Craig, R. 2001. Recycled Conversion: The Chino Hills Challenge at Los Serranos. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Crook, J. 1999. Indirect potable reuse. Water Environment and Technology 11:71-75.

Crook, J. 1999. Water reuse in the United States. Journal of New England Water Environment Association 33:106-125.

Crook, J., J.A. MacDonald, and R.R. Trussell. 1999. Potable use of reclaimed water. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. p. 40-49.

Crook, J., Johnson, L.J., and Thompson, K. 2001. California's New Water Recycling Criteria and Their Effect on Operating Agencies. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Crumpler, E.P. and Fradkin, L. 1989. Risk assessment in development of municipal sludge incineration regulations under 405(d) of the Clean Water Act. Environmental Protection Agency. Report #: EPA/600/D-89/018.

Damgaard–Larsen, S., Jense, K. O., Lund, E., and Nissen, B. 1977. Survival and Movement of Enterovirus in Connection with Land Disposal of Sludges. Journal of Water Research 11:503–508.

Dar, G. Hassan and Mishra, M.M. 1994. Influence of cadmium on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in sewage sludge amended soils. Environ–Pollut. 84(3):285–290.

de Peyster, A., et al. 1993. Aquatic biomonitoring of reclaimed water for potable use: the San Diego health effects study. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 39 (1): p. 121-42.

de Villarroel, J.R., Chang, A.C., and Amrhein, C. 1993. Cd and Zn phytoavailability of a field-stabilized sludge-treated soil. Soil Science 155(3): 197–205.

Debroux, J. F. 1998. The physical-chemical and oxidant-reactive properties of effluent organic material (EFOM) [in wastewater treatment effluents] intended for potable reuse. 227 pp.

Degens, B.P., Sparling, G.P., and Abbott, L.K. 1996. Increasing the length of hyphae in a sandy soil increases the amount of water-stable aggregates. Applied Soil Ecology 3(2): 149-159.

Del Pino, M.P. and B. Durham. 1999. Wastewater reuse through dual-membrane processes: opportunities for sustainable water resources. Desalination p. 271-277.

Del Re, G., and Di Giacomo, G. 2001. Removal and Destruction of Toxic Micropolluting Organic Compounds from Waste Waters by a Combined NF and SCWO Process. Desalination, 138, 61.

Denduluri, S. 1994. Reduction of manganese accumulation by ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid and nitrilo triacetic acid in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) grown in sewage-irrigated soil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 52(3): 438–443.

Denison, R. and Silbergeld, E. 1988. Risks of municipal solid waste incineration: An environmental perspective. Risk Analysis 8(3):343–355.

Devitt, D.A., Morris, R.L., and Bowman, D. C. 1991. Response of periwinkle to composted sewage sludge used as a soil amendment. J. Environ. Hort. 9(4): 176–181.

Dewettinck, T., Van Hege, K., and Verstraete, W. 2001. The Electronic Nose as a Rapid Sensor for Volatile Compounds in Treated Domestic Wastewater. Wat. Res, 35, 10, 2475.

Dewettinck, T., Van Houtte, E., Geenens, D., Van Hege, K., and Verstraete, W. 2001. HAACP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points) to Guarantee Safe Water Reuse and Drinking Water Production -- A Case Study. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 12, 31-38.

Dewling, R.T. 1980. Sewage-sludge incineration raises air pollution concerns. Water and sewage works. Oct.(26–29,43).

Dewling, R.T., Manganelli, R.M., and Baer, G.T. Jr. 1980. Fate and behavior of selected heavy metals in incinerated sludge. Journal WPCF 52(10):2553–2557.

Diaper, C., Jefferson, B., Parsons, S.A., and Judd, S.J. 2001. Water-Recycling Technologies in the UK. J. CIWEM, 15, November, 282.

Dijkshoorn, W., Lampe, J.E.M., and Van Broekhoven, L.W. 1981. Influence of soil pH on heavy metals in ryegrass from sludge-amended soil. Plant and Soil 62: 277–284.

Dindal, D.L., Newell, L.T., and Monreau, J. P. Municipal Wastewater Irrigation: Effects on Community Ecology of Soil Invertebrates. IN: Utilization of Municipal Sewage Effluent and Sludge on Forest and Disturbed Land. Sopper, W. and Kerr, S., eds. 1979. pp. 197–205.

Dinel H, Pare T, Schnitzer M, Pelzer N. 2000. Direct land application of cement kiln dust- and lime-sanitized biosolids: extractability of trace metals and organic matter quality. Geoderma 7,96:307-320.

Dobbs, D.A., (ed). 1998. Issues in Potable Reuse - The Viability of Augmenting Drinking Water Supplies With Reclaimed Water. National Academy Press: Washington, DC.

Dotson, K., and Crockett, D. 2001. Tucson Water and the Tucson Unified School District Partner to Bring Reclaimed Water to Schools. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Dowd S, Gerba C, Pepper I, and Pillai S. 2000. Bioaerosol Transport Modeling and Risk Assessment in Relation to Biosolids Placement. Journal of Environmental Quality 29:343-348.

Dowdy, R.H., and Larson, W.E. 1975. Metal uptake by barley seedlings grown on soils amended with sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 4: 229–233.

Dowdy, R.H., and Larson, W.E. 1975. The availability of sludge borne metals to various vegetable crops. Journal of Environmental Quality 4: 278–282.

Dowdy, R.H., Bray, B.J., and Goodrich, R.D. 1983. Trace Metal and Mineral Composition of Milk and Blood from Goats Fed Silage Produced on Sludge-Amended Soil. J. Environ. Qual. 12(4):473–478.

Dowdy, R.H., Bray, B.J., Goodrich, R.D., Maren, G.C., Pamp, D.E., and Larson, W.E. 1983. Performance of Goats and Lambs Fed Corn Silage Produced on Sludge-Amended Soil. J. Environ. Qual. 12(4):467–472.

Dowdy, R.H., Latterell, J.J., Hinesly, T.D., Grossman, R.B., and Sullivan, D.L. 1991. Trace metal movement in an Aeric Ochraqualf following 14 years of annual sludge applications. Journal of Environmental Quality 20(1): 119–123.

Dressler, R.L., Storm, G.L., Tzilkowski, W.M., and Sopper, W.E. 1986. Heavy Metals in Cottontail Rabbits on Mined Lands Treated with Sewage Sludge. J. Environ. Qual. 15(3):278–281.

Drewes, J. E., and Fox, P. 2001. Source Water Impact Model (SWIM) - A Watershed Guided Approach as a New Planning Tool for Indirect Potable Water Reuse. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 267-275.

Drewes, J.E. and P. Fox. 1999. Behavior and characterization of residual organic compounds in wastewater used for indirect potable reuse. Water Sci. Technol p. 391-398.

Drewes, J.E. and P. Fox. 1999. Fate of natural organic matter (NOM) during groundwater recharge using reclaimed water. Water Sci. Technol. p.241-248.

Drewes, J.E. and P. Fox. 2000. Effect of drinking water sources on reclaimed water quality in water reuse systems. Water Environ. Res p. 353-362.

Drewes, J.E., Meyer, T., Reissmann, F., Repp, S., and Fox, P. 2001. Drinking Water Hardness And DOC -- Major Factors Driving Reclaimed Water Quality. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition Atlanta, GA.

Droogers, P., and Bouma, J. 1996. Biodynamic versus conventional farming effects on soil structure expressed by stimulated potential productivity. Soil Science Society of America Journal 60(5): 1552-1558.

Du Preez L.A., Van der Merwe W., and Terblanche J.S. 2000. Biosolids management at 18 waste water treatment plants in South Africa - optimisation strategies. Water Science and Technology 42:7-12.

Ducaroir, J., Cambier, P., Leydecker, J.P., and Prost, R. 1990. Application of soil fractionation methods to the study of the distribution of pollutant metals. Z. Pflanzenernahr Bodenk 153: 349–358.

Dudley, L.M., McNeal, B.L., and Baham, J.E. 1986. Time-dependent changes in soluble organics, copper, nickel and zinc from sludge amended soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 15: 188–192.

Dudley, L.M., McNeal, B.L., Baham, J.E., Coray, C.S., and Cheng, H.H. 1987. Characterization of soluble organic compounds and complexation of copper, nickel, and zinc in extracts of sludge-amended soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 16: 341–348.

Dufault R.J, and Korkmaz A. 2000. Potential of biosolids from shrimp aquaculture as a fertilizer in bell pepper production. Compost Science and Utilization. 8:310-319.

Dunigan, E. P. and Dick, R.P. 1980. Nutrient and Coliform Losses in Runoff from Fertilized and Sewage Sludge-Treated Soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 9:(2)243–250.

Durham, B., Bourbigot, M.M., and Pankratz, T. 2001. Membranes as Pretreatment to Desalination in Wastewater Reuse: Operating Experience in the Municipal and Industrial Sectors. Desalination, 138, 83.

Dykes, R. M., Barnett, K. W., Palazzolo, M. A., and Bostian, H. E. 1987. Characterization of long-term toxic emissions from municipal sludge incineration—project plans and status. Radian Corporation. April. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA/600/D–87/131.

E. Pallant, and L. M. Hilster. 1996. Earthworm response to 10 weeks of incubation in a pot with acid mine spoil, sewage sludge, and lime. Biology and Fertility of Soils Volume 22:4 pp 355-358.

Eastman B.R., Kane P.N., Edwards C.A., Trytek L., Gunadi B., Stermer A.L., and Mobley J.R. 2001. The effectiveness of vermiculture in human pathogen reduction for USEPA biosolids stabilization. Compost Science and Utilization 9:38-49.

Edgerton, S.A., Czuczwa, J.M., Rench, J.D., Hobanbosi, R.F., and Koval, P.J. 1989. Ambient air concentrations of polychlorinated dibenzo–p–dioxins and dibenzofurans in Ohio: Sources and health risk assessment. Chemosphere 18(9/10):1713–1730.

Edmonds, R.L. 1976. Survival of Coliform Bacteria in Sewage Sludge Applied to a Forest Clear-cut and Potential Movement into Groundwater. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 32:537–546.

Egemen E., Corpening J., and Nirmalakhandan N. 2001. Evaluation of an ozonation system for reduced waste sludge generation. Water Science And Technology 44:445-452.

Eivazi, F. and Zakaria, A. 1993. B–Glucosidase activity in soils amended with sewage sludge. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 43:155–161.

Ellen Z. Harrison, Murray B. McBride, David R. Bouldin. 1999. Land Application of Sewage Sludges: An Appraisal of the US Regulations. Int. J. Environment and Pollution. Vol. 11, No. 1. Steenhuis, T.S., M.B. McBride, B.K. Richards, E.Z. Harrison. 1999. Trace Metal Retention in the Incorporation Zone of Land-Applied Sludge. Environmental Science & Technology. Vol. 33, No. 8, 1171-1174.

Emmerich, W.E., Lund, L. J., Page, A.L., and Chang, A.C. 1982a. Movement of heavy metals in sewage sludge treated soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 11: 174–178.

Emmerich, W.E., Lund, L.J., Page, A.L., and Chang, A.C. 1982. Solid phase forms of heavy metals in sewage sludge-treated soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 11: 178–181.

Epstein, E. 1975. Effect of sewage sludge on some soil physical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 4(1): 139-142.

Epstein, E., Taylor, J.M., and Chaney, R.L. 1976. Effects of sewage sludge compost applied to soil on some soil physical and chemical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 5(4): 422-426.

Epstein, E., Taylor, J.M., and Chaney, R.L. 1976. Effects of sewage sludge and sludge compost applied to soil on some soil physical and chemical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 5: 422–426.

Essington, M.E., and Mattigod, S.V. 1990. Element partitioning in size- and density-fractionated sewage sludge and sludge-amended soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54(2): 385–394.

Essington, M.E., and Mattigod, S.V. 1991. Trace element solid-phase associations in sewage sludge and sludgeamended soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 55(2): 350–356.

Fallon, R.D., Cooper, D.A., Speece, R., and Henson, M. 1991. Anaerobic biodegradation of cyanide under methanogenic conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiology 57(6): 1656–1662.

Farrah, S.R., Bitton, G., Hoffmann, E.M., Lanni, O., Pancorbo, O.C., Lutrick, M.C., and Bertrand, J.E. 1981. Survival of Enteroviruses and Coliform Bacteria in a Sludge Lagoon. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 41:2459–465.

Fiedler, H. and Hutzinger, O. 1992. Sources and Sinks of Dioxins: Germany. Chemosphere 25:7-10.

Fischera G, Müllera T, Ostrowskia R, and Dotta W. 1999. Mycotoxins of Aspergillus fumigatus in pure culture and in native bioaerosols from compost facilities. Chemosphere 38:1745-1755.

Fitzgerald, P. R. 1980. Observations of the Health of Some Animals Exposed to Anaerobically Digested Sludge Originating in the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago System. IN: Sludge-Health Risks of Land Application. Bitton, G. et al. eds. pp. 267–284.

Fitzpatrick, G. 1985. Container production of tropical trees using sewage effluent, incinerator ash and sludge compost. J. Environ. Hort. 3(3):123–125.

Fitzpatrick, J. 1998. Sludge processing by anaerobic digestion and superheated steam drying. Water-Research 32:10 2897-2902.

Fleischer, E.J., Broderick, T.A., Daigger, G.T., Lozier, J.C., Wolmann, A.M., and Fonseca, A.D. 2001. Evaluating The Next Generation Of Water Reclamation Processes. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Fließbach, A., Martens, R., and Reber, H.H. 1994. Soil microbial biomass and microbial activity in soils treated with heavy metal contaminated sewage sludge. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 26(9):1201–1205.

Folle, F., Shuford, J.W., Taylor, R.W., Mehadi, A.A., and Tadesse, W. 1995. Effect of sludge treatment, heavy metals, phosphate rate, and pH on soil phosphorus. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 26(9/10): 1369–1381.

Fortún, A., and Fortún, C. 1996. Effects of two composted urban wastes on the aggregation and ion exchange processes in soils. Agrochimica 40(4): 153-165.

