



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Community Service

2015

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority



Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

Mission ♦ Vision ♦ Goals ♦ Values

JJIS Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

JJIS Vision Statement

Goals in Support of Vision Statement

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; ♦ Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and ♦ Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; ♦ Support individual case plans; ♦ Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and ♦ Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime; ♦ Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination; ♦ Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and ♦ Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS; ♦ Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; ♦ Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital; ♦ Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements; ♦ Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS; ♦ Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently; ♦ Ensure consistent data integrity; ♦ Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; ♦ Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and ♦ Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.

Revisions adopted 3/21/2012

JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- ♦ Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- ♦ Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- ♦ Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- ♦ Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- ♦ Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.



JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Current Members (March 2016)

- Christina McMahan, Director
Clackamas County Juvenile Department
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Joe Ferguson, Director
Jackson County Juvenile Department
- Terry Thompson, Director
Benton County Juvenile Department
- Molly Rogers, Director
Wasco County Juvenile Department
- Lynne Schroeder, Director
Washington County Juvenile Department
- Philip Cox, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Clint McClellan, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations
- Joe O'Leary, Deputy Director
Oregon Youth Authority
- Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator
Department of Corrections
- Michael Callaghan, Senior Manager, Business Applications and Technology
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

Serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

Contribute to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations pertaining to Oregon's juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.

JJIS Staff

- Steven Hoffert, Chief Information Officer
Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems
- Debbi Martin, Administrator
JJIS Policy & Implementation
- Don Crossley, Manager
JJIS Development & Reports
- Paul Bellatty, Manager
Oregon Youth Authority Research Unit

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

Oregon's juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety and prevent youth from returning to criminal behavior. Community Service represents a contribution of work to the community as compensation for damages or an opportunity to provide a useful contribution to the community subsequent to having taken from the community by committing a crime. Participation in making a useful contribution to the community through Community Service is one of several strategies designed not only to support communities victimized by juvenile crime but also to change youth behavior. Reporting annual Community Service completion data provides a basis to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of this juvenile justice practice over time.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific data regarding the number and status of Community Service conditions closed during the reporting year. Conditions are closed throughout a youth's supervision with a juvenile justice agency. These data represent condition closures rather than case closures. The total number of youth with Community Service conditions along with amounts of Community Service completed at the time the conditions are closed are also reported. There are three sections to the report:

Number of Youth

The total number of youth that have court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions closed during the year.

Closed Community Service Conditions

The total number of court ordered and juvenile department directed Community Service Conditions listed by the closing status of the condition.

Amount of Community Service Ordered and Completed

The total amount of Community Service ordered on the closed conditions; the total amount completed or accepted as complete at the time the condition was closed; and the amount closed Incomplete.

The Condition may have been ordered in a prior reporting year and the Amount Ordered is based on the Amount Ordered at the time the condition was imposed. The Amount Completed is the cumulative amount completed at the time the condition was closed.

Community Service may be ordered as Hours or Days. For this report, all Community Service Condition quantities are reported as Hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours as follows: one day = 8 hours.

Notes about the information

Overall Criteria: Conditions closed during the year are counted. These conditions may have been ordered in a prior reporting year. Reporting on closed conditions provides a more complete picture than reporting on conditions that may still be in progress.

Statewide Totals: Statewide totals include data for 33 of 36 counties and OYA.

Rounding: Totals may not add up to 100% because of rounding.

of Youth: The unduplicated number # of youth that had Community Service Conditions closed during the year. Youth may be counted in more than one county, but will only be counted once in the statewide total.

Total # of Conditions: The total number of Community Service conditions closed during the year. Youth may have more than one closed condition during the year.

Agency at Condition Closure: Based on Office of Primary Worker at time condition was closed.

Cautions Regarding Community Service Data

All quantities are reported as hours. Community Service Conditions recorded as days are converted to hours using a formula - one day = 8 hours. In some counties, community service days comprise 6 hours. In those counties, where community service days comprise 6 hours and the condition is tracked as days instead of hours, Community Service ordered and completed quantities may be slightly over-reported.

