PUBLIC NOTICE



Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East Proposed Order on Site Certificate Amendment 1 & Opportunity to Request a Contested Case

Summary:

Notice Date: April 25, 2024

Site Certificate Amendment Request: Increase the number of wind turbines; increase the battery storage system capacity; expand the site boundary and micrositing corridors; modify other approved related or supporting facilities; add new temporary construction yard; and extend construction completion deadline.

<u>Location of Proposed Changes</u>: Morrow and Umatilla County, approximately 16 miles northeast of Heppner.

Review Process: Type A

Purpose of Notice:

- To inform the public of the Oregon Department of Energy's (Department or ODOE) issuance of the Proposed Order on Request for Site Certificate Amendment 1 for Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East (Proposed Order), described further on Page 2 below; and
- To notify individuals or organizations that commented on the record of the Draft Proposed Order of their right to request for a Contested Case proceeding (requirements described in detail starting on Page 3 below).

<u>Deadline to Request a Contested Case Proceeding:</u> May 27, 2024, at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (PT)

Description of Facility (Approved): An approved, but not yet-constructed, 200-megawatt (MW) wind energy generation facility. The facility is approved to include up to 66 wind turbines, up to 32 miles of two overhead, parallel 230 kV transmission lines, and other related or supporting facilities to be located within an approximately 4,582-acre site boundary in Umatilla and Morrow Counties.

Facility Location (Approved): Morrow and Umatilla County, approximately 16 miles northeast of Heppner. A map of the facility site boundary is attached to this notice. Detailed maps are included in the amendment request, available at: https://www.oregon.gov/energy/facilities-safety/facilities/Pages/WREFE.aspx. Additional mapping tools are available on the Department's online mapping tool at https://tools.oregonexplorer.info/OE_HtmlViewer/index.html?viewer=renewable&efsc=Wheatridge%20Renewable%20Energy%20Facility%20East.

Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East, Request for Site Certificate Amendment 1 - Process Overview:

<u>Changes Proposed in Request for Site Certificate</u> <u>Amendment:</u>

- Expand the site boundary by approximately 74,403 acres, to 78,985 acres.
- Expand the micrositing corridor by approximately 10,058 acres, to 14,640 acres.
- Construct up to 41 additional turbines, for a total of up to 107 turbines with a combined generating capacity of up to 300 MW.
- Modify proposed collection system to consist of approximately 95 miles of underground 34.5kV line.
- Expand Battery Energy Storage capacity by 10 MW, for a total of 30 MW.
- Realign 230-kV transmission line along a newly proposed corridor.
- Construct an additional 56 miles of new permanent access roads, for a total of 76 miles.
- Expand project substation to accommodate new generating capacity at one of two proposed sites.
- Add a new temporary construction yard with up to 60 acres of temporary disturbance area.
- Extend the construction completion deadline by 3 years, from May 24, 2023, to May 24, 2026.
- Proposed changes to site certificate conditions.

<u>Draft Proposed Order Issuance</u>: On February 29, 2024 the Department issued a Draft Proposed Order (DPO) on RFA1. The DPO, ODOE's first evaluation of RFA1, included recommended findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended new and amended site certificate conditions. In the DPO, the Department recommended EFSC approve RFA1 and issue an amended site certificate.

<u>Draft Proposed Order Public Hearing</u>: Pursuant to OAR 345-027-0367, a public hearing on the DPO was held on March 21, 2024 at Oxford Suites in Hermiston, Oregon. The public comment period extended from February 29 through April 4, 2024. The record was held open until April 8, 2024 to provide the certificate holder an opportunity to respond to public comments.

<u>Council Review of the Draft Proposed Order</u>: At its April 19, 2024 meeting, EFSC reviewed the DPO, DPO comments, and provided comments to the Department for consideration in the Proposed Order.

<u>Proposed Order (Current Phase)</u>: The Proposed Order is the Department's second evaluation of the changes proposed in RFA1 and addresses comments provided by Council during their DPO review.