Foster, D.H. and Engelbrecht, R.S. 1973. Microbial Hazards in Disposing of Wastewater on Soil. In: Recycling Treated Municipal Wastewater and Sludge through Forest and Cropland. Sopper and Kardos, eds. Pennsylvania State University Press, London. 247–270.

Fox, P. 2001. Development of Water Resources Through Water Reuse: Challenges for the Future. J Env. Eng., 127, 865.

Fradkin, L., Bruins, R.J.F., Lutkenhoff, S.D., Stara, J.F., Lomnitz, E., and Rubin, A. 1987. Screening methodology for assessing potential health effects from municipal sludge incinerators. Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association 37(4):395–399.

Fraser, J.L. and Lum, K.R. 1983. Availability of elements of environmental importance in incinerated sludge ash. Environ. Sci. Technol. 17(1):52–54.

Freeman, S., Leitner, G.F., Crook, J., and Vernon, W. 2001. Membranes For Water Reclamation -- The Present And Future Trends. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition Atlanta, GA.

Frerichs, R.R. 1984. Epidemiologic monitoring of possible health reactions of wastewater reuse. Sci Total Environ 32(3):353-63.

Frerichs, R.R., E.M. Sloss, and K.P. Satin. 1982. Epidemiologic Impact of Water Reuse in Los Angeles County. Environ Res. 29:109-22.

Fresquez, P.R., Francis, R.E., and Dennis, G.L. 1990. Soil and vegetation responses to sewage sludge on a degraded semiarid broom snakeweed/blue grama plant community. Journal of Range Management 43(4): 325–331.

Fries, G. F. 1972. Degradation of chlorinated hydrocarbons under anaerobic conditions. Furr, A. K., Lawrence, A. W., Tong, S. C. S., Grandolfo, M. C., Hofstader, R. A., Bache, C. A., Gutenmann, W. H., and Lisk, D. J. 1976. Multielement and chlorinated hydrocarbon analysis of municipal sewage sludges of American cities. Environ. Sci. and Technol. 10(7):683-687.

Fries, G. F. 1982. Potential Polychlorinated Biphenyl Residues in Animal Products from Application of Contaminated Sewage Sludge to Land. J. Environ. Qual. 11(1):14–19.

Fries, G.F. and Pausenbach, D.J. 1990. Evaluation of potential transmission of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin contaminated incinerator emissions to humans via foods. J. of Toxicology and Environmental Health 29(1):1-43.

Frost H.L. and Ketchum L.H. 2000. Trace metal concentration in durum wheat from application of sewage sludge and commercial fertilizer. Advances in Environmental Research. 4:347-355.

Frost, R. C. 1988. Developments in sewage sludge incineration. J. IWEM. 2:465-475.

Funderburg, S. W., Moore, B. E., Sagik, B. P., and Sorber, C. A. 1981. Viral Transport Through Soil Columns Under Conditions of Saturated Flow. Journal of Water Research 15:703–711.

Funston, R., and Leong L. 2001. Developing an Alternative Water Resource from Oil Field Produced Water. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Furr, A.K. et al. 1979. Multielement analysis of municipal sewage sludge ashes. Absorption of elements by cabbage grown in sludge ash–soil mixture. Environ. Sci. Tech. 13(12):1503–1506.

Furr, A.K., Stoewsand, G.S., Bache, C.A., and Lisk, D.J. 1976. Study of Guinea Pigs Fed Swiss Chard Grown on Municipal Sludge-Amended Soil. Arch. Environ. Health 31:87–91.

Furrer, O.J., and Stauffer, W. 1983. Influence of sewage sludge application on physical properties of soils and its contribution to the humus balance. In: G. Catroux, P. L'Hermite, and E. Suess (Eds.), The Influence of Sewage Sludge Application on Physical and Biological Properties of Soils. Boston & London: D. Reidel Publishing Company, pp. 65-74.

GA O.C., Granato T.C., and Dowdy R.H. 2001. Bioavailability of biosolids molybdenum to corn. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:140-146.

Gaffney, G.R. and Ellertson, R. Ion Uptake of Redwinged Blackbirds Nesting on Sludge-Treated Spoils. IN: Utilization of Municipal Sewage Effluent and Sludge on Forest and Disturbed Land. Sopper, W. and Kerr, S., eds. 1979. pp. 507–516.

Gagliardo, P., Trussell, S., Adham, S., and Pearce, B. 2001. Using Reclaimed Water For High-Tech Industry. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Galuska, C.M, Reel, D.V., Grinnell, G.K., and Porter, D.B. 2001. Water Reuse Cooperation Blooms in the Desert. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Galuska, C.M., Anzai, B., Baadsgaard, M., Grinnell, G.K., McLaughlin, R., Mendenhall, D., Pellissier, D.E., and Porter, D.B. 2001. Las Vegas Area-Wide Reuse Study. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Ganesh, R., Leong, L., Larson, L., and Sung, R. 200. Feasibility of Using Municipal Reclaimed Water Systems for Once-Through Cooling. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Gantzer C., Gaspard P., Galvez L., Huyard A., Dumouthier N., and Schwartzbrod J. 1977. Monitoring of bacterial and parasitological contamination during various treatment of sludge. Water Research 11,35:3763-3770.

Garcia, C., Hernandez, T., and Costa, F. 1990. The influence of composting and maturation processes on the heavymetal extractability from some organic wastes. Biological Wastes 31(4): 291–301.

Gauthier, F. and Archibald, F. 1996. The Ecology of "fecal indicator" bacteria commonly found in Pulp and paper mill water systems. Water Research 6,35:2207-2218.

Georgopoulou, E, Kotronarou, A., Koussis, A., Restrepo, P.J., Gomez-Gotor, A., and Jimenez, J.J.R. 2001. A Methodology to Investigate Brackish Groundwater Desalination Coupled with Aquifer Recharge by Treated Wastewater as an Alternative Strategy for Water Supply in Mediterranean Areas. Desalination, 136, 307.

Gerba, C. P. and Bitton, G. 1984. Microbial Pollutants: Their Survival and Transport Pattern to Groundwater. In: Groundwater Pollution Microbiology, G. Bitton and C. Gerba, eds. John Wiley and Sons, New York 65–88.

Gerba, C., Wallis, C., and Melnick, J. 1975. Fate of Wastewater Bacteria and Viruses in Soil. Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division 101:157–174.

Gerritse, R.G., Vriesema, R., Dalenberg, J.W., and De Roos, H.P. 1982. Effect of sewage sludge on trace element mobility in soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 11: 359–364.

Gerstle, R.W. and Albrinck, D.N. 1982. Atmospheric emissions of metals from sewage sludge incineration. Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association 32(11):1119–1122.

Getter, R., Loveland, J., and Patel, M. 2001. NDMA Destruction And Disinfection With UV Irradiation For Indirect Potable Reuse In California. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Giller, K.E., Nussbaum, R., Chaudri, A.M., and McGrath, S.P. 1993. Rhizobium meliloti is less sensitive to heavymetal contamination in soil than R. leguminosarum by. trifolii or R. Loti. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 25(2):273–278.

Giordano, P.M., and Mortvedt, J.J. 1976. Nitrogen effects on mobility and plant uptake of heavy metals in sewage sludge applied to soil columns. Journal of Environmental Quality 5: 165–168.

Giordano, P.M., Mays, D.A., and Behel, A.D., Jr. 1979. Soil temperature effects on uptake of cadmium and zinc by vegetables grown on sludge amended soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 8: 233–236.

Giusquiani, P.L., Pagliai, M., Gigliotti, G., Businelli, D., and Benetti, A. 1995. Urban waste compost: effects on physical, chemical, and biochemical soil properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 24(1): 175-182.

Glauser, R., Doner, H.E., and Paul, E.A. 1988. Soil aggregate stability as a function of particle size in sludge-treated soils. Soil Science 146(1): 37-43.

Gomaa, M.A. 1991. Effect of sewage sludge as a fertilizer on growth, yield and its components of soybean and the following wheat plants. Annals of Agricultural Science 29(3): 1015–1024.

Gonzalez, R.X., Sartain, J.B., and Miller, W.L. 1992. Cadmium availability and extractability from sewage sludge as affected by waste phosphatic clay. Journal of Environmental Quality 21(2): 272–275.

Gotor, A.G., Baez, S.O.P., Espinoza, C.A., and Bachir, S.I. 2001. Membrane Processes for the Recovery and Reuse of Wastewater in Agriculture. Desalination, 137, 187.

Gove L, Cooke CM, Nicholson FA, and Beck AJ. 2001. Movement of water and heavy metals (Zn, Cu, Pb and Ni) through sand and sandy loam amended with biosolids under steady-state hydrological conditions. Bioresource Technology 78:171-179.

Gray, N, Miskin, I, Kornilova, O, Curtis, T, and Head, I. 2002. Occurrence and activity of Archaea in aerated activated sludge wastewater treatment plants. Environmental Microbiology 4:158-168.

Greenberg, R.R., Zoller, W.H., and Gordon, G.E. 1981. Atmospheric emissions of elements on particles from the Parkway sewage-sludge incinerator. Environ. Sci. Tech. 15(1):64–70.

Grenoble–1 University, 38 (France) (thesis). 1988. Physiological Aspects of Short-Rotation Culture of the Poplar. Fertilization of Soils by Sewage Sludge. Thesis #: ERDA/090800.

Griffith M.B., Super K.S., Lynch W., and Fishman B.E. 2001. Accumulation of metals in vegetation from an alkaline artificial soil. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part a Toxichazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering 36:49-61

Gritzuk, M., Kinshella, P., Conroy, A., Doyle, W., and Richardson, A. 2001. Water Reuse for Habitat Restoration at Tres Rios, Arizona. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Guidi, G., and Hall, J.E. 1984. Effects of sewage sludge on the physical and chemical properties of soils. In: P. L'Hermite and L. Ott (Eds.), Processing and Use of Sewage Sludge. Boston & London: D. Reidel Publishing Company, pp. 295-305.

Guidi, G., and Poggio, G. 1987. Some effects of compost on soil physical properties. In: M. de Bertoldi, M.P. Ferranti, P. L'Hermite, and F. Zucconi (Eds.), Compost: Production, Quality and Use. London & New York: Elsevier Applied Science pp. 577-583.

Guidi, G., Pagliai, M., and Giachetti, M. 1981. Modification of some physical and chemical soil properties following sludge and compost applications. In: G. Gatroux, P. L'Hermite, and E. Suess (Eds.), The Influence of Sewage

Sludge on Physical and Biological Properties of Soils, Seminar Proceedings at Munich, June 23-24, 1981. Boston & London: D. Reidel Publishing Company, pp. 122-129.

Guidi, G., Petruzzelli, G., and Giachetti, M. 1983. Effect of three fractions extracted from an aerobic and an anaerobic sewage sludge on the water stability and surface area of soil aggregates. Soil Science 136(3): 158-163.

Gupta, S., Mehrotra, I., and Singh, O.V. 1990. Simultaneous extraction scheme: A method to characterize metal forms in sewage sludge. Environmental Technology 11: 229–238.

Gupta, S.C., Dowdy, R.H., and Larson, W.E. 1977. Hydraulic and thermal properties of a sandy soil as influenced by incorporation of sewage sludge. Soil Science Society of America Journal 41: 601-605.

Gustavsson, P. 1989. Mortality among workers at a municipal waste incinerator. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 15:245–253.

Hamilton, W.E., and Dindal, D.L. 1989. Influence of earthworms and leaf litter on edaphic variables in sewage-sludge-treated soil microcosms. Biology and Fertility of Soil 7(2): 129-133.

Handreck, K.A. 1994. Effect of pH on the uptake of cadmium, copper, and zinc from soilless media containing sewage sludge. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 25(11/12): 1913–1927.

Hannan, J. 1981. Parasitological Problems Associated with Land Application of Sewage Sludge. In: Characterization, Treatment and Use of Sewage Sludge. P. L'Hermite, and H. Ott eds., D. Reidel Publishers, London 1330–349.

Hansen, L.G. and Hinesly, T.D. 1979. Cadmium from Soil Amended with Sewage Sludge: Effects and Residues in Swine. Environ. Health Perspectives 28:51–57.

Harrington, C. A. and DeBell, D. S. 1984. Effects of irrigation, pulp mill sludge, and repeated coppicing on growth and yield of black cottonwood and red alder. Can. J. For. Res. 14(12): 844–849.

Harris Pierce, R. L., E. F. Redente, et al.. 1995. Sewage sludge application effects on runoff water quality in a semiarid grassland. Journal of Environmental Quality 24(1): 112-115.

Harris, R. A. and Urie, D. H. 1986. Heavy metal storage in soils of an aspen forest fertilized with municipal sludge. In: D. W. Cole, C. L. Henry, and W. L. Nutter (Eds.), The Forest Alternative: For Treatment and Utilization of Municipal and Industrial Wastes. Seattle: University of Washington Press, pp. 168–176.

Harrison, E.Z., M.B. McBride, and D.R. Bouldin. 1997. The Case for Caution – Recommendations for Land Application of Sewage Sludges and The US EPA's Part 503 Sludge Rules. Cornell University Waste Management Institute (Revised 1999).

Harrison, R., Xue, D., Henry, C., and Cole, D.W. 1994. Long-term effects of heavy applications of biosolids on organic matter and nutrient content of a coarse-textured forest soil. Forest Ecology and Management 66(1-3): 165-177.

Hart, J. B., Jr. and Nguyen, P. V. 1994. Soil, groundwater, and plant resources in sludge-treated bigtooth aspen sapling ecosystems. Journal of Environmental Quality 23: 1257–1264.

Hart, J. H., Hart, J. B., and Nguyen, P. V. 1986. Aspen mortality following sludge application in Michigan. In: D. W. Cole, C. L. Henry, and W. L. Nutter (eds.), The Forest Alternative for Treatment and Utilization of Municipal and Industrial Wastes. Seattle: University of Washington Press, pp. 533–548.

