

**STATE OF OREGON**  
**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM**  
**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT**

Grant #B-05-DC-41-001  
for the period  
January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010

Prepared By:  
Oregon Business Development Department  
Infrastructure Finance Authority





## **2005 ACTIVITY REPORT**

### Narrative for Accomplishments

Instructions for the 1994 PER (CPD-94-23) require states to report accomplishment measures (*e.g.*, persons benefited) only for activities that address the Low- and Moderate-Income national objective. Proposed Accomplishments are reported for each funded activity that addresses the Low-and-Moderate-Income national objective. Actual Accomplishments are reported for Administratively Closed and Closed projects.

Accomplishments for activities that meet the Slum and Blight or Urgent Need national objectives are to be reported in a separate narrative. There were no awards under these national objectives for 2005

### Homelessness, Chronic Homelessness and Persons with Special Needs

In accordance with CPD Notice 07-06, a summary of the CDBG funds used to address homelessness, chronic homelessness and persons with special needs is provided below. FFY 2005 funds were utilized as follows:

**C09003 – City of Roseburg – Homeless Shelter.** The recipient combined their local match of \$728,000 with \$800,000 of CDBG funds from the following fiscal years allocations:

- 2005 –\$738,759.73
- 2007 Program Income – \$773.68
- 2008 Program Income - \$60,466.61

This facility is anticipated to create 6 beds which will serve 70 persons, of which all 70 will be low and moderate income during their first year of operation.

2010 Oregon PER  
2005 Grant

A. Statutory Requirements of Section 104(e)

1. Assess the relationship of the use of funds to the state's objective. Explain the nature of and reasons for any changes in the program objectives.

The state obligated its Program Year 2005 funds for activities in accordance, as much as possible, with the targets set in the 2005 Annual Action Plan for the Oregon Consolidated Plan, as amended.

The state met its contractual annual allocation dollar amount/obligation to Oregon Housing and Community Services for housing rehabilitation and resource centers.

Overall the State met its annual allocation obligation target percentages for community facilities and microenterprise assistance projects.

The State did not receive any economic development applications for processing during 2005.

Due to the program income received by the state and unobligated prior year funds, the State exceeded the annual allocation obligation target percentages for public works by 16.9%. The demand for public works projects within the State of Oregon continues to remain high.

2010 Oregon PER  
2005 Grant

CATEGORY	2005 ANNUAL ALLOCATION TARGETS		2005 ANNUAL ALLOCATION ACTUAL FUNDING		2005 PROGRAM INCOME OBLIGATIONS		2004 UN-OBLIGATED FUNDS PRIOR YEAR RECAPTURES		TOTAL OBLIGATED IN 2005	
	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%
Community Facilities	4,867,257	32.0	3,021,872.09	19.7	373,192	27.1	4,223,178	64.3	7,618,242.09	32.7
Sub Grant to OHCS:										
Housing Rehabilitation	3,369,571	21.0	3,369,571	21.9	0	0	0	0	3,369,571	14.4
Housing Centers	450,000	3.0	450,000	3	0	0	0	0	450,000	1.9
Public Works	4,867,257	32.0	8,367,977.72	54.5	1,007,890.28	72.9	2,030,000	30.8	11,405,868	48.9
Microenterprise Assistance	300,000	2.0	144,215	0.9	0	0	324,540	4.9	468,755	2.1
Economic Development	1,500,000	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Un-obligated funds	0	0	449.46*	<0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>15,354,085</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>15,354,085</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1,381,083.28</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6,577,718</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>23,312,437.08</b>	<b>100</b>

- \* As of December 31, 2005 \$449.64 of the 2005 program Year funds were unobligated to recipients. The unobligated funds will be obligated in accordance with the 2006 Method of Distribution within the 15-month Timely Distribution period.

2. Indication of how the state would change the program as a result of its experience.

In 2004 the program was made less restrictive and more accessible and was successful as evidenced by the \$23,312,437.08 of obligations made in 2005. With increasing demand for grant funds the 2006 Method of Distribution for the Community Development Block Grant program has some significant changes from the 2005 program year.

