#### **Lane County Forestland Classification Committee Meeting**

June 17, 2016 – 9AM – 3PM Minutes

### In attendance

# **Committee Members:**

Sue Bowers (Chair) – At large Representative

Steve Cafferata – Eastern Lane Landowner Representative

Lauren Grand (Secretary) – OSU Extension Agent – Lane County

Terry Ney – Chief, Lane Fire Authority, State Fire Marshal Representative

Lindsay Reaves – Western Lane Landowner Representative

Tom Soward – Oregon Department of Forestry Representative

### **ODF Staff:**

AJ Hudgik – GIS Expert

Link Smith – Western Lane District Forester

Greg Wagenblast – South Cascades District Forester

#### Guests:

Chad Minter – Coburg Fire Chief

Michael Currin – Acting ODF Unit Forester for Springfield

Dennis Shew - Mohawk Fire Chief

John Wooten – South Lane County Fire and Rescue

# Meeting Called to order 9:10 AM

### Review and approve minutes from 5/20/16

Terry Ney moves to approve the minutes. Lindsay Reeves seconds. Motion to approve the minute's passes.

### Sue Bowers opens the floor to public comment

No public in attendance – no comments made

Sue Bowers welcomes local fire chiefs to the table to join us as consultants for classifying lands that are within their fire protection districts. She begins the meeting by reviewing our role on the committee and how the chiefs can help us understand what is on the ground in that location.

#### Designation of timing for review of Western Lane Forestland Classification GIS layer

Sue comments that with guests here we consider using their expertise while available and review the layers at a later date. Committee agrees.

#### **Review & classification of lands**

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 3W (R 3W):

# Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R3W within Lane County and S. Cascade Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R3W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended west to the I5 right of way south to the southern boundary of section 16, west ½ of section 15, the NE NW of section 22, the SW of 26 and 33, 34, 35 E of I5 as indicated on the map.

#### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R3W within Lane County and S. Cascade Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R3W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended west to the north bank of the McKenzie River.

#### **Township 21S:**

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R3W within Lane County, S. Cascade Fire Protection District, and Western Lane Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R3W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended to include the portion between 99 and 15 currently excluded to the Weyerhaeuser mill as indicated on the map.

#### **Township 22S:**

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R3W within Lane County and S. Cascade Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R3W suggested for further review

#### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R3W within Lane County and S. Cascade Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R3W suggested for further review

The committed revisited the boundary recommendation for Township 18S R3W to include everything south of Springfield city limits and East of I5.

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 2W (R 2W):

#### Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R2W suggested for further review

## Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R2W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended to the south line of section 23 to include the portions of section 23 and 24 that are not currently in the boundary.

#### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands EXCEPT the southern parcel of Springfield country club as indicated on the map.

No Sections in T17S, R2W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended south to the city limits from the south district boundary excluding the S1/2 of section 19; as well as the SE  $\frac{1}{2}$  SE  $\frac{1}{2}$  of section 35 as indicated on the map.

### Township 18S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T18S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands Except the Pleasant Hill school lot as indicated on map in section 34.

No Sections in T18S, R2W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended to include everything south of HWY 58 and the pieces of the N1/2 N1/2 of section 2 as indicated on the map.

### Township 19S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T19S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T19S, R2W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to be extended north to Hwy 58 and west to the Coast Fork of the Willamette River.

#### Township 20S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T20S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T20S, R2W suggested for further review

## Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R2W suggested for further review

#### Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R2W suggested for further review

#### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R2W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R2W suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 1W (R 1W):

# Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R1W suggested for further review

#### Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R1W suggested for further review

### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R1W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to include everything in Township 17S R1W.

### Township 18S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T18S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T18S, R1W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to include everything in Township 18S R1W.

### Township 19S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T19S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T19S, R1W suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to include everything in Township 19S R1W.

## Township 20S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T20S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T20S, R1W suggested for further review

### Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R1W suggested for further review

### Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R1W suggested for further review

#### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R1W within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R1W suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 1E (R 1E):

### Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R1E suggested for further review

## Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R1E suggested for further review

#### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R1E suggested for further review

The committee is making a recommendation that the Department of Forestry review the district protection boundary to include everything in Township 17S R1E.

### Township 18S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T18S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T18S, R1E suggested for further review

### Township 19S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T19S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T19S, R1E suggested for further review

### Township 20S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T20S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T20S, R1E suggested for further review

#### Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R1E suggested for further review

#### Township 22S:

This township is all Forest Service land.

### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R1E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R1E suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 2E (R 2E):

# Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R2E suggested for further review

### Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R2E suggested for further review

## Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R2E suggested for further review

### Township 18S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T18S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T18S, R2E suggested for further review

### Township 20S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T20S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T20S, R2E suggested for further review

#### Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R2E suggested for further review

### Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R2E suggested for further review

### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R2E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R2E suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 3E (R 3E):

#### Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R3E suggested for further review

## Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 20S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T20S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T20S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands EXCEPT the city of Oakridge with the south boundary as the river and north boundary as E 1<sup>st</sup> St, Lowell, W 2<sup>nd</sup> St; as well as the golf course in Section 9.

No Sections in T21S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R3E suggested for further review

### Township 24S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T24S R3E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T24S, R3E suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 4E (R 4E):

### Township 15S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T15S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T15S, R4E suggested for further review

## Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R4E suggested for further review

#### Township 17S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T17S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T17S, R4E suggested for further review

### Township 21S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T21S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T21S, R4E suggested for further review

# Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R4E suggested for further review

### Township 23S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T23S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T23S, R4E suggested for further review

## Township 24S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T24S R4E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T24S, R4E suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 5E (R 5E):

## Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R5E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R5E suggested for further review

### Township 22S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T22S R5E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T22S, R5E suggested for further review

The Committee began their review of the lands in Lane County in Range 6E (R 6E):

# Township 16S:

The committee reviewed and determined to classify all of T16S R6E within Lane County and South Cascades Fire Protection District Boundaries not including Forest Service lands.

No Sections in T16S, R6E suggested for further review

#### Review of next meeting

Link Comments that it would be a benefit for the committee or a member of the committee join the ODF Board to talk about the classification process and what we have done. The board would be going on a tour of the Florence area which has been one of the more complicated areas to classify.

The committee has decided that July 12, 2016 will be the next meeting in Florence to review the area on the ground. We will meet at the Western Lane District office to carpool. The Ideal meet time is 8am, but confirmation will be done via e-mail. Bring calendars to this meeting to choose next couple meeting dates for discussing how we will handle the organization of public meetings and hearings, and review the GIS layer that has been developed as a result of our classification process.

## Sue Bowers opens the floor to public comment

No public in attendance – no comments made

**Meeting Adjourned 2:17 PM**