

OSAS Statewide Assessment System

Science Reporting

The Oregon Statewide Assessment System (OSAS) Science Assessment provides educators, students, and families with valuable information about student knowledge and skills as aligned to the Oregon Science Standards. Available reporting information includes:

1) Student level composite (overall) Scale Score

- 2) Student level 3-Dimensional Claim Scores
- 3) Group level 3-Dimensional Claim Scores
- 4) Group level Science and Engineering Practice (SEP)/Crosscutting Concepts (CCC) Claim Score

OSAS Science Assessment Scale Scores

For grades 5, 8, and 11, the OSAS Science Assessment composite scale scores are mapped into four achievement levels (Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4). Achievement levels are proficiency ranges in which a student composite scale scores fall within (see Table 1).

Grade	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3 *	Level 4
5	Score below 3131	3131	3162	3198
8	Score below 3433	3433	3460	3507
11	Score below 3735	3735	3755	3788

Table 1. OSAS Science Assessment Achievement-Level Cut Scores

*Achievement of level 3 or higher demonstrates proficiency on the OSAS Science Assessment.

Achievement Level Descriptors for OSAS Science Assessments for grades 5, 8, and 11 are found on the <u>ODE Science Assessment web page</u> within the *Oregon's Science Assessment Achievement Level Descriptors, Test Specifications, and Blueprint* menu. The Achievement Level Descriptor documents contain information about each of the claim categories including the targets for that claim category and the evidences that are typically observed within each achievement level of that target. These targets are arranged by Disciplinary Core Ideas and sets of Performance Expectations/Standards within them (e.g. PS.1 Matter and Its Interactions).

3-Dimensional Discipline Claim Scores at the Student Level

The 3-Dimensional Discipline Claims at the student-level are sub-claims to the overall composite scale scores that students receive and reflect performance on items aligned to standards within each discipline. Assessment items are 3-dimensional, containing interactions designed to identify student knowledge and skills relative to the Disciplinary Core Idea, Science and Engineering Practice, and Crosscutting Concept of the standard assessed by the item. For more information on 3-dimensional Oregon Science Standards, please see the <u>ODE Science</u> <u>Standards web page</u>.

Table 2. OSAS Science Assessment 3-Dimensional Discipline Category Descriptors

3-Dimensional Physical Science

3-Dimensional Life Science

3-Dimensional Earth and Space Science

Students' performance on each reporting discipline is reported in three achievement categories:

- *Below Standard, At/Near Standard, and Above Standard*. Unlike achievement levels for the overall test, student performance on each of the discipline levels is evaluated with respect to the *Level 3 achievement standard*.
- *Below Standard* or *Above Standard* can be interpreted as having student achievement that is clearly below or above the *Level 3 cut score* for a specific discipline level.
- At/Near Standard can be interpreted as falling with a standard error of measurement (SEM) range in which student achievement does not provide enough information to tell whether students clearly reached the Level 3 mark for the specific discipline category.

Figure 1. Student ISR Performance on 3-Dimensional Discipline Categories

How Did Your Child Perform on Different Areas of the Test?

The table and the graph below indic student's score on each reporting c would receive if he or she took the t	cate student performance on individual repo ategory. The lines to the left and right of the test multiple times.	A Below Standard	At/Near Standard	Above Standard				
Category	Performance		Performance level Description					
3-Dimensional Earth and Space Science	Below the Standard Above the Standard	A	Student is developing the ability to use graphs and/or models to describe patterns and construct arguments about the advantages and disadvantages of an engineering design solution in earth science.					
3-Dimensional Life Science	Below the Standard Above the Standard	A	Student i an argum	s developing the ability to ide ent, and use models to des	entify and/or interpret data cribe scientific concepts ir	a, use data to support n life science.		
3-Dimensional Physical Science	Below the Standard Above the Standard		Student i evaluate claims, a	s approaching or has the ab and revise models, compare nd make predictions in phys	ility to ask questions and and revise explanations ical science.	plan investigations, using data to support		

Discipline category scores are shown as a range on Individual Student Reports (ISRs) to account for the SEM due to students responding to too few items within a science discipline category to generate data with the same level of reliability and validity as an overall composite score.

