




# Juvenile Justice Information System

## Data & Evaluation Reports Detention Admission Reasons & Length of Stay Multnomah County 2013

JJIS Steering Committee  
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

*JJIS – A Shared Information System*

Oregon Youth Authority



Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





# Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

Mission ♦ Vision ♦ Goals ♦ Values

## JJIS Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

## JJIS Vision Statement

## Goals in Support of Vision Statement

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>♦ Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>♦ Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>♦ Support individual case plans;</li> <li>♦ Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and</li> <li>♦ Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime;</li> <li>♦ Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination;</li> <li>♦ Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and</li> <li>♦ Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital;</li> <li>♦ Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements;</li> <li>♦ Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently;</li> <li>♦ Ensure consistent data integrity;</li> <li>♦ Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>♦ Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and</li> <li>♦ Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.</li> </ul>

Revisions adopted 3/21/2012

## JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- ♦ Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- ♦ Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- ♦ Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- ♦ Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- ♦ Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.



# JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

## Current Members (March 2014)

- Ellen Crawford, Director  
Clackamas County Juvenile Department  
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Joe Ferguson, Director  
Jackson County Juvenile Department
- Tim Loewen, Director  
Yamhill County Juvenile Department
- Molly Rogers, Director  
Wasco County Juvenile Department
- Lynne Schroeder, Director  
Washington County Juvenile Department
- Philip Cox, Assistant Director  
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services  
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Clint McClellan, Assistant Director  
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations
- Joe O'Leary, Deputy Director  
Oregon Youth Authority
- Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator  
Department of Corrections

## JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

*Serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:*

Contribute to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations pertaining to Oregon's juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.

---

## JJIS Staff

- Kyriacos Kitsis, Chief Information Officer  
Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems
- Cherie Lingelbach, Manager  
JJIS Policy & Implementation
- Don Crossley, Manager  
JJIS Development & Reports

---

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK  
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

---

---

## Introduction

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from juvenile detention facilities. There are three statewide reports and three for each county. The three reports are:

### **Detention Admissions Reason by Demographics**

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

### **Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (sex, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

### **Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant and Other. Pre-adjudication admission reasons are further grouped by juvenile admissions and automatic transfers to adult court,

Percentages, sub-totals and grand totals are provided for each category.

## Notes about the information

**Admissions:** An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

**Length of Stay:** Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth who have been released from a detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

**Comparing the three reports:** It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

**Reporting Year:** The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

**County:** Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

**Race and Ethnicity:** The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and ethnicity may be as

---

---

recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operate Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

March 2014

---

Admission Reason	Total	%	Sex			Age at Admission				Race/Ethnicity					
			Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
<b>2013 Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics</b>															
<b><u>Multnomah County - Current Jurisdiction</u></b>															
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>															
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	80	25.2%	9	71	0	0	17	63	0	45	6	12	1	0	16
New Law Violation	114	35.8%	30	84	0	1	60	51	2	41	2	23	4	2	42
Parole Violation	6	1.9%	2	4	0	0	1	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	2
Probation Violation	74	23.3%	10	64	0	0	34	34	6	33	1	14	0	0	26
Runaway from Another State	17	5.3%	13	4	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	15
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour)	4	1.3%	2	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Violation of Conditional Release	23	7.2%	7	16	0	0	13	10	0	10	0	2	0	0	11
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>318</b>		<b>73</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>23.0%</b>	<b>77.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>41.2</b>	<b>55.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>41.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>36.2</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>52.3%</b>														
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>															
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	96	100.0%	18	78	0	1	28	53	14	53	2	8	0	0	33
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>96</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>18.8%</b>	<b>81.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>29.2</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>34.4</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>15.8%</b>														
<b>Warrant and Other</b>															
Warrant	144	74.2%	42	102	0	2	42	81	19	59	3	24	1	1	56
Other	50	25.8%	3	47	0	0	11	38	1	6	1	27	0	10	6
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>194</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>23.2%</b>	<b>76.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>61.3</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>26.3</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>	<b>31.9%</b>														
<b>Total Admissions for Multnomah</b>	<b>608</b>		<b>136</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>22.4%</b>	<b>77.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>34.9</b>	<b>57.4</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>34.5</b>
<b>Multnomah % of Statewide Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>22.4%</b>	<b>77.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>34.9</b>	<b>57.4</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>34.5</b>

