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## Dear Oregonians:

On behalf of thousands of Oregon's educators and school leaders, I am pleased to present the 2010-2011 Oregon Statewide Report Card. This annual report contains a wealth of data and information on Oregon's schools and provides a unique snapshot of our educational system state wide. I invite you to use this valuable tool in your discussions on education in our state and to join me in the effort to make every student, every day a success.

## The 2010-11 Statewide Report Card includes:

- Student demographics
- School staff information
- State and national assessment results
- Dropout and graduation rates
- Charter school data
- Early childhood data
- Alternative education information
- Public school funding information


## Highlights of the 2010-11 School Year

- This past year, the Oregon State Board of Education adopted the Common Core State Standards—rigorous, national learning expectations aligned with international standards. These common standards will provide a level playing field across the country and will prepare Oregon students to compete, not just here in Oregon, but nationally and internationally as well. Oregon is one of more than 45 states to adopt these standards and over the coming years, we will be phasing them into classroom instruction. Oregon is also helping to lead the work in the development of national assessments designed to test students on these new standards.
- In October 2010, the State Board of Education adopted higher expectations for elementary and middle school students in math. This change aligned Oregon's math standards with rigorous national and international expectations and provides students, parents, and teachers with better information about how prepared students are to meet Oregon's high school diploma requirements. As predicted, the increase in the math achievement standards resulted in fewer students meeting state math standards this year. However, when we compare student achievement on the old standards, the growth in student learning is evident. We are asking more of our students than ever before, and our students are rising to the challenge.
- Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) targets increased substantially from last year and despite strong gains in student achievement, fewer schools met this higher bar. The state's increased math expectations also impacted the percent of schools meeting AYP. Fifty-four percent of Oregon schools met AYP targets this year, down from seventy-one percent last year.
- Oregon students continued to perform above the national average on the SAT and ACT. Increasing numbers of Oregon students, including increasing numbers of minority and low income students, are taking these college entrance tests.


## Looking Ahead

As we start another school year, there are a number of exciting changes on the horizon. We continue to work toward full implementation of the Oregon Diploma and the Essential Skills requirements that will ensure all of our graduates leave high school college- and work-ready. This year's seniors will be the first required to demonstrate proficiency in the Essential Skill of Reading and tools like the Oregon K-12 Literacy Framework and the Assessment of Essential Skills Tool Kit are helping our students and our schools prepare.

We are continuing our efforts to raise the bar for Oregon students. In addition to their adoption of the Common Core State Standards and more rigorous Mathematics Achievement Standards, last year the State Board of Education adopted more rigorous Reading and Science Achievement Standards which go into effect this school year (2011-12). These higher state achievement standards will better prepare students to meet Oregon's graduation requirements and will help pave the way for the higher expectations of the Common Core.

Over the coming years we will see more changes to our educational system as we implement these new national standards and prepare for a common assessment. But with Oregon's leadership in the SMARTER Balanced Assessment Consortium, we are assured a voice at the table as these assessments are developed so that we can help ensure they will work well for Oregon students and Oregon schools.

I hope the information in this report will not only answer questions, but raise new ones-not only provide data, but spark conversations. Please join me, my agency, and educators across the state as we continue our work to support success for every student, every day. Working together, we can and we must build the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century education system our students deserve.

Sincerely,


Susan Castillo
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
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## Oregon Students

There were 561,328 students enrolled in Oregon public schools in 2010-2011. Overall, student enrollment in Oregon public schools has fallen since 2006-2007, with a total decrease of 353 students or 0.06 percent. From 2009-2010 to 2010-2011, the number of students decreased by 368 students or 0.07 percent.

Oregon Public School Enrollment Number of Kindergarten through 12th Grade Students


The figures in this chart are based on October 1 Student Membership (enrollment) for each year.
For more data, see report \#73 under Students at http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reports/toc.aspx. Note: This report includes some PK students, who have not been included in the graph above.

Statewide Student-Teacher Ratios

| Type of School | $1997-$ <br> $\mathbf{9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}-$ <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}-$ <br> $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}-$ <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | 19.8 | 18.8 | 19.9 | 20.2 |
| Middle School | 19.1 | 18.6 | 19.9 | 20.3 |
| High School | 19.2 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 21.4 |

Note: The average student-teacher ratio above includes all teachers by FTE - music, art and physical education specialists in addition to the individual classroom teachers - whereas a calculation of average class size would only include individual classroom teachers.

Percent of Oregon School Districts by Size of Student Enrollment 2010-11


Note: The enrollment numbers do not include students who attend state-run and ESD-run schools.

Historical Enrollment Perspective -1997-98 \& 2010-11

| Enrollment | 1997-1998 |  | 2010-2011 |  | 1997-98 to 2010-11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students | Percent of All Students | Number of Students | Percent of All Students | Change In Number of Students | Percent Change |
| Elementary | 263,424 | 48.75\% | 257,643 | 45.90\% | -5,781 | -2.19\% |
| Middle | 109,098 | 20.19\% | 102,573 | 18.27\% | -6,525 | -5.98\% |
| High | 155,535 | 28.78\% | 162,347 | 28.92\% | 6,812 | 4.38\% |
| Combined | 6,098 | 1.13\% | 4,117 | 0.73\% | -1,981 | -32.49\% |
| Charter | ***** | --- | 20,400 | 3.63\% | --- | --- |
| Alternative | 5,539 | 1.03\% | 6,010 | 1.07\% | 471 | 8.50\% |
| Youth Corrections, Juvenile Detention, Oregon School for the Deaf | 665 | 0.10\% | 979 | 0.17\% | 314 | 47.22\% |
| TOTAL*** | 540,359 | 100.00\% | 561,328 | 100.00\% | 20,969 | 3.88\% |
| Race/Ethnicity**** | 1997-1998 |  | 2010-2011 |  | 1997-98 to 2010-11 |  |
|  | Number of Students | Percent of All Students | Number of Students | Percent of All Students | Change In Number of Students | Percent <br> Change |
| White | 452,163 | 83.70\% | 372,194 | 66.31\% | -79,969 | -17.69\% |
| African American | 14,139 | 2.60\% | 14,599 | 2.60\% | 460 | 3.25\% |
| Hispanic | 43,712 | 8.10\% | 115,102 | 20.51\% | 71,390 | 163.32\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 19,189 | 3.60\% | 26,023 | 4.64\% | 6,834 | 35.61\% |
| Native American | 11,156 | 2.10\% | 10,406 | 1.85\% | -750 | -6.72\% |
| Multi-Race/Ethnic | NA |  | 23,004 | 4.10\% |  |  |
| TOTAL | 540,359 | 100.00\% | 561,328 | 100.00\% | 20,969 | 3.88\% |
| Special Education | 1997-1998 |  | 2010-2011 |  | 1997-98 to 2010-11 |  |
|  | Number of Students | Percent of All <br> Students | Number of Students | Percent of All <br> Students | Change In Number of Students | Percent Change |
|  | 63,097 | 11.70\% | 74,111 | 13.20\% | 11,014 | 17.46\% |
| Students for Whom English is not the Primary Language | 1997-1998 |  | 2010-2011 |  | 1997-98 to 2010-11 |  |
|  | Number of Students* | Percent of All <br> Students | Number of Students | Percent of All <br> Students | Change In Number of Students | Percent Change |
|  | 13,425 | 2.50\% | 64,176 | 11.43\% | 50,751 | 378.03\% |
| Free \& Reduced Lunch | 1997-1998 |  | 2010-2011 |  | 1997-98 to 2010-11 |  |
|  | Number of Students | Percent of All <br> Students | Number of Students** | Percent of All <br> Students | Change In Number of Students | Percent Change |
|  | 172,330 | 31.90\% | 280,216 | 49.92\% | 107,886 | 62.60\% |

*Number of Students for Whom English is not the Primary Language is estimated for 1997-98.
**Some districts and schools declined to report.
${ }^{* * *}$ Categories do not sum to total - some students attending at districts, ESDs,
Community Colleges, Long-Term Care and Treatment, or private schools.
Source: Oregon Department of Education, Fall Membership
****Declined to Report was not an option in the 2010-11 collection.
*****Oregon charter school law became effective on May 27, 1999. Prior to that date, charter schools in Oregon were established under the alternative education program laws.

## Oregon Public Charter Schools

Public charter school student enrollment has risen from 3.29 percent of the total enrollment in 2009-10 and now represents 3.63 percent of the total students enrolled.

Charter schools, authorized by legislation in 1999, were designed to create new, innovative, and more flexible ways of educating all children within the public school system. In Oregon, all charter schools are public schools.

In the 2010-11 school year, there were 108 charter schools (up from 100 charter schools in 2009-10).

Percent of Schools Meeting AYP


AYP data available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx


Math: Percent Met/Exceeded

*Math Standards increased from 2009-10 to 2010-11. See the Student Success section for details. State-level data has been revised - data may not match 2009-10 Statewide Report Card. Assessment data from Public Assessment Group Reports (PAGR), available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/schoolanddistrict/testresults/reporting/pagrsurpressed.aspx

## Minority Student Population

The number of minority students in general, and Hispanic students in particular, has risen significantly in Oregon schools in the last ten years. From 2006-07 to 2010-11, there was a $27.38 \%$ increase of Hispanic students. During the same time period, there was a $0.68 \%$ increase in Asian/Pacific Islander students, a $13.16 \%$ decrease in Black students, and a $13.24 \%$ decrease in American Indian/Alaskan Native students.

Oregon Public School Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity
Total Growth Rate was -0.27\% White Students Decreased by 4.31\%


Source: Fall Membership
In 2009-10, the definitions used in collecting race/ethnicity data changed per new federal requirements. Hispanic ethnicity includes all students of Hispanic ethnicity, irrespective of other races indicated. "Declined to Report" was removed from the reporting categories in 2010-11.

Fall Membership (October 1 Enrollment) in Oregon K-12 Public Schools
By Race/Ethnicity

| School <br> Year | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | Multi- <br> Race <br> Ethnicity | Race/ <br> Ethnicity <br> Not <br> Reported | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2010-11$ | 372,194 | 14,599 | 115,102 | 26,023 | 10,406 | 23,004 | $0^{* *}$ | 561,328 |
| $2009-10^{*}$ | 379,036 | 15,485 | 109,842 | 25,927 | 10,850 | 15,190 | 5,366 | 561,696 |
| $2008-09$ | 385,817 | 16,512 | 97,296 | 26,775 | 11,349 | 16,136 | 10,179 | 564,064 |
| $2007-08$ | 391,393 | 16,807 | 95,172 | 26,486 | 11,942 | 13,220 | 11,047 | 566,067 |
| $2006-07$ | 396,102 | 16,811 | 90,363 | 25,847 | 11,994 | 10,444 | 11,267 | 562,828 |
| $2005-06$ | 403,835 | 16,768 | 84,504 | 25,291 | 12,179 | 6,357 | 10,320 | 559,254 |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, Fall Membership

[^0]
## Language Diversity

According to data reported on the NCLB Limited English Proficient (LEP) Collection, in the 2010-11 school year, 63,151 LEP students ( $11.60 \%$ of all K-12 students) reported a language of origin other than English. This is a decrease of 0.24\% from 2009-10.

