```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
 4
   JOHN Q. WELCH and
                                    )
 5
   CAROL R. WELCH,
                                    )
 6
 7
             Petitioner,
 8
9
         vs.
10
                                            LUBA No. 95-248
11
   CITY OF PORTLAND,
                                    )
12
                                             FINAL OPINION
13
             Respondent,
                                    )
                                               AND ORDER
14
15
         and
16
17
   CAROL L. LASH,
                                    )
18
19
             Intervenor-Respondent.
                                                   )
20
21
22
        Appeal from City of Portland.
23
24
        Ernest G. Bootsma, Portland, represented petitioners.
25
26
         Peter
                Α.
                     Kasting, Senior Deputy City Attorney,
27
    represented respondent.
28
29
         James P. Draudt, Portland, represented intervenor-
30
    respondent.
31
32
                      Referee; LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee;
         GUSTAFSON,
33
    participated in the decision.
34
35
             DISMISSED
                                   03/29/96
36
37
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
    Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
38
    197.850.
39
```

- 1 Opinion by Gustafson.
- 2 Respondent moves to dismiss this appeal and for an
- 3 award of the filing fee and deposit for costs, pursuant to
- 4 OAR 661-10-130(1), on the basis that petitioners have failed
- 5 to timely file a petition for review.
- The petition for review was due March 19, 1996, and has
- 7 not been filed. Failure to file a timely petition for
- 8 review is a jurisdictional violation of our rules, mandating
- 9 dismissal of this appeal.
- 10 This appeal is dismissed, and respondent is awarded the
- 11 filing fee and deposit for costs.
- 12