

Consultant Selection Review Guide

ODOT Certification Compliance and Oversight Plan (C&O)

CERTIFIED LOCAL PUBLIC AGENCY	DATE OF REVIEW	FEDERAL PROJECT # KEY #
PROJECT NAME		□LOCAL NON-NHS □LOCAL NHS □STATE HIGHWAY
CONTRACT AMOUNT		PROCUREMENT TYPE □DIRECT APPOINT □FORMAL/INFORMAL
INDIVIDUAL(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW		CERTIFICATION PROGRAM MANAGER REVIEW NAME: DATE:

ADDITIONAL C&O REVIEW GUIDE(S) USED FOR THIS REVIEW (LIST ALL)

Instructions

- 1. Complete all questions in the C&O Review Guide. Do not modify questions. Additional ODOT Specific questions may be added at the bottom of the guide.
- 2. Directly verify all answers with source documentation.
- 3. Do not correct deficiencies and then adjust C&O answers. Answers must reflect status at time of review.
- 4. Provide clarifying comments for each answer.
- 5. Enter answers and comments from each C&O project review into the C&O SharePoint Site.
- 6. Ensure source documentation is retained by the Local Public Agency (LPA) and available as necessary for quality assurance reviews or audits.

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
		DIRECT AI	POINT (DA)	
DA1	Did the consultant selection follow applicable local rules? Are those rules in comformance with state and federal laws/regs?	23 CFR 172(7)(a)(2) OAR 137-048- 0200	Yes, the consultant selection followed the applicable local rules. Yes, the local rules are in conformance with state and federal laws/regs. No, the consultant selection did not follow the applicable local rules. (Comment required) No, the local rules are not in	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
			conformance with state and federal laws/regs. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA2	Did the LPA stay within the dollar limit as provided in rules adopted by the LPA or \$100,000,	OAR 137-048- 0130(1)	Yes, the LPA stayed within the dollar limit as provided in rules adopted by the LPA or \$100,000, whichever is less.	
	whichever is less?		No, the LPA did not stay within the dollar limit as provided in rules adopted by the LPA or \$100,000, whichever is less. (Comment required)	
			N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA3	Did the final Statement of Work (SOW) and any amendments stay within the scope of the project as advertised?	ODOT's A&E SOW Writing Guide (or LPA's SOW procedures	Yes, the final Statement of Work (SOW) and any amendments stayed within the scope of the project as advertised	
		approved by ODOT)	No , the final Statement of Work (SOW) and any amendments did not stay within the scope of the project as advertised. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
DA4	Was a detailed independent estimate prepared with an appropriate breakdown of the work or labor hours, types or classifications of labor, other direct costs, and consultant's fee for the defined scope of work?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.6 (or LPA's negotiation procedures that are approved by ODOT)	Yes, a detailed independent estimate was prepared for the defined scope of work. No, a detailed independent estimate was not prepared for the defined scope of work. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA5	Were negotiations carried out in conformance with established requirements?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.6 (or LPA's negotiation procedures that are approved by ODOT)	Yes, negotiations were carried out in conformance with established requirements. No, negotiations were not carried out in conformance with established requirements. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA6	Were the negotiated costs or prices based upon ODOT's approved billing rate and overhead cost data?	48 CFR 31 ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.5 Billing Rate and Overhead Cost Data.	Yes, the negotiated costs or prices were based upon ODOT's approved billing rate and overhead cost data. No, the negotiated costs or prices were not based upon ODOT's approved billing rate and overhead cost data. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
DA7	Did the LPA use the ODOT approved contract template for execution?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for	Yes, the LPA used the ODOT approved contract template for execution.	
		LPAs, Section 2	No, the LPA did not use the ODOT approved contract template for execution. (Comment required)	
			N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA8	Was the procurement, negotiation and contract administration documented as	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section	Yes, the procurement, negotiation and contract administration was documented as required.	
	required?	4 (or LPA procedure approved by ODOT).	No, the procurement, negotiation and contract administration was documented as required. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
DA9	Did the procurement officer in charge have a current public	ORS 279A	Yes, the procurement officer in charge has a current public procurement certification.	
	procurement certification (i.e., CPPB, CPPO, and OPBC from NIGP, NASPO or Oregon Dept.		No , the procurement officer in charge does not have a current public procurement certification. (Comment required)	
	Administrative Services)?		N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