3-Dimensional Discipline Claim Scores at the Group Level

Aggregate (group) scores of Performance Relative to Proficiency can be found in the Centralized Reporting System (located on the <u>OSASPortal</u>). The Performance Relative to Proficiency for a 3-Dimensional Discipline shows how a group of students performed in a 3-Dimensional Discipline relative to the expected performance at the proficiency cut. Since the Performance Relative to Proficiency is a comparison to a standards-based expectation, performance across groups can be compared.

norde by senser. Par sensers	1.0011			(www.wy itepoi	ang ili						_																	_
School	÷	9		Total						•								3-	Dimensional E	arth and	Space	e Science					1	
		Total									3-Dime	2-Dime										3-1	Dimensional Ear	th and Space \$	cience			
			Student \$	Average Scale Score		Perform	ance Di	stribution		Percent Proficient	nsional E	Avera Scale S	Average Scale Score Performance Distribution Pro		ESS1 🚯		ESS2 🚯			ESS3 🚯								
											arth and				Proficient 🚯	Weak Strong	or 17 0	Proficient	Weak or Strong?	Proficient	0	Weak or Strong?						
State			44988	3150 🚯	Percent Count	24% 11K	4155 18.3K	31% 4% 13.8K 1.8K	0	35%	Space Sd	3151	0	Perce	ant 3 unt 16	36% 6.3К	63% 23.7K	11% 4.8K	0	×	н	F	×	+	×		-	
District			25	3108±8 🚯	Percent Count		84% 21	4% 12% 1 3	0	12%	ence	3109 ±	7 🔒	Perce	ent unt	9 1	4% 15	6% 1	0	×	=	-	×	+	×		=	
Attending School			22	3108 ± 10 🚯	Percent Count		62% 18	6% 14% 1 3	0	14%		3110 ±	8 🚯	Perce	ent unt	8 1	2% 12	8% 1	0	×	-	-	×	+	×		-	
Q Oregon Training Sc			25	3108±8 🚯	Percent Count		84% 21	4% 12%	0	12%		3109 ±	7 0	Perce	ant unt	, , ,	4% 15	6% 1	0	×	-	-	×	+	×		=	

Figure 2. Example of Group Level Claim Data as shown in the Centralized Reporting System Average Score and Performance Distribution for OSAS Grade 5 Science (Spring 2019 (OSAS)), by School and Reporting Category: zzz Cambium Training District, 2018-2019

For Performance Relative to the Test as a Whole (weak or strong), the expected performance is determined based on the students' overall performance on the entire test. It shows how a group of students performed relative to their performance on the test overall. Rather than comparing across groups, Performance Relative to the Test as a Whole provides more information regarding the relative strength and weakness on different DCI targets (DCI sets of Performance Expectations/Standards) within a group.

Figure 3. Example of 3-Dimensional Life Science Claim Data at the Group Level in the Centralized Reporting System

Average Score and Performance D Cambium Training District, 2018-20 Filtered By School: All Schools Test	erage Score and Performance Distribution for OSAS Grade 5 Science (Spring 2019 (OSAS)), by School and Reporting Category: zzz (b) Breakdown By Sudern Results Student Results and Student Results (c) Print Period: 06/15/2019 (c) Print Period: 06/15/2													Print		
School 🔶	Image: Specific Science Image: Specific Science															
	3-Dime						3-Dimensional Life Science									
	nsional Li	Average Scale Score	Performance Distribution		LS1	LS1 🔒		LS2 🚯		LS3 🚯		LS4 🚯				
	fe Scienc				Proficient 🚯	Weak or Strong?	Proficient 🔒	Weak or Strong?	Proficient 1 Weak or Strong?		Proficient () Weak or Strong?		hysical S			
State	ĕ	3152 🚺	Percent 32' Count 14.5	% 57% 11 % % 25.5K 4.7K	0	×	+	×	+	×	—	×	+	cience		
District		3099±9 🚯	Percent Count	94% 6% 15 1	0	×	-	×	_	×	_	×	_			
Attending School		3099±12 🚺	Percent Count	92% 8% 12 1	0	×	-	×	_	×	_	×	_			
Oregon Training Sc		3099±9 🚺	Percent Count	94% 6% 15 1	0	×	—	×	_	×		×	—			

Group Level Science Practices (SEP)/Crosscutting Concepts (CCC) Claim Scores Science and Engineering Practices (SEP)/Crosscutting Concepts (CCC) sub claims provide educators with specific information about aggregate student performance relative to the Science and Engineering Practices and Crosscutting Concepts.

