

# Climate-Friendly and Equitable Communities

# Why this Rulemaking

In 2007, Oregon legislators adopted a policy and goal to reduce Oregon's climate pollution by 75% by 2050. That's what the science calls for, if we're going to avoid catastrophic impacts to our environment, communities, and economy.

Fifteen years later, we're far off track in our efforts to meet those goals – and we're already experiencing real-world impacts of climate disruption, with increasing wildfires, in size, severity, and timing, and record heat waves that have cost Oregonians their homes, and their lives.

We're particularly off-track in reducing pollution from transportation, responsible for about 38% of Oregon's climate pollution. On our current path, Oregon will only reduce transportation pollution by about 20% by 2050. That means we're polluting far more than we hoped, meaning more extreme weather events, more wildfires, more ocean acidification, and more record heat waves. In response, Governor Brown directed state agencies to promote cleaner vehicles, cleaner fuels, and less driving.

Meanwhile, the State of Oregon is grappling with a troubling history and current patterns of inequity and discrimination, including in our land use, zoning, and transportation investment (and disinvestment) decisions. Wealth and health have been concentrated in the privileged, at the expense of others. This rulemaking aims to take some steps in redressing past harms.

# Climate Pollution Change (Light Duty Vehicles) We are here 20% 1970 1990 2010 2039 2050 -40% Where we're headed (Trends, Plans, Investments) Oregon's adopted vision (Statewide Transportation Strategy) -80% -80%

Oregon is dramatically off-track. If current trends continue, Oregon will release more than 4 times more transportation pollution than our goal by 2050.



Thousands of Oregonians have lost their homes in recent wildfires. Missing our climate goals will mean more extreme and more frequent weather events such as heat bombs, droughts, and wildfires.

#### **Rulemaking Overview and Desired Outcomes**

The Land Conservation and Development Commission launched the Climate-Friendly and Equitable Communities rulemaking in September 2020. The commission directed the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), Oregon's land use planning agency, to draft changes in Oregon's planning system for communities in Oregon's eight most populated areas (see map at right).

The rules require those communities to change their local transportation and land use plans to do more to ensure Oregonians have more safe, comfortable ways to get around, and don't have to drive long distances just to meet their daily needs.



The rules apply in Oregon's eight metropolitan areas shown above.

The rules also aim to improve equity, and help community transportation, housing, and

planning serve all Oregonians, particularly those traditionally underserved and discriminated against.

What does that mean on the ground? It means having some areas where rules don't get in the way of more walkable neighborhoods. The rules ask 15 communities to designate climate-friendly areas, and to allow people to build taller buildings providing more housing. The rules don't *require* taller buildings, but make sure those buildings are *allowed*. In climate-friendly areas, a minimum density standard would help ensure transit can serve the neighborhood.


Other provisions of the rulemaking call for new buildings to support the growing electric vehicle transformation, reduce one-size-fits-all parking mandates, and increase local planning requirements to address critical gaps in our walking, biking, and transit networks. The rules ask communities to identify transportation projects needed so our climate goals could be met.

The rulemaking is mainly about letting climate-friendly development happen where people want to build it and the market calls for it. There's a lot of demand for housing where people can walk to where they want to go. While single-family homes will continue to be allowed and provide most housing, Oregonians have a diverse set of housing desires and deserve more affordable and climate-friendly choices. Those could better meet the changing shape of American households, as nearly a third of homes hold just one person. But again, people can choose what best meets their needs.

# **Equitable Mapping, Engagement and Decision-Making**

One central outcome of this rulemaking is an increased emphasis on equity. The rulemaking has worked to integrate equity, starting with the rulemaking charge and title. Equity was key as DLCD attempted to have the composition of the advisory committee reflect the diversity of Oregon's communities, and equity was one of the first tasks tackled by the group.

The rulemaking advisory committee spent significant time at many of its meetings discussing equity, and developed an <a href="Equitable Outcomes Statement">Equitable Outcomes Statement</a> to guide the rulemaking drafting and implementation. The rulemaking conducted a racial equity analysis of the rules and an analysis on how the rules could be improved to serve people with disabilities. The committee subsequently reviewed a table listing how each item in the Equitable Outcomes Statement was or was not brought forth into the draft rules, and what next steps might be.