- A. To increase the quality of the projects being funded, the program is implementing a quarterly competitive application process.
- B. The public works off-site infrastructure projects were eliminated as an eligible activity in 2006.
- C. The “limits on applications” were increased to evaluate the recipient’s distribution/expenditure of prior awards. If a recipient is not expending funds on an old project they will not receive additional grants.
- D. The federally required performance measurements are included in the 2006 Method of Distribution.
- E. The State is going to conduct applicants and grant management workshops in 2006.
- F. The 2006 Method of Distribution will be amended in March 2006, to contain a revised Housing Resource Center program.

3. Evaluate the extent to which the program benefited low- and moderate-income persons. For the selected benefit period (2003-2004-2005), 99.44 % of the state’s CDBG funds were obligated to activities benefiting low- and moderate-income persons. Of the funds obligated under the states 2005 program, 99.85% of the project funds benefit low and moderate income persons.

B. Summary of Activities and Results from Technical Assistance Funding

The state’s 2005 program included the use of CDBG funds for the technical assistance allowed by Section 811 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992. As of December 31, 2010, all \$159.320 of the 1% funds had been obligated and expended.

- 1. CDBG Technical Assistance Set Aside. CDBG Technical Assistance Set-Aside. During 2007 the State set aside \$100,000 of the CDBG 1% funds to

develop, during 2008, a CDBG Technical Assistance program to assist grant recipients with the completion of their projects.

2. State Administration. Starting in FY 2004, states may expend up to \$100,000 + 3% of their annual allocation on state administrative costs. In 2006 the state reserved the right to use the flexibility. All CDBG 1% funds used for state administration will be matched 1:1 with funds from the Departments Special Public Works Fund.
3. Fair Housing Training. A technical assistance grant was awarded to the 2008 Oregon Fair Housing Council to support workshops designated to educate communities and landlords on fair housing issues and requirements.
4. Northwest Economic Development Sponsorship and Course. A grant was provided to the Washington State Community Trade and Economic Development Department for 2008 training scholarships. The scholarships are offered to rural Oregon community representatives who want to attend this training.
5. Northwest Community Development Institute. A grant was provided to the Northwest Community Development Institute for 2008 training and scholarships. This effort helps to train rural community development professional in Oregon, Idaho and Washington.
6. Rural Development Initiatives. A grant was provided to Rural Development Initiatives for 2009 training and scholarships. This training helps to train rural Oregon community development professionals, community development practices.
7. Tillamook County and Clatsop County. Both Tillamook County and Clatsop County were provided a grant to retain an emergency manager to prepare disaster preparedness classes/programs and instruct the classes/programs to the communities within each county.

### Part III Compliance with Applicable Civil Rights Laws

#### A. Applicant and Beneficiary Ethnicity/Race Information

In accordance with the 1994 PER instructions, the state will provide data on all applicants and beneficiaries in projects funded from each grant allocation. Information must be reported for each project activity and must indicate the number of persons benefiting by ethnicity and racial groups as defined by U.S. Census. The ethnicity and racial group categories from the 2000 Census were expanded from the required data that needs to be collected under the 1994 PER instructions. Once, Housing and Urban Development amends the 1994 PER instruction to require the addition of this revised ethnicity and racial group information collected during the

2000 census it will be included in the PER report for all projects administratively closed after the date of Housing and Urban Developments revised PER instruction.

<b>RACE GROUP</b>	<b>Total from FY 2005 Grant</b>	<b>Total from Program Income</b>	<b>Combined Total</b>
White not Hispanic	29,502	0	29,502
Hispanic	3,062	0	3,062
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1,015	0	1,015
Black not Hispanic	156	0	156
Asian and Pacific Islander	387	0	387
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>34,122</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34,122</b>

Ethnicity/Race data is tabulated for all administratively closed or closed projects in the non-entitlement areas of the state using FY 2005 funds. Five (5) projects funded in whole or in part with 2005 grant funds were administratively closed during the reporting period, ending December 31, 2010, creating 50 projects either administratively closed or closed. When additional projects funded in whole or in part with 2005 funds are administratively closed, the ethnicity/race data will be reported in successive year's PERs 2005 Grant Year Activity Reports as indicated in the table above for each project and totaled for all projects funded with 2005 grant year funds.