Admission Reason	Total	%	Length of Stay		Sex			Age at Admission				Race/Ethnicity					
	Total Admits	% of Admits	Days of Custody	Average LOS	Female	Male	Unknown	≤ 12	13 - 15	16 - 17	18+	African Am.	Asian	Hispanic	Native Am.	Other/Unkn.	White
<b>2013 Detention Length of Stay by Demographics</b>																	
<b>Multnomah - Current Jurisdiction</b>																	
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>																	
<b>Non-BM11</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	96	29.3%	147		30	66	0	1	45	49	1	26	3	12	3	1	51
4 - 8 Days	49	14.9%	284		13	36	0	1	19	25	4	16	0	8	2	2	21
9 - 30 Days	80	24.4%	1352		17	63	0	0	40	36	4	41	0	14	0	0	25
31+ Days	16	4.9%	792		2	14	0	0	12	4	0	7	0	6	1	0	2
<b>Total Non-BM11</b>	<b>241</b>		<b>2575</b>	<b>10.7</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>BM11</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	19	5.8%	36		1	18	0	0	5	14	0	12	1	3	0	0	3
4 - 8 Days	6	1.8%	44		3	3	0	0	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	4
9 - 30 Days	16	4.9%	301		0	16	0	0	3	13	0	9	4	1	0	0	2
31+ Days	46	14.0%	6310		6	40	0	0	11	35	0	23	3	12	1	0	7
<b>Total BM11</b>	<b>87</b>		<b>6691</b>	<b>76.9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>328</b>		<b>9266</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>22.0%</b>	<b>78.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>41.5</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>41.2</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>17.4</b>	<b>2.10</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>35.1</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>		<b>53.0%</b>															
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	67	69.8%	142		11	56	0	0	16	41	10	40	2	4	0	0	21
4 - 8 Days	25	26.0%	152		7	18	0	1	11	9	4	13	0	3	0	0	9
9 - 30 Days	4	4.2%	44		0	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>96</b>		<b>338</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>18.8%</b>	<b>81.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>29.2</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>34.4</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>		<b>15.5%</b>															
<b>Warrant and Other</b>																	
1 - 3 Days	58	29.7%	87		18	40	0	0	20	28	10	21	1	6	1	0	29
4 - 8 Days	34	17.4%	208		7	27	0	1	5	21	7	13	0	6	0	1	14
9 - 30 Days	65	33.3%	993		19	46	0	1	19	41	4	27	3	15	0	1	19
31+ Days	38	19.5%	2568		1	37	0	1	9	28	0	4	0	25	1	7	1
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>195</b>		<b>3856</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>23.1%</b>	<b>76.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>27.2</b>	<b>60.5</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>26.7</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>32.3</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>		<b>31.5%</b>															
<b>Total Admissions for Multnomah</b>	<b>619</b>		<b>13460</b>	<b>21.7</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>%</b>					<b>21.8%</b>	<b>78.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>35.1</b>	<b>56.9</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>40.9</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>34.1</b>

Admission Reason	Total	%	Length of Stay					
			1 - 3 Days	4 - 8 Days	9 - 30 Days	31 - 59 Days	60 - 89 Days	90 + Days
<b>2013 Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason</b>								
<b><u>Multnomah County - Current Jurisdiction</u></b>								
<b>Pre Adjudicatory</b>								
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	87	26.5%	19	6	16	11	3	32
New Law Violation	116	35.4%	46	24	36	9	0	1
Parole Violation	6	1.8%	2	2	2	0	0	0
Probation Violation	73	22.3%	24	14	31	3	1	0
Runaway from Another State	17	5.2%	14	3	0	0	0	0
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour)	3	0.9%	3	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Conditional Release	26	7.9%	7	6	11	2	0	0
<b>Total Pre Adjudicatory</b>	<b>328</b>		<b>115</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>35.1%</b>	<b>16.8</b>	<b>29.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>10.1</b>
<b>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>53.0%</b>							
<b>Post Adjudicatory</b>								
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	96	100.0%	67	25	4	0	0	0
<b>Total Post Adjudicatory</b>	<b>96</b>		<b>67</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>69.8%</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Post Adjudicatory % of County Total</b>	<b>15.5%</b>							
<b>Warrant and Other</b>								
Warrant	146	74.9%	48	32	59	6	0	1
Other	49	25.1%	10	2	6	18	7	6
<b>Total Warrant and Other</b>	<b>195</b>		<b>58</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>29.7%</b>	<b>17.4</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Warrant and Other % of County Total</b>	<b>31.5%</b>							
<b>Total Admissions for Multnomah</b>	<b>619</b>		<b>240</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>%</b>			<b>38.8%</b>	<b>18.4</b>	<b>26.7</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>