GOVERNING BOARD MEETING MINUTES OREGON DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY AND MINERAL INDUSTRIES

Monday, March 6, 2023 8:30 a.m. Virtual Public Meeting

1) Call to Order: (Scott Ashford, Board Chair)

Chair Scott Ashford called the meeting to order at 8:32 a.m.

2) Introductions: (Scott Ashford, Board Chair, and Staff)

Chair Scott Ashford, Vice-Chair Linda Kozlowski, Board Members Diane Teeman, Anne MacDonald, and Erica Medley, were all in attendance via Zoom video/phone.

Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) Staff in attendance:

Ruarri Day-Stirrat – Director/State Geologist

Sarah Lewis, MLRR Program Manager

Lori Calarruda, Recording Secretary/Executive Assistant

Alex Lopez, Public Affairs Coordinator

Steve Dahlberg, Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

Jason McClaughry, Interim GS&S Program Manager

Christina Appleby, Legislative Coordinator/Geohazards Analyst

Jonathan Allan, Coastal Geomorphologist

Brianna Weaver, Office Assistant

Others in attendance:

Diane Lloyd, Department of Justice (DOJ)

Karin Power, Policy Advisor Governor's Office

April McDonald, Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO)

Sione Filimoehala, DAS Office of the Chief Financial Officer

1 3) Review Minutes of December 1, 2022 Board Meeting:

Chair Ashford asked if there were any changes to the minutes as presented. No changes.

4

Board Action: Kozlowski moved to approve the minutes of December 1, 2022 as submitted.

Medley seconded. Teeman abstained. Motion carried.

5 6 7

2

3

4) Financial Report:

- 8 Steve Dahlberg, Chief Financial Officer, presented the DOGAMI FY2021 Budget Status Report, as of
- 9 December 31, 2022, for the Geological Survey and Services (GS&S) and Mineral Land Regulation &
 - Reclamation (MLRR) programs.

10 11

- Dahlberg stated the Board Packet contained the financials, actuals, graphs, and projections; his
- 13 presentation is a brief financial summary of some highlights. The General Fund has a budget of about

\$6.4 million with \$5.8M in projected expenditures, resulting in DOGAMI being about \$657,000 under budget (10.2%).

The Agency is investing in future geologic and scientific research using new technologies that include using/utilizing radiometric and aeromagnetic techniques to understand the geological makeup of a specific region, which is collected by aircraft and provides information about the structure and composition of rocks in targeted areas. The cost of this data will be used as a match in future USGS grant proposals, leveraging additional federal grant dollars, and creates a richer data set, improving the quality of outcomes for stakeholders.

Other Funds has an Expenditure Limitation Authority budget of approximately \$2.5M, with \$3.2M in expenditures, resulting in the Agency being \$740,000 over the authorized limitation, which is a good thing. A request has been sent through the legislative process to increase the Expenditure Limitation Authority for the final 2021-23 bill. The projected revenues of about \$3.1 million consists of \$641,000 (20.5%) coming from grants; \$2.3 million (74.8%) from Lidar revenue, the bulk coming from the Department of Forestry and the Oregon Private Forest Accord; and \$146,000 from the Strong Motion Instrument Fund (SMIF). Dahlberg noted the memo in the Board Packet was incorrect, he had entered \$787,000 instead of \$641,000, due to the SMIF revenues being entered twice.

Federal Funds has an Expenditure Limitation Authority budget of \$5.8M, with \$4.9M in expected expenditures, resulting in being approximately \$900,000 under the authorized budget (15.5%). The projected revenues of \$5.2M consist of eight currently active federal grant projects from FEMA (45%), NOAA (40%), and USGS (15%); and four Lidar projects from FEMA (51%), BLM (26%), and USGS (23%). DOGAMI staff are working on future proposals for new federal grants with FEMA, NOAA and USGS, that will be submitted in the near future.

MLRR has an Expenditure Limitation Authority budget of \$4.3M, with \$4.1M in expected expenditures, resulting in being approximately \$170,000 under the authorized budget (4.0%). The projected revenues are about \$4.9M that are driven from fees and the DEQ Clean Water Quality permits. MLRR is looking at ways to address the very high permit application workload, including hiring another limited duration position.

