1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS 2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 4 DAN MCKENZIE,) 5) б Petitioner,) 7) 8 vs.) 9) LUBA No. 95-178 10 MULTNOMAH COUNTY,) 11) FINAL OPINION 12 Respondent, AND ORDER) 13) 14 and) MEMORANDUM OPINION 15) (197.835(16))16 ARNOLD ROCHLIN, RALPH ROSENLUND,) 17 NANCY ROSENLUND, SCOTT ROSENLUND,) 18 BARBARA TELFORD, and BARRY OLSON,) 19) 20 Intervenors-Respondent.) 21 22 23 Appeal from Multnomah County. 24 25 Dan McKenzie, Portland, filed the petition for review 26 and argued on his own behalf. 27 28 Duffy, Chief Assistant County Counsel, Sandra N. 29 Portland, filed a response brief and argued on behalf of With her on the brief was Laurence Kressel, 30 respondent. 31 County Counsel. 32 33 Arnold Rochlin, Portland, and Edward J. Sullivan, Portland, filed a response brief on behalf of intervenors-34 35 respondent. With them on the brief was Preston Gates & 36 Ellis. Arnold Rochlin argued on his own behalf. 37 HANNA, Referee; GUSTAFSON, Referee, participated in the 38 39 decision. 40 41 06/18/96 AFFIRMED 42 43 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. 44 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 45 197.850.

1 Opinion by Hanna.

2 The city approved intervenor Scott Rosenlund's 3 application for a significant environmental concern permit. 4 Petitioner does not establish any legal basis for reversal 5 or remand of the challenged decision.¹

6 The city's decision is affirmed.

 $^{^1\}mbox{At}$ oral argument, petitioner withdrew the second of four assignments of error.