**B. Summary of state's reviews of recipient's civil rights performance**

The State reviews the civil rights performance of its 2005 recipients as part of its regular monitoring procedures. These include either an on-site review or an internal file/ desk audit of all projects. A standardized monitoring checklist is used for this process. 2005 grant recipients monitored during the reporting period generally complied with the Civil Rights performance requirements for Community Development Block Grant projects. There were no Findings or corrective/remedial actions to report.

**C. State and local efforts, actions and results in affirmatively furthering fair housing.**

Three state agencies administer programs that serve to further fair housing for Oregon's people.

The Oregon Business Development Department (OBDD), which administers Community Development Block Grant funds for the state's non-metropolitan cities and counties, educates its recipients about their fair housing responsibilities in several ways including: 1) presentations at workshops, 2) an updated comprehensive Grant Management Handbook, 3) provision of informational handouts, posters, brochures, and individual technical assistance, as needed or requested, 4) sending recipients notices of workshops or conferences which address fair housing issues, and 5) conducted a statewide Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice which is made available to recipients to identify, plan for and remove impediments to fair housing choice.

The Oregon Housing and Community Services Department (OHCS) is active in the promotion of fair housing. They operate many programs, including HOME. Owners of projects assisted by OHCS are required to develop marketing plans that include outreach activities targeted to minorities and other protected classes. The first component of the fair housing planning process is to review impediments to fair housing choice in the public and private sectors. This updated plan for 2006-2010 was submitted to HUD in November 2005 along with the updated 2006-2010 Fair Housing Action Plan, 2006-2010 five year Consolidated Plan and the proposed 2006 Method of Distribution.

The Bureau of Labor and Industries Civil Rights Division (BOLI) is responsible for investigation and resolution of fair housing complaints are handled in Oregon. BOLI processes all cases involving alleged discrimination based on race/color, religion, sex, physical or mental handicap, marital status, and national origin. The agency does not investigate situations involving discrimination based on family status but does assist individuals to file complaints with HUD.

Local fair housing efforts of state recipients using 2005 funds include but are not limited to: publicizing fair housing laws, participating in local fair housing events, displaying posters, distributing brochures, and being a participating sponsor of the Fair Housing Council of Oregon display and training sessions.

In 2005, the Oregon Business Development Department and Oregon Housing & Community Services continued their contracts with the Oregon Fair Housing Council (FHCO) established to provide activities to assist the State to implement the State's Fair Housing Plan. Each agency contributed \$25,000 to FHCO to continue fair housing activities beginning July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. These activities include conducting the annual statewide Fair Housing poster contest involving elementary schools; publishing a Fair Housing newsletter; providing fair housing advice and information to service agencies and local government; conducting public outreach to inform residents about fair housing rights and responsibilities; collecting complaints and providing information to individuals facing housing discrimination; and displaying the Fair Housing exhibit around the state.

Fair Housing Collaborative – In 2005, OHCS, OBDD, BOLI, FHCO and other private and other public sector participants formed a fair housing collaborative to address statewide fair housing issues and were a large part of the successful

completion and development of the 2006-2010 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and Fair Housing Action Plan. This collaborative was meeting monthly during the development of these documents, but now meets quarterly to work on fair housing issues.

D. Summary of State and Recipient Actions to Use Minority and Women-Owned Businesses in Carrying out CDBG-funded Activities

The state encourages grantees to make contracts awarded under CDBG grants available to minority and women-owned businesses. Through the Grant Management Handbook and training given to recipients, the state describes the various ways that contracting opportunities can be made available to minority and women-owned businesses. Some examples include dividing larger contracts into smaller portions that can be bid on by smaller firms, or directly soliciting proposals or bids from lists of certified minority and women-owned businesses.

The Grant Management Handbook (revised March 2010 and February 2011) provides forms that grant recipients use to collect and report their efforts to the state. The state will report in future PERs, for each allocation, on the use of minority and women-owned businesses, and on Section 3 activities by its recipients.