Some updates and highlights include: DOGAMI continues to work with federal funders FEMA, USGS, and BLM on new grant proposals; taking on new ways to understand the geology of Oregon; having no vacant positions; and adding limited duration positions to address current work and needs. Regarding the status of the 2023-25 Budget process, State agencies are in the midst of the legislative process. Director Day-Stirrat presented the DOGAMI budget in Salem on February 15th, and staff have attended a number of legislative hearings when required to support grants the Agency is asking for permission to apply for, as well as Senate Bill 220, 221, 222, which deal with ePermitting.

 In Summary, DOGAMI is doing well and has a very healthy outlook. The Business Office continues to track the projections, working on contracts and agreements, and grant reporting. The Agency continues working on its partnerships with various federal and State agencies on projects and techniques that benefit everyone. DOGAMI is working closely with its CFO and LFO analysts, and the Governor's Office, updating them on its progress and what is coming ahead.

Chair Ashford said Dahlberg was consistent and in line with his projections from the last meeting presentation.

Board Action: <u>Teeman moved to accept the Budget Status Report as presented.</u> <u>Kozlowski</u> seconded. Motion carried.

5) Governor's Recommended Budget (GRB):

Ruarri Day-Stirrat, Director & State Geologist, provided a brief overview of the Governor's Recommended Budget (GRB) for the Agency.

Day-Stirrat stated the Governor's Recommended Budget increases the overall Agency budget by 17.2%, to \$22.2 million over the 2023-25 biennium. There is an increase in FTE positions from 34.67 to 41; most of the positions are in MLRR, and will come to those related to Policy Option Packages. For GS&S, which includes the Business Office, there are 23 positions and \$15M. The proposed MLRR budget is \$7.2 million with 18 positions, which is a significant increase in positions.

The Policy Option Packages (POP) that have been supported either in full or in part were: POP 101: ePermitting & IT Modernization, for the implementation of the ePermitting system, which comes with two positions and a significant amount of funding to build out this ePermitting system, leveraging what has been put in place by DEQ; POP 104: Consolidated Mining Permit, MLRR Other Fund position on cost recovery, that increases the position from .75 FTE to a full FTE, which has full support from the applicant; POP 105: Organizational Adjustments, a technical correction that increases one GS&S position from .92 to 1 FTE; POP 106: Infrastructure Permit Support, was approved in full for two additional positions, an Interagency Coordinator and a Permit Reviewer; POP 107: Unpermitted Surface Mining, was approved in part (50%), this had two positions to look at the number of mine sites around the State with no permits. The overall budget, the CSL, was approved and essentially incorporates a number of technical adjustments related to inflation and different inflation factors.

Chair Ashford asked if expanding the position from .92 or .75 to 1 FTE, increases it to a full time job, or does it take them off one funding source to focus their efforts on the one related to the Policy Option Package. Day-Stirrat answered they are not moved around, it actually creates more position to allow for more billing against the position.

Medley asked if the MLRR positions are all permanent and is there any concerns that the work may not be sustained once the permitting process is completely online. Day-Stirrat explained only one will be permanent, the IT Support position, and the rest are Limited Durations for 2 years, with the ePermitting Project Manager overlapping into the next biennium to finish the project.

Kozlowski said there seemed to be more enthusiasm and support for DOGAMI from the Legislature, which is very positive for the Agency, and asked if Day-Stirrat can explain the change. Day-Stirrat said it may be due to the overall direction the Agency has taken the last 2 years; the work the Board has done to put DOGAMI in a good position; the effort staff have done to embrace the changes in reporting of managing projects; and the continued ability of DOGAMI staff to positively impact Oregonians. Kozlowski said it is impressive and exciting, and she is pleased with what the Board has done in terms of support of the financials and moving the Agency to more accountability.

