1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS 2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 4 JOHN BRUGH and ANITA BRUGH, ) 5 ) б Petitioners, ) 7 ) 8 vs. ) LUBA No. 95-122 9 ) 10 COOS COUNTY, ) 11 ) FINAL OPINION 12 Respondent, AND ORDER ) 13 ) 14 and ) (MEMORANDUM OPINION) 15 ) ORS 197.835(16) 16 LUE GEANEY, ) 17 ) 18 Intervenor-Respondent. ) 19 20 21 Appeal from Coos County. 22 John L. Hilts, Coquille, filed the petition for review. 23 Wallace W. Lien, Salem, argued on behalf of petitioners. 24 25 26 No appearance by respondent. 27 28 Douglas M. DuPriest, Eugene, filed the response brief 29 and argued on behalf of intervenor-respondent. With him on the brief was Hutchinson, Anderson, Cox & Coons, P.C.. 30 31 32 HANNA, Referee, LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee; participated 33 in the decision. 34 35 AFFIRMED 05/09/96 36 37 You are entitled to judicial review of this order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 38 39 197.850.

1 Opinion by Hanna.

Petitioners appeal the county's denial of their request 2 3 for a conditional use permit for a mini-storage facility to replace an abandoned nursing home. Petitioners have not 4 5 established any basis for remand or reversal of the county's б decision. However, one issue raised by intervenorrespondent (intervenor) merits comment. Intervenor argues 7 that the application of the ZLDO 3.4.300 to this conditional 8 9 use permit violates ORS 215.130(5). That may be true. 10 However, the county's authority to approve an application under this ordinance is not before us. Our scope of review 11 12 is limited to a review of the decision made by the county. 13 ORS 197.835. We do not have statutory authority to issue 14 advisory opinions.

15 The county's decision is affirmed.