A multi-state group of educators and state science assessment leaders developed claim groups at each grade band to combine groups of SEPs and CCCs with similar or associated features and/or evidences.

 Table 3. Grade 5 SEP/CCC Claims and Descriptors

Claim	Descriptors of the types evidences included in this claim
Gathering Data and	Ask questions, obtain and use information, and conduct
Investigating Scientific	investigations to determine cause and effect. Make observations
Questions (GI)	and measurements using standard units to describe physical
	quantities to describe patterns as evidence of phenomena. Ask
	questions, gather information and conduct investigations to
	describe the transfer of energy within systems.
Developing and Using	Develop and use models to: describe patterns and interactions
Models to Describe the	within a system, describe cause and effect relationships, describe
Natural World (DM)	the transfer of energy and cycling of matter within a system, and
	show variations pertaining to scale, proportion, and quantity.
Using Mathematical	Represent, analyze, and interpret patterns of data to describe
Thinking to Analyze and	relationships and make predictions. Analyze, describe, and graph
Interpret Patterns in Data	data to determine quantitative and qualitative relationships at
(UM)	various scales, proportions, and quantities. Use mathematical
	thinking to explain phenomena at various scales, proportions,
	and quantities using standard units.
Use Scientific Reasoning	Generate and/or compare solutions to a problem based on
to Construct Explanations	criteria and constraints using patterns to sort and classify
and Arguments and to	products. Construct an argument, explanation or solution: based
Design Solutions (CE)	on evidence to describe cause and effect relationships within a
	system, to support claims about the transfer of energy and
	cycling of matter, and based on evidence derived from patterns
	at various scales within a system.

Figure 4. Matrix of Performance Expectations for Science Practices and Crosscutting Concepts Claims, Grades 3-5

	Patterns	Cause and Effect	Scale, Proportion and Quantity	Systems and System Models	Energy and Matter	Structure and Function	Stability and Change					
Asking Questions and Defining Problems		3-PS2-3 (GI 1)			4-PS3-3 (GI 3)							
Obtaining, Evaluating and Communicating Information	3-ESS2-2 (GI 3)	4-ESS3-1 (GI 1)		5-ESS3-1 (GI 3)								
Planning and Carrying Out Investigations	3-PS2-2 (GI 2)	3-PS2-1 (GI 1) 4-ESS2-1 (GI 1) 5-PS1-4 (GI 1)	5-PS1-3 (GI2)		4-PS3-2 (GI 3)							
	3-PS2-4* (Not aligned to any of the above CCCs)											
Developing and using Models	3-LS1-1 (DMD 1) 4-PS4-1 (DMD 1)	4-PS4-2 (DMD 2)	5-PS1-1 (DMD 4)	4-LS1-2 (DMD 1) 5-LS2-1 (DMD 3) 5-ESS2-1 (DMD 1)	5-PS3-1 (DMD 3)							
Analyzing and Interpreting Data	3-LS3-1 (UM 1) 3-ESS2-1 (UM 1) 4-ESS2-2 (UM 1) 5-ESS1-2 (UM 1)		3-LS4-1 (UM 2)									
Using Mathematical and Computational Thinking			5-PS1-2 (UM 3) 5-ESS2-2 (UM 3)									
Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions	4-PS4-3* (CE 2) 4-ESS1-1 (CE 4)	3-LS3-2 (CE 1) 3-LS4-2 (CE 1) 4-ESS3-2* (CE 1)			4-PS3-1 (CE 3) 4-PS3-4* (CE 3)							
Engaging in Argument from Evidence		3-LS2-1 (CE 1) 3-LS4-3 (CE 1) 3-ESS3-1* (CE 1) 5-PS2-1 (CE 1)	5-ESS1-1 (CE 4)	3-LS4-4* (CE 4) 4-LS1-1 (CE 4)	5-LS1-1 (CE 3)							

