1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BO	OARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE O	F OREGON
3		
4	SUNNYSIDE CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE,)
5)	
6	Petitioner,)	
7)	LUBA No. 96-089
8	vs.	
9)	FINAL OPINION
10	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,)	AND ORDER
11)	
12	Respondent.)	
13		
14		
15	Appeal from Clackamas County.	
16		
17	Michael C. Robinson, Portland	d, represented petitioner.
18		
19	Michael E. Judd, Chief Assistant County Counsel, Oregon	
20	City, represented respondent.	
21		
22	GUSTAFSON, Referee; HANNA, Chief Referee; LIVINGSTON,	
23	Referee, participated in the decision.	
24		
25	DISMISSED 10)/07/96
26		
27	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.	
28	Judicial review is governed by	y the provisions of ORS
29	197.850.	

- 1 Opinion by Gustafson.
- 2 Pursuant to ORS 197.830(12)(b) and OAR 661-10-021, the
- 3 county withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for
- 4 reconsideration on May 29, 1996. On September 6, 1996, the
- 5 Board received the county's decision on reconsideration.
- 6 Pursuant to OAR 661-10-021(5)(a), petitioner had until
- 7 September 27, 1996 to (1) refile its original notice of
- 8 intent to appeal in this matter, or (2) file an amended
- 9 notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received a
- 10 refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended
- 11 notice of intent to appeal in accordance with
- 12 OAR 661-10-021(5)(a).
- OAR 661-10-021(5)(d) provides "[i]f no amended notice
- 14 of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent
- 15 to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-10-021(5)(a)],
- 16 the appeal will be dismissed."
- 17 This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City
- 18 of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).