The Proposed Order recommends that EFSC find that a preponderance of evidence on the record supports the conclusions that the portion of the facility within the area added to the site boundary by the amendment complies with all laws and Council standards applicable to an original site certificate application; the amount of the bond or letter of credit required under OAR 345-022-0050 is adequate; and, the facility, with the proposed RFA1 changes, complies with the applicable laws or Council standards that protect a resource or interest that could be affected by the proposed change, subject to recommended conditions; and that EFSC approve RFA1, subject to existing and recommended new and amended conditions. All changes from the DPO are shown in the Proposed Order in underline/strikethough format. Material changes are summarized in Attachment 1 of this notice. RFA1 and the Proposed Order are available online at: https://www.oregon.gov/energy/facilitiessafety/facilities/Pages/WREFE.aspx.

<u>In Hardcopy</u>: Hard copies of RFA1, the DPO, and Proposed Order are available for public inspection at the following location at no cost:

Oregon Department of Energy 550 Capitol Street NE Salem, OR 97301 Phone: 503-871-7254

Email: Christopher.CLARK@energy.oregon.gov

Please contact the Department to arrange viewing of these documents. Hard copies will be provided at reasonable cost upon request to the Department.

Contested Case: Unlike an application for a site certificate (ASC), there is no requirement that an automatic contested case occur. For Type A amendment review, under OAR 345-027-0371, there is an opportunity to request a contested case proceeding. Please go to pages 3-4 for information about contested cases for site certificate amendments, including how to request to request a contested case.

If there are no requests for a contested case within the established deadline, the amendment request will proceed to the Final Order step.

<u>Final Order</u>: Following a contested case proceeding, or the Proposed Order phase if no requests for a contested case are received and granted by EFSC, EFSC will issue a Final Order either approving or rejecting RFA1. If approved, an Amended Site Certificate will be issued.

Receipt of this Notice: Please note that you may be receiving this notice for one or more of the following reasons:

- 1. You commented in person or in writing on the record of the DPO public hearing conducted under OAR 345-027-0367.
- 2. You own property within or adjacent to (within 500 feet of) the site boundary or proposed amended site boundary. You will automatically receive all future Council notices regarding this facility.
- **3.** You have requested to receive paper notices on the Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East facility. If you wish to be removed from this mailing list, please contact Chris Clark.

4. You have previously signed up via GovDelivery/ClickDimensions to receive email notices related to the facility or all EFSC projectrelated notices. You will automatically receive all future email notices per your request, unless you unsubscribe via ClickDimensions or by contacting the Department.

Additional information about the facility and updates on the review process is available using any of the following options.

- 1. Oregon Department of Energy's webpage: More details on the Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility including RFA1, the DPO and the Proposed Order are available online at: https://www.oregon.gov/energy/facilities-safety/facilities/Pages/WREFE.aspx. Additional resources to help you participate in the state siting process can be found at: https://www.oregon.gov/energy/facilities-safety/facilities/Pages/Facilities-Under-EFSC.aspx
- 2. Updates by email/mail: Subscribe to ClickDimensions, a self-managed, automated email system that sends notices and updates related to the Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East as well as any or all other energy facilities and events under EFSC jurisdiction. For more information, please visit: http://tinyurl.com/EFSC-email. To receive notices by U.S. Mail, please contact Chris Clark.

Accessibility information: The Oregon Department of Energy is committed to accommodating people with disabilities. If you require any special physical or language accommodations, or need information in an alternate format, please contact Nancy Hatch at 503-378-3895, toll-free in Oregon at 800-221-8035, or email to Nancy.Hatch@energy.oregon.gov

Contested Case Details: A ten-minute video describing the Type A Amendment Contested Case threshold is available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uBBGGhRXHu8

Eligibility to Request a Contested Case (OAR 345-027-0371(5)): Only those persons, including the certificate holder, who commented in person or in

writing on the record of the public hearing described in OAR 345-027-0367 may request a contested case proceeding on the proposed order for an amendment to the site certificate. To properly raise an issue in a request for a contested case proceeding on the proposed order for an amendment, the issue must be within the jurisdiction of the Council, and the person must have raised the issue in person or in writing on the record of the DPO public hearing, unless the Department did not follow the requirements of OAR 345-027-0367, or unless the action recommended in the proposed order differs materially from the DPO, including any recommended conditions of approval, in which case the person may raise only new issues within the jurisdiction of the Council that are related to such differences. If a person has not raised an issue at the DPO public hearing with sufficient specificity to afford the decision maker an opportunity to respond to the issue, the Council may not grant a contested case proceeding for that issue. To have raised an issue with sufficient specificity, the person must have presented facts at the DPO public hearing that support that person's position on the issue.