1938 Redlining map of Portland. Redlining allowed white people to build wealth through homeownership.

The rules define traditionally underserved populations to include Black and African American people, Indigenous people, People of Color, people with limited English proficiency, people with disabilities, low-income Oregonians, youth and seniors, and more. They require mapping of traditionally underserved populations, local consideration of a set of anti-displacement actions should decisions contribute toward displacement, centering the voices of underserved populations in decision-making, and regular reporting on efforts to engage traditionally underserved populations.

# **Climate-Friendly Areas**

A climate-friendly area is an area where residents, workers, and visitors can meet most of their daily needs without having to drive. They are urban mixed-use areas that contain, or are planned to contain, a greater mix and supply of housing, jobs, businesses, and services. These areas are served, or planned to be served, by high quality pedestrian, bicycle, and transit infrastructure to provide frequent, comfortable, and convenient connections to key destinations within the city and region.

Why are climate-friendly areas important? A key component of Oregon's plan to meet our climate pollution reduction and equity goals is facilitating development of urban areas in which residents are less dependent upon the single occupant vehicle. Before the automobile became common in American life, cities grew more efficiently, with a variety of uses in city centers and



Oregon already has some climate-friendly areas, pleasant places to meet one's needs without needing to drive.

other areas that allowed for working, living, and shopping within a walkable or transit accessible area. Over the last 100 years, the automobile and planning practices have served to separate activities, creating greater inequities within cities and widespread dependence upon climate-polluting vehicles to meet daily needs. Climate-friendly areas will help to reverse these negative trends, with some actions taking place in the short term, and others that will occur with development and redevelopment over time.

The rules require cities, and some urbanized county areas, with a population over 5,000 within the seven metropolitan areas outside of Portland Metro to adopt regulations allowing walkable mixed-use development in defined areas within urban growth boundaries. The rules for the Portland Metro area support implementation of the region's 2040 Growth Concept. Areas will be sized to accommodate a portion of the community's housing, jobs, and services. Local governments will determine where these areas will be located, but many of these areas will likely be established in existing downtowns that may currently allow for mixed uses and higher densities.

Associated requirements will ensure high quality pedestrian, bicycle, and transit infrastructure is available within these areas to provide convenient transportation options. The rules provide a process for local governments to first identify potential climate-friendly areas, then later to adopt development standards for the areas best-suited for this purpose. The rules provide some minimum requirements for climate-friendly areas, with a set of clear and objective standards that may be adopted, or a process for local governments to craft their own standards. Cities of more than 10,000 will monitor housing production within these areas over time and develop strategies to facilitate desired development.

#### **Reforming Costly Parking Mandates**

Excess parking has a significant negative impact on housing costs, business costs, the feasibility of housing development and business redevelopment, walkability, air and water pollution, climate pollution, and general community character. Parking mandates force people who don't own or use cars to pay indirectly for other people's parking. Carless households tend to be the poorest households. Parking demand varies significantly



Parking uses a huge amount of high-value land. Off-street parking in downtown Corvallis in red.

from development to development, and about one-sixth of Oregon renter households own zero vehicles. Planning practices of the past have imposed a one-size-fits-all requirement everywhere, creating incentives to own more cars and drive more.

The rules encourage the diversity of parking needs to be met by the diversity of development. The rules would reduce or remove costly parking mandates for desired types of development, such as smaller housing types, small businesses, childcare facilities, multi-family housing, and historic buildings. The rules would completely remove parking mandates within one-half mile of frequent transit and three-quarters of a mile of rail stops, where parking demand is lower per unit.

The rules give communities options to improve parking management. Those who adopt best practice parking policies would get more flexibility. The rules require cities with over 100,000 population that choose to continue to mandate off-street parking to eventually charge at least 50 cents per day for 10% of on-street parking spots.

# **Getting Ready for Oregon's Electric Vehicle Future**

Making our vehicles cleaner is a key part in meeting Oregon's climate goals. Oregon has a vision where 90% of new vehicles will be electric by 2035. To meet that goal, we need to ensure people can charge their vehicles. The most convenient place to do so is at home, but many Oregonians live in older multi-family homes that would be very expensive to retrofit.

Thus, the rules require *new* housing and mixed-use development with at least five units would include electrical conduit (pipes) to 40% of spots, ready for adding wiring and charging stations to support electric vehicles as the market expands.