Most Common Languages of Origin of Students in Oregon Public Schools
(Students K-12) 2010-11

| Language of Origin | Number of Enrolled Students by Language of Origin* | Number of Limited English Proficient Students** | Percent of Enrollment*** <br> (Total: 553,339) | Percent of Limited English Proficient Enrollment*** (Total: 64,176) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 431051 | 1025 | 77.90\% | 1.60\% |
| Spanish | 75397 | 49073 | 13.63\% | 76.47\% |
| Russian | 4900 | 2521 | 0.89\% | 3.93\% |
| Vietnamese | 4467 | 2101 | 0.81\% | 3.27\% |
| Chinese | 2767 | 1077 | 0.50\% | 1.68\% |
| Ukrainian | 1203 | 646 | 0.22\% | 1.01\% |
| Korean | 1104 | 481 | 0.20\% | 0.75\% |
| Somali | 887 | 745 | 0.16\% | 1.16\% |
| Romanian | 830 | 344 | 0.15\% | 0.54\% |
| Arabic | 801 | 544 | 0.14\% | 0.85\% |
| Hmong | 706 | 332 | 0.13\% | 0.52\% |
| Japanese | 640 | 304 | 0.12\% | 0.47\% |
| Chuukese | 563 | 471 | 0.10\% | 0.73\% |
| Tagalog | 535 | 273 | 0.10\% | 0.43\% |
| Lao | 369 | 146 | 0.07\% | 0.23\% |
| Marshallese | 348 | 297 | 0.06\% | 0.46\% |
| Hindi | 324 | 140 | 0.06\% | 0.22\% |
| German | 278 | 85 | 0.05\% | 0.13\% |
| Persian | 247 | 83 | 0.04\% | 0.13\% |
| Baluchi | 244 | $\emptyset$ | 0.04\% | $\emptyset$ |
| Thai | 219 | 144 | 0.04\% | 0.22\% |
| Hebrew | 204 | 186 | 0.04\% | 0.29\% |
| Nepali | 195 | 161 | 0.04\% | 0.25\% |
| Burmese | 177 | 152 | 0.03\% | 0.24\% |
| Amharic | 162 | 110 | 0.03\% | 0.17\% |
| Karen | 158 | 170 | 0.03\% | 0.26\% |
| Swahili | 107 | 99 | 0.02\% | 0.15\% |
| Other or N/A | 24456 | 2465 | 4.42\% | 3.84\% |

* Source: Spring Membership 2011
** Source: Unduplicated Limited English Proficient Collection, 2010-11
*** Percentage columns do not sum to total due to rounding.
$\emptyset$ : Suppressed due to small cell size.
Note: Native American/Alaskan Native students may qualify as LEP even though these students have English as their language of origin.


## Minority Students and Teachers

Oregon has made some progress in hiring and retaining teachers of minority populations. In 1997-98, 3.9\% of teachers and $16.3 \%$ of students were of minority populations. In 2010-11, 8.36\% of teachers and $33.69 \%$ of students were of minority populations. In 2009-10 for students, and 2010-11 for teachers, the guidelines for reporting race/ethnicity changed - see http://www.ode.state.or.us/ news/announcements/annou ncement.aspx?=4630 for details.


[^1]

Source: Fall Membership and Staff Data Collections
The difference between teacher and student minority rates was most noticeable for Hispanics, where 20.5\% of students were Hispanic compared to only $3.6 \%$ of teachers. $91.6 \%$ of teachers were White, compared to only $66.3 \%$ of students.

|  | Average Age | Gender |  | Experience |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 2010-11 | Change |
| Teachers | 42.8 | $30.03 \%$ | $69.97 \%$ | 12.63 | 12.77 | $+1.11 \%$ |
| Administrators* | 46.8 | $53.22 \%$ | $46.78 \%$ | 19.41 | 19.50 | $+0.46 \%$ |

*Some staff members serve as both principal and superintendant - the numbers in the text below are not directly comparable to the data in this table.

Women have made substantial progress moving into administrative ranks. Twenty years ago, $2.8 \%$ of all superintendents and $14.5 \%$ of all principals were women. In 2010-11, $27.24 \%$ of superintendents and

Teacher Education by Highest Degree Achieved (Teachers with less than a Bachelor's degree not shown)
$45.62 \%$ of principals were women.


2010-11 Highest Degree Held


Oregon requires teachers to be fully licensed, hold at least a bachelor's degree, and meet state requirements to demonstrate mastery of subject knowledge, either by exam or major in the core academic area. All teachers of core academic subjects (English, reading, language arts, math, science, foreign languages, social studies, and the arts) must now meet the definition of a highly qualified teacher. For more information, see the "Highly Qualified Teachers" section.

Total Number of Teachers (NOT FTE) Employed by Oregon Districts

*2006-2009 reflect revised data as of September 6, 2011.

## All School Staff

In the last year, the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) school employees has increased by $1.93 \%$, from $62,583.52$ in 2009-10 to $63,790.12$, a difference of $1,206.60$ FTE. It is likely that the inclusion of contractors and extra duty time in the 2010-11 data accounts for most of the increase from 2009-10.

Oregon School Employees
(Full-Time Equivalent Positions)

|  | 2009-10 |  | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Teachers | $28,652.30$ | $45.78 \%$ | $28,157.08$ | $44.14 \%$ |
| Educational Assistants | $10,194.06$ | $16.29 \%$ | $9,922.54$ | $15.55 \%$ |
| District Administrators | 455.82 | $0.73 \%$ | 447.81 | $0.70 \%$ |
| School Administrators | $1,581.40$ | $2.53 \%$ | $1,587.35$ | $2.49 \%$ |
| Guidance Counselors | $1,035.96$ | $1.66 \%$ | 999.22 | $1.57 \%$ |
| Library and Media | $1,030.49$ | $1.65 \%$ | 989.68 | $1.55 \%$ |
| Support Staff | $17,787.81$ | $28.42 \%$ | $19,785.18$ | $31.02 \%$ |
| Special Education Specialists | $1,845.68$ | $2.95 \%$ | $1,901.26$ | $2.98 \%$ |
| Total | $62,583.52$ | $100 \%$ | $63,790.12$ | $100 \%$ |

No filters were applied to remove institutions or grade levels from 2009-10 and 2010-11 data. Both years of data make adjustments for partial year employment. Note that the Library and Media category combines the FTE of library/media specialists and library/media support. All data above is based on data submitted as of September $6^{\text {th }}, 2011$, reflecting employment as of December $1^{\text {st }}, 2010.2010-11$ data includes contracted staff, coaches, and extra duty contracts - 2009-10 data does not. 2009-10 data has been revised from the previous year, and some staff included in the 'Support Staff' category in the 2009-10 Report Card have been moved to the 'Special Education Specialists' category for both years.

## Annual Instructional Hours

The minimum instructional hours required per year are specified in OAR 581-022-1620 Required Instructional Time. Districts sign an assurance form confirming that they are meeting Division 22 standards, including instructional hour requirements. For 201011, nine districts indicated they did not meet instructional hour requirements.

Historical Salary Charts
for Teachers, Principals, Assistant Principals, and Superintendents
Oregon Average Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted Salaries 2006-07 to 2010-11.
Superintendents, Principals, Assistant Principals, and Teachers

|  | Actual Salary |  |  | Inflation-Adjusted Salary |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2010-11$ | Percent <br> Change | $2006-07$ | $2010-11$ | Percent <br> Change |
| Superintendent | $\$ 105,833$ | $\$ 117,185$ | $10.73 \%$ | $\$ 105,833$ | $\$ 109,118$ | $3.11 \%$ |
| Principal | $\$ 89,571$ | $\$ 96,713$ | $7.97 \%$ | $\$ 89,571$ | $\$ 90,055$ | $0.54 \%$ |
| Assistant Principal | $\$ 82,730$ | $\$ 90,702$ | $9.64 \%$ | $\$ 82,730$ | $\$ 84,458$ | $2.09 \%$ |
| Teacher | $\$ 51,158$ | $\$ 56,504$ | $10.45 \%$ | $\$ 51,158$ | $\$ 52,614$ | $2.85 \%$ |

Source: ODE Staff Position Data Collection
The Staff Position Data Collection expanded in 2010-11 to collect contracted staff and extra duty or coaching contracts data for teacher salaries may not be perfectly comparable to previous years.


Average Oregon Superintendent Salaries: 2006-07 to 2010-11
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


Average Oregon Principal Salaries: 2006-07 to 2010-11
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


Average Oregon Teacher Salaries: 2006-07 to 2010-11
Actual \& Inflation-Adjusted (Portland CPI; 2006-07 = 100)


## School Funding

The majority of spending is allocated to classroom expenses. In Oregon, about 95 percent of spending is concentrated in school buildings and services to students with 5 percent spent on central support services.

Operating Expenditures Per Student

| Where Dollars Were Spent | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Classroom | $\$ 5,386$ | $55 \%$ | $\$ 5,532$ | $55 \%$ | $\$ 5,484$ | $56 \%$ |
| Classroom Support | $\$ 2,015$ | $21 \%$ | $\$ 2,110$ | $21 \%$ | $\$ 2,032$ | $21 \%$ |
| Building Support | $\$ 1,915$ | $20 \%$ | $\$ 1,942$ | $19 \%$ | $\$ 1,890$ | $19 \%$ |
| Central Support | $\$ 477$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 472$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 463$ | $5 \%$ |
| TOTAL** | $\$ 9,794$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 10,057$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 9,869$ | $100 \%$ |

** Figures may not sum to TOTAL, due to rounding.
Note: Per student calculation excludes students in state-run programs because spending on those students is not included.
Source: School District and Education Service District (ESD) Audits

## School Resources

Not only have school resources per student not kept pace with inflation over the decade, but school districts have also experienced cost increases above the inflation rate.

- Staff salaries increased at about the rate of inflation during the 1990 's, but health care benefit costs have greatly increased.
- Changing student demographics and declining student enrollment in a majority of school districts have also driven costs up.
- Growth rates for Special Education students and English as a Second Language (ESL) students have been far more rapid than the growth rate for all students, and these students are more expensive to educate than students without special needs.
- The average age of Oregon's school buildings is over 40 years. The cost of operating and maintaining school facilities comes from general fund dollars and reduces the amount available to spend on instruction.


## Student Enrollment

Student enrollment is counted in several ways because it is used for a variety of purposes:
Average Daily Membership - Resident (ADMr): This is the annual average of daily student enrollment for students residing within the district. Some resident students may attend school in another district. Kindergarten students are counted as half-time students.

Average Daily Membership - Weighted (ADMw): This count is the basis for funding in Oregon. Resident average daily membership weighted to compensate for special student needs and uncontrollable cost factors, including Special Education students, English Language Learners, students in poverty, teen parents, neglected and delinquent youth, and small school correction factors.

October 1 Student Membership (Enrollment): Used for federal reporting purposes, this is the headcount of students enrolled on October 1 of every year.

Average Daily Attendance (ADA): This is the annual average of daily student attendance for students residing within the district. It is collected by the federal government and is used as the basis for funding in some states, but not in Oregon.

| Measures of Student Enrollment | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 <br> (Revised) | 2008-09 <br> (Revised) | 2009-10 <br> (Revised) | 2010-11 <br> (Preliminary) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average Daily Membership - ADMr | 532,992 | 532,992 | 534,145 | 533,407 | 532,920 |
| Weighted Average Daily Membership - ADMw | 661,253 | 661,253 | 661,544 | 662,879 | 659,764 |
| Fall Membership (Enrollment on October 1)** | 562,828 | 562,828 | 564,064 | 561,698 | 561,331 |
| Average Daily Attendance (ADA)* | 496,831 | 496,831 | 499,168 | 496,755 | 498,299 |

* ADA in 2004-05 and beyond includes students who are counted based on instructional hours. ADA for those students is estimated by multiplying the ADM of those students by the ratio of ADA to ADM for "regular" students (Type 1 records in the ADM collection). Kindergarteners are counted as 0.5 in ADM and ADA.
**Fall Membership includes some PK students.


## A Major Shift in Responsibility for School Funding

Historically, the largest source of revenue for public schools in Oregon has been local property taxes. Measure 5 changed that dramatically by lowering the amount of property taxes schools could raise. By 1995-96, with local property taxes for education limited to $\$ 5$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation, the full impact of Measure 5 was felt. In 1997, Measure 50 further limited local property taxes for schools. Measure 5 required the state legislature to offset lost property tax revenue with money from the state general fund, which is composed primarily of state income taxes. As a result, Oregon schools increasingly are supported by state, not local, dollars.

Oregon uses a formula to provide financial equity among school districts. Each school district receives (in combined state and local funds) an allocation per student, plus an additional amount for each student enrolled in more costly programs such as Special Education or English as a Second Language.

State School Fund Formula Revenue
(In Billions of Dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 7}$ | 2007-09** | 2009-11*** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local | $\$ 2.30$ | $\$ 2.70$ | $\$ 2.9^{*}$ | $\$ 3.00$ |
| State | $\$ 4.90$ | $\$ 5.20$ | $\$ 5.70$ | $\$ 5.7^{*}$ |
| Total | $\$ 7.20$ | $\$ 7.90$ | $\$ 8.50$ | $\$ 8.7^{*}$ |

Includes School Districts and Education Service Districts. Note: Totals may not equal the sum of State and Local amounts due to rounding.
**2007-09 State amount does not include $\$ 260$ million appropriated to the School Improvement Fund or $\$ 115$ million in federal stimulus funds.
***2009-11 includes $\$ 200$ million in state funds triggered by economic conditions. Does not include $\$ 227$ million in federal stimulus funds.
'Revised
Source: State School Fund Distribution Formula
The table above includes only funds distributed through the state's equalization formula. Districts also receive federal, state, and local funds that are not distributed through the formula. TOTAL Operating Revenues, which include those dollars, are shown in the table below.