MacDonald asked with the increase in grant applications, how will that affect the Agency, especially with Day-Stirrat being a little nervous about having the staffing capacity to really make use of the

Bipartisan Infrastructure Legislation for other Federal Funds. Day-Stirrat said it is a good problem to have instead of the other way around, and with the availability of funds, the Agency can work out staffing issues later.

Chair Ashford asked if there are opportunities for partnering with other agencies or universities to help fill the positions instead of staffing up. Day-Stirrat stated State capacity is an overall challenge, as several other agencies are not fully staffed, but the Agency has had no hiring problems. Other options might include being more creative with internships, which could cause a workload increase working with inexperienced staff.

 Teeman asked if the funding being allocated will help with maintaining capacity to meet the reviews for the increase in permitting applications MLRR is expected to receive. Day-Stirrat said for exploration permits, internally the Agency is okay, but there may be challenges externally with other agencies to meet statutory timelines.

Chair Ashford echoed Kozlowski's comments with being pleased where the Agency is heading and having the support in Salem.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

6) Legislative Update:

Christina Appleby, Legislative Coordinator, provided a Legislative update.

Appleby stated the Legislative Session began January 17th and ends June 25th. Throughout January and February, several thousand bills were introduced to the House and Senate, then referred to committees to be worked on through the process of public hearings, informational sessions, and work sessions, to meet deadlines in April and May. This is the pruning stage to determine which bills make the cut off and which ones do not; in the end, these bills need to be identical versions of the language passed through both House and Senate with signatures from the Speaker of the House, the Senate President, as well as the Governor.

The Legislature is focused on the Governor's top priorities of reducing homelessness, education, and access to mental health and addiction services. There are other focus areas such as semi-conductors, the I-5 bridge, and within the Natural Resource agencies, conversations around water and wildfire management, climate change, and land use that overlaps with housing, semiconductors, and other industries.

DOGAMI's Budget Bill is HB 5510, and supports the Governor's Recommended Budget. On February 15th and 16th, information and public hearings were held before the Ways and Means Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources. The next steps include a work session before the subcommittee before being referred to the Full Ways and Means Committee.

DOGAMI has several bills to support improved customer service through IT modernization. These are Senate Bill (SB) 220 and 221, regarding funding for the MLRR ePermitting System. SB 222 gives authorization to DOGAMI to accept credit card payments and add a convenience fee to credit card transactions. All of these would help increase the customer service for permittees. Sarah Lewis, MLRR Program Manager, presented to the Senate Committee on Natural Resources giving them a

sense of how these bills interact and answering their questions, during a public hearing last week. The next steps for these bills are to move through a work session, before this same committee, before the bills are either referred to the Senate Floor for a vote or the Way and Means Committee for financial review.

The Agency has had several opportunities to provide additional testimony. DOGAMI sought approval to apply for federal grants: USGS STATEMAP and USGS Earth MRI, both were approved by the Subcommittee and STATEMAP was approved by the Full Committee; Earth MRI still needs Full Committee approval. The Agency is also seeking approval to increase Expenditure Limitation as part of Omnibus Bill HB 5045, with a scheduled hearing on March 3rd. It is almost half-way through the Session and recordings of all hearings are on Oregon's Legislative website.

Chair Ashford asked if SB 220 and 221 are independent. Appleby answered yes, and one could go forward without the other one, depending on the funding around creating the ePermitting System, and if it is General Funded versus through fees. Ashford asked if SB 220 went away due to General Funding, SB 221 would still allow the Agency to cover the cost of the ePermitting, and if the declaring of an emergency allows funding to take effect July 1, 2023. Appleby said yes to both questions.

Medley stated Appleby made the Legislature sound exciting.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

7) Technical Presentation: A Brief History of Nearly Everything About the DOGAMI Coastal Program:

Jonathan Allan, Coastal Geomorphologist, gave a technical presentation on A Brief History of Nearly Everything About the DOGAMI Coastal Program.

