1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON 2 3 4 OREGON NATURAL RESOURCES COUNCIL, ) 5 SHA SPADY, and PORTLAND AUDUBON ) SOCIETY, 6 ) 7 ) 8 Petitioners, ) 9 ) 10 vs. ) 11 ) LUBA No. 95-024 12 CITY OF OREGON CITY, ) 13 FINAL OPINION ) AND ORDER 14 Respondent, ) 15 ) 16 and ) 17 ) NEWELL CREEK DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, 18 ) 19 ) 20 Intervenor-Respondent. ) 21 22 Appeal from City of Oregon City. 23 24 25 Stuart A. Sugarman, Portland, represented petitioners. 26 Daniel H. Kearns, Portland, represented respondent. 27 28 29 H. Bean, Portland, represented intervenor-James 30 respondent. 31 HANNA, Referee; LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee; GUSTAFSON, 32 33 Referee, participated in the decision. 34 35 DISMISSED 02/27/96 36 37 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of 38 ORS 39 197.850.

1 Hanna, Referee.

2 The parties move to dismiss this appeal. Accordingly,3 this appeal is dismissed.