## District and ESD Operating Revenues by Source

(Dollars in Millions)

| Year | Local |  | Intermediate |  | State |  | Federal |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| $2005-06$ | $\$ 1,657.8$ | $32.6 \%$ | $\$ 161.3$ | $3.2 \%$ | $\$ 2,731.7$ | $53.8 \%$ | $\$ 529.7$ | $10.4 \%$ | $\$ 5,080.5$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2006-07$ | $\$ 1,741.8$ | $32.9 \%$ | $\$ 101.7$ | $1.9 \%$ | $\$ 2,901.9$ | $54.8 \%$ | $\$ 546.7$ | $10.3 \%$ | $\$ 5,292.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2007-08$ | $\$ 1,841.8$ | $32.5 \%$ | $\$ 80.3$ | $1.4 \%$ | $\$ 3,193.4$ | $56.3 \%$ | $\$ 554.4$ | $9.8 \%$ | $\$ 5,669.9$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2008-09$ | $\$ 1,870.5$ | $32.7 \%$ | $\$ 69.4$ | $1.2 \%$ | $\$ 3,106.1$ | $54.3 \%$ | $\$ 670.1$ | $11.7 \%$ | $\$ 5,716.1$ | $100 \%$ |
| $2009-10$ | $\$ 1,928.0$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\$ 70.9$ | $1.2 \%$ | $\$ 2,934.2$ | $51.0 \%$ | $\$ 820.1$ | $14.3 \%$ | $\$ 5,753.1$ | $100 \%$ | | Revised |
| :--- |
| Columns may not sum to otal due to rounding |
| Source: Actuals from audited financial reports of School Districts and Education Service Districts |

Operating Revenues by Source
The graph below illustrates the dramatic shift from a locally-funded school system to a system that is primarily statefunded.


In the decade following the passage of Ballot Measure 5, the Property Tax Limitation Measure, there was a dramatic shift in sources of public school funds. As a result, Oregon schools are now supported primarily by State, not local, dollars.

Audited Operating Revenues for Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, and ESDs by Source of Funds


## Federal Compensatory Education Programs

## Support to Districts through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)

Through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), the Oregon Department of Education receives and distributes federal education funds to eligible school districts throughout Oregon. These supplemental funds support district efforts in meeting federal and state requirements and in implementing programs that improve the ability of all students to meet high academic standards. The Oregon Department of Education continues its commitment to develop processes that ensure that ESEA federal funds contribute to these opportunities.

Oregon students are served through the following programs provided through the Elementary and Secondary Education Act:

Title I-A Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged
Title I-B1 Reading First
Title I-B2 Early Reading First
Title I-B3 Even Start Family Literacy Program
Title IC Education of Migratory Children
Title ID Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or AtRisk
Title II-A Preparing, Training, and Recruiting High Quality Teachers and Principals
Title II-B Mathematics \& Science Partnerships
Title II-C Troops to Teachers
Title II-D Enhancing Education Through Technology
Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students
Title IV-A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities
Title IV-B $\quad 21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers
Title V-A Innovative Programs
Title V-B Charter Schools
Title VI-A Funding Flexibility
Title VI-B Rural Education Initiative
Title VII Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native Education
Title X McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Improvements
In addition to the management of federal funds, the Office of Educational Improvement and Innovation and the Office of Student Learning and Partnerships provide ongoing guidance, technical assistance, model programs, and monitoring to ensure that all students receive these opportunities for academic success.

## No Child Left Behind Act - Highly Qualified Teachers (HQT)

Oregon requires teachers to be fully licensed, hold at least a bachelor's degree, and meet state requirements to demonstrate mastery of subject knowledge, either by exam or major in the core academic area. All teachers of core academic subjects (English, reading, language arts, math, science, foreign languages, social studies, and the arts) must now meet the definition of a highly qualified teacher. It is possible for a teacher to meet all qualifications and still not meet the highly qualified definition if the class assignment is outside the teacher's academic licensure. The federal designation of "highly qualified" is given when a teacher's assignment matches the area of preparation, credentials, and licensure.

As a requirement of the Elementary Secondary Education Act, if a school receives funds from the federal government because of the high poverty levels of its students under Title IA Improving Basic Programs, the school is required to send letters to the parents of students who are being taught for four or more weeks by a teacher who is not designated as highly qualified. Throughout the United States, students in high-poverty schools are less likely than other students to be taught by a highly qualified teacher, yet in Oregon students are more likely to be taught by highly qualified teachers in high poverty versus low poverty schools.

The Oregon Department of Education requires each district to submit a plan that explains how it will increase the number of highly qualified teachers, either through re-assignment of its teachers or continued professional development.

Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers 2010-11

| Type of Class Percent of <br> All Classes <br> Taught by Highly <br> Qualified <br> Teachers Percent of <br> All Classes <br> NOT Taught by <br> Highly Qualified <br> Teachers* Percent of <br> Classes in HIGH <br> Poverty Schools <br> Taught by Highly <br> Qualified <br> TeachersPercent of <br> Classes in HIGH <br> Poverty Schools <br> NOT Taught by <br> Highly Qualified <br> Teachers* | Percent of <br> Classes in LOW <br> Poverty Schools <br> Taught by Highly <br> Qualified <br> Teachers | Percent of <br> Classes in LOW <br> Poverty Schools <br> NOT Taught by <br> Highly Qualified <br> Teachers* |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | $97.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $97.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Self-Contained | $98.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| English | $97.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Foreign Languages | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| The Arts | $97.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Science | $98.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Math | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Social Sciences | $97.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

*Calculated by subtraction (100\% - Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers)
Source: NCLB Staff Assignment (Highly Qualified Teachers) Data Collection 2010-11.

| School Type |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { Core Academic } \\ \text { Classes Taught by } \\ \text { Highly Qualified } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array}$ |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Percentage of <br>

Core Academic <br>
Classes NOT <br>
Taught by Highly <br>
Qualified Teachers\end{array}\right]\)

$\left.$| School TypePercentage of <br> Core Academic <br> Classes Taught by <br> Highly Qualified <br> Teachers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | | Percentage of |
| :---: |
| Core Academic |
| Classes NOT |
| Taught by Highly |
| Qualified Teachers | \right\rvert\,

Source: Oregon Department of Education

## No Child Left Behind Act - Persistently Dangerous Schools

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) reauthorized the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), which requires the state to provide options, at schools deemed "persistently dangerous," for students to attend a different school of choice. This is known as the Unsafe School Choice Option. A school can be deemed "persistently dangerous" as an entire entity, or for an individual student who is the victim of a violent crime. Should either of these occur, parents may exercise their right to move their student to a different public school in the district.

Oregon identifies a school as "persistently dangerous" if the school exceeds the following threshold, as tabled at the right, for the number of expulsions listed depending on school size for three consecutive years.

Expulsions fall within the following two categories:

1. Expulsions for weapons
2. Expulsions for students arrested for violent criminal offenses on school grounds

Number of Expulsions for Weapons and/or arrested for Violent Criminal

Behavior

| Schools with FEWER than 300 <br> Students | 9 or more within a school <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Schools with 300 or MORE <br> Students | 3 for every 100 students per <br> school year |

In 2009-10 and 2010-11 Oregon did not identify any
Source: Oregon Department of Education schools meeting the criteria for being placed on "watch status." Oregon also did not identify any schools as "persistently dangerous" for exceeding the threshold for three or more consecutive years. In 2008-09, five schools were placed on year one or two "watch status." Oregon did not identify any schools as "persistently dangerous" for exceeding the threshold for three or more consecutive years.

It should be noted that a school with a higher than average number of expulsions may indicate a safer climate than one with a lower rate, because the school is confronting the issue of school safety.

NCLB Unsafe School Choice Option:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/opportunities/grants/nclb/title iv/a drugfree/unsafeschchoiceoption.doc
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=107
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1319

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

The federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 was signed into law on January 8, 2002. This legislation mandated new requirements for state-level funding, professional development of teachers, assessment of student performance, and reporting student and school information to parents and communities.

The No Child Left Behind Act requires the annual determination of whether schools, districts, and states have made adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward the goal of having all students meet rigorous state academic standards by the 2013-2014 school year. Each year, the performance of all students in the school and district, as well as demographic subgroups such as special education and race/ethnicity, will be measured against annual performance targets. Performance and other data is available via the link below for detailed AYP reports.

The law requires each state to submit a plan to the U.S. Department of Education explaining how adequate yearly progress would be determined in that state, how assessments and reporting would be completed, and how additional requirements would be met. The Oregon plan was approved on May 29, 2003.

The Oregon plan is available online at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/initiatives/nclb/pdfs/approvedaypwb current.pdf
Detailed AYP reports for Oregon public schools are available online at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx

## 2010-11 State AYP Report

Summary
(As of 9/29/11)
AYP Designation:

|  | English /Language Arts <br> AYP | Math AYP | Graduation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | MET | MET | MET |
| Economically Disadvantaged | MET | NOT MET |  |
| Limited English Proficient | NOT MET | NOT MET |  |
| Students with Disabilities | NOT MET | NOT MET |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | MET | MET |  |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | NOT MET | NOT MET |  |
| Hispanic origin | NOT MET | NOT MET |  |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | MET | NOT MET |  |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | MET | MET |  |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | MET | MET |  |


|  | 2007-08 |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  | 2009-10 |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Schools | Number <br> Met | Percent Met | Number of Schools | Number Met | Percent <br> Met | Number <br> of <br> Schools | Number Met | Percent <br> Met | Number of Schools | Number <br> Met | Percent <br> Met |
| All Schools | 1240 | 780 | 62.9\% | 1259 | 882 | 70.1\% | 1249 | 892 | 71.4\% | 1270 | 684 | 53.9\% |
| Elementary/Middle | 941 | 674 | 71.6\% | 944 | 741 | 78.5\% | 922 | 740 | 80.3\% | 941 | 532 | 56.5\% |
| High Schools | 299 | 106 | 35.5\% | 315 | 141 | 44.8\% | 327 | 152 | 46.5\% | 329 | 152 | 46.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Title I Schools | 565 | 413 | 73.1\% | 574 | 462 | 80.5\% | 574 | 473 | 82.4\% | 594 | 322 | 54.2\% |
| Elementary/Middle | 527 | 390 | 74.0\% | 530 | 432 | 81.5\% | 526 | 440 | 83.7\% | 544 | 289 | 53.1\% |
| High Schools | 38 | 23 | 60.5\% | 44 | 30 | 68.2\% | 48 | 33 | 68.8\% | 50 | 33 | 66.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Title I Schools | 675 | 367 | 54.4\% | 685 | 420 | 61.3\% | 675 | 419 | 62.1\% | 676 | 362 | 53.6\% |
| Elementary/Middle | 414 | 284 | 68.6\% | 414 | 309 | 74.6\% | 396 | 300 | 75.8\% | 397 | 243 | 61.2\% |
| High Schools | 261 | 83 | 31.8\% | 271 | 111 | 41.0\% | 279 | 119 | 42.7\% | 279 | 119 | 42.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of new schools not rated | 38 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 16 |  |  |
| Title I schools Identified for Improvement** | 39 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 84 |  |  |
| Title I schools exiting school improvement status | 13 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 15 |  |  |

**Title I Schools identified for improvement are based on Title I funding granted for the year identified and the following year.
Source: Oregon Department of Education. For information on standards and cut scores please visit http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=223

## English / Language Arts (Reading Knowledge and Skills) Details 2010-11 State AYP Report

Participation Target: 95\%

|  | Participation | Participation |  | Non Participation |  | Participation Denominator | Participation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 09-10 | 10-11 |  |  |
| All Students | MET | 297836 | 296090 | 2003 | 1986 | 597915 | 99 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | MET | 154467 | 153555 | 890 | 952 | 309864 | 99 |
| Limited English Proficient | MET | 38138 | 38760 | 195 | 219 | 77312 | 99 |
| Students with Disabilities | MET | 46353 | 45223 | 793 | 748 | 93117 | 98 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | MET | 13617 | 13493 | 61 | 81 | 27252 | 99 |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | MET | 8118 | 7612 | 102 | 124 | 15956 | 99 |
| Hispanic origin | MET | 58446 | 60512 | 372 | 404 | 119734 | 99 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | MET | 5716 | 5534 | 69 | 65 | 11384 | 99 |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | MET | 200285 | 195678 | 1320 | 1217 | 398500 | 99 |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | MET | 8644 | 13261 | 42 | 95 | 22042 | 99 |

## Mathematics Knowledge and Skills Details

2010-11 State AYP Report
Participation Target: 95\%

|  | Participation | Participation |  | Non Participation |  | Participation Denominator | Participation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 09-10 | 10-11 |  |  |
| All Students | MET | 297630 | 295504 | 2191 | 2504 | 597829 | 99 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | MET | 154364 | 153274 | 980 | 1216 | 309834 | 99 |
| Limited English Proficient | MET | 38113 | 38716 | 227 | 261 | 77317 | 99 |
| Students with Disabilities | MET | 46339 | 45073 | 799 | 879 | 93090 | 98 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | MET | 13605 | 13476 | 87 | 101 | 27269 | 99 |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | MET | 8119 | 7587 | 110 | 148 | 15964 | 98 |
| Hispanic origin | MET | 58355 | 60417 | 403 | 478 | 119653 | 99 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | MET | 5684 | 5512 | 85 | 85 | 11366 | 99 |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | MET | 200434 | 195268 | 1421 | 1579 | 398702 | 99 |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | MET | 8692 | 13244 | 45 | 113 | 22094 | 99 |

## School and District Report Cards

The Oregon Department of Education produces annual school and district report cards that provide parents and communities with consistent information about how local schools are performing. The Report Cards were established by the 1999 state legislature. The first report cards were issued in January 2000.