Harussi, Y., Rom, D., Galil, N., and Semiat, R. 2001. Evaluation of Membrane Processes to Reduce the Salinity of Reclaimed Wastewater. Desalination, 137, 71.

Haschek, W.M., Furr, A.K., Parkinson, T.F., Heffron, C.L., Reid, J.T., Bache, C.A., Wszolek, P.C., Gutenmann, W.H., and Lisk, D.J. 1979. Element and Polychlorinated Biphenyl Deposition and Effects in Sheep Fed Cabbage Grown on Municipal Sewage Sludge. Cornell Vet. 69:302–314.

Hass, C.N. and R.R. Trussell. 1998. Frameworks for assessing reliability of multiple, independent barriers in potable water reuse. Water Sci. Technol. p. 1-8.

Hattori, H. 1992. Influence of heavy metals on soil microbial activities. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 38(1):93-100.

Hays, B. D. 1977. Potential for Parasitic Disease Transmission with Land Application of Sewage Plant Effluents and Sludges. Journal of Water Research 11:583–595.

Heckman, J.R., Angle, J.S., and Chaney, R.L. 1987. Residual effects of sewage sludge on soybean: Accumulation of heavy metals. Journal of Environmental Quality 16: 341–347.

Heffron, C.L., Reid, J.T., Elfving, D.C., Stoewsand, G.S., Haschek, W.M., Telford, J.N., Furr, A.K., Parkinson, T.F., Bache, C.A., Gutenmann, W.H., Waszolek, P.C., and Lisk, D.J. 1980. Cadmium and Zinc in Growing Sheep Fed Silage Corn Grain from Silage Grown on Municipal Sludge-Amended Soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 28:56–61.

Hegstrom, L. and West, S. 1989. Heavy Metal Accumulation in Small Mammals following Sewage Sludge Application to Forests. J. of Environ. Qual. 18(3):345–349.

Helmke, P.A., Robarge, W.P., Korotev, R.L., and Schomberg, P.J. 1979. Effects of Soil-Applied Sewage Sludge on Concentrations of Elements in Earthworms. J. Environ. Qual. 8(3):322–327.

Hemphil, B. 1988. Fluid bed technology for sludge destruction. Water/Engineering and Management (Dec.):37-40.

Hemphill, D.D., Jackson, T.L., Martin, L.W., Kiemnec, G.L., Hanson, D., and Volk, V.V. 1982. Sweet corn response to application of three sewage sludges. Journal of Environmental Quality 11: 191–196.

Henry, C. L. 1986. Growth response, mortality, and foliar nitrogen concentrations of four tree species treated with pulp and paper and municipal sludges. In: D. W. Cole, C. L. Henry, and W. N. Nutter (Eds.), The Forest Alternative for Treatment and Utilization of Municipal and Industrial Wastes. Seattle: University of Washington Press, pp. 558–565.

Henry, C. L., and Harrison, R.B. 1992. Fate of Trace Metals in Sewage Sludge Compost. In: Biogeochemistry of Trace Metals. CRC Press, Inc., pp. 195–215.

Hernandez, T., Moreno, J.I., and Costa, F. 1991. Influence of sewage sludge application on crop yields and heavy metal availability. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 37(2): 201–210.

Hespanhol I. and Prost A. 1994. Who Guidelines and National Standards For Reuse and Water-Quality. Water Research 28(1): p. 119-124.

Hicks-Robison, H., and Michaelson, L. 2001. Learning from the Future: How Youth Advisory Councils Can Help Shape Workable Water Resource Solutions. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Hinesly, T.D., Hansen, L.G., Bray, D.J., and Redborg, K. 1985. Transfer of Sludge-Borne Cadmium Through Plants to Chickens. J. Agric. Food Chem. 33:173–180.

Hinesly, T.D., Ziegler, E.L., and Tyler, J.J. 1976. Selected Chemical Elements in Tissues of Pheasants Fed Corn Grain from Sewage Sludge-Amended Soil. Agro–Ecosystems 3:11–26.

Hinsley, T.D., Ziegler, E.L., and Barrett, G.L. 1979. Residual effects of irrigating corn with digested sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 8(1): 35-38.

Hiraoka, M., Takizawa, Y., Masuda, Y., Takeshita, R., Yagome, K., Tanaka, M., Watanabe, Y., and Morikawa, K. 1987. Investigation on generation of dioxins and related compound from municipal incinerators in Japan. Chemosphere 16(8/9):1901–1906.

Hirsch, P.R., Jones, M.J., McGrath, S.P., and Giller, K.E. 1993. Heavy metals from past applications of sewage sludge decrease the genetic diversity of Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii populations. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 25(11):1485–1490.

Hogue, D.E., Parrish, J.J., Foote, R.H., Stouffer, J.R., Anderson, J., Stoewsand, G.S., Telford, J.N., Bache, C.A., Gutenmann, W.H., and Lisk, D.J. 1984. Toxicologic Studies with Male Sheep Grazing on Municipal Sludge-Amended Soil. J. of Toxicology and Environ. Health. 14:153–161.

Holtzclaw, K.M., Keech, D.A., Page, A.L., Sposito, G., Ganje, T.J., and Ball, N. B. 1978. Trace metal distribution among the humic acid, the fulvic acid and precipitable fractions extracted with NaOH from sewage sludges. Journal of Environmental Quality 7: 24–127.

Howe, J. and Wagner, M. 1996. The effect of papermill wastewater and organic amendments on sodium accumulation by potted cottonwoods. Environmental Pollution 92(2): 113–118.

Huang, C.-H., Renew, J.E., Pinkston, K., and Sedlak, D.L. 2001. Occurrence And Fate Of Antibiotic Compounds In Municipal Wastewater And Animal Waste. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Huddleston, J.H. and M.P. Ronayne. 1995. Guide to soil suitability and site selection for beneficial use of domestic wastewater biosolids. Manual 8, Oregon State University Extension Service, Corvallis, OR.

Hue N. V. 1988. A possible mechanism for manganese phytotoxic in Hawaii soils amended with a low manganese sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 17(3): 473–479.

Hue, N.V. 1992. Correcting soil acidity of a highly weathered ultisol with chicken manure and sewage sludge. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 23(3/4): 241–264.

Hue, N.V., and Ranjith, S.A. 1994. Sewage sludges in Hawaii: Chemical composition and reactions with soils and plants. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 72: 265–283.

Hue, N.V., Silva, J.A., and Arifin, R. 1988. Sewage sludge–soil interactions as measured by plant and soil chemical composition. Journal of Environmental Quality 17(3): 384–390.

Hulugalle, N.R. 1996. Effects of pelletized sewage sludge on soil properties of a cracking clay from Eastern Australia. Waste Management & Research 14(6): 571- 580.

Hundal L.S., Carmo A.M., Bleam W.L., and Thompson M.L. 2000. Sulfur in biosolids-derived fulvic acid: Characterization by XANES spectroscopy and selective dissolution approaches. Environmental Science and Technology. 34:5184-5188.

Hurst, C. J., Farrah, S. R., Gerba, C. P., and Melnick, J. L. 1978. Development of Quantitative Methods for the Detection of Enteroviruses in Sewage Sludges During Activation and Following Land Disposal. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 36:81–89.

Huyard A., Ferran B., and Audic J.M. 2000. The two phase anaerobic digestion process: sludge stabilization and pathogens reduction. Water Science and Technology 42:41-47.

Ibiebele, D.D. and A.D. Inyang. 1986. Environmental Movement of Indicator Bacteria from Soil Amended with Undigested Sewage Sludge. Environmental Pollution (Series A) 40:53–62.

Ibiebele, D.D., Inyang, A.D., Lawrence, C.H., Coleman, R.L., and Pees, N. 1985. Some Characteristics of the Behavior of Indicator Bacteria in Sewage-Amended Soil. Environmental Pollution (Series A) 39:175–182.

Illera V, Walter I, Souza P, Cala V. 2000. Short-term effects of biosolid and municipal solid waste applications on heavy metals distribution in a degraded soil under a semi-arid environment. The Science of The Total Environment 6/8,255:29-44.

Inman, J. C., McIntosh, M. S., Foss, J. E., and Wolf, D. C. 1982. Nitrogen and phosphorus movement in compostamended soils. J. of Env. Qual. 11(3): 529–532.

Jacks, C.A., et al. 1983. Health effects of water reuse: characterization of mutagenic residues isolated from reclaimed, surface, and groundwater supplies. Water Chlorination: Environ. Impact Health Eff. 4(Book 2): p.1237-48.

Jakobsen, P. and Willett, I.R. 1986. Comparisons of the fertilizing and liming properties of lime-treated sewage sludge with its incinerated ash. Fertilizer Research 9:187–197.

Jefferson, B., Laine, A.L., Stephenson, T., and Judd, S.J. 2001. Advanced Biological Unit Processes for Domestic Water Recycling. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 211-218.

Jimenez B., Barrios J.A., and Maya C. 2000. Class B biosolids production from wastewater sludge with high pathogenic content generated in an advanced primary treatment. Water Science and Technology 42:103-110.

Jing, J., and Logan, T. J. 1992. Effects of sewage sludge cadmium concentration on chemical extractability and plant uptake. Journal of Environmental Quality 21: 73–81.

Johansson M., B. Stenberg, and L. Torstensson. 1999. Microbiological and chemical changes in two arable soils after long-term sludge amendments. Biology and Fertility of Soils 30:1/2 pp 160-167.

Johnson, D.E., Kienholz, E.W., Baxter, J.C., Spangler, E., and Ward, G.M. 1981. Heavy Metal Retention in Tissue of Cattle Fed High Cadmium Sewage Sludge. J. of Animal Sci. 52(1):108–114.

Johnson, J. A., Gallagher, T., and Naylor, L. M. 1987. Sludge proves effective as fertilizer. BioCycle 28(3): 33-35.

Johnson, T., and Dalton, D. 2001. Palm Beach County Florida Uses Innovative Cloth-Media Tertiary Filtration To Meet Its Reuse Requirements. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Jolis, D., Lam, C., and Pitt, P. 2001. Particle Effects on Ultraviolet Disinfection of Coliform Bacteria in Recycled Water. Water Environ. Res., 73, 233.

Jolis, D., P. Pitt, and R. Hirano. 1999. Risk assessment for Cryptosporidium parvum in reclaimed water. Water Res. 33(13): p. 3051-3055.

Jones, D., and Muehlchen, A. 1994. Effects of the potentially toxic metals, aluminum, zinc and copper on ectomycorrhizal fungi. J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A, Environ. Sci. Eng. A29(5): 949–966.

Joost, R.E., Olsen, F.J., and Jones, J.H. 1987. Revegetation and minesoil development of coal refuse amended with sewage sludge and limestone. Journal of Environmental Quality 16(1): 65-68.

Jordahl, J.L., and Karlen, D.L. 1996. Comparison of alternative farming systems. III. Soil aggregate stability. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture 8(1): 27-33.

Jousset S., Tabachow R.M, and Peirce J.J. 2001. Soil nitric oxide emissions from nitrification and denitrification. Journal of Environmental Engineering 127:322-328.

Jurado P. and Wester D.B. 2001. Effects of biosolids on tobosagrass growth in the Chihuahuan desert. Journal of Range Management 54:89-95.

Juste, C., and Mench, M. 1992. Long-Term Application of Sewage Sludge and Its Effects on Metal Uptake by Crops. Biogeochemistry of Trace Metals. CRC Press, Inc., pp. 159–193.

Kaminsky, W. and Kummer, A.B. 1989. Fluidized bed pyrolysis of digested sewage sludge. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 16:27–35.

Kamiya, A. and Ose, Y. 1987. Study of the behavior of mutagens in wastewater and emission gas from a municipal incinerator evaluated by means of the Ames assay. The Science of the Total Environment 65:107–120.

Kaneko, M., Morimoto, K., and Nambu, S. 1976. The response of activated sludge to a polychlorinated biphenyl (KC-500). Water Research 10:157-163.

Karabelas, A.J., Yiantsios, S.G., Metaxiotou, Z., Andritsos, N., Akiskalos, A., Vlachopoulos, G., and Stavroulias, S. 2001. Water and Materials Recovery from Fertilizer Industry Acidic Effluents by Membrane Processes. Desalination,138, 93.

Kasatikov, V. A., Runik, V.E., Kasatikov, S.M., and Shabardina, N.P. 1992. Influence of sludge from urban sewage on the microelement composition of Sod-Podzolic Loamy sand soils. Agrokhimiya 4: 85–89.

Keefer, G. B., Gilliland, M. W., and Hoffman, R. E. 1988. Land application of domestic wastewater, wood production, and sludge composting: an economic and energy production analysis. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 1(1): 39–48.

Keith, L. H. and Telliard, W. A. 1979. Priority pollutants I -- a perspective view. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13:416-423.

Kenner, B. A., Dotson, G. K., and Smith, J. E. 1971. Simultaneous Quantitation of Salmonella Species and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. 1) Polluted Waters 2)Persistence of Pathogens in Sludge Treated Soils 3) Analysis of Waste Treatment Sludges for Salmonella Species as a Surveillance Tool. NTIS # PB–213 706 National Technical Information Service.

Kerr, S. N. and Sopper, W. E. 1982. Utilization of municipal wastewater and sludge for forest biomass production on marginal and disturbed land. In: W. E. Sopper, E. M. Seaker, and R. K. Bastian (Eds.), Land Reclamation and Biomass Production with Municipal Wastewater and Sludge. University Park: Pennsylvania State University, pp. 75–87.

Khaleel, R., Reddy, K.R., and Overcash, M.R. 1981. Changes in soil physical properties due to organic waste applications: A review. Journal of Environmental Quality 10(2): 133-141.

Kiemnec, G.L., D.D. Hemphill, M. Hickey, T.L. Jackson and V.V. Volk. 1990. Sweet corn yield and tissue metal concentration after seven years of sewage sludge applications. J. Prod. Agric. 3:232-237.

