



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Community Service (2011)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority



Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision, Goals, & Values

JJIS Vision

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Goals In support of the vision, JJIS strives to accomplish the following goals:

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Develop statewide reporting to support policy making and to inform system stakeholders and the public about juvenile justice in Oregon.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. 	<p>JJIS will provide data and information to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; and • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies. 	<p>JJIS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.

JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs; and
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Jean Straight, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Dennis Kenna, Director
Washington County Juvenile Department
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair

Ken Dukek, Director
Curry County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director
Polk County Juvenile Department

Molly Rogers, Director
Wasco County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director
Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Jann Brown, Senior Manager
Business Applications & Technology
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Kyriacos Kitsis, Chief Information Officer
Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

Phil Cox, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services

Karen Daniels, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator
Department of Corrections

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

JJIS Staff

Cherie Lingelbach, Manager
JJIS Policy & Standards

Don Crossley, Manager
JJIS Development & Reports

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety and prevent youth from returning to criminal behavior. Community Service represents a contribution of work to the community as compensation for damages or an opportunity to provide a useful contribution to the community subsequent to having taken from the community by committing a crime. Participation in making a useful contribution to the community through Community Service is one of several strategies designed not only to support communities victimized by juvenile crime but also to change youth behavior. Reporting annual Community Service completion data provides a basis to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of this juvenile justice practice over time.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific data regarding the number and status of Community Service conditions closed during the reporting year. Conditions are closed throughout a youth's supervision with a juvenile justice agency. These data represent condition closures rather than case closures. The total number of youth with Community Service conditions along with amounts of Community Service completed at the time the conditions are closed are also reported. There are three sections to the report:

Number of Youth

The total number of youth that have court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions closed during the year.

Closed Community Service Conditions

The total number of court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions listed by the closing status of the condition.

Amount of Community Service Ordered and Completed

The total amount of Community Service ordered on the closed conditions; the total amount completed or accepted as complete at the time the condition was closed; and the amount closed Incomplete.

The Condition may have been ordered in a prior reporting year and the Amount Ordered is based on the Amount Ordered at the time the condition was imposed. The Amount Completed is the cumulative amount completed at the time the condition was closed.

Community Service may be ordered as Hours or Days. For this report, all Community Service Condition quantities are reported as Hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours as follows: one day = 8 hours.

Notes about the information

Overall Criteria: Conditions closed during the year are counted. These conditions may have been ordered in a prior reporting year. Reporting on closed conditions provides a more complete picture than reporting on conditions that may still be in progress.

Statewide Totals: Statewide totals include data for 33 of 36 counties and OYA.

Rounding: Totals may not add up to 100% because of rounding.

of Youth: The unduplicated number # of youth that had Community Service Conditions closed during the year. Youth may be counted in more than one county, but will only be counted once in the Statewide total.

Total # of Conditions: The total number of Community Service conditions closed during the year. Youth may have more than one closed condition during the year.

Agency at Condition Closure: Based on Office of Primary Worker at time condition was closed.

Cautions Regarding Community Service Data

All quantities are reported as hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours using a formula - one day = 8 hours. In some counties, community service days comprise 6 hours. In those counties, where community service days comprise 6 hours and the condition is tracked as days instead of hours, Community Service ordered and completed quantities may be slightly over-reported.

Number and Percent of Conditions Closed Complete versus Amounts Collected/Completed

Readers are strongly cautioned about drawing conclusions by comparing Amounts Ordered to Amounts Completed. As noted above, there are many factors involved in understanding Community Service data. As a result, it is very important to consider the percentage of conditions that have been closed complete in addition to the total amounts completed. This number provides a more accurate picture of the degree to which youth are meeting their court ordered and agency directed accountability conditions while under juvenile justice supervision and can provide the basis for subsequent meaningful analysis about these types of interventions.

April 2012

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	**Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Baker	73	84	77	91.7%	7	8.3%	2,748	2,683	97.6%	65	2.4%
Benton	184	226	193	85.4%	33	14.6%	6,777	5,907	87.2%	870	12.8%
Clackamas	626	673	593	88.1%	80	11.9%	13,992	12,538	89.6%	1,454	10.4%
Clatsop	105	120	120	100.0%	0	0.0%	2,520	2,520	100.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	42	45	44	97.8%	1	2.2%	1,043	993	95.2%	50	4.8%
Coos	59	104	94	90.4%	10	9.6%	3,236	2,864	88.5%	372	11.5%
Crook*											
Curry	49	58	57	98.3%	1	1.7%	2,502	2,470	98.7%	32	1.3%
Deschutes	280	325	275	84.6%	50	15.4%	8,837	7,720	87.4%	1,117	12.6%
Douglas	221	246	211	85.8%	35	14.2%	7,881	6,680	84.8%	1,202	15.3%
Gilliam*											
Grant	30	41	36	87.8%	5	12.2%	1,467	1,292	88.1%	175	11.9%
Harney	16	16	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	773	773	100.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	39	40	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	810	810	100.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	254	294	251	85.4%	43	14.6%	12,454	11,073	88.9%	1,381	11.1%
Jefferson	127	150	127	84.7%	23	15.3%	5,678	4,967	87.5%	711	12.5%
Josephine	134	154	116	75.3%	38	24.7%	2,884	2,316	80.3%	568	19.7%
Klamath	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	11	14	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	530	366	69.1%	164	30.9%
Lane*	300	300	147	49.0%	153	51.0%	10,964	5,903	53.8%	4,312	39.3%
Lincoln	87	97	88	90.7%	9	9.3%	3,436	3,221	93.7%	216	6.3%
Linn	346	455	437	96.0%	18	4.0%	15,898	15,443	97.1%	455	2.9%

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	**Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Malheur	119	186	172	92.5%	14	7.5%	6,827	6,027	88.3%	800	11.7%
Marion	740	842	669	79.5%	173	20.5%	15,811	12,976	82.1%	2,835	17.9%
Morrow	47	53	51	96.2%	2	3.8%	990	922	93.1%	68	6.9%
Multnomah	226	258	197	76.4%	61	23.6%	6,061	4,948	81.6%	1,113	18.4%
Polk	265	300	252	84.0%	48	16.0%	12,012	10,287	85.6%	1,726	14.4%
Sherman*											
Tillamook	55	59	53	89.8%	6	10.2%	1,106	1,002	90.6%	104	9.4%
Umatilla	92	105	82	78.1%	23	21.9%	5,010	3,930	78.4%	1,080	21.6%
Union	81	104	92	88.5%	12	11.5%	2,759	2,302	83.4%	457	16.6%
Wallowa	16	17	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	669	481	71.9%	188	28.1%
Wasco	63	74	73	98.6%	1	1.4%	2,295	2,279	99.3%	16	0.7%
Washington	412	447	370	82.8%	77	17.2%	9,172	7,864	85.7%	1,308	14.3%
Wheeler	3	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	140	140	100.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	218	255	231	90.6%	24	9.4%	5,836	5,297	90.8%	539	9.2%
OYA	237	360	272	75.6%	88	24.4%	14,795	12,325	83.3%	2,471	16.7%
Statewide	5,538	6,507	5,467	84.0%	1,040	16.0%	187,912	161,317	85.8%	25,846	13.8%

* County is not recording Community Service data in JJIS. Lane County submitted data to JJIS for inclusion in year-end report.

** Condition is a requirement ordered by the court or directed by the juvenile department as part of a disposition of a youth's case.

NOTE: The statewide youth count may not equal the sum of youth by county due to a youth being counted in more than one county.