Building a complete network of EV charging stations at commercial and multi-family housing locations could cut up to 11.9% of climate pollution

#### **Planning for a Future of Transportation Options**

DLCD and other state agency partners including the Oregon Department of Transportation will provide a range of new and amplified services to help meet greenhouse gas reduction goals, including grants, technical assistance, tools, and publications, to help local governments adopt plans that meet or exceed the state's climate pollution reduction goals.

Local governments in Oregon have been required to make coordinated land use and transportation plans for decades. The updated rules would require local governments in metropolitan areas to:

- Plan for greater development in transit corridors and downtowns, where services are located and less driving is necessary;
- Prioritize system performance measures that achieve community livability goals;
- Prioritize investments for reaching destinations without dependency on single occupancy vehicles, including in walking, bicycling, and transit;
- Plan for needed infrastructure for electric vehicle charging; and
- Regularly monitor and report progress.




Transportation options are critical for everyone, but particularly the roughly one-in-three Oregonians who cannot drive.

# **Planning to Meet Our Climate Goals**

DLCD's regional greenhouse gas reduction program allows areas to work together to consider statewide, regional, and local needs and issues. The flexible regional planning process allows communities to study economic development, fiscal impacts, resource use, pollution impacts, and the effects of different choices on the state, region, community, or households. The results are intended to help local government community members, elected and appointed leaders better understand issues and quantify the effect of potential policies as they review and update the area's long-range plans and make investment decisions.


The rules expand requirements for regional plans to meet the state's climate pollution reduction targets from the Portland metropolitan area to the next largest metropolitan areas in the state (Eugene-Springfield and Salem-Keizer) initially. Other metropolitan areas will be required to evaluate their local plans towards meeting the state's climate pollution reduction targets and amend their local plans towards meeting the target.



#### **Community Engagement**

We've heard from lots of Oregonians over the past eighteen months. We've heard from a 40-person advisory committee including representatives from all of Oregon's impacted eight urban areas, several people who are home builders, realtors, representatives of the trucking industry, affordable housing advocates, land use advocates, community-based and other community-serving organizations.

To supplement those deliberations, staff held two separate series of virtual community conversations in 2021 – five in the spring, and four in the fall. Staff have



Some members of the rulemaking advisory committee

hosted a series of nine technical work group meetings on specific topics, a series of practitioner meetings with local government staff in each region, and dozens of additional meetings with local elected officials, planning staff, and interest groups.

Upcoming conversations include events focused on what will be needed at the community level to support implementation and ongoing engagement strategies.

We've heard from hundreds of Oregonians who have attended one or more of the scores of meetings, community conversations, work groups, or practitioner meetings, and from hundreds of people who've submitted comments (<u>summary here</u>). Our rules are better for it, having continued to evolve and improve.

But the engagement won't end there – the rules require local governments to engage their communities as they make key decisions on how the rules apply locally. If you're interested in these issues, we encourage you to stay engaged.

# **Implementing the Rules: Resources and Timelines**

Local governments are responsible for implementing the rules. Many of the rules take effect when a community next conducts a major update of its Transportation System Plan (TSP), a community's core document describing its transportation needs and future plans. The rules state most plans should be updated by December 31, 2029. The rules have Salem-Keizer and Eugene-Springfield areas on a schedule to do regional scenario plans and update their TSPs by the end of 2027.

The land use components of the rules have specific deadlines. Communities are asked to study potential Climate-Friendly Areas by December 31, 2023, and adopt Areas by December 31, 2024. Parking reform is scheduled to happen in two phases - the first at the end of 2022, and the second by June 30, 2023. Communities may ask for some flexibility around most of these dates.

DLCD is providing or working to find resources for local governments to do this work, along with our agency partners at the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Oregon Housing and Community Services Department. The Oregon Legislature provided \$768,000 to assist with implementation on land use, and ODOT has identified another \$18 million to assist with transportation plan updates.

#### **Learn More**

Information on how to get implementation updates via email and many additional materials can be found at <a href="https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/CFEC.aspx">www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/CFEC.aspx</a>

#### **Contact Information**

Evan Manvel, Climate Mitigation Planner <u>evan.manvel@dlcd.oregon.gov</u> 971-375-5979

Cody Meyer, Land Use and Transportation Planner <a href="mailto:cody.meyer@dlcd.oregon.gov">cody.meyer@dlcd.oregon.gov</a>
971-239-9475

Kevin Young, Senior Urban Planner <a href="mailto:kevin.young@dlcd.oregon.gov">kevin.young@dlcd.oregon.gov</a>
503-602-0238