Kiemnec, G.L., T.L. Jackson, D.D. Hemphill and V.V. Volk. 1987. Relative effectiveness of sewage sludge as a nitrogen fertilizer for tall fescue. J. Envir.Qual. 16:353-356.

Kienholz, E.W., Ward, G.M., Johnson, D.E., Baxter, J., Braude, G., and Stern, G. 1979. Metropolitan Denver Sewage Sludge Fed to Feedlot Steers. J. Anim. Sci. 48(4):735–741.

Kindzierski, W.B., R.E. Rogers, and N.J. Low. 1993. Health effects associated with wastewater treatment, disposal, and reuse. Water Environ. Res. 65(4):p. 599-605.

King, L.D. 1988. Retention of metals by several soils of the southeastern United States. Journal of Environmental Quality 17: 239–245.

King, L.D., and Dunlop, W.R. 1982. Application of sewage sludge to soils high in organic matter. Journal of Environmental Quality 11: 608–616.

King, L.D., and Hajjar, L.M. 1990. The residual effect of sewage sludge on heavy metal content of tobacco and peanut. Journal of Environmental Quality 19(4): 738–748.

Kinsbursky, R.S., Levanon, D., and Yaron, B. 1989. Role of fungi in stabilizing aggregates in sewage sludgeamended soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 53: 1086-1091.

Kistler, R.C., Widmer, F., and Brunner, P.H. 1985. The fate and behavior of selected heavy metals during pyrolysis of sewage sludge. p. In Processing and Use of Organic Sludge and Liquid Agricultural Wastes, Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium held in Rome, Italy, 8–11 October 1985. P. L'Hermite (ed.) pp. 448–451.

Kistler, R.C., Widmer, F., and Brunner, P.H. 1987. Behavior of chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, cadmium, mercury, and lead during the pyrolysis of sewage sludge. Environ. Sci. Technol. 12(7):704–708.

Kladivko, E.J., and Nelson, D.W. 1979. Changes in soil properties from application of anaerobic sludge. Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation 51: 325-332.

Klei, Herbert E., Donald Sundstrom, Craig Sweeney. 1981. Metal leaching from sewage sludge ash. BioCycle (May/June):41–43.

Knight B, Chaudri A, McGrath S, and Giller K. 1998. Determination of Chemical Availability of Cadmium and Zinc in Soils Using Inert Soil Moisture Samplers. Environmental Pollution 99:293-298.

Knight B, McGrath S, and Chaudri A. 1997. Biomass Carbon Measurements and Substrate Utilization Patterns of Microbial Populations from Soils Amended with Cadmium, Copper, or Zinc. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, p 39-43

Knudson, J. C. 1986. Study of municipal incineration residue and its designation as a dangerous waste. State of Washington, Department of Ecology, Solid Waste Section. August 1986.

Korcak, R.F., and Fanning, D.S. 1985. Availability of applied heavy metals as a function of type of soil material and metal source. Soil Science 140: 23–34.

Kosch, J. and Marson, J. 1986. Testing of a total hydrocarbon monitoring system at the Ashbridges Bay sewage treatment plant incinerator, Toronto. Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Proceedings of the Technology Transfer Conference, pp. 305–313.

Kowal, N. E. 1985. Health Effects of Land Application of Municipal Sludge. NTIS # PB86–197456 78. National Technical Information Service.

Kracman, B., Martin, R., and Sztajnbok, P. 2001. The Virginia Pipeline: Australia's Largest Water Recycling Project. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 35-42.

Krebs, Jurg, Hasan Belevi and Peter Baccini. 1988. Long-term behavior of bottom ash landfills. ISWA Proceedings 1:371–375.

Kuchenrither, R. D., and McMillan, S.I. 1990. Preview analysis of national sludge survey. BioCycle 31(7): 60-62.

Kuo, J.-F., et al. 1998. Dual role of activated carbon process for water reuse, in Water Environ. Res.p. 161-170.

Lakzian, A, Murphy, P, Turner, A, Beynon, J, and Giller, K. 2002. Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. Viciae populations in soils with increasing heavy metal contamination: abundance, plasmid profiles, diversity and metal tolerance. Soil Biology and Biochemistry.34:4, 519-529.

Lal, P.V.A., and Nor, Y.M. 1994. Influence of palm oil sludge on physical properties of soil and growth characteristics of oil palm seedlings (Elaeis guineensis). Tropical Agriculture 71(2): 95-99.

Lambert, D. H. and Weidensaul, C. 1982. Use of sewage sludge for tree seedling and Christmas tree production. In: W. E. Sopper, E. M. Seaker, and R. K. Bastian (Eds.), Land Reclamation and Biomass Production with Municipal Wastewater and Sludge. University Park: Pennsylvania State University, pp. 292–300.

Lamy, I., Bourgeois, S., and Bermond, A. 1993. Soil cadmium mobility as a consequence of sewage sludge disposal. Journal of Environmental Quality 22(4): 731–737.

Lance, J.C. 1984. Land Disposal of Sewage Effluents and Residues. Groundwater Pollution Microbiology G. Bitton and C. Gerba, eds. John Wiley and Sons, New York 197–224.

Lance, J.C., Gerba, C.P., and Melnick, J.L. 1976. Virus Movement in Soil Columns Flooded with Secondary Sewage Effluent. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 32:520–526.

Langenbach, T., Pfeifer, W., Freire, L.R., Sarpa, M., and Paim, S. 1994. Heavy metals in sludge from the sewage treatment plant of Rio de Janeiro. Environ Technol. 15(10): 997–1000.

Lasaridi K.E., Stentiford E.I., and Evans T. 2000. Windrow composting of wastewater biosolids: process performance and product stability assessment. Water Science and Technology 42:217-226.

Latterell, J.J., Dowdy, R.H., and Ham, G.E. 1976. Sludge-borne metal uptake by soybeans as a function of soil cation exchange capacity. Commun. Soil Science and Plant Anal. 7(5): 465–476.

Lauer, W.C., et al. 1990. Comprehensive health effects testing program for Denver's Potable Water Reuse Demonstration Project. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 30(4):305-21.

Lauer, W.C., et al. 1991. Process selection for potable reuse health effects studies. J. Am. Water Works Assoc. 83(11): p. 52-63.

Laughton, P. J., Papadopol, C. S., and Jaciw, P. 1990. Zero discharge through innovative application of intensive silviculture to effluent disposal from a small Canadian wastewater treatment plant. Water Science and Technology 22(3–4): 217–224.

Law, I. 1997. Domestic non-potable reuse-why even consider it? Water (Artarmon, Aust.) 24(3): p. 9-13.

Lawlor K, Knight B, Barbosa-Fefferson, V, Lane P, Lilley A, Paton G, McGrath S, O'Flaherty S, Hirsch P. 2000. Comparison of Methods to Investigate Microbial Populations in Soils Under Different Agricultural Management. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 33:129-137.

Lawton, L.A. and P.K.J. Robertson, Physico-chemical treatment methods for the removal of microcystins (cyanobacterial hepatotoxins) from potable waters, in Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999. p. 217-224.

Layton AC, Gregory BW, Seward JR, Schultz TW, and Sayler GS. 2000. Mineralization of steroidal hormones by biosolids in wastewater treatment systems in Tennessee USA. Environmental Science and Technology 34 (18) 3925-3931.

Leclerc, A., Goldberg, M., Goldberg, P., Deloumeaux, J., and Fuhrer, R. 1987. Geographical distribution of respiratory cancer in New Caledonia. Arch. Environ. Health 42(5): 315–320.

Legret, M. 1993. Speciation of heavy metals in sewage sludge and sludge amended soil. Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 51: 161–165.

Leszczynska, D. 1998. Wastewater reclamation and reuse: water quality requirements, health concerns and public acceptance. Warsaw '98, Int.Symp. Exhib. Environ. Contam. Cent. East. Eur., Symp. Proc., 4th: p.983-988.

Leung, D. and Chant, S.R. 1990. Effects of sewage sludge treatment of soils on nodulation and leghaemoglobin content of clover. Microbios. 64:85–92.

Leung, D. and Young, Tom W.K. 1990. SEM and X-ray analysis of root nodules of Trifolium repens L. in sewage sludge-treated soils. Microbios. 63:45–53.

Levine, B.B., et al. 1999. Treatment of trace organic compounds by membrane processes. At the Lake Arrowhead water reuse pilot plant. Water Sci. Technol. p. 293-301.

Lewis, S.J. and Samson, F.B. 1981. Use of Upland Forests by Birds Following Spray-Irrigation with Municipal Wastewater. Env. Pollu. (Ser. A.) 26:267–273.

Li, Y.M., Chaney, R.L., Siebielec, G. and Kerschner, B.A. 2000. Response of four turfgrass cultivars to limestone and biosolids-compost amendment of a zinc and cadmium contaminated soil at Palmerton, Pennsylvania. Journal of Environmental Quality 29 (5) : 1440-1447

Liao, P. B. and Pilat, M. J. 1972. Air pollutant emissions from fluidized bed sewage sludge incinerators. Water and Sewage Works (Feb.):68–74.

Lim, S.-J., D. W. Choi, et al.. 2000. Volatile fatty acids production from food wastes and its application to biological nutrient removal. Bioprocess Engineering 22(6): 543-545.

Lisk, D.J. 1989. Compressive strength of cement containing ash from municipal refuse or sewage sludge incinerators. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 42:540–543.

Lisk, D.J., Boyd, R.D., Telford, J.N., Babish, J.G., Stoewsand, G.S., Bache, C.A., and Gutenmann, W.H. 1982. Toxicologic Studies with Swine Fed Corn Grown on Municipal Sewage Sludge-Amended Soil. J. of Animal Science 55(3):613–619.

Lister SK and Line MA. 2001. Potential utilisation of sewage sludge and paper mill waste for biosorption of metals from polluted waterways. Bioresource Technology 79:35-39.

Liu, D. 1982. The Effect of Sewage Sludge Land Disposal on the Microbiological Quality of Ground water. Journal of Water Research 16:957–961.

Logan, T.J., and Chaney, R.L. 1983. Utilization of municipal wastewater and sludge on land—metals. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Utilization of Municipal Wastewater and Sludge on Land, pp. 235–326.

Logan, T.J., and Harrison, B.J. 1995. Physical characteristics of alkaline stabilized sewage sludge (N-Viro soil) and their effects on soil physical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 24(1): 153-164.

Logan, T.J., Chang, A.C., Page, A.L., and Ganje, T.J. 1987. Accumulation of selenium in crops grown on sludge-treated soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 16: 349–352.

Logan, T.J., Harrison, B.J., McAvoy, D.C., and Greff, J.A. 1996. Effects of olestra in sewage sludge on soil physical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 25(1): 153-161.

Lopez-Garcia, P. Vinas, J. Arroyo-Cortez, and M. Hernandez-Cordoba. 2000. Rapid determination of lead and cadmium in sewage sludge samples using electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry with slurry sample introduction. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry 367:8 pp 727-732.

Lorenz, S. E., McGrath, S. P., and Giller, K. E. 1992. Assessment of free living nitrogen fixation activity as a biological indicator of heavy metal toxicity in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 24(6):601–606.

Lowe, P. 1988. Developments in sewage sludge incineration. Chemistry and Industry (May):293-296.

MacConnell, G.S., Wells, C.G., and Metz, L.J. 1986. Influence of Municipal Sludge on Forest Soil Mesofauna. IN: The Forest Alternative. Cole D.W., Henry, C.L., and Nutter, W.L., eds. pp. 177–187.

Madariaga, G.M. and Angle, J.S. 1992. Sludge-borne salt free effects on survival of Bradyrhizobium japonicum. J– Environ–Qual. 21(2):276–280.

Madison Metropolitan Sewage District. 1988. Interim Draft Report PCB Field Studies. Madison, Wisconsin. October.

Madsen, R.F. 1987. Membrane technology as a tool to prevent dangers to human health by water-reuse. Desalination 67: p. 381-93.

Maguire R.O., Sims, J.T., and Coale, F.J. 2000. Phosphorus fractionation in biosolids-amended soils: Relationship to soluble and desorbable phosphorus. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 64:2018-2024.

Maisonnave V., Montrejaud Vignoles M., Bonnin C., Revel J.C., and Vignoles C. 2001: The influence of biosolids treatment files on the mobility of metal trace elements. Water Science And Technology 44:381-387.

Majima, T., Tadao, K., Naruse, M., and Hiraoka, M. 1977. Studies on pyrolysis process of sewage sludge. Progresses in Water Technology 9:381–396.

Malone, P.G. and Jones, L.W. 1985. Solidification/Stabilization of sludge and ash from wastewater treatment plants. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Sponsored by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Report Number: EPA/600/2–85/058.

Manos, C. G., Patel–Mandlik, K.J., and Lisk, D.J. 1992. Prevalence of asbestos in composted waste from 26 communities in the United States. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 23(2): 266–269.

Mara, D. 2001. Appropriate Wastewater Collection, Treatment and Reuse in Developing Countries. Municipal Engineer, 145, 299.

Marcucci, M., Nosenzo, G., Capannelli, G., Ciabatti, I., Corrieri, D., and Ciardelli, G. 2001. Treatment and Reuse of Textile Effluents based on New Ultrafiltration and Other Membrane Technologies. Desalination, 138, 75.

Marrs, D.R., Gerhardt, M.B., De Jonge, J.B., and Claff, R.E. 2001. Loss Of Effluent Mixing Zone Dilution Credits: The Cost Impact To The Domestic Petroleum Refining Industry. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Marsili Libelli, S. and Tabani, F. 2002. Accuracy analysis of a respirometer for activated sludge dynamic modeling. Water Research 36:1181-1192.

Martens, D.A., and Frankenberger, W.T, Jr. 1992. Modification of infiltration rates in an organic-amended irrigated soil. Agronomy Journal 84(4): 707-717.

Martensson, A.M. and Witter, E. 1990. Influence of various soil amendments on nitrogen-fixing soil microorganisms in a long-term field experiment, with special reference to sewage sludge. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 22(7):977–982.

