



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Community Service (2010)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision, Goals, & Values

JJIS Vision

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Goals In support of the vision, JJIS strives to accomplish the following goals:

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Develop statewide reporting to support policy making and to inform system stakeholders and the public about juvenile justice in Oregon.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. 	<p>JJIS will provide data and information to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; and • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.

JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon's juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs; and
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Jean Straight, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director
Juvenile Services Division
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Ken Dukek, Director
Curry County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director
Polk County Juvenile Department

Molly Rogers, Director
Wasco County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director
Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Jann Brown, Senior Manager
Business Applications & Technology
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Phil Cox, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services

Karen Daniels, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator
Department of Corrections

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

JJIS Staff

Cherie Lingelbach, Manager
JJIS Policy & Standards

Don Crossley, Manager
JJIS Development & Reports

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety and prevent youth from returning to criminal behavior. Community Service represents a contribution of work to the community as compensation for damages or an opportunity to provide a useful contribution to the community subsequent to having taken from the community by committing a crime. Participation in making a useful contribution to the community through Community Service is one of several strategies designed not only to support communities victimized by juvenile crime but also to change youth behavior. Reporting annual Community Service completion data provides a basis to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of this juvenile justice practice over time.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific data regarding the number and status of Community Service conditions closed during the reporting year. Conditions are closed throughout a youth's supervision with a juvenile justice agency. These data represent condition closures rather than case closures. The total number of youth with Community Service conditions along with amounts of Community Service completed at the time the conditions are closed are also reported. There are three sections to the report:

Number of Youth

The total number of youth that have court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions closed during the year.

Closed Community Service Conditions

The total number of court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions listed by the closing status of the condition.

Amount of Community Service Ordered and Completed

The total amount of Community Service ordered on the closed conditions; the total amount completed or accepted as complete at the time the condition was closed; and the amount closed Incomplete.

The Condition may have been ordered in a prior reporting year and the Amount Ordered is based on the Amount Ordered at the time the condition was imposed. The Amount Completed is the cumulative amount completed at the time the condition was closed.

Community Service may be ordered as Hours or Days. For this report, all Community Service Condition quantities are reported as Hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours as follows: one day = 8 hours.

Notes about the information

Overall Criteria: Conditions closed during the year are counted. These conditions may have been ordered in a prior reporting year. Reporting on closed conditions provides a more complete picture than reporting on conditions that may still be in progress.

Statewide Totals: Statewide totals include data for 33 of 36 counties and OYA.

Rounding: Totals may not add up to 100% because of rounding.

of Youth: The unduplicated number # of youth that had Community Service Conditions closed during the year. Youth may be counted in more than one county, but will only be counted once in the Statewide total.

Total # of Conditions: The total number of Community Service conditions closed during the year. Youth may have more than one closed condition during the year.

Agency at Condition Closure: Based on Office of Primary Worker at time condition was closed.

Cautions Regarding Community Service Data

All quantities are reported as hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours using a formula - one day = 8 hours. In some counties, community service days comprise 6 hours. In those counties, where community service days comprise 6 hours and the condition is tracked as days instead of hours, Community Service ordered and completed quantities may be slightly over-reported.

Number and Percent of Conditions Closed Complete versus Amounts Collected/Completed

Readers are strongly cautioned about drawing conclusions by comparing Amounts Ordered to Amounts Completed. As noted above, there are many factors involved in understanding Community Service data. As a result, it is very important to consider the percentage of conditions that have been closed complete in addition to the total amounts completed. This number provides a more accurate picture of the degree to which youth are meeting their court ordered and agency directed accountability conditions while under juvenile justice supervision and can provide the basis for subsequent meaningful analysis about these types of interventions.

April 2011

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	**Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Baker	51	67	65	97.0%	2	3.0%	1,648	1,601	97.1%	47	2.9%
Benton	199	265	226	85.3%	39	14.7%	7,915	7,040	88.9%	875	11.1%
Clackamas	752	805	702	87.2%	103	12.8%	15,892	14,025	88.3%	1,867	11.7%
Clatsop	99	112	111	99.1%	1	0.9%	2,506	2,466	98.4%	40	1.6%
Columbia*	61	66	64	97.0%	2	3.0%	1,113	1,085	97.5%	28	2.5%
Coos	98	160	141	88.1%	19	11.9%	6,020	5,320	88.4%	700	11.6%
Crook*											
Curry	57	77	76	98.7%	1	1.3%	3,660	3,620	98.9%	40	1.1%
Deschutes	354	426	350	82.2%	76	17.8%	12,680	11,159	88.0%	1,521	12.0%
Douglas	244	263	234	89.0%	29	11.0%	7,527	6,792	90.2%	735	9.8%
Gilliam*											
Grant	24	27	27	100.0%	0	0.0%	1,246	1,246	100.0%	0	0.0%
Harney	24	27	27	100.0%	0	0.0%	1,192	1,192	100.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	54	54	53	98.1%	1	1.9%	1,440	1,428	99.2%	12	0.8%
Jackson	251	290	263	90.7%	27	9.3%	11,325	10,681	94.3%	644	5.7%
Jefferson	114	139	106	76.3%	33	23.7%	5,349	4,483	83.8%	866	16.2%
Josephine	60	71	45	63.4%	26	36.6%	1,976	1,348	68.2%	628	31.8%
Klamath	4	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	104	104	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	23	23	23	100.0%	0	0.0%	712	712	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lane*	344	344	248	72.1%	96	27.9%	7,692	5,928	77.1%	1,765	22.9%
Lincoln	85	92	83	90.2%	9	9.8%	3,117	2,855	91.6%	263	8.4%
Linn	362	466	453	97.2%	13	2.8%	17,227	16,784	97.4%	443	2.6%

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	**Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Malheur	171	232	212	91.4%	20	8.6%	7,596	6,882	90.6%	715	9.4%
Marion	774	902	748	82.9%	154	17.1%	17,757	14,809	83.4%	2,948	16.6%
Morrow	43	46	42	91.3%	4	8.7%	975	844	86.6%	131	13.4%
Multnomah	338	372	307	82.5%	65	17.5%	8,326	7,267	87.3%	1,059	12.7%
Polk	264	285	232	81.4%	53	18.6%	9,989	8,492	85.0%	1,497	15.0%
Sherman*											
Tillamook	81	91	83	91.2%	8	8.8%	2,180	1,826	83.8%	355	16.3%
Umatilla	121	160	130	81.3%	30	18.8%	7,268	5,717	78.7%	1,551	21.3%
Union	90	111	104	93.7%	7	6.3%	2,515	2,417	96.1%	999	39.7%
Wallowa	27	35	32	91.4%	3	8.6%	765	664	86.8%	101	13.2%
Wasco	42	45	42	93.3%	3	6.7%	1,030	990	96.1%	40	3.9%
Washington	446	483	377	78.1%	106	21.9%	10,225	8,341	81.6%	1,885	18.4%
Wheeler	2	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	101	101	100.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	194	231	206	89.2%	25	10.8%	4,969	4,470	90.0%	499	10.0%
OYA	266	375	272	72.5%	103	27.5%	14,631	11,321	77.4%	3,310	22.6%
Statewide	6,103	7,149	6,091	85.2%	1,058	14.8%	198,665	174,006	87.6%	24,661	12.4%

* County is not recording Community Service data in JJIS. Lane County submitted data to JJIS for inclusion in year-end report.

** Condition is a requirement ordered by the court or directed by the juvenile department as part of a disposition of a youth's case.

NOTE: The statewide youth count may not equal the sum of youth by county due to a youth being counted in more than one county.