Allan stated he has been with the Agency for 22 years and his focus is primarily on coastal hazards. The Coastal Field Office has been involved in multiple projects including: a landslide at Johnson Creek; cable landings; outreach with coastal communities; and repeat surveys of beaches to document what is happening with them. He shared and described a collage of pictures, including some of the impacts of the Tohoku Japan tsunami near Brookings Harbor. He acknowledged previous staff members of the Tsunami Program Rob Bitter and George Priest, who was/is his mentor.

The Newport Field Office was established by the 71st Oregon Legislature in 1999, and officially opened in early 2000. Its Mission: assist coastal communities with land-use decisions and personal safety by helping them understand the processes and hazards that shape our coastline. Its two main areas of focus are tsunami related research and coastal change. The State of Oregon is exposed to the effects of a great earthquake happening on the Cascadia Subduction Zone, which has tremendous implications for its coastal communities, as well as inland communities, in terms of the earthquake shaking and damage that could arise from that type of event. A major focus for the Coastal Team, over the years, has been doing state-of-the-art tsunami inundation modeling, then taking those model results comparing them with geologic data, and developing the appropriate maps to safeguard the public, resident public population, as well as visitors.

In terms of scientific accomplishments, the Field Office team has over the years published: 50 articles in scientific journals and another 72 DOGAM technical reports; many types of maps and products

oriented for tsunami preparedness, including evacuations maps, Beat the Wave maps, neighborhood scale maps; and various other projects.

In 2004, Allan established the coastal beach monitoring program for the State of Oregon, called Oregon Beach and Shoreline Mapping Program (OBSMAP). There is now over 26 years of repeat surveys and multiple sites that provides them with critical information about seasonal and interannual, and now the decadal changes taking place on beaches, dunes, and bluffs. These types of data sets are used by both geotechnical consultants, and state and local agencies. This is an ongoing project with the goals to: document short to long-term patterns and rates of Pacific Northwest (PNW) coastal changes; how changes in sea level, wave climates, and sediment budgets affecting PNW beaches; and what are likely future implications of climate change to coastal communities. The outcomes are: 178 sites actively monitored; sentinel sites monitored seasonally; climate quality time series record documenting change over the past 26 years; and data contributing toward informed decision-making at local, state, and federal levels.

Allan explained this is done by using a technique called Real Time Kinematic GPS to collect these data sets. There are different types of areas that can be measured: Topo surveying, using a buggy with the GPS mounted on top of it; Cross-sections done by walking across the beach out into waiting depth; and Bathymetry to pick up the near shore area using jet skis. This data collection is essentially controlled by GPS using a base station mounted on a known point. It is done on a seasonal basis, and Lidar can be integrated when it becomes available, or other types of datasets as they arise. Allan explained graphs shown on a slide depicting the results from four different positions across the beach that help determine what changes have taken place, negative values indicate erosion, and positive values indicate accretion.

Chair Ashford asked when the data is taken if it is the same day every year or just in the same season. Allan answered they do it seasonally, Winter is done in February/March, Fall is done in September/October, and then a full survey in December/January. As long as consistent seasonal measurements are done, a good quality time series is maintained.

Allan provided recent examples of how the data is being used: Dune grading management plans in Cannon Beach, Manzanita and Seaside; Assessing shoreline changes at Twin Rocks, Tillamook County for the purpose of installing coastal engineering; Assessing responses of dynamic revetment cobble beaches at the Columbia River south jetty, Cape Lookout, and HMSC in Newport; Assessing shoreline changes at the mouth of Hunter Creek, Curry County; Calibrating remote sensing (satellite) data for extracting "shorelines"; and Assessing telecommunication cable landing sites on the Oregon coast.

Allan said they also collect shorelines where they actually physically measure a title datum-based shoreline. He reviewed the shorelines collected over many years for Tillamook County Shorelines, and explained from this data, patterns and changes related to things such as storminess can be extrapolated. By working with the USGS, and individuals in Japan and Australia, these same data sets enable the development of basin scale responses to El Niños.

A major focus of the Oregon Tsunami Program has been doing tsunami inundation mapping and modeling. The entire Oregon coast was completed in 2013, with the north coast being updated in 2021 to address some bathymetric errors. From these data sets, standard evacuation brochures can be extrapolated and printed on high format sheets. A much higher resolution is being developed

called Neighborhood scale maps that can be used by local communities, businesses, or hotel/motels to further educate the public and visitors that come along the Oregon coastline.