School report cards describe student performance on statewide assessments, attendance, dropout rates, graduates, class size, expulsions due to weapons, SAT scores, and teacher education and experience. The report card is a work in progress, and other elements may be included as the report card continues to evolve in measuring additional factors that lead to student success.

A growth model for student achievement was added in the 2008-09 Report Card which looks at individual student performance over time to allow schools, districts, and the state to analyze the rate at which student learning (i.e., growth) is occurring. By analyzing rates of growth it is possible to provide a more complete view of school performance than can be achieved by status models alone.

For 2001-02 through 2007-08, schools received the Overall rating of Exceptional, Strong, Satisfactory, Low, or Unacceptable. Beginning with 2008-09 report cards, the number of ratings categories was reduced to three: Outstanding, Satisfactory, and In Need of Improvement. Ratings are based on student performance on statewide assessments, improvement in student performance, attendance, graduation, and participation rates on statewide assessments.

Number of Schools Receiving Each Overall Rating by Year

| Overall Rating | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exceptional | 147 | 157 | 129 |
| Strong | 436 | 457 | 414 |
| Satisfactory | 463 | 472 | 555 |
| Low | 24 | 24 | 20 |
| Unacceptable | 5 | 9 | 12 |


| Overall Rating | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outstanding | 399 | 426 | 333 |
| Satisfactory | 711 | 684 | 751 |
| In Need of <br> Improvement | 61 | 45 | 98 |

$\quad$ * Math cut scores increased from 2009-10 to 2010-11, see
$\underline{\text { http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=7001\&TypeID=4 }}$

To view specific district or school report cards, go to: http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx

## Homeless Students in Oregon

The right of homeless children and youth to have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education provided to other children is ensured under the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, Subtitle VII-B, Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program, enacted in 1987.

The Act requires that every district designate a Homeless Liaison to identify and provide services to homeless students, and to contribute to the annual data collection on K-12 public school-enrolled homeless children and youth.

The Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program works to ensure that school age-eligible homeless children and youth are provided with immediate school enrollment and access to education services, despite lack of a permanent residence, a supervising parent or legal guardian, or lack of records from a previous school. To reduce frequent school changes, districts are asked to stabilize homeless students in their school of origin, even though the transportation route might involve crossing district boundaries.

## How is "Homeless" Defined?

For the purposes of the Education of Homeless Children and Youth Programs under the Elementary and Secondary Schools Act, homeless children and youth "lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence." A homeless family could live in an emergency shelter or transitional housing unit, share housing with others due to loss of housing or economic hardship, reside in motels or live in tents or trailers for lack of alternative, adequate housing. Unaccompanied minors who have been abandoned by their parents, or who have run away from home - whatever the reason - are also eligible for educational rights and services as homeless students.

What are the Living Situations of Homeless Students in Oregon?

| School <br> Year | In Shelters | Sharing <br> Housing | Unsheltered | Motels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-09$ | 1,721 | 13,312 | 2,264 | 754 |
| $2009-10$ | 1,778 | 14,944 | 2,577 | 672 |
| $2010-11^{*}$ | 2,016 | 16,643 | 2,149 | 824 |

*Includes PK students enrolled in public pre-schools. Previous years include K-12 only.

## How are Homeless Students Counted?

State education agencies are required to submit an aggregate report of the counts from all school districts in the state to the U.S. Department of Education. To protect student confidentiality, individual students are not identified in the data collection. Media releases include district counts in which count numbers from 15 students are "suppressed" when the counts are reported publically.

Eight districts in Oregon, listed to the right, have the highest numbers of homeless students in the 2010-11 Homeless Student Count for students enrolled in grades K-12. The number of homeless students as a percent of each district's enrollment is also provided.

School districts are asked to provide information about the living situations of the homeless students they served. The majority of homeless students, both those in families and those who are not accompanied by their families, may share residences with relatives or friends due to loss of housing, experience economic hardship, or similar circumstances.

| DISTRICT | K-12 Total <br> Homeless | \% of <br> enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beaverton | 1,584 | 4.1 |
| Medford | 1,341 | 10.7 |
| Portland | 1,200 | 2.6 |
| Reynolds | 859 | 7.6 |
| Salem-Keizer | 800 | 2 |
| Bend-LaPine | 726 | 4.5 |
| Eugene | 726 | 4.2 |
| David Douglas | 611 | 5.6 |

## How many

 homeless students attend public schools in Oregon?| Grade <br> Level | Count |
| :---: | :---: |
| PK | 1,087 |
| KG | 1,522 |
| 1 | 1,636 |
| 2 | 1,478 |
| 3 | 1,558 |
| 4 | 1,466 |
| 5 | 1,502 |
| 6 | 1,454 |
| 7 | 1,418 |
| 8 | 1,400 |
| 9 | 1,424 |
| 10 | 1,437 |
| 11 | 1,557 |
| 12 | 2,693 |
| TOTAL | 21,632 |

## What are the trends in poverty and homelessness?

The number of K-12 homeless students in public schools is increasing at pace with unemployment and housing foreclosures rates in Oregon, as it is nationally. Despite the increasing number of students who qualify for McKinney-Vento Homeless Program assistance because they reside in "homeless" living situations, Oregon schools and districts are responding with help. Reading achievement for a subset of homeless students in McKinneyVento subgrant programs in over 60 districts have increased each year for three years.

Homeless Student Count
2006-07 to 2010-11


## Aggregate District Facts about Homeless Students

Among Oregon's 197 school districts (over half of which are small and rural):

41 districts reported zero homeless students 47 districts had from 1 to 19 homeless students
41 districts had 20-50 homeless students
23 districts had 51-100 homeless students
18 districts had 101-200 homeless students
21 districts had 201-500 homeless students
8 districts had over 500 homeless students

Of all K-12 homeless students reported who were enrolled in public schools in 2010-2011, 3,494 were considered "unaccompanied minors" who were abandoned by parents, or had run away from home: an increase of $17 \%$ from last school year. District Homeless Liaisons and high school counselors often act as an emergency contact for the homeless youths who typically do not have a parent or guardian looking after their educational best interests, to assist them with issues related to absenteeism, school performance, and progress toward their Oregon High School Diploma.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless Students* | 15,517 | 15,859 | 18,051 | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 4 5}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 559,254 | 562,828 | 566,067 | 564,064 | 561,328 |
| \% of Total Enrollment | $2.77 \%$ | $2.82 \%$ | $3.19 \%$ | $3.38 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ |

*K-12 Students only

## How do public school programs serve homeless students?

Services and accommodations for homeless students may include school transportation, tutoring, extended-day and summer school programs, shoes, clothing and hygiene supplies, and referrals to social services and housing programs. Local district general funds may be supplemented by Title I-A funds, McKinney-Vento competitive subgrants, community agencies and local donations. Many districts receive donations from community sponsors, foundations and local businesses to help provide resources for homeless students such as dental and medical care, glasses, mentoring, family support, and other services.

Partnerships extend across the state between school districts, communities and county agencies working to end homelessness. Many Liaisons are involved in county Continuum of Care Committees and Homeless Councils, Oregon

Pre-Kindergarten Programs and Runaway \& Homeless Youth Programs. Liaisons find collaboration and assistance from non-profit agencies, coalitions and faith-based service organizations. The role of the Homeless Liaison in school districts, as well as the role of school districts in statewide efforts to prevent and end homelessness, has become an imperative. It is hoped that these collaborations will eventually help reduce the number of homeless students in Oregon.

## McKinney-Vento Subgrant Projects

Oregon received $\$ 666,557$ in federal McKinney-Vento Act funds in 2010-2011 to serve homeless students. $75 \%$ of this amount went to districts in the form of competitive subgrants. During the 2010-11 school year, 61 local districts were served by 8 subgrant projects from this program.

McKinney-Vento Subgrant Projects were required to report results on Oregon State Achievement tests of the homeless students in their districts. Following are the results of those tests.

Science achievement was added for certain grades in 2010-11: 61.20\% of homeless grade 5 students, $58.73 \%$ of homeless grade 8 students and $47.33 \%$ of homeless grade 10 students met or exceeded the standard in science.

| MATH GRADE | NUMBER OF HOMELESS STUDENTS TESTED IN MATH 2010-11 | PERCENT OF HOMELESS STUDENTS WHO MET OR EXCEEDED STANDARD 2009-10 | PERCENT OF HOMELESS STUDENTS WHO MET OR EXCEEDED STANDARD 2010-11** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 722 | 66.36\% | 43.35\% |
| 4 | 675 | 61.34\% | 48.15\% |
| 5 | 724 | 60.23\% | 35.77\% |
| 6 | 683 | 54.86\% | 37.92\% |
| 7 | 691 | 61.95\% | 38.49\% |
| 8 | 663 | 48.22\% | 44.49\% |
| HS* | 259 | 29.75\% | 44.79\% |


$\left.$| READING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | | NUMBER OF |
| :---: |
| HOMELESS |
| STUDENTS |
| TESTED IN |
| READING |
| $2010-11$ | | PERCENT OF |
| :---: |
| HOMELESS |
| STUDENTS | | WHO MET OR |
| :---: |
| EXCEEDED |
| STANDARD |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0 ~}$ | | PERCENT OF |
| :---: |
| HOMELESS |
| STUDENTS |
| WHO MET OR |
| EXCEEDED |
| STANDARD |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1 ~}$ | \right\rvert\,

$* 10^{\text {th }}$ grade testing was replaced by $11^{\text {th }}$ grade testing in 2010-11.
**Math cut scores increased from 2009-10 to 2010-11, see http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=7001\&TypelD=4


For more information about the ODE Education of Homeless Children and Youth Program:
Contact Dona Bolt, Coordinator: dona.bolt@state.or.us or visit the Homeless Education webpage on the ODE website at the following link: www.ode.state.or.us/Go/HomelessEd

Free and Reduced Price Lunch
Oregon Public Schools Number and Percent of All Students Eligible
October 1, 2010 Enrollment

| School Type and Level | Total Number of Students Eligible for Free and Reduced Price Lunch | Total Number of All Students 10/1/2010 | Free and Reduced Price Lunch Eligible Students as a Percent of All Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGULAR |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 143,438 | 256,421 | 56\% |
| Middle/Jr. High | 54,271 | 101,682 | 53\% |
| High | 74,366 | 161,476 | 46\% |
| Combined | 2,038 | 4,005 | 51\% |
| ALTERNATIVE |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 315 | 868 | 36\% |
| Middle | 0 | 0 | --- |
| High | 1,576 | 2,056 | 77\% |
| Combined | 576 | 996 | 58\% |
| CHARTER |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 1,043 | 2,538 | 41\% |
| Middle | 154 | 287 | 54\% |
| High | 735 | 1,146 | 64\% |
| Combined | 1,680 | 3,483 | 48\% |
| ALL SCHOOLS (Includes REGULAR, ALTERNATIVE, CHARTER, and others noted below) |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 144,796 | 259,827 | 56\% |
| Middle | 54,425 | 101,969 | 53\% |
| High | 76,677 | 164,678 | 47\% |
| Combined | 4,294 | 8,484 | 51\% |
| Other | 24 | 27 | 89\% |
| Total | 280,216 | 534,985 | 52\% |

Note: Includes October 1 Membership (column B) for the 1,176 schools and programs in the 2010-11 report at
http://www.ode.state.or.us/sfda/reports/r0061Select.asp where Free and Reduced Price Lunch total eligibility is not null. Students attending schools that did not report free/reduced lunch data are not included in the totals.
Source: Oregon Department of Education

## Student Success

## Indicators of Achievement

The Oregon Report Card provides statewide results of academic achievement along with other indicators of student success. Oregon measures student performance and progress in several ways: through statewide assessments at grades $3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 11 ; through national and international achievement tests; and through performance on college admissions tests such as the SAT and ACT. In addition, graduation and dropout rates, as well as school and district report cards, provide useful measures of student performance and progress.