Matrix of Performance Expectations (NGSS SEPs vs. CCCs: Grades 3-5)

Table 4. Grade 8 SEP/CCC Claims and Descriptors

Claim	Descriptors of the types evidences included in this claim
Gathering Data and	Ask questions, obtain and use information, and conduct
Investigating Scientific	investigations to determine cause and effect. Obtain, evaluate,
Questions (GI)	and/or communicate information to describe structures and
	their functions. Ask questions and conduct investigations to
	determine the stability of a system over time. Plan and conduct
	investigations to determine proportional relationships.
Developing and Using	Develop and use models to: describe patterns and interactions
Models to Describe the	within a system at various scales, describe cause and effect
Natural World (DM)	relationships, describe the cycling, transfer and conservation of
	energy and matter over time, and describe how the structures of
	living and nonliving things affect their functions.
Using Mathematical	Analyze and interpret patterns of data to describe properties and
Thinking to Analyze and	quantitative and qualitative proportional relationships at various
Interpret Patterns in Data	scales. Analyze and interpret patterns of data in living (or once
(UM)	living) organisms to determine cause and effect relationships.
	Use mathematical representations to describe patterns and
	explain cause and effect relationships in natural processes.
Use Scientific Reasoning	Construct arguments, explanations, and design solutions based
to Construct Explanations	on evidence to analyze patterns and describe cause and effect
and Arguments and to	relationships. Construct an explanation based on evidence
Design Solutions (CE)	describing interactions within a system at varying time and
	spatial scales. Apply scientific principles to design and test and
	evaluate the impact of a solution within a system over time.
	Construct explanations, use argument, and design solutions
	using evidence to support claims about the transformations of
	energy and matter.

Figure 5. Matrix of Performance Expectations for Science Practices and Crosscutting Concepts Claims, Grades 6-8

	Patterns	Cause and Effect	Scale, Proportion and Quantity	Systems and System Models	Energy and Matter	Structure and Function	Stability and Change
Asking Questions and Defining Problems		MS-PS2-3 (GI-1)					MS-ESS3-5 (GI-3)
Obtaining, Evaluating and Communicating Information		MS-LS1-8 (GI-1) MS-LS4-5 (GI-1)				MS-PS1-3 (GI-2) MS-PS4-3 (GI-2)	
Planning and Carrying Out Investigations		MS-ESS2-5 (GI-1) MS-PS2-5 (GI-1)	MS-PS3-4 (GI-4) MS-LS1-1 (GI-4)				MS-PS2-2 (GI-3)
Developing and using Models	MS-ESS1-1(DM-1)	MS-PS1-4 (DM-2) MS-LS3-2(DM-2)	MS-PS1-1 (DM-1)	MS-PS3-2 (DM-1) MS-ESS1-2 (DM-1) MS-ESS2-6 (DM-1)	MS-PS1-5 (DM-3) MS-LS1-7 (DM-3) MS-LS2-3 (DM-3 MS-ESS2-4 (DM-3)	MS-PS4-2 (DM-4) MS-LS1-2 (DM-4) MS-LS3-1 (DM-4)	MS-ESS2-1(DM-3)
Analyzing and Interpreting Data	MS-PS1-2 (UM-1) MS-LS4-1 (UM-2) MS-LS4-3 (UM-2) MS-ESS2-3 (UM-1) MS-ESS3-2 (UM-1)	MS-LS2-1 (UM-2)	MS-PS3-1 (UM-1) MS-ESS1-3 (UM-1)				
Using Mathematical and Computational Thinking	MS-PS4-1 (UM-3)	MS-LS4-6 (UM-3)					
Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions	MS-LS2-2 (CE-1) MS-LS4-2 (CE-1)	MS-LS1-5 (CE-1) MS-LS4-4 (CE-1) MS-ESS3-1(CE-1) MS-ESS3-3 (CE-1)	MS-ESS1-4 (CE-2) MS-ESS2-2 (CE-2)	MS-PS2-1* (CE-3)	MS-PS1-6*(CE-4) MS-PS3-3* (CE-4) MS-LS1-6 (CE-4)		
Engaging in Argument from Evidence		MS-LS1-4 (CE-1) MS-ESS3-4 (CE-1)		MS-PS2-4 (CE-2) MS-LS1-3 (CE-2)	MS-PS3-5 (CE-4)		MS-LS2-4 (CE-2) MS-LS2-5*(CE-3)