A lot of work has been done over the last 5 years to boost tsunami awareness through establishing tsunami signage. There have been: 201 <u>You Are Here</u> and 280 <u>Entering/Leaving</u> signs installed along US Highway 101 (136 sites); \$205,000 spent for individual communities on wayfinding signage (2015-2022); Thermoplastic blue lines and signage in multiple communities; Original "large-format" Evacuation Brochures for the entire coast; "Beat the Wave" Evacuation Modeling and tsunami evacuation brochures; completed Multiple Guidance Documents for developing guidance for building disaster caches; and Coastwide exposure & risk analysis (Hazus) for most of the coast, except Curry County.

From the risk assessments, data has been generated to look at things, such as fatalities, for different tsunami events. A chart regarding Earthquake and Tsunami Risk Assessments was shared that compared Oregon coastal cities to Japan's 2011 event regarding fatalities, displaced, building losses, and debris. These numbers are quite sobering, and serve as a reminder about the importance of the work being done to try to prepare Oregon coastal constituents and visitors.

Additional products used for evaluating evacuation challenges are being developed for specific communities. They look at the age and structure of people to make determinations about their relative success of getting to high ground. Looking at where all the hotel/motels and the resident populations are, the data can be used to do simulations about where best to either retrofit bridges or look at vertical evacuation structures that could improve people's ability to get to safety in time.

Allan wrapped up his presentation discussing the Geologic Assessment of Potential Cable Landing Sites Along the Oregon Coast that has been spearheaded by Reed Burgette. It is an ongoing project, requested by the Department of Land Conservation Development (DLCD), to evaluate the entire Oregon coast to determine best possible sites offshore that could be used for cable landings, from which the data would then be used to ultimately guide policy decisions.

Chair Ashford said it is an amazing amount of work and extremely important work for the Oregon coast. He asked if the comparison to Japan related to the cost and amount debris is because they have a more developed coastline/extensive infrastructure than Oregon. Allan said he believed that was correct, they have a more expansive infrastructure. The other part is the estimates of volume and debris provided by Hazus is a first order estimate but does not cover everything, such as mobile items, such as cars and rip ups.

Chair Ashford asked if focusing on the central and north coasts is because that is where the population is. Allan stated they started with areas that had the most significant erosion in the late 1990s and gradually moved to central coast and south coast. This is all funding dependent and only so much can be done with what is received through the Northwest Association of Network Ocean Observing Systems (NANOOS). A future hope is to do more refined work looking at bluff erosion, he has been reaching out to Mike Olson of OSU for collaboration.

Medley asked if they have thought about using InSAR for coastal erosion work. Allan said they have not been using it and does not think it is used for documenting changes on beaches and dunes.

Medley asked if on the tsunami inundation mapping, they also consider the potential for coincident landslides along the evacuation routes or infrastructure, like bridges, that are probably not going to withstand Cascadia. Allan said they do consider whether bridges are able to survive the earthquake shaking and do incorporate that as part of their modeling and remove those from the evacuation route if they are considered not safe; a database has been compiled working with ODOT and county engineers. For coincident landslides along evacuation routes, they are considered but it is a tough topic to evaluate adequately, but there are alternative routes to evacuate people.

Day-Stirrat said he wanted to draw the Board's attention to the offshore cable landing sites and the importance of them being resilient as possible for the likely offshore wind activity and increased data needs.

Kozlowski said as a user, DOGAMI is extraordinarily helpful in making decisions that need to be made. The work is really important to coastal communities.

Medley asked for the modeling of tsunami inundation mapping if they use a medium/median(?) Cascadia event or it is scaled up for a higher return for risk. Allan said for the tsunami modeling is basically deterministic, they use five potential scenarios of Cascadia rupturing to model and work with coastal communities to adopt the most conservative, but are moving to a more probabilistic based tsunami modeling. Collaborative work is being done with the University of Victoria in British Columbia, US Geological Survey (USGS), through the Seismic Hazard Program, entire West Coast, all State Geologic Surveys, and others, but it probably will not be ready until the middle of next year.

