1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3 4	NORMAN C. BENNETT,)
5)
6	Petitioner,)
7) LUBA No. 96-025
8	vs.
9) FINAL OPINION
10	CITY OF SEASIDE,) AND ORDER
11)
12	Respondent.)
13	
14	
15	Appeal from City of Seaside.
16	
17	Norman C. Bennett, represented himself.
18	
19	Dan Van Thiel, Astoria, represented respondent.
20	
21	LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee; GUSTAFSON, Referee; HANNA,
22	Referee, participated in the decision.
23	
24	DISMISSED 06/11/96
25	
26	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
27	Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
28	197.850.

- 1 Livingston, Chief Referee.
- 2 On February 20, 1996, the Board received City of
- 3 Seaside's withdrawal of its decision for reconsideration.
- 4 On April 26, 1996, the Board received respondent's decision
- 5 on reconsideration. An amended notice of intent to appeal
- 6 has not been filed, nor has an original notice of intent
- 7 been refiled. Therefore, pursuant to OAR 661-10-021(5)(e),
- 8 this appeal must be dismissed.
- 9 This appeal is dismissed.