Martin, S.G., Thiel, D.A., Duncan, J.W., and Lance, W.R. 1987. Effects of Paper Industry Sludge containing Dioxin on Wildlife in Red Pine Plantations. IN: TAPPI Proceedings 1987 Environmental Conference, Portland OR. TAPPI Press, Atlanta. p. 363–377.

Martinez, P. 2001. Restoration and the Reclamation of Mitchell Lake. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Maskarinec, M.P., Francis, C.W., and Goyert, J.C. 1987. Mobility of organic and inorganic constituents from energy and combustion-related wastes under co-disposal conditions. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, same, Science Applications, Inc. Research Sponsored by the Environmental Protection Agency. Report Number: DE 008137.

Matthews, M.R., Miller, F.A., and Hyfantis, G.J. 1981. Florence demonstration of fertilizer from sludge. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 20: 567–574.

Mavrov, V., A. Faehnrich, and H. Chmiel. 1997. Treatment of low-contaminated waste water from the food industry to produce water of drinking quality for reuse. Desalination 113: p. 2-3.

Mavrov, V., Chmiel, H., and Belieres, E. 2001. Spent Process Water Desalination and Organic Removal by Membranes for Water Reuse in the Food Industry. Desalination, 138, 65.

Mays, D.A., Terman, G.L., and Duggan, J.C. 1973. Municipal compost: Effects on crop yields and soil properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 2(1): 89-92.

Mazer, S., Taylor, P.H., and Dellinger, B. 1987. Potential emissions of hazardous organic compounds from sewage sludge incineration. University of Dayton Research Institute, same, same. Sponsored by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Report Number: EPA/600/2–87/046.

Mbagwu, J.S.C., Piccolo, A., and Spallacci, P. 1991. Effects of field applications of organic wastes from different sources on chemical, rheological and structural properties of some Italian surface soils. Bioresources Technology 37(1): 71-78.

McBride, M.B. 1998. Growing Food Crops on Sludge-Amended Soils: Problems With the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method of Estimating Toxic Metal Transfer. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry17:11 pp.2274-2281.

McBride, M.B. 1995. Toxic metal accumulation from agricultural use of sludge: Are USEPA regulations protective? Journal of Environmental Quality 24(1): 5–18.

McBride, M.B. 1998. Soluble Trace Metals in Alkaline Stabilized Sludge Products. Published in the Journal of Environmental Quality 27:578-584.

McBride, M.B., B.K. Richards, T.S. Steenhuis and G. Spiers. 2000. Molybdenum Uptake By Forage Crops Grown on Sewage Sludge – Amended Soils in the Field and Greenhouse. Journal of Environmental Quality. 29:848-854.

McCoy, E.L. 1992. Quantitative physical assessment of organic materials used in sports turf rootzone mixes. Agronomy Journal 84: 375-381.

McCoy, E.L. and Hagedorn, C. 1979. Quantitatively Tracing Bacterial Transport in Saturated Soil Systems. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 11:467–479.

McGovern, L., Nagel, R., Aguilar, A., and Miller, D. 2001. What the Doctor(s) Ordered - A Blue Ribbon Panel Review of Injecting 100% Recycled Water Into the West Coast Basin Seawater Barrier. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

McGrath, SP, and Brookes, P.C. 1986. Effects of long-term sludge additions on microbial biomass and microbial processes in soil. In: Factors Influencing Sludge Utilization Practices in Europe, pp. 80–89.

McIntosh, M. S., Foss, J. E., Wolf, D. C., Brandt, K. R., and Darmody, R. 1984. Effect of composted municipal sewage sludge on growth and elemental composition on white pine and hybrid poplar. J. of Env. Qual. 13(1): 60–62.

McLaren, R. and Clucas L . 2001. Fractionation of Copper, Nickel, and Zinc in Metal-Spiked Sewage Sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:1968-1975.

McLaughlin MJ, Parker DR, Clarke JM. 1998. Metals and micronutrients - food safety issues. Field Crops Research 1/1,60:143-163.

McMahon K.D, Stroot P.G, Mackie R.I, Raskin L. 2001. Anaerobic codigestion of municipal solid waste and biosolids under various mixing conditions--II: microbial population dynamics. Water Research 35 1817-1827.

Meeussen, J.C. L., Keizer, M.G., van Riemsdjik, W.H., and Frans de Haan, A.M. 1994. Solubility of cyanide in contaminated soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 23: 785–792.

Mellbye, M.E., Hemphill, D.D. Jr., and Volk, V.V. 1982. Sweet corn growth on incinerated sewage sludge-amended soil. J. Environ. Qual. 11(2):160–163.

Mench, M.J., Martin, E., and Solda, P. 1994. After effects of metals derived from a highly metal-polluted sludge on maize (Zea mays L.). Water, Air and Soil Pollution 75(3/4): 277–291.

Merlo, R.P., Adham, S., Gagliardo, P., Trussell, R.R., Stephenson, R., and Trussell, R.S. 2001. A Cost Analysis Of The Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) Process For Water Reclamation. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago, IL, Walter Jakubowski, Project Officer. 1979. Viral and Bacterial Levels Resulting from the Land Application of Digested Sludge. NTIS # PB–296 491. National Technical Information Service.

Metzger, L., and Yaron, B. 1987. Influence of sludge organic matter on soil physical properties. Advances in Soil Science 7: 141-163.

Metzger, L., Levanon, D., and Mingelgrin, U. 1987. The effect of sewage sludge on soil structural stability: Microbiological aspects. Soil Science Society of America Journal 51: 346-351.

Meyera, V., Redentea, E., Barbarick, K., and Brobst, R. 2001. Biosolids Applications Affect Runoff Water Quality following Forest Fire. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:1528-1532.

Meyerhoff, R., Moore, T., Morea, S., Curley, E., Foster, T., Sierra, K., Murphy, M., and Smith, L. 2001. Water Resources Conflicts: The Need For An Alternative Approach To Permitting In Effluent Dependent Ecosystems. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Miah, M.Y., Wang, M.K., and Chino, M. 2000. Wheat grown on volcanic ash with slow releasing nitrogen fertilizer and amended with sewage sludge compost. Commun soil sci plant anal. 31:5/6 695-703.

Miles, S.W., Bonne, R.P., Fisher, R.K., and Ammerman, D.K. 2001. Reclaimed Water Offsets Peak Potable Demands in Cary, NC. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Miller, J. and Boswell F.C. 1976. Mineral Composition of Liver and Kidney of Rats Fed Corn, Sorghum, and Soybean Grain Grown with Sewage Sludges and NPK Fertilizers. J. Agric. Food Chem. 24(5):935–938.

Miller, J. and Boswell F.C. 1979. Mineral Content of Selected Tissues and Feces of Rats Fed Turnip Greens Grown on Soil Treated with Sewage Sludge. J. Agric. Food Chem. 27(6):1361–1365.

Miller, P., Rossi, J., Laia-Bluml, G., Bhamrah, A., and Horne, A. 2001. Recycled Water for Lake Elsinore: A New Perspective. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Miller, R. 1974. Microbiology of Sewage Sludge Disposal in Soil. NTIS #PB–237 817. National Technical Information Service.

Miller, R.H. 1973. The Soil as a Biological Filter. In: Recycling Treated Municipal Wastewater and Sludge Through Forest and Cropland Sopper and Kardos, eds. Penn State University Press pp. 71–94.

Milner, R.L. 1986. Response of Birds to Potential Habitat Alteration and Heavy Metal Accumulation following Sewage Application in Douglas-fir Forests. IN: Nutritional and Toxic Effects of Sewage Sludge in Forest Ecosystems. West, S.D., and Zasoski, R.J., eds.

Moffat, A.J., Matthews, R.W., and Hall, J.E. 1991. The effects of sewage sludge on growth and foliar and soil chemistry in pole-stage Corsican pine at Ringwood Forest, Dorset, UK. Canadian Journal of Forest Resources 21(6): 902–909.

Morel, J.L., and Guckert, A. 1983. Influence of limed sludge on soil organic matter and soil physical properties. In: G. Catroux, P. L'Hermite, and E. Suess (Eds.), The Influence of Sewage Sludge Application on Physical and Biological Properties of Soils. Boston & London: D. Reidel Publishing Company, pp. 25-42.

Mortensen, G., Egsgaardb, H., Ambusb, P., Jensenb, E. and Grøn. C. 2001. Influence of Plant Growth on Degradation of Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonate in Sludge-Amended Soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:1266-1270.

Moza, P. N., Scheunert, I., Klein, W., and Korte, F. 1979. Long-term uptake of lower chlorinated biphenyls and their conversion products by spruce trees (Picea abies) from soil treated with sewage sludge. Chemosphere 6:373-375.

Mujeriego, R., et al. 1996. Agronomic and public health assessment of reclaimed water quality for landscape irrigation. Water Sci. Technol. 33(10-11, Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse 1995): 335-344.

Mukhtar, S., Haswell, S. J., Ellis, A. T., and Hawke, D. T. 1991. Application of total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry to elemental determinations in water, soil and sewage sludge. Analyst 116: 333–338.

Mulchi, C.L., Adamu, C.A., Bell, P.F., and Chaney, R.L. 1991. Residual heavy metal concentrations in sludgeamended Coastal Plain soils. I. Comparison of extractants. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 22(9/10): 919–941.

Munger, S. 1983. Health Effects of Municipal Wastewater Sludge—A Risk Assessment. Appendix B to the Sludge Management Plan. Publication 334, Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle.

Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle. 1983. Metro Sludge Quality: Monitoring Report and Literature Review, Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle.

Munna K. J., Evans J., and Chalk P.M. 2000. Mineralization of soil and legume nitrogen in soils treated with metalcontaminated sewage sludge. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 32:2031-2043.

Murray B. McBride, Brian K. Richards, Tammo S. Steenhuis, John J. Russo, and Sebastien Sauve. 1997. Mobility and Solubility of Toxic Metals and Nutrients in Soil Fifteen Years After Sludge Application. Soil Science. 162:487-500.

Mysore, C., Laquidara, M., Alloway, C., and Kiyosaki, D. 2001. The Honoliuli Water Reclamation Facility - A DBFOO Experience. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Naassnera, M., Mergler, M., Wolfb, K., and Schuphana ,I. 2002. Determination of the xenoestrogens 4-nonylphenol and bisphenol A by high-performance liquid chromatography and fluorescence detection after derivatisation with dansyl chloride. Journal of Chromatography 945:133-138.

Narwal, R.P., Singh, B.R., and Panhwar, A.R. 1983. Plant availability of heavy metals in a sludge-treated soil: Effect of sewage sludge and soil pH on the yield and chemical composition of rape. Journal of Environmental Quality 12: 358–365.

National Research Council - National Academy of Sciences. 1996. Report on the Use of Reclaimed Water and Sludge in Food Crop Production. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C..

National Research Council - National Academy of Sciences. 2002. Biosolids Applied to The Land: Advancing Standards and Practices. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

Naylor, L.M. 1989. Asbestos in sludge—a significant risk?. BioCycle 30(1): 51-53.

Ndegwa P.M., and Thompson S.A. 2001. Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. Bioresource Technology 1:76 107-112.

NEDO. 1994. Survey of 1993 Coal Production/Utilization Technology Promotion Subsidy Area Models. Interim Report from New Energy Development Organization, Tokyo, Japan. Mar. 1994.

Nethercott, J.R. 1981. Airborne irritant contact dermatitis due to sewage sludge. J. of Occupational Medicine 23(11):771–774.

Ng, W. J., Ong, S.L., and Hu, J.Y. 2001. The Effects of Water Reclamation Technologies on Biological Stability of Industrial Water. Water Sci. Technol., 43:10 327-334.

Nichols Engineering and Research Corporation. 1981. Thermal conversion of municipal wastewater sludge, Phase II: Study of heavy metals emissions. Municipal Environmental Research Lab. U.S. EPA Grant No. R804463. Sept., 1981.

Nickel N., Tiehm A., and Neis U. 2000. Enhancement of anaerobic sludge digestion by ultrasonic disintegration. Water Science & Technology 42:9 pp 73–80.

Nickelson, S.A. and West, S.D. 1995. Renal Cadmium Concentrations in Mice and Shrews Collected from Lands Treated with Biosolids. J. Environ. Qual. 25:86–91.

Nielsen, K. H. 1994. Environmental aspects of using waste water and sludges in energy forest cultivation. Biomass and Bioenergy 6(1/2): 123–132.

Novak, R.G., Cudahy, J.J., Denove, M.B., Standifer, R.L., and Wass, W.E. 1977. How sludge characteristics affect incinerator design. Chemical Engineering (May):131–136.

Nozawa, T. and Maruyama, Y. 1988. Anaerobic Metabolism of Phthalate and other Aromatic Compounds by a Denitrifying Bacterium. Journal of Bacteriology 170(12) 5778-5784.

O'Donnel, C. J., Meyer, K. B., Jones, J. V., Benton, T., Kaneshiro, E. S., Nichols, J. S., and Schaefer III, F. W. 1984. Survival of Parasite Eggs Upon Storage in Sludge. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 48(3):618–625.

Obbard, J.P. and Jones, K.C. 1993. The effect of heavy metals on dinitrogen fixation by Rhizobium–white clover in a range of long-term sewage sludge amended and metal-contaminated soils. Environ–Pollut. 79(2):105–112.

Obbard, J.P., Sauerbeck, D.R., and Jones, K.C. 1993. Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii in soils amended with heavy metal contaminated sewage sludges. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 25(2):227–231.

Obbard, J.P., Sauerbeck, D.R., and Jones, K.C. 1994. Dehydrogenase activity of the microbial biomass in soils from a field experiment amended with heavy metal contaminated sewage sludges. Science of the Total Environment 142:157–162.

O'Connor G, Brobst R, Chaney R, Kincaid R, McDowell K, Pierzynski G, Rubin A, Van Riper G. 2001. A Modified Risk Assessment to Establish Molybdenum Standards for Land Application of Biosolids. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:1490-1507.