<u>Deadline to submit a Request for a Contested Case</u> (<u>OAR 345-027-0371(6)</u>): Persons eligible to request a contested case must submit a written request to the Department. To be considered, all requests for contested case must be received no later than **May 27, 2024, at 5:00 p.m. PT**. Requests may be submitted via U.S. mail, email or fax to the following address:

Christopher Clark
Oregon Department of Energy
550 Capitol Street NE, Salem, OR 97301
Phone: 503-871-7254

Email: Christopher.CLARK@energy.oregon.gov

If the Department does not receive the request by May 27, 2024, at 5:00 p.m. PT, the requesting person(s) will have waived any right to participate in

a contested case, if one is granted.

<u>Contents of a Request for Contested Case (OAR 345-027-0371(6))</u>: Contested case requests must include:

- (a) The person's name, mailing address and email address and any organization the person represents;
- (b) A short and plain statement of the issue or issues the person desires to raise in a contested case proceeding;
- (c) A statement that describes why the Council should find that the requester properly raised each issue, as described in OAR 345-027-0371(7), including a specific reference to the person's prior comments to demonstrate that the person raised the specific issue or issues on the record of the public hearing, if applicable;
- (d) A statement that describes why the Council should determine that each identified issue justifies a contested case, under the evaluation described in OAR 345-027-0371(9);
- (e) Name and address of the person's attorney, if any;
- (f) A statement of whether the person's request to participate in a contested case is as a party or a limited party, and if as a limited party, the precise area or areas in which participation is sought;
- (g) If the person seeks to protect a personal interest in the outcome of the proceeding, a detailed statement of the person's interest, economic or otherwise, and how such interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding;
- (h) If the person seeks to represent a public interest in the results of the proceeding, a detailed statement of such public interest, the manner in which such public interest will be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the person's qualifications to represent such public interest; and
- (i) A statement of the reasons why others who commented on the record of the public hearing cannot adequately represent the interest identified in OAR 345-027-0371(6)(h) or (i).

A template Request for Contested Case is provided as an attachment to this Notice, which can be used for submission of a Request in accordance with the

submittal requirements outlined in this Notice (OAR 345-027-0371(6)).

EFSC Consideration of Requests for a Contested Case (OAR 345-027-0371(7)-(9)): Before considering whether an issue justifies a contested case proceeding under OAR 345-027-0371(9), the Council must determine that the person requesting a contested case commented in person or in writing on the record of the public hearing and properly raised each issue included in the request. To determine that a person properly raised each issue included in the request, the Council must find that:

- (a) The person making the contested case request raised the issue on the record of the public hearing described in OAR 345-027-0367 with sufficient specificity to afford the Council, the Department, and the certificate holder an adequate opportunity to respond to the issue;
- (b) The Department did not follow the requirements of OAR 345-027-0367; or
- (c) If the action recommended in the Proposed Order, including any recommended conditions of approval, differs materially from the action recommended in the DPO, the contested case request identified new issues that are related to such material differences.

If the Council finds that the person requesting a contested case failed to comment in person or in writing on the record of the public hearing or failed to properly raise any issue, as described in OAR 345-027-0371(7), the Council must deny that person's contested case request. If the Council finds that the person requesting a contested case commented in person or in writing on the record of the public hearing and properly raised one or more issues, the Council's determination of whether an issue justifies a contested case, as described in OAR 345-027-0371 (9), must be limited to those issues the Council finds were properly raised.

After identifying the issues properly raised the Council must determine whether any properly raised issue justifies a contested case proceeding on that issue. To determine that an issue justifies a contested

case proceeding, the Council must find that the request raises a significant issue of fact or law that is reasonably likely to affect the Council's determination whether the facility, with the change proposed by the amendment, meets the applicable laws and Council standards included in chapter 345 divisions 22, 23 and 24. If the Council does not have jurisdiction over the issue raised in the request, the Council must deny the request.

Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East Figure 1 **Project Boundaries** MORROW AND UMATILLA COUNTIES, OR Amended Site Boundary (78,985 acres) Amended Wind Micrositing Corridors Permitted Wheatridge East (WREFE) Site Boundary (5,772 acres) Overlapping Site Boundary (WREFI, WREFII, WREFIII) Overlapping Site Boundary (Wagon Trail Solar-Proposed) County Boundary State Highway County Highway TETRA TECH Reference Map 1:135,000 WGS 1984 UTM Zone 11N NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Figure 1: Wheatridge Renewable Energy Facility East, Approved and Proposed Amended Site Boundary



ATTACHMENT 1 Summary of Material Changes from DPO to Proposed Order

Material changes include substantive changes to conditions of approval, an action, or recommendation; or a reversal of an action or recommendation. Material changes do not include updated or revised findings of fact unrelated to a change in a condition.

The following actions recommended in the Proposed Order differs materially from the DPO:

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Conditions GEN-GS-01 and GEN-GS-02: The Department recommends the Council amend the conditions to add new construction commencement and completion deadlines for facility components that would be sited in areas proposed to be added to the site by RFA1 and extend the construction completion deadline for components in previously approved areas by three years, rather than two. (Section III.A.1.1.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition PRE-RF-02: The Department made additional edits to the recommended condition language to clarify the process for adjusting the initial retirement assurance amount to reflect final facility design configuration (Section III.G.1.4.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition PRE-LU-03: The Department proposes the Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan included as Attachment F of DPO be amended. Amendments to the plan include adding clarification of the scope of plan finalization and of the applicability of treatment and monitoring during construction activities. Amendments also include a requirement that, prior to construction, the certificate holder be obligated to prepare and submit a pre-construction treatment plan, for review and approval by the Department, in consultation with the appropriate County Weed supervisor; and that the certificate holder be obligated to implement the approved preconstruction treatment plan prior to any disturbance activities in areas identified within the plan. (Section III.H.1.3.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition CON-FW-02: The Department recommends the Council amend the condition to reduce the seasonal avoidance buffer for ferruginous hawk nests from 0.6 to 0.5 miles and allow restrictions to be lifted if a nest is determined to be unoccupied for the nesting season on or after May 31. (Section III.H.1.3.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition PRE-TE-02: The Department recommends the Council require the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan be finalized prior to operations, rather than prior to construction.

Recommended Site Certificate Condition PRE-HC-04: The Department recommends the Council amend the condition to require the certificate holder to submit a complete a Section 106 Documentation Form

for the Bartholomew-Myers Farm, an NRHP eligible property in the viewshed of the facility, prior to construction.

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Conditions PRE-PS-05: The Department recommends the Council amend the condition to require the Emergency Management Plan for the facility to include additional information regarding how fire suppression and response activities will be carried out at the site in the event of a fire, and to require additional consultation with local fire service districts and other emergency service providers prior to finalization of the plan. (Section III.M.1.11.)

Recommended Site Certificate Condition PRE-WP-01: The Department recommends the Council impose a new condition specifying the information and evaluation to be included in both the Construction and Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Wildfire Mitigation Plans (e.g., how fire suppression and response activities will be carried out at the site in the event of a fire). The Department recommends the Council also require that the draft plans be finalized, in part, based on consultation by the certificate holder with local fire service districts and other emergency service providers. (Section III.N.1.2.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition CON-WP-01: The Department recommends Council amend the condition to require that all onsite employees and contractors be required to implement and adhere to the Construction Wildfire Mitigation Plan, as finalized under Condition PRE-WP-01. (Section III.N.1.2.)

Recommended Amended Site Certificate Condition PRO-WP-01: The Department recommends Council amend the condition to specify that, prior to facility operations, the O&M Wildfire Mitigation Plan be updated based on as-built facility and wildfire risk at the site at the time. The Department recommends the Council also require that the O&M Wildfire Mitigation Plan be updated annually to reflect changes in wildfire risk at the site and of service capacity of local fire protection agencies to respond to the site, as applicable. (Section III.N.1.2.)

- This template was developed by the Oregon Department of Energy (Department) and is provided as a courtesy for persons seeking to request a contested case on a proposed amendment to a site certificate. Individuals requesting a contested case proceeding are not required to use the template, but use is recommended to ensure requests contain required information and can be efficiently reviewed by Department staff and Council.
- Please contact the Department representative, Christopher M. Clark, to request a Word version of the template.