Matrix of Performance Expectations (NGSS SEPs vs. CCCs: Middle School)

Table 5. Grade 11 SEP/CCC Claim and Descriptors

Claim	Descriptors of the types evidences included in this claim
Gathering Data and	Ask questions to determine the causal effect of a system's stable
Investigating Scientific	or dynamic nature. Plan and conduct investigations and evaluate
Questions (GI)	and communicate information to find patterns in data to use as
	evidence of cause and effect relationships. Evaluate and
	communication information to describe patterns or interactions
	in the transfer of energy and/or the cycling of matter. Plan and
	conduct investigations and communicate information to provide
	evidence of how structures function within a system.
Developing and Using	Develop and/or use models to describe: patterns and
Models to Describe the	interactions within a system, cause and effect relationships, the
Natural World (DM)	cycling, transfer, and conservation of energy and matter at
	various scales, and how natural processes can vary between
	stable and dynamic over time.
Using Mathematical	Analyze and interpret patterns of data to describe properties,
Thinking to Analyze and	determine cause and effect relationships, and stability and
Interpret Patterns in Data	change in natural systems. Use mathematical reasoning to
(UM)	analyze and interpret data to determine quantitative and
	qualitative proportional relationships. Use mathematical
	reasoning to describe cause and effect relationships and explain
	patterns in natural processes. Use mathematical and
	computational representations to describe the flow of energy
	and cycling of matter within systems.
Use Scientific Reasoning	Construct arguments, explanations, and design solutions: based
to Construct Explanations	on evidence using patterns to explain cause and effect
and Arguments and to	relationships, based on evidence explaining how various natural
Design Solutions (CE)	and human-cause processes impact a system over time, and to
	support claims to explain how the transfer and flow of energy
	and conservation and cycling of matter impacts a system.
	Construct explanations, using evidence, to describe how the
	structure of an entity determines specific functions.

Figure 6. Matrix of Performance Expectations for Science Practices and Crosscutting Concepts Claims, Grades 9-11