Ogoshi, M., Suzuki, Y., and Asano, T. 2001. Water Reuse in Japan. Water Sci. Technol., 43:10 pp. 17-23.

Oleszkiewicz JA, and Mavinic DS. 2001. Wastewater biosolids: an overview of processing, treatment, and management. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering 28:1,102-114.

Oliver, B. G. and Carey, J. H. 1976. Acid solubilization of sewage sludge and ash constituents for possible recovery. Water Research 10:1077–1081.

Oliver, B.G., and Cosgrove, E.G. 1975. Metal concentrations in the sewage, effluents, and sludges of some southern Ontario waste water treatment plants. Environmental Letters 9(1): 75–90.

Olivieri, A., et al. 1999. Estimation of pathogen removal in an advanced water treatment facility using Monte Carlo simulation. Water Sci. Technol. 40. (4-5): p. 223-233.

Olivieri, A.W., D. Eisenberg, and R.C. Cooper, 1994. San Diego water reuse health effects study. Proc. Water Reuse Symp.: p. 1-11.

Olivieri, A.W., et al.. 1997. Microbial risk assessment using an epidemiological based model: A case study of the public health risk of giardiasis via exposure to reclaimed water. Util. Aguas Regeneradas Biosolidos p. 2/21-2/33.

Ongerth, J. 1997. Potable Reuse of Reclaimed Municipal Sewage - Public Health and Regulatory Issues. Department of Water Engineering, University of New South Wales.

O'Riordan, E.G., Dodd, V.A., and Fleming, G.A. 1994. Spreading a low-metal sludge on grassland: Effects on soil and herbage heavy metal concentrations. Ir. J. Agric. Food Res. 33(1): 61–69.

O'Riordan, E.G., Dodd, V.A., Fleming, G.A., and Tunney, H. 1994. Repeated application of a metal-rich sewage sludge to grassland. 2. Effects on herbage metal levels. Ir. J. Agric. Food Res. 33(1): 53–60.

Oropeza M.R., Cabirol N., Ortega S., Ortiz L.P.C., and Noyola A. 2001. Removal of fecal indicator organisms and parasites (fecal coliforms and helminth eggs) from municipal biologic sludge by anaerobic mesophilic and thermophilic digestion. Water Science And Technology 44:97-101.

Otani, Y., Kanaoka, C., Usui, C., Matsui, S., and Emi, H. 1986. Adsorption of mercury vapor on particles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 20(7):735–738.

Ottolenghi, A. C. and Hamparian, V. V. 1987. Multiyear Study of Sludge Application to Farmland: Prevalence of Bacterial Enteric Pathogens and Antibody Status of Farm Families. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 53(5):1118–1124.

Pagliai, M., and Antisari, L.V. 1993. Influence of waste organic matter on soil micro- and macrostructure. Bioresources Technology 43(3): 205-213.

Pagliai, M., Guidi, G., LaMarca, M., Giachetti, M., and Lucamante, G. 1981. Effects of sewage sludges and composts on soil porosity and aggregation. Journal of Environmental Quality 10(4): 556-561.

Paino, V., Peillex, J.P., Montlahuc, O., Cambon, A., and Bianchini, J.P. 1996. Municipal tropical compost: Effects on crops and soil properties. Compost Science and Utilization 4(2): 62-69.

Pancorbo, O. C., Bitton, G., Farrah, S. R., Gifford, G. E., and Overman, A. R. 1988. Poliovirus Retention in Soil Columns after Application of Chemical- and Polyelectrolyte-Conditioned Dewatered Sludges. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 54(1):118–123.

Papaiacovou, I. 2001. Case Study – Wastewater Reuse in Limassol as an Alternative Water. Desalination, 138, 55.

Pardue, J. H., DeLuane, R. D., Jr., and Patrick, W. H. 1988. Removal of PCBs from wastewater in a simulated overland flow treatment system. Water Res. 22(8):1011-1015.

Pare, T., Dinel, H., and Schnitzer, M. 1999. Extractability of trace metals during co-composting of biosolids and municipal solid wastes. Biol-fertil-soils. Berlin, Germany : Springer-Verlag. 1999. v. 29 (1) p. 31-37.

Patel–Mandik, K.J., Manos, C.G., and Lisk, D.J. 1988. Absence of asbestos in municipal sewage sludge ashes. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 40:703–706.

Patel–Mandlik, K. J., Manos, C.G., and Lisk, D.J. 1988. Identification of asbestos and glass fibers in sewage sludges of small New York state cities. Chemosphere 17(5): 1025–1032.

Pedroza, S. 2001. Teaching the Hydrologic Cycle - Teaching Water Reuse. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Pepper, I.L., Bezdicek, D.F., Baker, A.S., and Sims, J.M. 1983. Silage corn uptake of sludge-applied zinc and cadmium as affected by soil pH. Journal of Environmental Quality 12: 270–275.

Pickrell, J.A., Oehme, F.W., and Hickman, S.R. 1991. Drought increases forage nitrate and cyanide. Vet. Hum. Toxicol. 33(3): 247–251.

Pierzynski, G.M., Logan, T.J., Traina, S.J. 1990. Phosphorus chemistry and mineralogy in excessively fertilized soils: Solubility equilibria. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54: 1589–1595.

Pietz, R. I., Peterson, J.R., Prater, J.E., and Zenz, D.R. 1984. Metal Concentrations in Earthworms from Sewage Sludge-Amended Soils at a Strip Mine Reclamation Site. J. Environ. Qual. 13(4):651–654.

Pike, E.B. 1981. The Control of Salmonellosis in the Use of Sewage Sludge on Agricultural Land. In: Characterization Treatment and Use of Sewage Sludge P. L'Hermite and H. Ott, eds. D. Reidel Publishing, London, pp. 315–349.

Pinjing, H., Phan, L., Guowei, G., and Hervouet, G. 2001. Reclaimed Municipal Wastewater - A Potential Water Resource in China. Water Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 17-23.

Plummer, A.H. Jr., and Coonan, S.J. 2001. Reclaimed Water as a Water Supply Strategy in the State of Texas. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Powers H.R. 1985. Evaluation of Fusiform Rust Resistant Pines: Progress Report, Period Ending December 1984. Report #: DE87 012150, 6 pp.

Raedeke, K.J. and West S. 1986. Transmission of Sludge-Borne Parasites to Free-Living Mammals. IN: Nutritional and Toxic Effects of Sewage Sludge in Forest Ecosystems. West, S.D. and Zasoski, R.J., eds.

Rall, K. 2001. Percolation and Beyond, The Restoration of Habitat. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Rappaport, B.D., Martens, D. G., Reneau, R. B., and Simpson, T. W. 1988. Metal availability in sludge-amended soils with elevated metal levels. Journal of Environmental Quality 17: 42–46.

Reddy, K.R., Khaleel, R., and Overcash, M.R. 1981. Behavior and Transport of Microbial Pathogens and Indicator Organisms in Soils Treated with Organic Wastes. Journal of Environmental Quality 10:255–265.

Reddy, M.R., and Dunn, S.J. 1984. Uptake and distribution of Fe and Mn by soybean cultivars on sludge amended soil [iron, manganese]. Biol. Agric. Hort. 2(1): 79–87.

Redlinger T., Graham J., Corella-Barud V., Avitia R. 2001. Survival of fecal coliforms in dry-composting toilets. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 9,67:4036-4040.

Reemtsma, T, Zywicki, B, Stueber, M, Kloepfer, A, and Jekel, M. 2002. Removal of Sulfur-Organic Polar Micropollutants in a Membrane Bioreactor Treating Industrial Wastewater. Environmental Science and Technology 36 pp 1102-1106.

Reid, T.K., and Curren, K. 2001. Sequencing Batch Reactor And Cloth-Media Filtration Technology For Water Reuse. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Renaud, P. 1998. Water reuse. Water Supply p. 285-291.

Renella, G., Chaudri, A., and Brookes, P. 2002. Fresh additions of heavy metals do not model long-term effects on microbial biomass and activity. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34:121-124.

Renner, Rebecca. 2000. Sewage Sludge Pros and Cons. Environmental Science and Technology News. pp 430-435.

Renoux A.Y., Tyagi R.D., and Samson R. 2001. Assessment of toxicity reduction after metal removal in bioleached sewage sludge. Water Research 35:1415-1424.

ReVoir, G., Refling, D., and Losch, J. 2001. Wastewater Process Enhancements Using Submerged Membrane Technology. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Richards, B, Steenhuisa, T, Peverly, J, and McBride, M.B.1998. Metal mobility at an old, heavily loaded sludge application site. Environmental Pollution 99:3 pp 365-377.

Richards, B.K., T.S. Steenhuis, J.H. Peverly and M.B. McBride. 2000. Effect of Sludge Processing Mode, Soil Texture and Soil pH on Metal Mobility in Undisturbed Soil Columns Under Accelerated Loading. Environmental Pollution 109 (2) 327-346.

Richardson, T., G.T.-C. Lai, and J. Shamloufard. 1999. Augmenting potable water supplies with reclaimed water: the San Diego experience, in Proc. EPA Natl. Wastewater Treat. Technol. Transfer Workshop, 5th. p. 3/1-3/2, 3/4-3/7.

Riha, S. R., Senesac, G. P., and Naylor, L. 1984. Nitrogen Fertilizer and Sewage Sludge Effects on Hybrid Poplars (final report). Albany: New York State Energy Research and Development Authority.

Roberts, J.A., Daniels, W.L., Bell, J.C., and Burger, J.A. 1988. Early stages of mine soil genesis as affected by topsoiling and organic amendments. Soil Science Society of America Journal 52: 730-738.

Robinson M.B. and Polglase, P.J. 2000. Volatilization of nitrogen from dewatered biosolids Journal of Environmental Quality 29 (4) : 1351-1355.

Roca, J., and Pomares, F. 1991. Prediction of available heavy metals by six chemical extractants in a sewage sludgeamended soil. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 2(19/20): 2119–2136.

Roelle, P, and Viney, A. 2002. Nitric oxide emissions from soils amended with municipal waste biosolids. Atmospheric Environment 36:137-147.

Rogers, S.E. and W.C. Lauer. 1992. Denver's demonstration of potable water reuse: water quality and health effects testing. Water Sci. Technol., 26 (7-8, Water Qual. Int. '92, Pt. 4): p. 1555-64.

Roldan, A., Albaladejo, J., and Thornes, J.B. 1996. Aggregate stability changes in a semiarid soil after treatment with different organic amendments. Arid Soil Research and Rehabilitation 10(2): 139-148.

Rose, J.B. 1986. Microbial aspects of wastewater reuse for irrigation. CRC Crit Rev Environ Control 16(3): p. 231-56.

Rose, J.B., et al. 1999. Public health evaluation of advanced reclaimed water for potable applications, in Water Sci. Technol. p. 247-252.

Rosen, C. and Polta, R. 1989. Land treatment of sewage sludge incinerator ash. Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota. A Report on Field Research in Soils.

Rosenfeld PE, Henry CL, Bennett D. 2001. Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. Water Environment Research May-Jun,73:363-367.

Rosenfeld PE, Henry CL, Dills RL, Harrison RB. 2002. Comparison of odor emissions from three different biosolids applied to forest soil. Water Air and Soil Pollution Apr,127:173-191.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Henry, C.L. 2000. Wood ash control of odor from biosolids application. Journal of Environmental Quality 29 (5): 1662-1668.

Rosenwinkel, K.-H., U. Austermann-Haun, and H. Meyer. 1999. Industrial wastewater sources and treatment strategies. Biotechnology (2nd Ed.) p. 191-215.

Rostagno C.M. and Sosebee R.E. 2001. Biosolids application in the Chihuahuan Desert: Effects on runoff water quality. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:160-170.

Sabey, B.R., Pendleton, R.L., and Webb, B.L. 1990. Effect of municipal sewage sludge application on growth of two reclamation shrub species in copper mine spoils. Journal of Environmental Quality 19(3): 580–586.

Sabrah, R.E.A., Ghoneim, M.F., Abd El-Magid H.M., and Rabie, R.K. 1993. Characteristics and productivity of a sandy soil as influenced by soil conditioners in Saudi Arabia. J. Arid Environ. 24(3): 297-303.

Sagik, B.P., B.E. Moore, and C.A. Sorber. 1978. Infectious disease potential of land application of wastewater. in State of Knowledge in Land Treatment of Wastewater: Proceedings of an International Symposium. Hanover, New Hampshire: US Army Corps of Engineers, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Sakano, Y., Pickering, K., Strom, P. and Kerkhof, L. 2002. Spatial Distribution of Total, Ammonia-Oxidizing, and Denitrifying Bacteria in Biological Wastewater Treatment Reactors for Bioregenerative Life Support. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68:2285-2293.

Sala, L. and Mujeriego, R. 2001. Cultural Eutrophication Control through Water Reuse. Water Sci. Technol., 43:10 109-116.

Samson K.A. and Ekama G.A. 2000. An assessment of sewage sludge stability with a specific oxygen utilization rate (SOUR) test method. Water Science & Technology 42:9 pp 37–40.

Sanson, D.W., Hallford, D.M., and Smith, G.S. 1984. Effects of Long-Term Consumption of Sewage Solids on Blood, Milk and Tissue Elemental Composition of Breeding Ewes. J. Animal Sci. 9(2):416–424.

Schauer, P.S., Wright, W.R., and Pelchat, J. 1980. Sludge-borne metal availability and uptake by vegetable crops under field conditions. Journal of Environmental Quality 9: 69–73.

Scheuerman, P. R., Bitton, G., Overman, A. R., Asce, M., and Gifford, G. E. 1979. Transport of Viruses Through Organic Soils and Sediments. Journal of The Environmental Engineering Division of the ASCE 105: 629–640.

Schimmoller, L., and McEwen, B. 2001. Ferric Chloride Pretreatment Improves Membrane Performance: Pilot Results for Denver's New 30 mgd Water Reclamation Plant. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Schwartz-Mittelmann, A. and Galil, N.I. 2000. Biological mechanisms involved in bioflocculation disturbances caused by phenol. Water Science and Technology 42 (1-2):105-110.