Description	Explanation of Information	Requestor Information
Requestor Name:	Provide Requestor first and last name.	
Requestor Addresses:	Provide physical mailing address and e-mail address, if available.	
Requestor Attorney:	Provide name and address of attorney, if any.	
Authorized Representative:	Provide name of any person(s) authorized by you to represent your issue(s) or confirm your intent to act as an authorized representative for the organization you intend to represent.	
Name of Any Organization Requestor Represents:	Confirm and provide the name of any organization(s) you represent in this proceeding, in addition to yourself.	
Party Status Requested (Limited or Full):	Confirm whether you are seeking to participate as a party or limited party and if as a limited party the precise area or areas in which you seek to participate.	
If seeking to represent a personal interest:	Provide a detailed statement of your personal interest (economic or otherwise).	

Request for a Contested Case (Template)					
Description	Explanation of Information	Requestor Information			
	Explain how your personal interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding.				
	Provide reasons why existing parties to the proceeding cannot adequately represent the personal interest you have identified.				
If seeking to represent a public interest:	Provide a detailed statement of the public interest you intend to represent.				
	Explain how such public interest would be impacted by the outcome of the proceeding.				
	Provide a reference to your qualifications to represent such public interest(s).				
	Provide reasons why existing parties to the proceeding cannot adequately represent the public interest(s) you have identified.				
Issue Information Instructions: Provide infor					
Issue 1					
Issue Statement:	Provide a short and plain statement of each issue you wish to raise in a contested case, including references to any facts, analysis or recommendations presented in the Proposed Order or Request for Amendment with which you take issue. Please reference any rules or statutes you believe are relevant to the issue(s) you are raising. Examples:				
	Issue #1. The evaluation of XX in the [Request for Amendment and/or Proposed Order] is not sufficient to demonstrate the project complies with				

Description					
•	Explanation of Information	Requestor Information			
	XX [statute and/or rule] because [briefly summarize				
1 '	your position]; OR				
	ssue #1. I contend the certificate holder does not				
	meet [cite specific Council standard or applicable				
	aw] because [provide brief explanation of why you				
	pelieve certificate holder does not meet the cited				
S	standard or law]).				
Provide date of DPO	For each issue identified in your Issue Statement(s),				
	provide the date and manner (verbal or written) in				
I -	which you raised the issue(s) on the record of the				
1	Draft Proposed Order.				
Provide Statement of	orant rroposed Order.				
how issue raises					
	Statement that describes why the Council should				
_	determine that each identified issue justifies a				
· ·	contested case because it raises a significant issue of				
_	fact or law that is reasonably likely to affect the				
	Council's determination whether the facility, with				
	the change proposed by the amendment, meets the				
	applicable laws and Council standards included in				
1	chapter 345 divisions 22, 23 and 24.				
applicable laws and	Shapter 3 13 divisions 22, 23 dila 24.				
Council standards:					
Issue 2					
Instructions: If more than 1 i	Instructions: If more than 1 issue, continue to provide responses to (1) and (2) for each additional issue requested for review in the proceeding.				
F	Provide a short and plain statement of each issue				
у	you wish to raise in the contested case, including				
r	references to any facts, analysis or				
Issue Statement: r	recommendations presented in the Proposed Order				
	or Request for Amendment with which you take				
i:	ssue. Please reference any rules or statutes you				
t	pelieve are relevant to the issue(s) you are raising.				

Description	Explanation of Information	Requestor Information		
	Examples: Issue #1. The evaluation of XX in the [Request for Amendment and/or Proposed Order] is not sufficient to demonstrate the project complies with XX [statute and/or rule] because [briefly summarize your position]; OR Issue #1. I contend the certificate holder does not meet [cite specific Council standard or applicable law] because [provide brief explanation of why you believe certificate holder does not meet the cited standard or law]).			
Provide date of DPO comments where issue was previously cited:	For each issue identified in your Issue Statement(s), provide the date and manner (verbal or written) in which you raised the issue(s) on the record of the Draft Proposed Order.			
Provide Statement of how issue raises significant issue of fact or law that is reasonably likely to affect the Council's determination whether the facility, with the change proposed by the amendment, meets the applicable laws and Council standards:	Statement that describes why the Council should determine that each identified issue justifies a contested case because it raises a significant issue of fact or law that is reasonably likely to affect the Council's determination whether the facility, with the change proposed by the amendment, meets the applicable laws and Council standards included in chapter 345 divisions 22, 23 and 24.			
	For additional issues, please add rows, copy and fill in the information provided above under Issue 1 and 2			