	Patterns	Cause and Effect	Scale, Proportion and Quantity	Systems and System Models	Energy and Matter	Structure and Function	Stability and Change
Asking Questions and Defining Problems		HS-LS3-1(GI-1)					HS-PS4-2 (GI-1)
Obtaining, Evaluating and Communicating Information	HS-LS4-1 (GI-2)	HS-PS4-4 (GI-2) HS-PS4-5* (GI-3)			HS-ESS1-3 (GI-3)	HS-PS2-6 (GI-4)	
Planning and Carrying Out Investigations	HS-PS1-3 (GI-5)	HS-PS2-5 (GI-2)		HS-PS3-4 (GI-5)		HS-ESS2-5 (GI-5)	HS-LS1-3 (GI-2)
Developing and using Models	HS-PS1-1 (DM-1)	HS-PS3-5 (DM-2) HS-ESS2-4 (DM-2)	HS-ESS1-1(DM-3)	HS-LS1-2 (DM-1) HS-LS1-4 (DM-1) HS-LS2-5 (DM-1)	HS-PS1-4 (DM-3) HS-PS1-8 (DM-3) HS-PS3-2 (DM-3) HS-LS1-5 (DM-3) HS-LS1-7 (DM-3) HS-ESS2-3 (DM-3) HS-ESS2-6 (DM-3)		HS-ESS2-1 (DM-4)
Analyzing and Interpreting Data	HS-LS4-3 (UM-2)	HS-PS2-1(UM-2)	HS-LS3-3 (UM-3)				HS-ESS2-2 (DM-1) HS-ESS3-5 (DM-1)
Using Mathematical and Computational Thinking	HS-PS2-4 (UM-4)	HS-PS4-1(UM-4) HS-LS4-6 (UM-4)	HS-LS2-1 (UM-3) HS-LS2-2 (UM-3) HS-ESS1-4 (UM-3)	HS-PS2-2 (UM-5) HS-PS3-1 (UM-5) HS-ESS3-6 (UM-5) HS-ETS1-4*	HS-PS1-7 (UM-5) HS-LS2-4 (UM-5)		HS-ESS3-3 (UM-5)
Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions	HS-PS1-2 (CE-1) HS-PS1-5 (CE-1)	HS-PS2-3 (CE-1) HS-LS4-2 (CE-1) HS-LS4-4 (CE-1) HS-ESS3-1 (CE-1)			HS-PS3-3 (CE-3) HS-LS1-6 (CE-3) HS-LS2-3 (CE-3) HS-ESS1-2 (CE-3)	HS-LS1-1 (CE-4)	HS-PS1-6 (CE-2) HS-LS2-7 (CE-2) HS-ESS1-6 (CE-2) HS-ESS3-4 (CE-2)
Engaging in Argument from Evidence	HS-ESS1-5 (CE-1)	HS-LS2-8 (CE-1) HS-LS3-2 (CE-1) HS-LS4-5 (CE-1)		HS-PS4-3 (CE-3)			HS-LS2-6 (CE-2) HS-ESS2-7 (CE-2)

Matrix of Performance Expectations (NGSS SEPs vs. CCCs: HS)

Educators can access aggregate SEP/CCC claim score data in the Centralized Reporting System within the <u>OSAS Portal</u> in the same way they access the 3-Dimensional Discipline Claim information.

Figure 7. Example of Science Practices & Concepts Claim Data at the Group Level in the Centralized Reporting System



An individual student responds to too few items within an SEP/CCC claim category to generate data with the same level of reliability and validity as an overall composite score. Aggregate (group) scores of Performance Relative to Proficiency for an SEP/CCC claim shows how a group of students performed relative to the expected performance at the *Level 3* proficiency cut. Since the Performance Relative to Proficiency is a comparison to a standards-based expectation, performance across groups can be compared.

For Performance Relative to the Test as a Whole, the expected performance is determined based on the students' overall performance on the entire test. It shows how a group of students performed on each claim relative to their performance on the test overall. Rather than comparing across groups, Performance Relative to the Test as a Whole provides more information regarding the relative strength and weakness of different DCIs within a group.

FAQ

Who Takes the OSAS Science Assessment?

The OSAS Science Assessment is a required assessment for students in grades 5, 8, and 11.

Where can find assessment scores?

Assessment score information can be found in several places.

- Preliminary (unofficial) results for the assessments are located in the Centralized Reporting System on the <u>OSAS Portal</u>.
- Official data is made available to districts in the Accountability Warehouse Extract (AWE). Districts can download an Excel file from AWE or pull student level reports.
- Additionally, Assessment Group Reports are located on the ODE website for public viewing.

How should assessment results be used?

Assessment results of students' performance on the test can be used to help teachers or schools make decisions on how to support students' learning. Aggregate score reports at the teacher and school level provide information about the strengths and weaknesses of their students and can be used to improve teaching and learning. For example, a group of students may have performed well overall, but did not perform as well in a specific 3-Dimensional Discipline or Science and Engineering/Crosscutting Concept Claim. Teachers or schools can then identify specific areas of teaching and learning in which to focus improvement processes.

While assessment results provide valuable information to understand student performance, these scores and reports should be used with caution. It is important to note that scale scores are estimates of true scores and hence do not represent a precise measure of student performance. Given that assessment results measured by a test provide limited information about individual student performance, other sources of information, such as classroom assessment and teacher evaluation should be considered when making decisions on student learning and performance.