Medley stated the 2023 National Seismic Hazard Mapping update will have some more site specific probabilistic results that can be used.

Chair Ashford said it is encouraging that the cities and counties are looking at the bridges. He thanked Allan for all the work being done for the residents on the Oregon coast/coastal communities and the rest of the State.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

8) MLRR Update:

Sarah Lewis, MLRR Program Manager, provided an update on MLRR.

Permit Status Summary

Lewis stated the general permit activity and total permit numbers are stable, and active applications are down predominantly due to the impact of the hires over the last year. She introduced Brianna Weaver as the new Front Office Specialist who started February 22nd, replacing a 30 year retirement. MLRR is also in the final recruitment stages of an additional Permitting Specialist at the NRS 2 level to assist with the workload. With respect to hires, it does take staff 6-9 months to get up-to-speed due to the technical nature of most of the positions. This does place added workload on the experienced staff to do training, which requires careful management to maintain Program productivity.

Lewis stated there is a new figure being used to better share both the dynamics of the increased workload and permits, and the productivity of staff. She explained it has been modified to combine all the applications received, and now includes Exploration Permits due to the increase in workload.

Exploration Permits have fewer strict requirements, but do have a statutory timeline to process them and require both technical and administrative staff work. The data she presented was from February 2020 through February 2023.

Lewis provided her interpretation of the data. Going back to February 2020, staff's productivity, measured by permits issued, did not really decrease with the shift to remote work in March of 2020 and COVID. MLRR maintained a steady level of permits issued, and active applications were fairly steady, but in late 2020 and early 2021, an influx of applications happened, with nine applications in December. Immediately after that, the number of permits decreased as staff worked to intake and start processing the applications, so their focus shifted from permit issuance to permit processing. Starting in August 2022, productivity returned to similar levels as before the increase of applications, staff are issuing between one and three permits a month, and are still receiving three to four applications a month. MLRR's productivity is equal to where it was before the spike in applications, but the Program does not have the staff on hand to keep pace with the increased number of applications.

Lewis stated she believes this is a clear demonstration that this is not about staff not doing their work, but about needing more staff to do the work they have. The Policy Option Packages in the Budget will hopefully help deal with some of that issue and will be re-evaluated in 2 years, depending on this Budget's cycle outcomes, and determine what is needed for long term sustainability of the Program.

Lewis added the MLRR Report included the list of the applications actually received, and the permits issued in the last 3 months; this will continue going forward so the Board can see there are things coming in and going out.

Lewis said response to complaints and compliance actions remains a significant portion of MLRR workload, in addition to the applications, routine renewals, and site inspections. MLRR is actually responding to 21 active complaints right now and tracking 103 violations across 65 mine sites. This is background work that the Program needs to stay on top of because it does have a responsibility when neighbors or the public reach out to ask what is going on at a mine, it becomes its priority to be able to give them an answer about that mine. Many of these complaints are not from permittees but from neighbors. The permittees may be doing nothing wrong but it is MLRR's job to be that liaison between the complainant and the permittee, and to try to find resolution, which does take significant staff and sometimes DOJ time.

Chair Ashford asked if there is a timeline for replying to complaints. Lewis stated the complaints are responded to within 48 hours.

Lewis said MLRR has no changes to sites under Suspension Orders, but do have five new Civil Penalties for Non-payment of Renewal Fees, which have been approved by our State Geologist. All this compliance activity has slowed the development of the Notice to Civil Penalty for the Mining Without a Permit site approved at the December Board Meeting, and she hopes to have an update on that site in June. That site is under Suspension Order and not active; the goal there is to move the permittee forward into compliance and reclamation of the site.