## Statewide Tests Measure Standards

Oregon began testing students statewide in reading, writing, and mathematics in the spring of 1991. In 2001, science was added to the list of subjects tested for grades 8 and 10 , and the following year it was expanded to include grade 5 . Statewide tests are "criterion-referenced," meaning student performance is evaluated against predetermined standards. In 2010-11, the high school grade of accountability was changed from $10^{\text {th }}$ grade to $11^{\text {th }}$ grade.

Information about Oregon academic standards can be found at the following link: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=53

Test score data is online at:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/schoolanddistrict/testresults/reporting/pagrsurpressed.aspx
Scores Required to Meet or Exceed Standards on Statewide Assessments (cut scores) 2010-11

| Grade Level | Reading/ Literature |  | Math |  | Science |  | Writing |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed | Meet | Exceed |
| Grade 3 | 204 | 218 | 212 | 219 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 4 | 211 | 223 | 219 | 227 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | 32 | 40 |
| Grade 5 | 218 | 230 | 225 | 234 | 225 | 238 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 6 | 222 | 234 | 227 | 237 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| Grade 7 | 227 | 239 | 232 | 242 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | 40 | 50 |
| Grade 8 | 231 | 241 | 234 | 245 | 234 | 246 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| High School | 236 | 248 | 236 | 251 | 240 | 249 | 40 | 50 |

Data from http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=223
In fall 2010, the State Board of Education adopted more rigorous achievement standards in mathematics for grades 3 through 8. As a result of this change, the percent of students meeting the standard in mathematics in 2010-2011 will not be comparable to previous years' results. In order to measure student progress, ODE also adjusted the math 2009-10 data using the 2010-11 cut scores.

## Grade 3 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, third grade students were tested in reading and in mathematics.

Grade 3 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | 2008-09 | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ <br> $\left(46 \%^{*}\right)$ | $63 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)

## Grade 3 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding
Reading and Math Alternate
Standards in 2010-11


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 3 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $68 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ |

Grade 3 Mathematics
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | 2009-10 (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $60 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $66 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $75 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

Grade 3 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.


## Grade 4 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, fourth grade students were tested in reading, writing, and mathematics.

Grade 4 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | 2008-09 | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Writing | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ <br> $\left(46 \%^{*}\right)$ | $65 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)
Due to budget constraints most 4th and 7th grade writing assessments were only scored using one rater (versus the two rater system that is generally employed). This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.

## Grade 4 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2010-11


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 4 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $74 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $69 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $86 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $87 \%$ |

## Grade 4 Writing

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $30 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $47 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $45 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $43 \%$ |

Due to budget constraints most 4th and 7th grade writing assessments were only scored using one rater (versus the two rater system that is generally employed).
This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.

## Grade 4 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0 ~ ( o n ~ 1 0 -}$ <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $61 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $61 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $68 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

## Grade 4 Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 5 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, fifth grade students were tested in reading, mathematics, and science.

Grade 5 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | 2008-09 | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $76 \%$ | $79 \%$ <br> $\left(45 \%^{*}\right)$ | $57 \%$ |
| Science | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)

## Grade 5 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2010-11


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

Grade 5 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $64 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $77 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

Grade 5 Mathematics
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0 ~ ( o n ~ 1 0 -}$ <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $76 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $45 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $85 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $62 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $80 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $77 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

## Grade 5 Science

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $78 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $51 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $79 \%$ |

## Grade 5 Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 6 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, sixth grade students were tested in reading and mathematics.

## Grade 6 All Students

Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

## 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11

| Reading | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ <br> $\left(46 \%^{*}\right)$ | $59 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)

## Grade 6 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2010-11


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued

Grade 6 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $60 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $69 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $79 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

Grade 6 Mathematics
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $36 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $51 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $63 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $74 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

Grade 6 Students by Subgroup
Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.


## Grade 7 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, seventh grade students were tested in reading, writing, and mathematics.

## Grade 7 All Students

Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2008-09$ |  | $2009-10$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2010-11$ |  |  |  |
| Reading | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Writing | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ <br> $\left(51 \%^{*}\right)$ | $61 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)

## Grade 7 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Reading and Math Alternate Standards in 2010-11


Due to budget constraints most 4th and 7th grade writing assessments were only scored using one rater (versus the two rater system that is generally employed). This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.

Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued

Grade 7 Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $39 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $66 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $57 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $70 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $78 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

Grade 7 Writing
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $61 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $38 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $35 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $51 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $50 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ |

[^2]
## Grade 7 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $41 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $63 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $64 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $81 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $77 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $64 \%$ |

## Grade 7 Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding the Reading and Math Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## Grade 8 Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, eighth grade students were tested in reading, mathematics, and science.

Grade 8 All Students
Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

|  | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $71 \%$ | $72 \%$ <br> $\left(53 \%^{*}\right)$ | $65 \%$ |
| Science | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills *revised (on 2010-11 standards)

## Grade 8 Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2010-11


Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued.

## Grade 8 Reading

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $74 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

## Grade 8 Mathematics

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ (on 10- <br> 11 standards) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $71 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $59 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $70 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $67 \%$ |

## Grade 8 Science

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $38 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $77 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $51 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $63 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

## Grade 8 Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## High School Percent Meeting Standards

In 2010-11, eleventh grade students were tested in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. In previous years, students were tested in tenth grade.

## High School All Students

Statewide Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards

2008-09 2009-10 2010-11

| Reading | $66 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $54 \%$ | $56 \%^{*}$ | $68 \%$ |
| Science | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

Source: Oregon Assessment of Knowledge and Skills

## High School Extended Assessment Students

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Alternate Standards in 2010-11
*The cut score for meeting the standard did not increase for high school students - percent meeting or exceeding is the same on 09-10 and 10-11 standards.
Extended assessments are alternatives to grade level assessments for students with significant cognitive impairments. They are scored on a special scale. In 2006-07, the extended assessment system was expanded to include students who previously would have taken the CLRAS, which was discontinued

High School Reading
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $66 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $68 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $42 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $73 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $65 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $85 \%$ |

High School Writing
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $63 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $41 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $33 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $42 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $59 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

High School Mathematics
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Asian /Pacific Islander | $67 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $58 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-ethnic | $52 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

*The cut score for meeting the standard did not increase for high school students - percent meeting or exceeding is the same on 09-10 and 10-11 standards.

High School Science
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $61 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Hispanic origin | $31 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | $65 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Multi-racial/Multi-Ethnic | $57 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## High School Students by Subgroup

Percent Meeting or Exceeding Standards in 2010-11


Note: Talented and gifted (TAG) subgroup percent is actually greater than $95 \%$ but the exact percent is not displayed to protect student confidentiality.

## National Comparison of Student Achievement

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," has conducted nationally representative assessments since 1969 in many content areas, including social studies and the arts. Since 1990, NAEP has produced reports on student achievement at the state as well as the national level in mathematics, reading, science, and writing. The National Center for Education Statistics within the U.S. Department of Education is responsible for carrying out NAEP. The independent, non-partisan National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.

## Differences between NAEP and OAKS

Unlike Oregon's Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (OAKS), NAEP does not provide individual scores for students, schools, or districts in Oregon for several reasons:

- NAEP is a survey assessment, so results are based on samples of students representative of the state or nation;
- Each student takes a small part of the overall assessment, so only when the scores are aggregated for groups of students are the data considered valid and reliable estimates of what students know and can do in the content area;
- Federal law requires that NAEP data remain confidential, so all personally identifiable information about students is removed from test booklets at the school on the day of the assessment.

There are other important differences between NAEP and OAKS assessments. The NAEP math and reading assessments are paper-pencil tests that include both multiple-choice and constructed-response items. The OAKS reading and math assessments are computer adaptive tests made up of multiple-choice items. NAEP produces state results only for $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, while OAKS reports results for students in grades $3-8$ and high school. The content tested in a NAEP assessment is determined by a national panel of experts convened by the National Assessment Governing Board. OAKS tests the knowledge and skills laid out in the content standards crafted by Oregon educators.

## NAEP Assessment Schedule

In 2010-2011, NAEP conducted national and state assessments in mathematics and reading at grade 4 and in mathematics, reading, and science at grade 8 . The mathematics and reading results are included in this report. The science results will be released in 2012. In 2008-2009, NAEP administered a national and state science assessment at grades 4 and 8 , and those results are reported here for the first time. A special study to link the results from the grade 8 NAEP 2011 mathematics and science assessments to the 2011 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) will provide Oregon with projected international benchmarks to over 50 countries in mathematics and science. Results from the NAEP-TIMSS linking study will be released in late 2012 or early 2013. For 2011-2012, NAEP will conduct the national long-term trend assessments of 9,13 , and 17 year-olds in math and reading as well as a national assessment of economics at grade 12.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for reading are expressed on a 0-500 scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |

NAEP Achievement Levels:

| 2011 NAEP Grade 4 Reading Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | Basic <br> \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 7 | 23 | 32 | 37 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 7 | 25 | 34 | 34* | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 3 | 16 | 31 | 50 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 16 | 34 | 48 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 4 | 21 | 75 | 95 |
|  | United States | 1 | 6 | 24 | 70* | 89 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 7 | 15 | 76 | 84 |
|  | United States | 2 | 9 | 20* | 69* | 77 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 16 | 31 | 25 | 28 | ~ |
|  | United States | 17 | 32 | 30 | 21 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 3 | 15 | 31 | 51 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 14 | 32 | 51 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 2 | 11 | 28 | 60 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 15* | 33 | 50* | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 7 | 20 | 33 | 39 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 15 | 30 | 51 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 8 | 27 | 34 | 30 | ~ |
|  | United States | 10* | 32* | 35 | 23* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | 10 | 29 | 33 | 29 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 10 | 27 | 34 | 29 | $\sim$ |

[^3]
## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading, Grade 8

| 2011 NAEP Grade 8 Reading Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 3 | 30 | 43 | 24 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 3 | 29 | 43 | 25 | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 45 | 35 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 17 | 45 | 37 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 1 | 21 | 78 | 94 |
|  | United States | \# | 3 | 26 | 71 | 86 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | \# | 4 | 28 | 68 | 85 |
|  | United States | \# | 6 | 28 | 66 | 76 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 9 | 30 | 31 | 31 | ~ |
|  | United States | 8 | 39 | 36 | 18* | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 40 | 41 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 14 | 44 | 42 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 1 | 16 | 45 | 39 | ~ |
|  | United States | 1 | 17 | 45 | 37 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 7 | 23 | 36 | 34 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 20 | 42 | 36 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 3 | 34 | 44 | 19 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 37 | 43 | 16 | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | 6 | 36 | 41 | 17 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 31 | 42 | 23 | $\sim$ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met
Achievement level results from the 2011 NAEP reading assessment show that, for several student reporting groups, a larger percentage of Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ graders performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation as a whole. This is true for all $4^{\text {th }}$ graders as well as the Limited English Proficient, Students with Disabilities, Hispanic, and White student groups. Also, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, smaller percentages of Oregon Hispanic and White students performed at the "Proficient" level, while a smaller percentage of Students with Disabilities performed at the "Basic" level and a smaller percentage of White students performed at the "Advanced" level.

In $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, a larger percentage of Oregon Asian/Pacific Islander students performed at the "Below Basic" level than than their peers in the nation's public schools.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Reading

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 1998-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically the same as the nation's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 216, which was statistically lower than the nation's (220).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 1998-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 1998, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically higher than the nation's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 264; the nation's also scored 264.