Segall, R.R., DeWees, W.G., and Lewis, F.M. 1992. Emissions of Metals, Chromium and Nickel Species, and Organics from Municipal Wastewater Sludge Incinerators (summary). EPA Final Report.

Seldita, S.J., O'Brien, P., Bertucci, J.J., Lue–Hing, C., and Zenz, D.R. 1977. Public Health Aspects of Digested Sludge Utilization. In: Land as a Waste Management Alternative. R.C. Loehr, ed. Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Ann Arbor, pp. 391–410.

Sergienko, L.I., Mosienko, N.A., and Tyan, V.P. 1991. Heavy metal content of ecosystems when sewage sludge is used as fertilizer. Sov. Agric. Sci. (8): 55–57.

Sethi, S., Juby, G., Schuler, P., and Holmes, L. 2001. Evaluation of Microfiltration for Microbial Removal in Reuse Applications: Performance Assessment from Three Pilot Studies. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Shahalam, A.B.M. 1989. Wastewater effluent vs. safety in its reuse: state-of-the art. J. Environ. Sci. 32(5): p. 35-42.

Sheikh, B., R.C. Cooper, and K.E. Israel. 1999. Hygienic evaluation of reclaimed water used to irrigate food crops - a case study. Water Sci. Technol. 40(4-5): p. 261-267.

Sidhu J., Gibbs R.A., Ho G.E., and Unkovich I. 2001. The role of indigenous microorganisms in suppression of Salmonella re-growth in composted biosolids. Water Research 35:913-920.

Sidle, R. C,. and Kardos, L. T. 1977. Transport of heavy metals in a sludge-treated forested area. Journal of Environmental Quality 6: 431–437.

Silviera, D. J., and Sommers, L. E. 1977. Extractability of copper, zinc, and lead in soils incubated with sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 6: 1:47–52.

Simeoni, L. A., Barbarick, K. A., and Sabey, B. R. 1984. Effect of small scale composting of sewage sludge on heavy metals availability to plants. Journal of Environmental Quality 13: 246–268.

Simpson, J.M. 1999. Changing community attitudes to potable re-use in south-east Queensland, in Water Sci. Technol. p. 59-66.

Sims, J.T. 1990. Nitrogen mineralization and elemental availability in soils amended with co-composted sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 19(4): 669–675.

Singh, B.R., and Narwal, R. P. 1984. Plant availability of heavy metals in a sludge-treated soil: II. Metal extractability compared with plant metal uptake. Journal of Environmental Quality 13: 344–348.

Siora L. and Enkiri N. 2000. Efficiency of Compost-Fertilizer Blends Compared with Fertilizer Alone. Soil Science 165:444-451.

Skousen, J., and Clinger, C. 1993. Sewage sludge land application program in West Virginia. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 48(2): 145–151.

Sloan J, Dowdy R, Balogh S, Nater E. 2001. Distribution of Mercury in Soil and its Concentration in Runoff from a Biosolids-Amended Agricultural Watershed. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:2173-2179.

Sloss, E.M., et al. 1996. Groundwater recharge with reclaimed water: An epidemiologic assessment in Los Angeles County, 1987-1991.: RAND Corporation.

Smilde, K. W. 1981. Heavy-metal accumulation in crops grown on sewage sludge amended with metal salts. Plant and Soil 62: 3–14.

Smith, A. H. 1987. Infant exposure assessment for breast milk dioxins and furans derived from waste incineration emissions. Risk Analysis 7(3):347–352.

Smith, A.J., Hardy, P., and Lodge, B. 2001. Alternative Water Sources And Technologies for Non-Potable Re-Use. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Smith, G.S., Hallford, D.M., and Watkins III, J.B. 1985. Toxicological Effects of Gamma-Irradiated Sewage Solids Fed as Seven Percent of Diet to Sheep for Four Years. J. Animal Sci. 61(4):931–941.

Smith, R., and Vasiloudis, H. 1991. Importance, determination, and occurrence of inorganic chemical contaminants and nutrients in South African municipal sewage sludges. Water SA. 17(1): 19–30.

Smith, S.R. 1994. Effect of soil pH on availability to crops of metals in sewage sludge-treated soils. I. Nickel, copper and zinc uptake and toxicity to ryegrass. Environmental Pollution 85(3): 321–327.

Smith, S.R. 1994. Effect of soil pH on availability to crops of metals in sewage sludge-treated soils. II. Cadmium uptake by crops and implications for human dietary intake. Environmental Pollution 86(1): 5–13.

Smith, S.R. and Giller, K.E. 1992. Effective Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii present in five soils contaminated with heavy metals from long-term applications of sewage sludge or metal mine spoil. Soil–Biol–Biochem. 24(8):781–788.

Sommers, L. E., Nelson, D. W., Kirleis, A. W., Strachan, S. D., Inman, J. C., Boyd, S. A., Graveel, J. G., and Behel, A. D. 1984. Characterization of Sewage Sludge and Sewage Sludge-Soil Systems. Municipal Envir. Res. Lab., U.S. EPA. Report #: EPA-600/2-84-046.

Sopper, W. E. 1993. Review of land reclamation projects using municipal sludge. In: Municipal Sludge Use in Land Reclamation. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers, pp. 41–47.

Sopper, W. E. and Kardos, L. T. 1972. Municipal wastewater aids renovation of strip-mined spoil banks. Journal of Forestry (Oct.): 612–615.

Sorber, C. A. and Moore, B. E. 1987. Survival and Transport of Pathogens in Sludge-Amended Soil. A Critical Literature Review. NTIS # PB87–180337. National Technical Information Service.

Soroushian, F., Shen, Y., Patel, M., and Wehner, M. 2001. Evaluation and Pilot Testing of Advanced Treatment Processes for NDMA Removal and Reformation Prevention. Proc. Am. Water Works Assoc. Ann. Conf., Washington, D.C.

Sort, X., and Alcañiz, J.M. 1996. Contribution of sewage sludge to erosion control in the rehabilitation of limestone quarries. Land Degradation & Development 7: 69-76.

Srikanth, R., and Raja–Papi-Reddy, S. 1991. Lead, cadmium and chromium levels in vegetables grown in urban sewage sludge—Hyderabad, India. Food–Chem. 40(2): 229–234.

St.John, W. D. and Matches, J. R. 1982. Survival and Movement of Clostridium perfringens in Sewage-Treated Soil. Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemistry 65(6):1514–1516.

Stahl, R.S., and James, B.R. 1991. Zinc sorption by B horizon soils as a function of pH. Soil Science Society of America Journal 55(6): 1592–1597.

Stammbach, M.R., Hagenbucher, R., Kraaz, B., and Richarz, W. 1988. Pyrolysis of sewage sludge and plastics in a fluidized bed reactor. CHIMIA 42(7/8):252–256.

Stephens, G.R., Hankin, L., and Glover, W.D. 1972. Lead emissions from incinerated sewage sludge detected on tree foliage. J. Air Pollution Control Assoc. 22(10):799–800.

Stotzky, G., Schiffenbauer, M., Lipson, S.M., and Yu, B.H. 1981. Surface Interactions Between Viruses and Clay Minerals and Microbes: Mechanisms and Implications. In: Viruses and Wastewater Treatment. 1981 M. Goddard, M. Butler, eds. Pergamon Press, New York.

Strauch, D., Konig, W., and Evers, F.H. 1981. Survival of Salmonellas and Ascaris Eggs During Sludge Utilization in Forestry. In: Characterization, Treatment and Use of Sewage Sludge. 1981 P. L'Hermite, H. Ott, eds., D. Reidel Publishers, London pp. 408–416.

Strayer, J., and Gagliardo, P. 2001. Applying a Free Market Approach to Reclaimed Water System Expansion. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Street, J.J., Lindsay, W.L., and Sabey, B.R. 1977. Solubility and plant uptake of cadmium in soils amended with cadmium and sewage sludge. Journal of Environmental Quality 6: 72–77.

Stroot P.G., McMahon K.D., Mackie R.I., and Raskin U.R. 2001. Anaerobic codigestion of municipal solid waste and biosolids under various mixing conditions--I. digester performance. Water Research 35:1804-1816.

Suh, Y, and Rousseaux P. 2002. An LCA of alternative wastewater sludge treatment scenarios. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 35:191-200.

Sui Y.B. and Thompson M.L. 2000. Phosphorus sorption, desorption, and buffering capacity in a biosolids-amended mollisol. Soil Science Society of America Journal 64 (1): 164-169.

Sullivan, D. M., D. M. Granatstein, C. G. Cogger, C. L. Henry, and K. P. Dorsey. 1993. Biosolids management guidelines for Washington State. Washington State Dept. of Ecology Publication 93-80. (Revised edition 1999.)

Sullivan, D. M., J. Kropf and A. Bary. 1994. Biosolids as a fertilizer for winter wheat. p. 22, 25, and 26. In: S. Wuest (ed.) 1993 Pacific Northwest On-farm Test Results. Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Technical Report 94-1, Washington State University, Pullman, WA.

Sullivan, D. M., J. Kropf and A. Bary. 1995. Biosolids fertilization in low precipitation dryland cropping systems. p. 53-63. In: S. Wuest (ed.) 1994 Pacific Northwest Onfarm Test Results. Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Technical Report 95-1, Washington State University, Pullman, WA.

Sullivan, D., S. Macnab, E. Jacobsen, D. Wysocki, and R. Karow. 1998. Optimum biosolids application rates for soft white winter wheat. p. 41-45. In: 1998 Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Annual Report. Special Report 989. Oregon St. Univ. Agric. Expt. Sta. and USDA ARS. Columbia Basin Agric. Res. Ctr. Pendleton, OR.

Sullivan, D., S. Macnab, E. Jacobsen, D. Wysocki, and R. Karow. 1997. Biosolids in Columbia Basin dryland cropping systems. p. 16-19. In: 1997 Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Annual Report. Special Report 977, Oregon St. Univ. Agric. Expt. Sta. and USDA-ARS. Columbia Basin Agric. Res. Ctr. Pendleton, OR.

Sullivan, D.M. 1996. Biosolids recycling in Oregon. Extension Circular 1471. OSU Extension Service, Corvallis, OR.

Sullivan, D.M. 1998. Fertilizing with biosolids. Pacific Northwest Extension Publ. 508. Oregon State University Extension, Corvallis, OR.

Sullivan, D.M., A.I. Bary, J.A. Kropf, and D.M. Granatstein. 1995. Biosolids fertilization for dryland Pacific Northwest wheat production. p. 2.1-2.16. In: Proc. EPA Biosolids Symposium, Effects of land application of biosolids in arid and semi-arid environments. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO. May 16-19, 1995.

Sullivan, D.M., S.C. Fransen, C.G. Cogger, and A.I. Bary. 1997. Biosolids and dairy manure as nitrogen sources for prairiegrass on a poorly-drained soil. J. Prod. Agric. 10:589-596.

Summer M.E. 2000. Beneficial use of effluents, wastes, and biosolids. Communications in Soil and Plant Analysis 31 (11-14): 1701-1715.

Surendran, S. and A.D. Wheatley. 1998. Gray-water reclamation for non-potable re-use. J. Chart. Inst. Water Environ. Manage p. 406-413.

Surendran, S., et al. 1998. Development of in-house water reclamation systems for large institutions, in Water Reuse Conf. Proc. p. 731-743.

Sweeney, C.D. 1978. Leaching characteristics of various heavy metals, non-heavy metals and anions from municipal sewage sludge ash. Connecticut University. Thesis for B.S. at the University of Connecticut. NTIS PB–288086.

Takeda, N. and Hiraoka, M. 1976. Combined process of pyrolysis and combustion for sludge disposal. Environ. Sci. Tech. 10(12):1147–1150.

Tay, J. H. 1987. Sludge ash as a filler for Portland cement concrete. J. Environmental Engineering 113(2):345–351.

Telford, J.N., Babish, J.G., Johnson, B.E., Thonney, M.L., Currie, W.B., Bache, C.A., Gutenmann, W.H., and Lisk, D.J. 1984. Toxicologic Studies with Pregnant Goats Fed Grass–Legume Silage Grown on Municipal Sludge-Amended Subsoil. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 13:635–640.

Telford, J.N., Thonney, M.L., Hogue, D.E., Stouffer, J.R., Bache, C.A., Gutenmann, W.H., Lisk, D.J., Babish, J., and Stoewsand, G.S. 1982. Toxicologic Studies in Growing Sheep Fed Silage Corn Cultured on Municipal Sludge-Amended Acid Subsoil. J. of Toxicology and Environmental Health. 10:73–85.

Tester, C.F. 1990. Organic amendment effects and chemical properties of a sandy soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54(3): 827-831.

Theis, J. H., Bolton, V., and Storm, D. 1978. Helminth Ova in Soil and Sludge From Twelve U.S. Urban Areas. Journal of The Water Pollution Control Federation 50:2485–2493.

Theis, T. L. and Padgett, L. E. 1983. Factors affecting the release of trace metals from municipal sludge ashes. Journal WPCF 55(10):1271–1279.

Thompson, D.M., et al. 1998. Advanced treatment technologies for indirect potable reuse quality water at West Palm Beach, in Proc. - Annu. Conf., Am. Water Works Assoc. p. 477-488.

Tihanyi, Z. and Tompa, K. 1989. Effects of liquid communal sludge on an 11–14 year old poplar stand. In: Proceedings of the 11th International Congress on Agricultural Engineering (CIGR), Dublin, Ireland, 4–8 Sept. 1989.

Tirey, D.A., Striebich, R.C., Dellinger, B., and Bostian, H.E. 1991. Comparison of Organic Emissions from Laboratory and Full-Scale Thermal Degradation of Sewage Sludge. Pub. in Hazardous Wastes and Hazardous Materials 8(3):201–218.

Townshend Allan, R., et al. 1997. Potable water treatment and reuse of domestic wastewater in the CMHC Toronto 'healthy house'. ASTM Special Technical Publication p. 176-187.