Grassy Mountain Project Update

Lewis said at the Technical Review Team (TRT) meeting in early February, the TRT recommended approval of the Cultural Resources Baseline Data Report (BDR), which was actually the last of the BDRs to be approved for this project. There were originally 24 Baseline Data Reports requested, the final three have been approved in the last year, which means that package of Baseline Data Reports is complete. This is a milestone for the company, as approved Baseline Data Reports are a requirement of a complete application; and they are working toward a complete application. The Consolidated Permit Application (CPA) is not complete yet, there are 242 unresolved comments from State agencies on the material submitted by the applicant, and 104 comments that still need to be resolved before the TRT can determine completeness.

The next TRT meeting is March 15, 2023. There will be a presentation at the meeting on the scope of the Environmental Evaluation that the State will be doing. Stantec will be leading that effort, and MLRR has received approval from the applicant to go ahead and start it. Normally that would wait until after the Notice to Proceed, but the applicant has received approval from the Bureau of Land Management on their Plan of Operations, and are moving forward with initiating their NEPA process. One of the features of the Division 37 process for the State, is MLRR coordinates as much as possible with the Federal Government, so it is also going to begin the Environmental Evaluation and coordination to minimize duplication of work on behalf of the applicant.

Lewis said the continuation of determination of completeness is expected to continue, and does not want to speculate on the timeline because it is heavily dependent on the applicant's response to the comments, but she is pleased with the progress they have seen. She explained once the TRT is comfortable that the CPA is complete, DOGAMI will issue a Notice to Proceed, that starts a 225 day clock for State agencies to draft permits. Stantec will complete the Environmental Evaluation, and that evaluation must be complete 2 months before the draft permits are issued. As part of that draft permit process, there is public review of both the Environmental Evaluation and then the draft permit. When it gets closer to that time, she will have Dane Doucet give a formal presentation to the Board on what can be expected as the Agency moves forward with the draft permit.

Chair Ashford said that with the new Board Members, it would be helpful if the presentation also included the background on Grassy Mountain.

MacDonald asked if MLRR is able to coordinate the work on Grassy Mountain with other lithium exploration projects. Lewis said no, the project is independent and separate, but it does give them a better understanding of dynamics, and there are a lot of public outreach opportunities that DOGAMI can take advantage of to have broader conversations.

Day-Stirrat said there is a working group within the State on understanding what the lithium resource in southeast Oregon looks like right now to discuss the potential work; some of the same players, who are in the TRT, are in those conversations. He thinks what DOGAMI has learned from Grassy Mountain is maybe how to move a Consolidated Permit in a more constructive way. This has been a difficult process, as the State has been careful, and the applicant has had a lot of questions to answer; the Agency has a much better understanding of the process. As for lithium, DOGAMI will have to wait for them to come forward with their Notice of Intent, but at this moment it has no indication of when that will happen.

 MacDonald said it sounds like there is synergy in the process, Program, and Agency, which is a significant advantage. Lewis agreed, stating DOGAMI/MLRR is building better, more robust processes, and have established relationships to coordinate these things going forward.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

9) GS&S Update:

Jason McClaughry, Interim GS&S Program Manager, provided a brief update on the GS&S program, a more detailed report on publications, grants, staffing, and outreach efforts being done is in the Board Packet.

McClaughry said one new grant DOGAMI received is a new opportunity with State Parks for multihazard research at Ecola State Park on the coast. This is an exciting opportunity as the Program has been working with State Parks for a number of years to build strong relationships and partnerships, and have finally been successful to get together a cooperative project with them to begin looking at hazards along the coast. This may turn into multiple opportunities in the future.

DOGAMI is entering into a new program with the USGS called Earth Mapping Resource Initiative or Earth MRI, which is based on the need across the nation for investigating and inventorying what critical minerals are available to deal with upcoming strategic needs in the country, economic changes, and where to find these resources. This new project with USGS prioritizes and acquires data for critical mineral focus areas in Oregon; the FY23 Earth MRI focus areas are southern Malheur county and the McDermitt Caldera.

Chair Ashford asked of DOGAMI is partnering with Nevada on the project. McClaughry said yes, the Agency will be working properly across the border with the Nevada Geological Survey. Thacker Pass is an area of lithium expiration and the Nevada Geological Survey has Earth MRI studies ongoing there.