Number and Percent of Conditions Closed Complete versus Amounts Collected/Completed

Readers are strongly cautioned about drawing conclusions by comparing Amounts Ordered to Amounts Completed. As noted above, there are many factors involved in understanding Community Service data. As a result, it is very important to consider the percentage of conditions that have been closed complete in addition to the total amounts completed. This number provides a more accurate picture of the degree to which youth are meeting their court ordered and agency directed accountability conditions while under juvenile justice supervision and can provide the basis for subsequent meaningful analysis about these types of interventions.

March 2016

Closed Community Service Conditions

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	*Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Baker	39	48	44	91.7	4	8.3	1,077	1,003	93.1	75	6.9
Benton	91	103	89	86.4	14	13.6	3,508	2,995	85.4	513	14.6
Clackamas	345	370	323	87.3	47	12.7	6,177	5,504	89.1	674	10.9
Clatsop	87	128	122	95.3	6	4.7	2,865	2,707	94.5	159	5.5
Columbia	27	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	632	568	89.9	64	10.1
Coos	2	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	40	40	100.0	0	0.0
Crook											
Curry	24	38	38	100.0	0	0.0	1,829	1,829	100.0	0	0.0
Deschutes	218	274	234	85.4	40	14.6	5,519	4,732	85.7	787	14.3
Douglas	102	105	98	93.3	7	6.7	2,785	2,623	94.2	162	5.8
Gilliam											
Grant	12	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	447	403	90.2	44	9.8
Harney	14	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	580	580	100.0	0	0.0
Hood River	64	67	65	97.0	2	3.0	1,410	1,392	98.7	18	1.3
Jackson	148	160	136	85.0	24	15.0	4,636	4,138	89.3	498	10.7
Jefferson	85	95	80	84.2	15	15.8	2,232	1,898	85.0	334	15.0
Josephine	108	123	82	66.7	41	33.3	1,734	1,220	70.4	514	29.6
Klamath	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake	14	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	337	337	100.0	0	0.0
Lane	64	64	53	82.8	11	17.2	1,626	1,384	85.1	242	14.9
Lincoln	44	54	41	75.9	13	24.1	1,581	1,241	78.5	340	21.5
Linn	265	358	336	93.9	22	6.1	11,287	10,832	96.0	455	4.0
Malheur	100	123	115	93.5	8	6.5	3,304	3,046	92.2	258	7.8
Marion	400	435	384	88.3	51	11.7	7,657	7,032	91.8	626	8.2
Morrow	15	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	337	281	83.4	56	16.6
Multnomah	258	289	252	87.2	37	12.8	5,689	5,017	88.2	672	11.8
Out of State	1	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	36	0	0.0	36	100.0
Polk	118	139	112	80.6	27	19.4	5,661	4,744	83.8	918	16.2

Closed Community Service Conditions

Agency at Closure	# of Youth	*Conditions Closed					Amounts of Community Service at Closing				
		Total Conditions Closed	Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Incomplete	%	Hours Ordered	Hours Complete & Accepted as Complete	%	Hours Incomplete	%
Sherman											
Tillamook	43	47	36	76.6	11	23.4	947	657	69.4	290	30.6
Umatilla	50	55	46	83.6	9	16.4	3,820	3,508	91.8	312	8.2
Union	49	55	52	94.5	3	5.5	1,492	1,441	96.6	51	3.4
Wallowa	13	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	387	356	92.0	31	8.0
Wasco	25	29	28	96.6	1	3.4	896	896	100.0	0	0.0
Washington	199	211	172	81.5	39	18.5	4,275	3,732	87.3	543	12.7
Wheeler	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yamhill	149	173	144	83.2	29	16.8	3,798	3,317	87.3	481	12.7
OYA	176	238	165	69.3	73	30.7	8,981	6,848	76.2	2,133	23.8
Statewide	3343	3892	3349	86.0	543	14.0	97,581	86,298	88.4	11,283	11.6

The following counties are not recording Community Services data in JJIS: Crook, Gilliam, Sherman.

* Condition is a requirement ordered by the court or directed by the juvenile department as part of a disposition of a youth's case.

NOTE: The statewide youth count may not equal the sum of youth by county due to a youth being counted in more than one county.