E. Equal Employment Opportunity Data for the Oregon Business Development Department

The Oregon Business Development Department maintains the employment data required by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) on an EEO-4 form. The form is not included in this report but is available from the department.

Part IV Additional Requirements for the Consolidated Annual Performance Report (CAPER) End of Program Year Reporting.

A. Assessment of the Consolidated Plan Strategic Vision and the Five Year Goals and Objectives

The following is intended to report on the results of the state's work to address Strategies of the 2001-2005 Consolidated Plan. Only those strategies and performance measures that impact the CDBG program are included in this section. The other strategies and measures will be reported on elsewhere in the CAPER.

**Strategy One: Promote an adequate supply of quality, affordable, appropriate rental housing for very low-, low- and moderate-income individuals and families, including persons with special housing needs.**

*Performance measurement: Assist in the development of approximately five annual rental housing (50 total units) projects targeted toward households at 80% or below the Median Family Income through the investment of CDBG funds for off-site infrastructure improvements.*

Results: In 2005, three CDBG Off-site infrastructure projects were funded. The three projects are estimated to assist 25 housing units.

Project Number	Recipient	Number of Units
P04018	Albany	9
P05032	Woodburn	5
P05046	St. Helens	11
TOTAL		25

**Strategy 2: Maintain and preserve in good condition the supply of affordable housing units.**

*Performance Measurement: Assist approximately 6-8 communities to carry out housing rehabilitation projects targeted to families at 80% or below Median Family Income. Use OHCS funding to augment the CDBG funds and develop regional housing centers to serve people in rural communities.*

Results: Eight (8) Regional Housing Rehabilitation Programs were funded in 2005 for single-family home rehabilitation projects within the lead applicant’s jurisdiction. Each Regional Program consists of at least three city and/or county jurisdictions. These Programs are expected to fund rehabilitation of 185 single-family homes within the lead applicant’s jurisdiction as indicated below:

Grant Number	Recipient	Number of Units
HR501	Benton County	23
HR502	Columbia County	28
HR503	Coos County	23
HR504	Douglas County	27
HR505	Florence	20
HR506	Josephine County	18
HR507	Sweet Home	23
HR508	Umatilla	23
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

Results: In 2005, Ten (10) Regional Housing Centers were funded to provide Information and Referral Public Services regarding affordable housing programs serving the low/mod income population of the jurisdictions participating in each Regional Housing Center Program. These Centers are expected to serve 10,520 low and moderate income clients as indicated below:

<b>Grant Number</b>	<b>Recipient</b>	<b>Number of LMI</b>
HC501	Baker County	200
HC502	Benton County	90
HC503	Columbia County	744
HC504	Coos County	455
HC505	Crook County	273
HC506	Douglas County	499
HC507	Jackson County	2135
HC508	Klamath County	350
HC509	Lane County	3620
HC510	Yamhill County	2154
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>10,520</b>

**Strategy 4: Support and facilitate an active and effective regional Continuum of Care planning and delivery system focusing on a comprehensive approach to housing and service delivery to people who are homeless and near homeless.**

*Performance Measurement: Fund a maximum of 10 projects per year, (for example: homeless facilities, Headstart, senior centers, transitional facilities, facilities for abused children or severely disabled adults) that serve persons at 80% or less of Median Family Income.*

Results: 7 projects were funded in 2005 that help carry out this strategy.

<b>Grant Number</b>	<b>Recipient</b>	<b>Facility / Project Type</b>	<b>Number</b>
C05012	Lincoln City	Domestic Violence Shelter	1
C05018	Tillamook County	Child Care Center	1
C05021	Crook County	Community Clinic	1
C05023	Yamhill County	Health and Human Services for Adult	1
C05024	Umatilla County	Detoxification Center	1
C05038	Tillamook	Family Resource Center	1
C05045	Warrenton	Food Bank	1
<b>Total</b>			<b>7</b>

**Strategy 5: Identify and address the barriers to affordable rental housing, support services, and shelters.**

*Objective 1 Performance Measurement: Statewide Fair Housing Plan published separately by spring 2002.*

*Objective 2 Performance Measurement: Support fair housing education and outreach activities that increase compliance with all aspects of existing law through*