McClaughry said with the end of the biennium coming up, General Funds will be used in support of geologic mapping to collect additional data by flying two flights for high resolution aeromagnetic data and radiometric data in: Harney Basin of Southeast Oregon; Baker Valley, Baker City, and Northeast Oregon preparing for future groundwater studies; and Dixie Butte, near Prairie City, is another area of known critical mineral potential and has extensive mineralization with cobalt.

McClaughry wrapped up with landslide mapping success story. DOGAMI receives funding from the FEMA Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) and the Agency submitted to them a brief article describing its workflow for mapping landslides and debris flows based on the recently published Special Paper 53, which outlined the Agency's Lidar based mapping methods for channelized debris flows in the post wildfire environment. FEMA chose to highlight's DOGAMI best practices. He acknowledged the staff in GS&S continue to do a great amount of work that is being nationally recognized by Agency partners.

MacDonald said she is wrapping up participation for clean water services in the Washington County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, they made heavy use of the Landslide Susceptibility and Multi Hazard Risk Assessment that DOGAMI produced and she very much appreciates that work. She had one request, as staff scope study areas she would like them taken to the basin divides/drainage basin. A

discussion took place and McClaughry said a conversation with the landslide program to understand the full issue would need to take place.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

10) Director's Report:

Ruarri Day-Stirrat, Director & State Geologist, provided a brief update on the Agency.

Agency Update

Day-Stirrat stated he has been in the role for just under a year and wanted to reflect a little bit. He recognized how hard DOGAMI staff work in both programs and is amazed and impressed with the, volume of productivity, reports, and insights the Agency generate; it is a constant source of motivation for him.

The Agency is focused on recognizing and supporting staff for their work, the really hard things they have to do, and at times facing challenges from external parties.

Regarding hiring, the Agency has had a successful year compared to the other State agencies. The hired staff either have advanced degrees or licensure in one or more states. A large cohort of DOGAMI staff are set to sit for professional exams this year, and the Agency has supported that career development through study groups and trying to make it a collaborative effort in joining professional ranks.

Federal Funding

Day-Stirrat said the Agency has highlighted the mapping program as an area it has tried to grow over the last year, by using creative ways in putting match funding work together to allow it to seek greater funding from the USGS in terms of STATEMAP. DOGAMI entered Earth MRI, this is a first year entry, and based on federal funding, this is likely to continue in future years, so the Agency has been putting a package together to increase its mapping capabilities.

Carbon Sequestration

Day-Stirrat said he previously stated in July the Agency would not focus on carbon sequestration, he backtracked that statement by saying DOGAMI put in a joint proposal, with the Washington Geological Survey, to the Department of Energy to look at a potential site for carbon sequestration. Washington is going to be leading this survey, DOGAMI will be a sub-recipient; the collaboration is important. DOGAMI is openly looking to partner with the right entities, be it they are state surveys, federal partners, or universities, and hopes that appears in the future.

Chair Ashford asked if there are any meetings needed before the next Board meeting due to the GRB. Day-Stirrat said no, he thinks the process now lies with the Legislature to appropriate the Agency a budget, which the Agency will report back to the Board.

Briefing: No Board Action Required.

11) Public Comment:

Only <u>written comments</u> received prior to or by 11:45 a.m. on the day of the meeting were to be accepted. Chair Ashford asked for any written public comments. No public comments.

Chair Ashford thanked the new Board Members for being engaged with the meeting and appreciated the dialogue and expertise they are bringing to the Board. He appreciates the work of Day-Stirrat and the DOGAMI staff. Ashford is happy with the direction the Agency is going and believes the reason DOGAMI is receiving positive input from the Legislature is the Agency is delivering on its mission.

Kozlowski agreed with Ashford and is amazed at the energy that Day-Stirrat and staff have brought, it is like a whole new organization, and thinks they are building on a good foundation.

12) Board Adjourn:

Chair Ashford adjourned the meeting at 10:47 a.m.

APPROVED

 Scott A. Ashford, Chair