[^4]
## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for reading are expressed on a $0-500$ scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |


| 2011 NAEP Grade 4 Math Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced <br> \% <br> 6 | Proficient \%$30$ | Basic <br> $\%$ <br> 41 | Below Basic <br> \% <br> 23 | Participating \%$\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | United States | 6 | 33* | 42 | 18* | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 2 | 20 | 45 | 33 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22 | 48 | 27* | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 5 | 37 | 57 | 94 |
|  | United States | 1 | 13* | 45 | 42* | 96 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 11 | 35 | 51 | 85 |
|  | United States | 2 | 15* | 38 | 46 | 84 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 17 | 34 | 32 | 16 | ~ |
|  | United States | 20 | 43 | 29 | 9 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 2 | 12 | 36 | 50 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 16 | 49* | 34* | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 1 | 14 | 43 | 42 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22* | 48 | 28* | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 3 | 18 | 38 | 41 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 22 | 44 | 32 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 7 | 36 | 41 | 16 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 9 | 43* | 39 | 9* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | 8 | 38 | 36 | 18 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 9 | 34 | 43 | 15 | $\sim$ |

[^5]
## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics, Grade 8

| 2011 NAEP Grade 8 Math Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced \% | Proficient \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Basic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Below Basic $\%$ | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 7 | 25 | 39 | 28 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 8 | 26 | 39 | 28 | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 2 | 18 | 41 | 38 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 16 | 41 | 41 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 5 | 22 | 73 | 98 |
|  | United States | 1 | 4 | 24 | 72 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 2 | 5 | 22 | 71 | 89 |
|  | United States | 1 | 6 | 25 | 67 | 80 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 18 | 31 | 33 | 18 | ~ |
|  | United States | 22 | 33 | 30 | 15 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 17 | 30 | 51 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 11 | 37 | 50 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | 2 | 15 | 41 | 42 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 3 | 17 | 40 | 40 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | 3 | 13 | 29 | 55 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 4 | 14 | 38 | 45 | ~ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 9 | 29 | 40 | 22 | ~ |
|  | United States | 10 | 33* | 39 | 17* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | 7 | 29 | 34 | 30 | ~ |
|  | United States | 10 | 27 | 38 | 24 | $\sim$ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

Achievement level results from the 2011 NAEP math assessment show that, for several student reporting groups, a larger percentage of Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ graders performed at the "Below Basic" level than their peers in the nation as a whole. This is true for all $4^{\text {th }}$ graders as well as the Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient, Black, Hispanic, and White student groups. Also, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, smaller percentages of all Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ graders as well as the Limited English Proficient, Students with Disabilities, Hispanic, and White student groups performed at the "Proficient" level, while a smaller percentage of Black students performed at the "Basic" level.

In $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, a larger percentage of Oregon White students performed at the "Below Basic" level and a smaller percentage of White students performed at the "Proficient" level than their peers in the nation as a whole.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 2000-2011: Oregon and the Nation

In 2000, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically the same as the nation's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $4^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 237, which was statistically lower than the nation's (240).


* Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 2000-2011: Oregon and the Nation
In 2000, Oregon's th $^{\text {th }}$ graders scored statistically higher than the nation's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders. In 2011, Oregon's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored 283; the nation's also scored 283.


[^6]
## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science, Grade 4

NAEP reports achievement levels and average scale scores. Average scale scores for science are expressed on a 0-300 scale. NAEP has three achievement levels: Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. The National Assessment Governing Board defines the NAEP achievement levels as follows:

## NAEP Achievement Levels

| Basic | Partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for <br> proficient work at each grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proficient | Solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level <br> have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject- <br> matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and <br> analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter |
| Advanced | Superior performance beyond proficient |

A new science framework was introduced in 2009, so testing results are not comparable to previous years. Therefore, trend data is not included in this section.

| 2009 NAEP Grade 4 Science Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced <br> \% | Proficient \% | Basic <br> \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 1 | 33 | 40 | 27 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 32 | 39 | 29 | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | \# | 19 | 41 | 40 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 16 | 40 | 44 | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 4 | 31 | 65 | 94 |
|  | United States | \# | 5 | 28 | 67 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | \# | 20 | 34 | 45 | 83 |
|  | United States | \# | 15* | 33 | 51* | 87 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 3 | 41 | 36 | 20 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 43 | 36 | 20 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | \# | 12 | 40 | 47 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 10 | 36 | 54 | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | \# | 12 | 35 | 53 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 13 | 39 | 48 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | \# | 25 | 40 | 35 | ~ |
|  | United States | \# | 19 | 41 | 40 | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 1 | 39 | 41 | 20 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 45* | 40 | 14* | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Legend <br> ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education <br> \# Rounds to zero <br> * Value is significantly different from OR |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science, Grade 8

| 2009 NAEP Grade 8 Science Results Achievement Levels \& Participation Rates |  | Advanced <br> \% | Proficient <br> \% | Basic <br> \% | Below Basic \% | Participating \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Oregon | 1 | 33 | 34 | 32 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 1 | 28* | 33 | 38* | $\sim$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Oregon | 1 | 19 | 33 | 47 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 13* | 30* | 57* | $\sim$ |
| Limited English Proficient | Oregon | \# | 1 | 11 | 88 | 99 |
|  | United States | \# | 2 | 12 | 86 | 91 |
| Students with Disabilities | Oregon | 1 | 14 | 20 | 66 | 87 |
|  | United States | \# | 9 | 21 | 70 | 86 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Oregon | 2 | 43 | 29 | 26 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 3 | 37 | 33 | 28 | $\sim$ |
| Black (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | \# | 13 | 35 | 52 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 8 | 24 | 68* | $\sim$ |
| Hispanic origin | Oregon | \# | 11 | 28 | 60 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 12 | 30 | 59 | $\sim$ |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | Oregon | \# | 35 | 31 | 34 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | \# | 18 | 31 | 51* | $\sim$ |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Oregon | 2 | 38 | 35 | 25 | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | 2 | 39 | 36 | 23 | $\sim$ |
| Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic | Oregon | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ |
|  | United States | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |

Legend
~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
\# Rounds to zero

* Value is significantly different from OR

Achievement level results from the 2009 NAEP science assessment show that, in comparison with their peers in the nation as a whole, a smaller percentage of Oregon $4^{\text {th }}$ grade Students with Disabilities performed at the "Below Basic" level and a larger percentage performed at the "Proficient" level. However, a larger percentage of Oregon White $4^{\text {th }}$ graders performed at the "Below Basic" level, and a smaller percentage at the "Proficient" level, than White students in the nation as a whole.

In $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, for several student reporting groups, smaller percentages of Oregon students performed "Below Basic" than their peers in the nation as a whole. This is true for all Oregon $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, as well as for the Economically Disadvantaged, Black, and American Indian/Alaska Native student groups. Larger percentages of Oregon Economically Disadvantaged students performed at the "Basic" and "Proficient" levels than their peers in the nation as a whole. Also, a larger percentage of all Oregon $8^{\text {th }}$ graders reached the "Proficient" level than their peers in the nation's public schools.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress: Science

## Grade 4 Average Scale Scores 2009: Oregon and the Nation

In 2009, Oregon's 4th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 4th graders.


## Grade 8 Average Scale Scores 2009: Oregon and the Nation

In 2009, Oregon's 8th graders scored statistically higher than the nation's 8th graders.


## College Admission Tests

Students preparing for admission to various post-secondary programs take a variety of tests or go through other screening procedures. Two of the most widely established college admissions tests are the ACT (American College Testing Program) and the SAT Reasoning Test.

## American College Testing Program (ACT)

The number of Oregon high school graduates who took the ACT college admission and placement exam increased in 2011. A total of 11,715 students in the Oregon graduating class of 2011 took the ACT, the highest Oregon total ever, as compared to 11,579 in 2010. This represents 35 percent of the state's 2011 graduating class, compared to 34 percent who took the ACT in 2010. Nationally, 49 percent of graduating seniors took the ACT in 2011, as compared to 47 percent in 2010, another all-time high.

Compared to three years ago, the test-takers in Oregon's 2011 graduating class likely included students from a much broader range of academic preparation, including many students who may not previously have been planning to go to college. Often, increased participation correlates with lower average scores, but in 2011, Oregon's average ACT did not change, remaining at 21.5 in 2011. The national average increased from 21.0 in 2010 to 21.1 in 2011.

The ACT tests student knowledge of English, math, reading, and science reasoning. Possible scores range from 1 to 36. ACT data is posted at http://www.act.org/newsroom/data/.

## SAT Reasoning Test

18,754 graduating seniors ( 56 percent) took the SAT in Oregon in 2011, an increase from 2010 when 18,461 graduating seniors ( 54 percent) took the test. SAT data for the 2010-11 Statewide Report Card includes all students from the Oregon report at: http://professionals.collegeboard.com/data-reports-research/sat/cb-seniors-2010/. For results limited to public school students only, see:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/news/announcements/announcement.aspx?ID=6025\&TypeID=4.


Oregon students were third in the nation on the 2011 SAT critical reading section and fourth on mathematics among the states that tested at least 50 percent of their high school graduates.* Only students from Washington State and New Hampshire outperformed Oregon students on the critical reading/verbal section, with average scores 3 points higher. Students from Washington State (8 points higher), New Hampshire (4 points higher) and Massachusetts (6 points higher) outperformed Oregon students on the mathematics section. Washington State participation (57\%) was slightly higher than Oregon's (56\%).

Oregon students averaged 499 on the SAT writing section, tying for sixth in the nation among the states that tested at least 50 percent of their high school graduates. The five states scoring higher were: Connecticut ( 14 points higher), New Hampshire (12 points higher), Massachusetts (10 points higher), Washington (9 points higher), and Vermont (6 points higher). California and Oregon tied.

Compared to ten years ago (2001), Oregon students scored 5 points lower on the mathematics section and scored 6 points lower on the critical reading/verbal section.

Oregon and Washington SAT Scores: 2006-2011
Data labels display most recent year's average score

*Mean SAT Scores by State at: http://professionals.collegeboard.com/data-reports-research/sat/cb-seniors-2010/tables
**Critical Reading test replaced Verbal in 2007
Data labels display the most recent year's average score.
Source: The College Board
Since 2002, Washington students have outscored Oregon students on the SAT. In 2006, Washington students scored 4 points higher than Oregon students in the Verbal/Critical Reading test and 3 points higher than Oregon students in Mathematics. In 2011, Washington students scored 3 points higher than Oregon students in Critical Reading and 8 points higher in Mathematics.

As the table on the next page indicates, there appears to be a wide gap in both critical reading/verbal and math scores by race/ethnicity. Since this information is derived from a voluntary survey of SAT test takers and is neither a census ( $100 \%$ of test takers) nor a scientifically administered survey, these results should be used with caution. This is because the non-respondents ( 462 students, or $2.46 \%$ of Oregon SAT takers) could be of any race, and they might not be distributed in the same proportion as those who identified their race/ethnicity. Because of this, the scores for each race/ethnicity may not represent the true average of that specific race/ethnicity.