*continued sponsorship of regional fair housing workshops and the annual Fair Housing Conference as outlined in the Fair Housing Action Plan.*

*Performance Measurement: Increase the collaboration on fair housing issues between the housing industry organization, OHCS, OBDD, and fair housing advocacy groups as outlined in the Fair Housing Action Plan.*

Results: In 2005, OBDD continued to support fair housing activities by providing funds to the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO) (see description of work in Part III.C. of this 2005 report), printing fair housing brochures and posters and providing them to CDBG recipients and the Fair Housing Council, and requiring grant recipients to take actions to further fair housing in rural communities. In addition the following activities were completed:

- A. Completed the statewide 2006-2010 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice
- B. Completed the 2006-2010 Fair Housing Action Plan
- C. Completed the 2006-2010 Consolidated Plan, which contains information from the 2006-2010 Fair Housing Action Plan.
- D. Established a Fair Housing collaborative consisting of OHCS, OBDD, BOLI, FHCO and other private and public sector participants.

**Strategy 8: Support community infrastructure planning and project development to accommodate sustainable economic growth and non-housing community development.**

*Performance Measurement: Reinforce development of well-planned projects in approximately 20 communities per year for project development, through the application process, workshops, and technical assistance.*

*Performance Measurement: Assisting or making 10 CDBG awards per year to improve primarily water and sewer systems that bring systems into compliance to correct health hazards and/or enhance community economic development.*

Results:

In 2005, the department processed 56 infrastructure project intakes from cities, counties, water and sewer districts. Of these project intakes 48 were developed and funded by department funds. Of these 48 projects 21 were funded with other department funds (non-CDBG).

In 2005, (24) CDBG awards were made to city and county jurisdictions. The following table illustrates the (2) downtown revitalization projects, (7) wastewater projects, (8) water projects, and (7) microenterprise projects.

<b>Grant Number</b>	<b>Recipient</b>	<b>Project Type</b>
P05003	Gervais	Downtown Revitalization
P05031	Mt. Angel	Downtown Revitalization
P05002	Umatilla County	Wastewater Project
P05020	Clatsop County	Wastewater Project
P05022	Monroe	Wastewater Project
P05026	Carlton	Wastewater Project
P05028	Spray	Wastewater Project
P05030	Westfir	Wastewater Project
P05041	Idanha	Wastewater Project
P05025	Vale	Water
P05027	Lane County	Water
P05029	Idanha	Water
P05033	Gates	Water
P05037	Willamina	Water
P05042	Josephine County	Water
P05044	Halfway	Water
P05047	Tillamook County	Water
M05001	Sisters	Microenterprise
M05007	Winston	Microenterprise
M05008	Dallas	Microenterprise
M05009	Philomath	Microenterprise
M05010	Benton County	Microenterprise
M05035	Lincoln County	Microenterprise
M05036	Cottage Grove	Microenterprise

**Strategy 9: Actively seek opportunities to use state investments to help people achieve a higher quality of life and to help communities achieve a higher level of livability and sustainability.**

*Performance Measurement: Continue holding “One Stop” meetings to coordinate state, federal, and local funding strategies for specific infrastructure and community development projects. Begin holding as many of these meetings at the regional level as possible, rather than solely in Salem. The measure will be a report on the number of meetings held.”*

Results: During 2005, 20 “one stop” meetings were held in Salem to discuss projects with 18 communities along with other state and federal funders. These meetings were held with cities, counties, special districts and economic development organization and were attended by many community officials and staff. Meetings were held in Salem due to restrictions placed on state department travel budgets because of state budget problems. In 2001, the department had initiated efforts to conduct most “one-stops” in communities through out the state. In the future when state budget problems are resolved, it is hoped to be able to resume “one-stop” meetings around the state since they were received well by local partners.

*Performance Measurement: Where possible, standardize funding program policies and application forms to improve the accessibility of state and federal funding programs to users. The measure will be a report on the specific actions taken.*

Results: The Oregon Business Development Department continued to use a standard application form and staff recommendation form originally developed in 2001 for the Community Development Block Grant Program as well as several other funding programs: Safe Drinking Water (federal), Special Public Works Fund (state), Water/Wastewater Financing (state), Port Revolving Loan Fund and Port Planning & Marketing Grants (state), Brownfields Redevelopment Fund (state), and Old Growth Diversification. The new form eliminates the need for applicants to fill out separate forms to request funds from different programs.