Tsadilas, C.D., Matsi, T., Barbayiannis, N., and Dimoyiannis, D. 1995. Influence of sewage sludge application on soil properties and on the distribution and availability of heavy metals. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 26(15/16): 2603-2619.

Tsadilas, C.D., Matsi, T., Barbayiannis, N., and Dimoyiannis, D. 1995. Influence of sewage sludge application on soil properties and on the distribution and availability of heavy metal fractions. Commun. Soil Science Plant Anal. 26(15/16): 2603–2619.

Tucker, E. S., Saeger, V. W., and Hicks, O. 1975. Activated sludge primary degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 14:705-712.

Unger, P.W., and Stewart, B.A. 1974. Feedlot waste effects on soil conditions and water evaporation. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 38: 954-957.

USEPA, 1993. Land application of sewage sludge: a guide for land-appliers on the requirements of the federal standards for the use or disposal of sewage sludge, 40 CFR Part 503. EPA-831-B-93-002b.

USEPA, 1993. Preparing sewage sludge for land application or surface disposal: a guide for preparers of sewage sludge on the monitoring, record keeping and reporting requirements of the federal standards for use and disposal of sewage sludge, 40 CFR Part 503. EPA 831-B-93-002a.

USEPA, 1995. A Guide to the Biosolids Risk Assessment for the EPA Part 503 Rule. EPA832-B-93-005.

USEPA, 1995. Process design manual, land application of sewage sludge and domestic septage. Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. 20460, EPA-625-R-95-001.

USEPA. 1993. Regulation of Municipal Sewage Sludge under the Clean Water Act Section 503: A Model for Exposure and Risk Assessment for Municipal Solid Waste-Compost. EPA #: 600/A-94-023.

USEPA. 1985. Environmental Profiles and Hazard Indices for Constituents of Municipal Sludge: Beryllium. Report #: PB92–122993, Final Report.

USEPA. 1985. Environmental Profiles and Hazard Indices for Constituents of Municipal Sludge: Cyanide. Report #: EPA 0231, Final Report.

USEPA. 1985. Environmental Profiles and Hazard Indices for Constituents of Municipal Sludge: Fluoride. Report #: EPA 0235, Final Report.

USEPA. 1989. National Sewage-Sludge Survey Facility Analytical Results. Contract #: 68–C9–0019.

USEPA. 1989. Superfund Record of Decision (EPA Region 3): Ambler Asbestos Piles, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania (Second Remedial Action), September 1989. Report #: PB90–138322, Final Report, 42 pp.

USEPA. 1992 Guidelines for Water Reuse. EPA/625/R-92/004, US Environmental Protection Agency, Center for Environmental Research Information, Cincinnati, Ohio.

USEPA. 1992. Environmental regulations and technology-Control of pathogens and vector attraction in sewage sludge, US EPA, Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. 20460, EPA-626-R-95-013.

USEPA. 1993. A plain English guide to the EPA Part 503 biosolids rule. EPA Publ. 832-R-93-003. Office of Wastewater Enforcement and Compliance.

van den Berg, J.J. 1993. Effects of sewage sludge disposal. Land Degradation and Rehabilitation 4(4): 407-413.

van den Burg, J. 1978. Sewage sludge in forestry. Mededeling, Rijksinstituut voor Onderzoek in de Bos en Landschapsbouw 'De–Dorschkamp', Wageningen 21: 482–488.

van Lier Jules, B. and G. Lettinga. 1999. Appropriate technologies for effective management of industrial and domestic waste waters: the decentralized approach. Water Science and Technology. 40: p. 171-183.

Van Riper, C. and J. Geselbracht. 1999. Water reclamation and reuse. Water Environment Research 71: p. 720-728.

Vancil, M.A., Parrish, C.R., Palazzolo, M.A. 1991. Emissions of Metals and Organics from Municipal Sludge Incinerators. Project Summary. USEPA Document #: EPA/600/S2–91/007.

Vanier, S.M., Brandt, G.C., and Wilson, R.L. 2001. Evaluating the Viability of Using Recycled Water From the Northeast WWTP at a Future Power Generation Facility in Lincoln, Nebraska. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Vega, S., Calisay, M., and Hue, N.V. 1992. Manganese toxicity in cowpea as affected by soil pH and sewage sludge amendments. Journal of Plant Nutrition 15(2): 219–231.

Vermes, L. 1985. Results of poplar plantation for wastewater utilization in Hungary. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Agricultural Wastes, 16–17 Dec. 1985, Chicago, IL. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, pp. 398–403.

Vesilind P.A., and R.L. Rooke. 2000. Sludge disposal: ethics and expediency. Water Science and Technology 42:9 pp 1–5.

Vimmerstedt, J.P., and Glover, T.N. 1984. Boron toxicity to sycamore of minesoil mixed with sewage sludge containing glass fibers. Soil Science Society of America Journal 48(2): 389–393.

Vincent J. Camobreco, Brian K. Richards, Tammo S. Steenhuis, John H. Peverly, and Murray B. McBride. 1996. Movement of Heavy Metals Through Undisturbed and Homogenized Soil Columns. Soil Science. 161:740-750.

Vlamis, J., Williams, D.E., Corey, J.E., Page, A.L., and Ganje, T.J. 1985. Zinc and cadmium uptake by barley in field plots fertilized seven years with urban and suburban sludge. Soil Science 139: 1–87.

Vos, G., Y. Brekvoort, and P. Buys. 1997. Full-scale treatment of filter backwash water in one step to drinking water. Desalination 113: p. 2-3.

Vulkan, R., Mingelgrin, U., Ben-Asher, J., and Frenkel, H. 2002. Copper and Zinc Speciation in the Solution of a Soil-Sludge Mixture. Journal of Environmental Quality 2002 31:193-203.

Wade, S.E., Bache, C.A., and Lisk, D.J. 1982. Cadmium Accumulation by Earthworms Inhabiting Municipal Sludge-Amended Soil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 28:557–560.

Walker, D. 2001. The Impact of Disinfected Treated Wastewater in a Raw-Water Reservoir. J. CIWEM, 15, March, 9.

Walker, D. 2001. The Promotion of a Planned Indirect Wastewater Reuse Scheme in Essex. J. CIWEM, 15, November, 271.

Walker-Coleman, L. 2001. Reclaimed Water Rates in Florida. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Wall, H. 1985. Fuel efficient incineration for disposal of sewage sludge. U.S. EPA. EPA/600/D-85/099.

Wallis, P.M., Lehman, D.L., Macmillan, D.S., and Buchanan–Mappin, J.M. 1984. Application to Land Compared with a Pasture and a Hayfield: Reduction of Biological Health Hazard Over Time. Journal of Environmental Quality 13(4):645–650.

Watkins, J. and Sleath, K. P. 1981. Isolation and Enumeration of Listeria monocytogenes from Sewage, Sewage Sludge and River Water. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 50:1–9.

Watson, D.C. 1980. The Survival of Salmonellae in Sewage Sludge Applied to Arable Land. Journal of Water Pollution Control 79:11–18.

Webber, L.R. 1978. Incorporation of nonsegregated, noncomposted solid waste and soil physical properties. Journal of Environmental Quality 7(3): 397-400.

Weemaes M., Grootaerd H., Simoens F., Huysmans A., and Verstraete W. 2000. Ozonation of sewage sludge prior to anaerobic digestion. Water Science and Technology 42:175-178.

Wei, Q.F., Lowery, B., and Peterson, A.E. 1985. Effect of sludge application on physical properties of a silty clay loam soil. Journal of Environmental Quality 14(2): 178-180.

Weil, R.R., and Kroontje, W. 1979. Physical condition of a Davidson clay loam after five years of heavy poultry manure applications. Journal of Environmental Quality 8(3): 387-392.

Weismantel, G. What's new in sewage sludge separation and processing? Filtration & Separation 6,38:22-25.

Weissenhorn, I., Mench, M., and Leyval, C. 1995. Bioavailability of heavy metals and arbuscular mycorrhiza in a sewage-sludge-amended sandy soil. Soil. Biochem. 27(3): 287–296.

Wert, J.D., Book, B.L., Welch, S.T., and Siegfried, S.M. 2001. Microfiltration and Reverse Osmosis Pilot Testing for Indirect Potable Reuse at the University Area Joint Authority. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

West, S.D. 1986. Amphibians, Reptiles, and Deer at Pack Forest. IN: Nutritional and Toxic Effects of Sewage Sludge in Forest Ecosystems. West, S.D. and Zasoski, R.J., eds.

West, S.D., Taber, R.D., and Anderson, D.A. 1981. Wildlife in Sludge-Treated Plantations. IN: Municipal Sludge Application of Pacific Northwest Forest Lands. Bledsoe, C.S. ed. pp. 115–122.

WHO Regional Office for Europe. 1987. PCDD and PCDF emissions from incinerators for municipal sewage sludge and solid waste—evaluation of human exposure. A Report of a World Health Organization meeting in Naples, Italy on March 17–21, 1986.

Wilden R, Schaaf W, and Huttl RF. 2001. Element budgets of two afforested mine sites after application of fertilizer and organic residues. Ecological Engineering 17:253-273.

Williams, P.H., Shenk, J.S., and Baker, D.E. 1978. Cadmium Accumulation by Meadow Voles (Microtus pennsylavanicus) from Crops Grown on Sludge-Treated Soil. J. Environ. Qual. 7(3):450–454.

Wong, J.W.C. and Fang M. 2000. Effects of lime addition on sewage sludge composting process. Water Research 10/15,34:3691-3698.

Wong, J.W.C., and Ho, G. 1991. Effects of gypsum and sewage sludge amendment on physical properties of fine bauxite refining residue. Soil Science 152(5): 326-332.

Wood, G.W., Simpson, D.W., and Dressler, R.L. 1973. Effects of Spray Irrigation of Forests with Chlorinated Sewage Effluent on Deer and Rabbits. IN: Recycling Treated Municipal Wastewater and Sludge through Forest and Cropland. Sopper, W.E. and Darkos, L.T., eds. pp. 311–323.

Woodruff, S.R. 2001. A Broiler Plant's Unique Land Application System. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Woodside, G. and Toussa, M. 2001. Orange County Water District's Biomonitoring Demonstration Project - Fish as an Indicator of Source. Water Quality. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Woodyard, D.K., Campa III, H., and Haufler, J.B. 1986. The Influence of Forest Application of Sewage Sludge on the Concentration of Metals in Vegetation and Small Mammals. IN: The Forest Alternative. Cole, D.W., Henry, C.L., and Nutter, W.L., eds. pp. 199–205.

Wszolek, P.C. and Wachs, T. 1982. Occurrence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in municipal sewage sludge

Xing, C.-H., Wen, X.-H., Qian, Y., and Tardieu, E. 2001. Microfiltration-Membrane- Coupled Bioreactor for Urban Wastewater Reclamation. Desalination, 141, 63.

Xingcan, Z., Daigger, G.T., Yue, Z., Yining, Z., and Yuetang, D. 2001. Biological Nutrient Removal Process Selection, Design, and Operation of the Licunhe Wastewater Treatment Plant, Qingdao City, China. Proc. Water Environ. Fed. 74th Annu. Conf. Exposition, Atlanta, GA.

Yamada, R., Lopez, F.C. Jr., and Klein, P. 2001. Analyzing Strategies or a Regional Recycled Water System for San Diego County. Proc. Water Reuse Assoc. Symp. XVI, San Diego, CA.

Yan S.G., Wan C.G., Sosebee R.E., Wester D.B., Fish E.B., and Zartman R.E. 2000. Responses of photosynthesis and water relations to rainfall in the desert shrub creosote bush (Larrea tridentata) as influenced by municipal biosolids. Journal of Arid Environments 46:397-412.

Yaobing Sui, Michael L. Thompson, and Chao Shang. 1999. Fractionation of Phosphorus in a Mollisol Amended with Biosolids. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63:1174-1180.

Yasuda, Y. 1991. Sewage Sludge Utilization Technology in Tokyo. Water Science Technology 23(10–12):1743–1752.

Yates, J. R. and Mondello, F. J. 1989. Sequence similarities in the genes encoding polychlorinated biphenyl degradation by Pseudomonas strain LB400 and Alcaligenes eutrophus H850. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 171:1733-1735.

Yeager, J. G. and Ward, R. L. 1981. Effects of Moisture Content on Long-Term Survival and Regrowth of Bacteria in Wastewater Sludge. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology 41(5):1117–1122.

Yingming, L., and Corey, R.B. 1993. Redistribution of sludge-borne cadmium, copper, and zinc in a cultivated plot. Journal of Environmental Quality 22(1): 1–8.

Young, A. L. 1983. Long-term studies on the persistence and movement of TCDD in a natural ecosystem. In: Tucker, R. E., Young, A. L. and Gray, A. P. (eds.), Human and Environmental Risks of Chlorinated Dioxins and Related Compounds. pp. 173-190.

Yu Z., V.J.J. Martin, and W.W. Mohn. 1999. Occurrence of Two Resin Acid-Degrading Bacteria and a Gene Encoding Resin Acid Biodegradation in Pulp and Paper Mill Effluent Biotreatment Systems Assayed by PCR. Microb Ecol 38:114-125.

Zasoski, R.J., Porada, H.J., Ryan, P.J., Greenleaf–Jenkins, J, and Gessel, S.P. 1990. Observation of copper, zinc, iron, and manganese status in western Washington forests. Forest Ecology and Management 37: 7–25.

Zhou L, and Wong J. 2001. Effect of Dissolved Organic Matter from Sludge and Sludge Compost on Soil Copper Sorption. Journal of Environmental Quality 30:878-883.

Zorpas A.A., Kapetanios E., Zorpas G.A., Karlis P., Vlyssides A., Haralambous I., and Loizidou M. 2000. Compost produced from organic fraction of municipal solid waste, primary stabilized sewage sludge and natural zeolite. Journal of Hazardous Materials 10/2,77:149-159.