```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
                      OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 2.
 3
 4
   DAN McKENZIE,
                                    )
 5
                                    )
 6
             Petitioner,
                                    )
 7
 8
        vs.
 9
10
   MULTNOMAH COUNTY,
                                   )
                                          LUBA Nos. 95-208,
11
                                   )
                                          96-017 and 96-018
12
             Respondent,
                                    )
13
                                    )
                                           FINAL OPINION
14
        and
                                              AND ORDER
15
   ARNOLD ROCHLIN, DEPARTMENT OF LAND
16
                                                   )
17
   CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, and
                                                   )
   FRIENDS OF FOREST PARK,
18
19
20
             Intervenors-Respondent.
                                                  )
21
22
23
        Appeal from Multnomah County.
24
25
        Dan McKenzie, Portland, represented himself.
26
27
         Sandra Duffy, Assistant County Counsel, Portland,
28
   represented respondent.
29
30
        Arnold Rochlin, Portland, represented himself.
31
32
         Celeste J. Doyle, Assistant Attorney General, Salem,
33
                 intervenor-respondent Department of
    represented
                                                           Land
34
    Conservation and Development.
35
36
         Frank G.
                    MacMurray, Jr., Portland, represented
37
    intervenor-respondent Friends of Forest Park.
38
39
        HANNA, Referee; GUSTAFSON, Referee, participated in the
40
    decision.
41
42
                                   08/07/96
             DISMISSED
43
44
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
45
    Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
```

1 197.850.

- 1 Hanna, Referee.
- 2 Petitioner moves to withdraw the above captioned appeal
- 3 and requests return of his deposits for costs. There are no
- 4 objections. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed and the
- 5 Board will return petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs for
- 6 each appeal.