DA10	Was the consultant contract properly monitored, administered, and was a performance evaluation prepared upon completion?	23 CFR 172 ORS 279A ODOT'S A&E Requirements Guide for LPAS, Section 3.7	Yes, the consultant contract was properly monitored and administered, and a performance evaluation was prepared upon completion. No, the consultant contract was not properly monitored and administered, and a performance evaluation was not prepared upon completion. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
		FORMAL/IN	FORMAL (F/I)	
F/I1	Did the LPA complete the Needs Analysis and prepare the Statement of Work (SOW) according to established requirements?	OAR 137-048- 0210 ODOT's A&E SOW Writing Guide (or LPA's SOW procedures approved by ODOT)	Yes, the Needs Analysis and Statement of Work (SOW) were prepared according to established requirements. No, the Needs Analysis and Statement of Work (SOW) were prepared according to established requirements. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review.	
F/I2	Did the LPA obtain a DBE goal or no-goal assignment from ODOT's Office of Civil Rights?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.4	(Comment required) Yes, the LPA obtained a DBE goal or no-goal assignment from ODOT's Office of Civil Rights. No, the LPA did not obtain a DBE goal or no-goal assignment from ODOT's Office of Civil Rights. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
F/I3	Did the LPA use the ODOT approved A&E	ODOT's A&E Requirements	Yes , the LPA used the ODOT approved A&E RFP template.	
	RFP template?	Guide for LPAs, Section 2	No , the LPA did not use the ODOT approved A&E RFP template. (Comment required)	
			N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I4	Did the LPA's procurement coordinator obtain reviews by	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for	Yes, the LPA's procurement coordinator obtained all appropriate and required reviews.	
	appropriate stakeholders, legal review by LPA's attorney if over \$150,000 (or any lower threshold required by LPA), and	LPAs, Section 3.2.2(2)	No , the LPA's procurement coordinator did not obtain all appropriate and required reviews. (Comment required)	
	any other reviews required by LPA?		N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I5	Did the procurement officer in charge have a current public	ORS 279A	Yes, the procurement officer in charge has a current public procurement certification.	
	procurement certification (i.e., CPPB, CPPO, and OPBC from NIGP, NASPO or Oregon Dept. Administrative Services)?		No , the procurement officer in charge does not have a current public procurement certification. (Comment required)	
	Administrative Services):		N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
F/I6	Was the RFP and sample contract publically advertised in newspaper and trade journals or published electronically on the	OAR 137-048- 0220(2)(a) OAR 137-048- 0220(2)(b)	Yes, the RFP and sample contract was publically advertised in newspaper and trade journals or published electronically on the Internet for not less than 14 calendar days.	
	Internet for not less than 14 calendar days?		No, the RFP and sample contract was not publically advertised in newspaper and trade journals or published electronically on the Internet. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I7	Were there any proposer questions, requests for change or protests (must	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for	No , there were not any proposer questions, requests for change or protests.	
	be in writing)?	LPAs, Section 3.2.2(4)	No , there were no addendums to the RFP.	
	Were there any addendums to the RFP?		Yes, there were proposer questions, requests for change or protests received in writing. (Comment required)	
			Yes , there were addendums to the RFP. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I8	Did a minimum of 3 evaluators complete an independent review and scoring of all responsive	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section	Yes , a minimum of 3 evaluators completed an independent review and scoring of all responsive proposals received.	
	proposals received?	3.2.2(6)	No, a minimum of 3 evaluators did not complete an independent review and scoring of all responsive proposals received. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I9	Was the intent to award notice sent to the highest ranked firm? Was a "not selected" notice sent to all other proposers?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.2.2(7)	Yes, the intent to award notice was sent to the highest ranked firm. Yes, the "not selected" notice was sent to all other proposers. No, the intent to award notice was not sent to the highest ranked firm. No, the "not selected" notice was not sent to all other proposers. N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
F/I10	Was a detailed independent estimate prepared with an appropriate breakdown of the work or labor hours, types or classifications of labor, other direct costs, and consultant's fee for the defined scope of work? Was a cost analysis performed?	48 CFR 31 ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Sections 3.5 & 3.6	Yes, a detailed independent estimate was prepared for the defined scope of work. No, a detailed independent estimate was not prepared for the defined scope of work. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
F/I11	Were all aspects of contract negotiations (billing rates, hours, direct expenses, profit	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Sections	Yes, all aspects of contract negotiations were documented in a "Record of Negotiations" as required.	
