

AGENDA

RE: CCBHC Planning Grant Advisory Group Meeting
When: Thursday April 14, 2016
Where: 500 Summer Street, Salem, OR
Who: CCBHC Planning Grant Advisory Group

Attendees		OHA Staff
■ Abel, Bruce (phone)	<input type="checkbox"/> Linfoot, Ally	<input type="checkbox"/> Julie Earnest (out)
<input type="checkbox"/> Alyajouri, Mohamed	<input type="checkbox"/> McClintock, Morry	<input type="checkbox"/> Jamal Furqan (out)
<input type="checkbox"/> Anderson, Mitch	<input type="checkbox"/> McKeel, McKell	■ Amy Harris (phone)
<input type="checkbox"/> Anderson, Kris	<input type="checkbox"/> Moreland, Alisha	<input type="checkbox"/> Mike Hlebechuk
<input type="checkbox"/> Assuman, Etta	<input type="checkbox"/> Munoz, Gil	■ Rita Moore (phone)
<input type="checkbox"/> Blackford, Jeff	<input type="checkbox"/> North, Shelia	<input type="checkbox"/> Mike Morris
■ Boak, Renee (phone)	<input type="checkbox"/> Orndoff, Mark	■ Dan Reece (phone)
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown, Ray (out)	<input type="checkbox"/> Perisol, Christy	■ Ruth Vonk
■ Bumpus, Sandy	<input type="checkbox"/> Peterson, Clay	■ Emily Watson
<input type="checkbox"/> Campbell, Kevin	<input type="checkbox"/> Rafferty, Martin	<input type="checkbox"/> Karen Wheeler
<input type="checkbox"/> Carlson, Kathi	<input type="checkbox"/> Ramirez, Cherryl (out)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Child, Beckie	■ Rascon, Libbie	
<input type="checkbox"/> Detrickson, David	<input type="checkbox"/> Ross, Patricia	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dey, Susie	<input type="checkbox"/> Simpson, Chris	
<input type="checkbox"/> Elder, Cody	<input type="checkbox"/> Sipress, Ron	
■ Epps, Beth (phone)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sisulak, Laura	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fisher, Mark	<input type="checkbox"/> Smith, Cameron	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fitts, Kevin	<input type="checkbox"/> Treleaven, Rick	
■ Friedman, Deborah	■ Walker, Derald (phone)	
■ Gilbert, Stan (phone)	<input type="checkbox"/> White, Crucita	
<input type="checkbox"/> Golden-David, Taunya	<input type="checkbox"/> Widmer, Lindsey	
■ Holland, Matt (phone)	■ PeaceHealth (3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Kasper, Ann		
Subject	Summary	
Welcome & Introductions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome by Mike Morris • Due to size of group, we usually limit participation to those involved in the workgroup and include public comment time. Given the small group, today's agenda is open for comment and discussion from all attendees. 	
March Meeting Follow Up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recap of preliminary results from CCBHC Preliminary Survey: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 75 responses (some, although minimal duplication) ○ 32 organizations selected "very interested" in becoming a CCBHC ○ 36% indicated "ready" or "mostly ready" to meet CCBHC Criteria 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 72% have accessed the readiness tool ○ 58% have completed or partially completed the readiness tool ○ Less than 10% identified as FQHCs or Tribal Clinics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Emily Watson requested feedback on survey experience from group. Suggestion was made to plot responses on map and include identification of provider type. ● Update on SAMHSA Communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Clarification on rate development question was received (response posted in FAQ section of website) ○ SAMHSA Q&A sheet has been posted to the CCBHC website ○ OHA had state specific call with SAMHSA on 4/6/16. Many of Oregon’s concerns have been expressed by other planning grant states (guidance on needs assessment, data collection and monitoring, and DCO relationships). SAMHSA is responding to expressed needs by setting up a new series of webinars. ○ SAMHSA is working on developing a response regarding contract specific language of FQHCs (formal arrangement vs. contract). ○ While Oregon plans to move forward with certifying up to 30 CCBHCs, there is an expectation CCBHCs must be ready to meet the criteria.
<p>Technical Assistance Updates & Opportunities for Stakeholder Engagement</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● OHA has established a work order with Dale Jarvis and consultants Westat to provide technical assistance to the state as well as CCBHC applicants. ● Project Team members, consultants, and members from OHA stakeholder and advisory groups are participating in a design planning meeting. Any providers who are part of the design planning meeting will not have an advantage in the CCBHC application process. ● Learning Collaborative is scheduled for 5/13/16 in Bend for programs who are looking to become a CCBHC. We will be looking at information from the design session. Due to limited space, we may limit the number of participants from each organization. There will be a webinar option available.
<p>CCBHC Satellites</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SAMHSA recently shared a clarification document on CCBHC Satellites. The document is available (under Communications) on the CCBHC website. ● OHA sought clarification regarding requirements during the 4/6/16 call and federal guidance requires each site (including satellites) must provide the four core services. State will work to gain clarification on what the limit is (example: distance) for service provision. ● Comment: some organizations have been trying to encourage the separation of services (ex: trauma informed care), but feel the CCBHC guidance points organizations in a different direction

Subcommittee Updates	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anticipate Data Collection & Analysis Subcommittee will be launching soon.• Site Certification Subcommittee held first call and is looking for more participation from Advisory Workgroup members. Next call is scheduled for 4/27/16 at 1:30 PM. Please send an email to ccbhc.grant@state.or.us with any questions and Subcommittee Lead, Ruth Vonk, will respond.
Q&A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Question: If awarded the Demonstration Grant, will the state be contributing any funds?<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Answer: The state will need to look at fiscal impact prior to committing any funds. Any Quality Bonus Payments will have a fiscal. As an aside, the state will receive an enhanced CHIP rate for any funds contributed.• Question: Do sites need to be fully funded and meeting all criteria prior to site review<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Answer: No. Information regarding the site review process will be communicated. Projected costs are allowed in the cost reports.• Question: What updates have been provided regarding guidance on the needs assessment?<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Answer: continued guidance is coming. Currently we know the state is responsible for submitting the needs assessment, although gathering and communicating the specifics of need and existing service gaps will be a collaborative process between the state and CCBHC applicants. The needs assessment data will need to be tailored to each CCBHCs geographically defined area.• Question: Do CCBHC Satellite sites have to be established prior to April 2014.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Answer: Yes. Although, if you have a specific question regarding a lease negotiation or relocation due to a remodel, please email ccbhc.grant@state.or.us
Adjourn	