Integrated SAT State Summary 2011 by Gender \& Race/Ethnicity
Oregon and Nation - All Schools

|  | Oregon - All Schools 54\% Participation |  |  |  |  | Nation - All Schools 47\% Participation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Test Takers | \% of Total | Critical <br> Reading | Math | Writing | \# of Test Takers | \% of Total | Critical Reading | Math | Writing |
| Total Change from last year | 18,754 | 100.00\% | 520 | 521 | 499 | 1,647,123 | 100.00\% | 497 | 514 | 489 |
|  | 1.59\% |  | -3 | -3 | 0 | 6.40\% |  | -4 | -2 | -3 |
| Male <br> Change from last year | 8,288 | 44.19\% | 523 | 541 | 491 | 770,605 | 46.78\% | 500 | 531 | 482 |
|  | -0.50\% |  | -4 | -6 | -2 | 6.91\% |  | -3 | -3 | -4 |
| Female Change from last year | 10,466 | 55.81\% | 518 | 506 | 505 | 876,518 | 53.22\% | 495 | 500 | 496 |
|  | 3.31\% |  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 5.96\% |  | -3 | 0 | -2 |
| American Indian Change from last year | 294 | 1.57\% | 491 | 482 | 458 | 9,244 | 0.56\% | 484 | 488 | 465 |
|  | 0.34\% |  | -4 | -1 | -5 | 8.12\% |  | -1 | -4 | -2 |
| Asian Change from last year | 1,768 | 9.43\% | 507 | 562 | 501 | 183,853 | 11.16\% | 517 | 595 | 528 |
|  | 10.50\% |  | 1 | 4 | 8 | 10.71\% |  | -2 | 4 | 2 |
| Black <br> Change from last year | 536 | 2.86\% | 453 | 440 | 436 | 215,816 | 13.10\% | 428 | 427 | 417 |
|  | 12.84\% |  | -5 | 0 | -2 | 9.57\% |  | -1 | -1 | -3 |
| Mexican American Change from last year | 1,052 | 5.61\% | 449 | 453 | 433 | 99,166 | 6.02\% | 451 | 466 | 445 |
|  | 13.12\% |  | 0 | -3 | 3 | 15.63\% |  | -3 | -1 | -3 |
| Puerto Rican Change from last year | 40 | 0.21\% | 513 | 505 | 490 | 26,520 | 1.61\% | 452 | 452 | 442 |
|  | 90.48\% |  | -18 | 18 | -29 | 8.84\% |  | -2 | 0 | -1 |
| Other Hispanic Change from last year | 547 | 2.92\% | 466 | 466 | 454 | 127,017 | 7.71\% | 451 | 462 | 444 |
|  | 7.68\% |  | 4 | 1 | 10 | 13.15\% |  | -3 | 0 | -3 |
| White Change from last year | 13,624 | 72.65\% | 532 | 528 | 508 | 865,660 | 52.56\% | 528 | 535 | 516 |
|  | 0.03\% |  | -2 | -3 | 0 | 3.27\% |  | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| Other Change from last year | 431 | 2.30\% | 532 | 528 | 513 | 58,699 | 3.56\% | 493 | 517 | 492 |
|  | 14.63\% |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | 20.53\% |  | -1 | 3 | 0 |
| No Response Change from last year | 462 | 2.46\% | 524 | 510 | 495 | 61,148 | 3.71\% | 448 | 496 | 450 |
|  | -27.59\% |  | -22 | -22 | -21 | -8.87\% |  | -39 | -18 | -31 |

* The percentage of high school graduates is based on the recently revised projection of high school graduates in 2010 by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), and the number of students in the class of 2011 who took the SAT in each state through June 2011.
Source: The College Board
Oregon Statewide Report Card 2010-11


## The Oregon Diploma

In June 2008, the State Board of Education adopted new graduation requirements. These new requirements are designed to better prepare each student for success in college, work, and citizenship. To earn a diploma, students will need to successfully complete the credit requirements, demonstrate proficiency in essential skills, and meet the personalized learning requirements. Students also have the option to earn credit by demonstrating proficiency.

## Credit Requirements \& Phase-In

| Subject Areas* | Cohort Year 2008-09** <br> (expected graduation in <br> 2012) | Cohort Year 2010-11** <br> (expected graduation in <br> 2014) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English/Language Arts | 4 | 4 |
| Mathematics | 3 | 3 - Algebra I \& above* |
| Science | 3 - Scientific Inquiry \& Lab <br> Experiences** | 3 |
| Social Sciences | 3 | 3 |
| Physical Education | 1 | 1 |
| Health | 1 | 1 |
| Second Language | Che |  |
| The Arts | 3 | 3 |
| Career \&Technical Ed |  | 6 |
| Electives | 6 | 24 |
| Total Credits | 24 |  |

*Applied and integrated courses aligned to standards can meet credit requirements.

- Lab experiences ( 2 credits) can take place outside of the school in field-based experiences.


## Credit for Proficiency

This provides students the opportunity to earn graduation credits within Oregon's standards-based system by demonstrating what they know and can do. Students may demonstrate proficiency through classroom work or documentation of learning experiences outside of school, or through a combination of these means. The State Board adopted revised OAR 581-022-1131 Credit Options in April 2009.

## Essential Skills

Beginning in 2012 (2011 for early graduates), students must demonstrate proficiency in identified Essential Skills (ES). These are 21st century skills needed for success in college, the workplace, and civic life. The State Board approved three assessment options for students to demonstrate Essential Skill proficiency: (1) state test, or (2) local performance assessments consistent with state criteria, or (3) other approved standardized test (SAT, ACT, PLAN, PSAT, Work Keys, Compass, ASSETT).

Essential Skills Required* by Cohort Year**
2008-09: Read and comprehend a variety of texts
2009-10: Write clearly and accurately
2010-11: Apply mathematics in a variety of settings

Essential Skills to be Phased In over Subsequent Years

- Think critically and analytically
- Use technology to learn, live, and work
- Demonstrate civic and community engagement
- Demonstrate global literacy
- Demonstrate personal management and teamwork skills
- Listen actively and speak clearly and coherently
*Revised Essential Skills timeline adopted by the State Board, August 2009.


## ${ }^{* *}$ Cohort year is the school year of the student's first enrollment in any high school grade.

## Personalized learning

These requirements personalize the diploma for each student and help prepare them for their post-high school goals.
Education Plan and Profile: Students develop an Education Plan and Profile to guide their learning and document academic achievement and progress toward their personal, career, and post-high school goals.

Career-Related Learning Experiences: Students participate in experiences that connect classroom learning with real life experiences in the workplace, community, and/or school relevant to their education plan.

Extended Application: Students apply and extend their knowledge in new and complex situations related to the student's personal and career interests and post-high school goals through critical thinking, problem solving, or inquiry in real world contexts.

Career-Related Learning Standards (CRLS): Students demonstrate personal management, communication, problem solving, teamwork, employment foundations, and career development. The CRLS will merge with the Essential Skills in 2012.


## Oregon Graduation Rates

The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) published the first cohort graduation rates in spring 2010 for use in accountability reports. Consistent with federal Title I requirements, Oregon is transitioning from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) model to the cohort model for graduation rates. This year, the Statewide Report Card and AYP include a 4-year cohort rate and a 5-year cohort rate, and no longer include the NCES rate.

## Summary of 2005-06 Cohort Graduation Rates

The cohort model is the new formula required by the federal government to calculate graduation rates. The cohort below consists of the students who first entered high school in 2005-06. The cohort is adjusted for students who move into or out of the system, emigrate to another country, or are deceased. The cohort graduation rate is calculated by taking the number of students in the cohort who graduated with a regular diploma within four years and dividing that by the total number of students in the cohort. The four-year rate consists of outcomes as of the end of the 2008-09 school year. The five-year rate consists of outcomes as of the end of the 2009-10 school year.

## Oregon Cohort Statewide High School Graduation Rates, 2005-06 $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort

| Demographic Characteristic | 4- year Cohort |  |  | 5-year Cohort |  |  | Change from <br> 4-year rate to <br> 5-year rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Cohort from $\begin{gathered} \text { 2005-06 to } \\ 2008-09 \end{gathered}$ | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate | Adjusted Cohort from $\begin{gathered} 2005-06 \text { to } \\ 2009-10 \end{gathered}$ | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate |  |
| All students | 50,700 | 33,557 | 66.2\% | 50,734 | 35,077 | 69.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2,091 | 1,642 | 78.5\% | 2,092 | 1,688 | 80.7\% | 2.2\% |
| Native <br> American/Alaskan Native | 1,249 | 646 | 51.7\% | 1,236 | 685 | 55.4\% | 3.7\% |
| African American | 1,541 | 735 | 47.7\% | 1,537 | 808 | 52.6\% | 4.9\% |
| Hispanic | 7,355 | 3,865 | 52.6\% | 7,348 | 4,235 | 57.6\% | 5.0\% |
| White | 36,570 | 25,628 | 70.1\% | 36,691 | 26,573 | 72.4\% | 2.3\% |
| Multi-Ethnic | 854 | 528 | 61.8\% | 841 | 556 | 66.1\% | 4.3\% |
| Unknown | 1,040 | 513 | 49.3\% | 989 | 532 | 53.8\% | 4.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 24,346 | 17,032 | 70.0\% | 24,378 | 17,668 | 72.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Male | 26,354 | 16,525 | 62.7\% | 26,356 | 17,409 | 66.1\% | 3.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 21,887 | 12,745 | 58.2\% | 21,986 | 13,758 | 62.6\% | 4.4\% |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 28,813 | 20,812 | 72.2\% | 28,748 | 21,319 | 74.2\% | 2.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 4,482 | 2,304 | 51.4\% | 4,484 | 2,566 | 57.2\% | 5.8\% |
| Not Limited English Proficient | 46,218 | 31,253 | 67.6\% | 46,250 | 32,511 | 70.3\% | 2.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 6,931 | 2,941 | 42.4\% | 6,825 | 3,184 | 46.7\% | 4.3\% |
| Not Special Education | 43,769 | 30,616 | 70.0\% | 43,909 | 31,893 | 72.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Talented and Gifted | 5,063 | 4,637 | 91.6\% | 5,070 | 4,708 | 92.9\% | 1.3\% |
| Not Talented and Gifted | 45,637 | 28,920 | 63.4\% | 45,664 | 30,369 | 66.5\% | 3.1\% |

[^7] data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as modified diplomas or continuing enrollment.

Oregon Cohort Statewide High School Graduation Rates, 2006-07 $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort
Outcomes as of the end of the 2009-10 school year

| Demographic Characteristic | Adjusted Cohort from 200506 to 2008-09 | Graduates with a Regular High School Diploma | Cohort Graduation Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All students | 49,640 | 32,951 | 66.38\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2,167 | 1,650 | 76.14\% |
| Native American/Alaskan Native | 1,148 | 577 | 50.26\% |
| African American | 1,592 | 793 | 49.81\% |
| Hispanic | 7,937 | 4,385 | 55.25\% |
| White | 35,327 | 24,709 | 69.94\% |
| Multi-Ethnic | 980 | 656 | 66.94\% |
| Unknown | 489 | 181 | 37.01\% |
| Female | 23,986 | 16,976 | 70.77\% |
| Male | 25,654 | 15,975 | 62.27\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 23,195 | 13,871 | 59.80\% |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 26,445 | 19,080 | 72.15\% |
| Limited English Proficient | 4,305 | 2,138 | 49.66\% |
| Not Limited English Proficient | 45,335 | 30,813 | 67.97\% |
| Special Education | 6,479 | 2,707 | 41.78\% |
| Not Special Education | 43,161 | 30,244 | 70.07\% |
| Talented and Gifted | 4,728 | 4,258 | 90.06\% |
| Not Talented and Gifted | 44,912 | 28,693 | 63.89\% |

Source: Cohort Media File 2009-10 posted April 25, 2011 at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644. The file also provides district and school-level data, including counts of students with other outcomes, such as modified diplomas or continuing enrollment

| 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rates by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | Total | Asian/Pacific <br> Islander | Native <br> American/ <br> Alaskan <br> Native | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | Multi-Ethnic |
| $2005-06$ | $66.2 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ |
| $2006-07$ | $66.4 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |

Source: 2009-10 and 2008-09 reports at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644.

## Types of High School Completers in 2009-10 (2006-07 9th Grade Cohort)

Regular Diploma: Diploma earned when students have fulfilled all school district requirements and state requirements ( 22 credits, plus competencies) as described in OAR 581-022-1130.

Modified Diploma: Diploma received by students who have met the requirements for a modified diploma as defined in ORS 329.451 and OAR 581-022-1134.

Alternative Credential or No Diploma: Students attended 4 years or more of high school and did not drop out, but did not satisfy all state and district requirements to receive a regular or modified diploma. Students fell a few credits short of meeting graduation requirements, and many will finish requirements over the summer or in the following school year. Due to cell size considerations, Adult High School diplomas were included in this category in the chart below.

Note: GED recipients are not high school completers because they do not complete high school. As defined by Oregon law, they are also not dropouts.

For more information about high school completers, access the following webpage: $\underline{h t t p: / / w w w . o d e . s t a t e . o r . u s / s e a r c h / r e s u l t s / ? i d=322 ~}$
All Adjusted 2006-07 $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort High School Outcomes (including non-completers) by Race/Ethnicity, 2009-10


Honorary Diplomas (awarded to foreign exchange students) are not included.
Source: Oregon Department of Education, Cohort Media File (more details available at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644)

## DROPOUT RATES

Dropout Rate Formula: The one-year statewide dropout rate calculation is made by dividing the number of dropouts (for grades 9-12) by the number of students reported on the October 1 Membership (Enrollment) Data Collection for grades 9-12.