	and compensation method) documented in a "Record of Negotiations" as	3.6 & 4	No, all aspects of contract negotiations were not documented in a "Record of Negotiations" as required. (Comment required)	
	required?		N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
		CONTRACT ADM	IINISTRATION (CA)	
CA1	Was the contract administrator the LPA's key point of contact with	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for	Yes , the contract administrator was the LPA's key point of contact for the project.	
	the consultant, other LPA staff, and other agencies as applicable for the project?	LPAs, Section 3.7	No , the contract administrator was not the LPA's key point of contact for the project. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
CA2	Did the contract administrator ensure that the consultant fulfilled all requirements, terms and	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section	Yes, the contract administrator ensured that the consultant fulfilled all requirements, terms and conditions of the contract.	
	conditions of the contract and that all tasks and deliverables were completed and submitted within schedule requirements?	3.7	No, the contract administrator did not ensure that the consultant fulfilled all requirements, terms and conditions of the contract. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
CA3	Did the contract administrator review and approve all deliverables for conformance with contract requirements	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7	Yes, the contract administrator reviewed and approved all deliverables for conformance with contract requirements and standards for completion.	
	and standards for completion?		No, the contract administrator did not review and approve all deliverables for conformance with contract requirements and standards for completion. (Comment required)	
			N/A , requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know , could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
CA4	Did the contract administrator draft and negotiate necessary, allowable contract amendments within the scope identified in the solicitation up to the total contract dollar amount	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7	Yes, the contract administrator drafted and negotiated necessary, allowable contract amendments within the scope identified in the solicitation up to the total contract dollar amount allowed under the procurement method used.	
	allowed under the procurement method used?		No, the contract administrator did not draft and negotiate necessary, allowable contract amendments. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
CA5	Did the contract administrator review invoiced consultant costs for consistency with Federal cost principles, terms of the contract, and status/progress of the work completed?	48 CFR 31 ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7 ODOT Invoice Requirements Guide	Yes, the contract administrator reviewed invoiced consultant costs for consistency with Federal cost principles, terms of the contract, and status/progress of the work completed. No, the contract administrator did not review invoiced consultant costs for consistency with Federal cost principles, terms of the contract, and status/progress of the work completed. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
CA6	Did the contract administrator track invoices received and payments made to ensure total amount paid against Contract does not exceed the total not-to-exceed amount of the Contract?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7	Yes, the contract administrator tracked invoices received and payments made to ensure total amount paid against Contract does not exceed the total not-to-exceed amount of the Contract. No, the contract administrator did not track invoices received and payments made to ensure total amount paid against Contract does not exceed the total not-to-exceed amount of the Contract. (Comment required) N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required) Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

#	QUESTIONS	CITATION	ANSWER	COMMENT
CA7	Did the contract administrator prepare consultant performance evaluation(s) to document evaluation of all performance under the contract (prime and subcontracts) and provide copy to the prime consultant?	23 CFR 172.9 ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7	Yes, the contract administrator prepared consultant performance evaluation(s) to document evaluation of all performance under the contract (prime and subcontracts) and provided copy to the prime consultant.	
			No, the contract administrator did not prepare consultant performance evaluation(s) to document evaluation of all performance under the contract (prime and subcontracts). (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	
CA8	Did the contract administrator complete contract closeout review and documentation?	ODOT's A&E Requirements Guide for LPAs, Section 3.7	Yes, the contract administrator completed contract closeout review and documentation.	
			No , the contract administrator did not complete contract closeout review and documentation. (Comment required)	
			N/A, requirement does not apply. (Comment required)	
			Don't Know, could not be verified at the time of review. (Comment required)	

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS					
Insert Question					