*Performance Measurement: Increase the number of documents and forms available through agency websites, to give citizens and communities easier access to information. The measure will be a report on the specific actions taken.*

Results: Beginning in 2002, the Oregon CDBG program began the use electronic forms for applicant and subrecipient use. Although not yet available on the department’s website, electronic fillable application forms and cash reimbursement request forms were made available. As in the past, the proposed 2005 CDBG Program Guidelines were placed on the web site and were replaced with the final 2005 CDBG Program Guidelines after approval by federal Department of Housing and Urban Development. Similarly, the proposed and final 2005 CDBG Program Guidelines were also placed on the department’s website. During 2006, the Department plans to work on placing the CDBG Grant Management Handbook on the website.

**Strategy 10: Help enhance and strengthen local government capacity and that of other community groups to develop creative ways to identify, address, and manage community development projects and maximize the use of resources available to the community.**

*Performance Measurement: Invest state resources, including CDBG funds, in leadership training, skill building, and capacity building for local and regional organizations. The measure will be a report on the actions taken or awards made to local communities for capacity building.*

Results: Eight grant awards were made in the 2005 program period that clearly support local capacity and leadership building. The awards were from Community Development Block Grant Technical Assistance for Capacity Building to support attendance at community development workshops and to assist communities to meet their obligations to affirmatively further fair housing:

<b>Grant Recipient</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Grant amount/Source</b>
Oregon Brownfields Conference	Sponsorship and scholarships	\$10,000 (CDBG 1%)
Eastern Oregon Professional Services and Greater Eastern Oregon Development Corporation	Grant Management Handbook development	\$22,500 (CDBG 1%)
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Analysis of Impediments and Fair Housing Action Plan	\$74,000 (CDBG 1%)
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Fair Housing Council of Oregon	\$25,000 (CDBG 1%)
Washington State Community, Trade, and Economic Development Dep't.	Scholarships for the Northwest Economic Development Course	\$5,000 (CDBG 1%)
Not applicable	Printing Fair Housing Posters and brochures	\$1,700 (CDBG 1%)
Meeting Systems Inc.	NW Community Development Conference sponsorship	\$12,000 (CDBG 1%)

In addition to the above grants, the Oregon Business Development Department operates a Competitive Community Assistance program to provide funding for a variety of activities to increase local capacity development, decision-making and project implementation. For the 2003-2005 biennium, \$600,000 is available to fund task orders requested by local communities to use contractors, selected through a competitive RFP process, to help local leaders solve locally identified problems or capitalize on locally-identified opportunities.

*Performance Measurement: Use the state's Regional Community Solutions Teams to work with local officials to develop coordinated solutions to local or regional problems. These teams will be involved in helping local official find the resources to build livable communities.*

Results: In 2001, By Executive Order, previous Governor Kitzhaber created the Community Solutions Team (CST) comprised of the directors of the five CST agencies: Land Conservation and Development, Oregon Housing & Community Services, Oregon Department of Transportation, Department of Environmental Quality, and Oregon Business Development Department. The Governor also created regional CST teams throughout the state composed of staff from each of the five CST agencies. The regional CST teams met at least once a month to coordinate major projects and discuss specific community projects. Team members often successfully worked together to resolve issues stemming from conflict of agency programs or regulations in a local project or situation.

In 2003, the Oregon Legislature created the Governor's Economic Revitalization Team (GERT) consisting of the previous five CST agency directors plus the directors of Oregon Department of Agriculture and Division of State Lands and authorized the staffing out of the Governor's Office. Oregon's new Governor Ted Kulongoski committed to maintaining and enhancing the collaborative approach to problem solving previously implemented by the CST program and transferred existing CST staff to the new GERT Office.

More information about Oregon's Economic Revitalization Team, including updates on regional GERT team activities can be viewed at [www.gert.oregon.gov/](http://www.gert.oregon.gov/).