## Oregon Statewide Dropout Rate Calculation

For a given school year:

$$
\frac{\text { Number of Dropouts for Grades } 9-12}{\text { October 1st Enrollment for Grades } 9-12}
$$

Dropout rates are also calculated for schools, districts, and counties.
In addition, rates are calculated for grades 7 and 8. For more information, access the following webpage: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1

Oregon High School Dropout Rates


## Oregon Dropout Rates by

 Race/EthnicityMinority students were disproportionately represented among Oregon's dropouts. Hispanic students comprised $17 \%$ of the total grade 9-12 October 1 enrollment in 2008-
09 , but represented $23 \%$ of total dropouts. This October 1 enrollment for Hispanics is an increase from 14.7\% in 200809, while the dropout rate decreased for Hispanics from $5.1 \%$ in 2008-09 to $4.7 \%$ in 2009-10.

African American students comprised 3.0 percent of grade 9-12 October 1 enrollment in 2009-10 (the same as in 200809), but represented $6 \%$ of all dropouts in 2009-10. The dropout rate for African American students increased slightly, from 6.1 percent in 2008-09 to 6.2 percent in 200910.

Percent of Fall Membership by Race/Ethnicity
Grades 9-12 2009-10


Percent of All Dropouts by Race/Ethnicity with Counts Grades 9-12 2009-10


Oregon Dropout Rates by Race/Ethnicity - Grades 9-12 2004-05 to 2009-10


## Special Programs

Many Oregon students receive additional services through special programs to assist them in school.

## Special Education

The number of Oregon students receiving special education services through the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) has averaged 12.9\% of total enrollment over the last five years.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2001- \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004- \\ 05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005- \\ 06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006- \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007- \\ 08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Education | 70,902 | 71,875 | 70,824 | 71,398 | 71,517 | 71,834 | 72,051 | 72,838 | 73,449 | 74,099 |
| Total <br> Enrollment | 551,679 | 554,071 | 551,410 | 552,339 | 559,254 | 562,828 | 566,067 | 564,064 | 561,698 | 561,331 |
| \% of Total Enrollment | 12.9\% | 13.0\% | 12.8\% | 12.9\% | 12.8\% | 12.8\% | 12.7\% | 12.9\% | 13.1\% | 13.2\% |

Sources: December Special Education Child Count, Fall Membership
Number of Special Education Students - School Age (Ages 5-21)


Number of Students with Disabilities (Ages 5-21)
Each special education student in Oregon has at least one of the eleven different disabilities listed for school age students in the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Over time, several disability categories have shown an increase in the number of identified students. These changing percentages reflect trends in the field and require that the Department of Education, Office of Student Learning and Partnerships keep up with the ever-changing needs of Oregon's children.

| Type of Disability | 2006-07 <br> Number of <br> Students | 2010-11 <br> Number of <br> Students | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Autism | 5,752 | 7,719 | $34.2 \%$ |
| Deaf/Blindness | 13 | 10 | $-23.1 \%$ |
| Emotional Disturbance | 4,688 | 4,670 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| Hearing Impairment/Deaf | 847 | 874 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Intellectual Disability* | 4,264 | 3,968 | $-6.9 \%$ |
| Other Health Impairment | 8,212 | 10,060 | $22.5 \%$ |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 803 | 767 | $-4.5 \%$ |
| Specific Learning Disability | 28,997 | 27,284 | $-5.9 \%$ |
| Visual Impairment | 323 | 333 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Communication Disorder | 17,663 | 18,142 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 272 | 272 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 71,834 | 74,099 | $3.2 \%$ |

Source: December Special Education Child Count
*Previously known as Mental Retardation.

## School Age Students with Disabilities Receiving Special Education Services

2010-11 School Year
Total: 74,099 Students


In 2010-11, 71.1 percent of Oregon's special education students were served in regular classrooms 80 percent of the day or more, while 15.3 percent were served in regular classrooms 40 to 79 percent of the day, and 10.8 percent were served in regular classrooms less than 40 percent of the day. The remaining 2.8 percent of students were served in settings outside the regular school.

Placement of School Age Special Education Students by Disability - 2010-11

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular Class } \\ & \text { 80\% or } \\ & \text { Greater } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regular Class } \\ 40 \%-79 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular Class } \\ & <40 \% \end{aligned}$ | Other* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autism | 5.2\% | 1.8\% | 3.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Deaf/Blindness | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Emotional Disturbance | 3.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% |
| Hearing Impairment/Deaf | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Intellectual Disability** | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Health Impairment | 9.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Specific Learning Disability | 29.1\% | 6.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Visual Impairment | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Communication Disorder | 21.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 71.1\% | 15.3\% | 10.8\% | 2.8\% |

[^8]
## Early Childhood - Oregon Head Start Pre-Kindergarten (OHS PreK) Programs

OHS PreK is a high quality, comprehensive, early childhood program serving children ages three to five from families living at or below the federal poverty level. The program offers integrated services to support school readiness in the areas of:

- Early childhood education and development
- Child health and nutrition
- Parent education and family support

There are 28 OHS PreK programs serving children in all 36 counties in Oregon. These programs receive funding from the Federal Office of Head Start, the Oregon Department of Education, or both. A state and federal partnership agreement allows grantees to blend funding to provide a seamless, integrated program that is tailored to meet the needs of children and families in their community.

OHS PreK programs serve children with the highest needs from families living at or below the federal poverty level. Children in foster care and children who are homeless are automatically income eligible. At least 10\% of enrollment is reserved for children with disabilities. While children ages 3-5 living in poverty are considered eligible for Head Start, federal law allows up to $10 \%$ of Head Start slots to be filled by children from over-income families who meet locally based need criteria. OHS PreK services are free for qualifying children.

The 2009-2011 Oregon Legislative Approved budget for Oregon Prekindergarten was originally $\$ 54$ million dollars per year, which funded 6,554 enrollment slots for children in Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten (OHS PreK) programs. State funding was reduced mid-biennium and only 5,948 state funded slots were available in 2010-2011. When combined with Federal and other funding sources, total OHS PreK funded enrollment for 2010-2011 was 12,042. An estimated 11,368 of the enrollment slots were filled by eligible children living at or below the federal poverty level.

| School Year | Number of <br> Children <br> Eligible for <br> Services* | Number <br> of Eligible <br> Children <br> Served | Number of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Not Served | Percent of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Served | Percent of <br> Eligible <br> Children <br> Not Served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006-2007$ | 17,249 | 9,793 | 7,456 | $57.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 18,154 | 11,325 | 6,829 | $62.4 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 18,444 | 12,582 | 5,862 | $68.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 17,894 | ${ }^{* * 11,938}$ | 5,956 | $66.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 19,605 | ${ }^{* * 11,368}$ | 8919 | 54.51 | 45.49 |

[^9]
## Talented and Gifted (TAG)

Talented and gifted (TAG) children are defined by the State of Oregon as "those children who require special educational programs or services, or both, beyond those normally provided by the regular school program in order to realize their contribution to self and society and who demonstrate outstanding ability or potential" in one of the recognized areas of giftedness (ORS 343.391). Oregon statutes and administrative rules require school districts to identify TAG students and to provide TAG students with instruction that is designed to meet their assessed levels of learning and accelerated rates of learning (OAR 581-022-1330). The needs of identified TAG students are met through a combination of classroom instruction and enrichment programming.

Oregon Talented and Gifted Students 2010-11 Statewide
Total: 41,698 Students (7.54\% of Spring Membership Enrollment)*

| State-defined |  |  | District- <br> defined | District Option to Identify |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*It is possible for individual students to have multiple identifications.
**Districts may choose to identify students in this TAG category. It is permissible for a student to be identified in one of these three optional categories who was not in one of the four required TAG identifications.
Source: Spring Student Membership TAG data
School districts are required to identify TAG students in the categories of Intellectually Gifted, Academically Talented-Reading, Academically TalentedMathematics, and Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile. The definition of Potential to Perform at the $97^{\text {th }}$ Percentile may be determined locally by individual districts. The Oregon Department of Education defines the categories Intellectually Gifted and Academically Talented in either Reading or Mathematics. Districts also have the option to identify students in three other areas: creativity, leadership, and visual and performing arts based on a body of evidence.

|  | Number of TAG Students | Percent of TAG Students | Number of All Students | Percent of All Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 41,698 | 100\% | 553,339 | 100\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 22,093 | 52.98\% | 284,196 | 51.36\% |
| Female | 19,605 | 47.02\% | 269,143 | 48.64\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| White | 31,573 | 75.72\% | 365,364 | 66.03\% |
| Hispanic | 3283 | 7.87\% | 113,352 | 20.49\% |
| Native American | 336 | 0.81\% | 10,205 | 1.84\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 3,781 | 9.07\% | 26,143 | 4.72\% |
| African American | 578 | 1.39\% | 14,353 | 2.59\% |
| Declined to report* | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Multi-Ethnic | 2,147 | 5.15\% | 23,922 | 4.32\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 9,620 | 23.07\% | 280,001 | 50.60\% |
| Special Education** | 1,580 | 3.79\% | 77,868 | 14.07\% |

[^10]| School Year | TAG Graduates | All Graduates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2009-10$ <br> (06-07 cohort) | 4,258 (90.1\%) | 32,951 (66.4\%) |
| $2008-09$ <br> (05-06 cohort) | 4,637 (91.6\%) | 33,557 (66.2\%) |

## Alternative Education Programs

An alternative education program is a school or separate class group designed to best serve students' educational needs and interests and assist students in achieving the academic standards of the school district and the state. (ORS 336.615)

School districts provide alternative education programs for students who need

- additional academic supports because they do not meet state academic standards,
- additional academic supports because they are exceeding academic standards, or
- additional behavioral supports.

Alternative education programs are also provided for students who

- are pregnant or are parenting,
- have been expelled from school,
- have dropped out of school, or are at risk of dropping out, or
- need additional supports to earn a diploma


## Alternative Education Services in Oregon

By Type of Program Service -- Number of Students Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

| School Year | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Operation | Number <br> of <br> Students | Percent <br> of Alt. Ed. <br> Students | Number <br> of <br> Students | Percent <br> of Alt. Ed. <br> Students | Number <br> of <br> Students | Percent <br> of Alt. Ed. <br> Students |
| Resident School District | 17,150 | $79.54 \%$ | 11,655 | $75.79 \%$ | 10,075 | $74.22 \%$ |
| Another School District | 70 | $0.32 \%$ | 49 | $0.32 \%$ | 17 | $0.13 \%$ |
| Private Program | 2,434 | $11.29 \%$ | 2,192 | $14.25 \%$ | 2,008 | $14.79 \%$ |
| Community College | 1,467 | $6.80 \%$ | 1,166 | $7.58 \%$ | 1,162 | $8.56 \%$ |
| Educational Service District (ESD) | 421 | $1.95 \%$ | 252 | $1.64 \%$ | 220 | $1.62 \%$ |
| Other Program | 19 | $0.09 \%$ | 65 | $0.42 \%$ | 92 | $0.68 \%$ |
| Terminated Program | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 6 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 7 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 7 4}$ |  |

Shrinking district resources is likely the primary reason for the decrease in the number of students served by alternative education programs in 2011. In general, school districts are increasingly selective about the private and public alternative programs where they place students and are using required annual evaluation and school board approval of those programs to determine characteristics of quality environments where individual students are best served, consistent with their personal educational plan and profile.

Programs must be designed to support students' achievement of the Content Standards and Essential Skills with the intended outcome of graduation with an Oregon Diploma, Extended Diploma, Modified Diploma or Alternative Certificate. In some cases, smaller learning communities at traditional high schools and a variety of types of charter schools are also utilized to meet student learning goals described in a student's educational plan and profile. School districts offer a variety of guidance and career counseling services, tutoring, small group instruction, online learning opportunities, career related learning experiences, and proficiency credit options to support students attending alternative education programs.

## Decreasing Number of School Districts Report They Have Alternative Programs



Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

School districts must have policies and procedures in place to ensure that data for each student in public and private alternative education programs are included in district reporting as required by ODE (OAR 581-022-1350 (9)). Each school district operating, participating in or contracting for a public or private alternative education program must evaluate the program at least annually (ORS 336.655). The Department of Education is required to annually report on these alternative education programs (ORS 329.115 (h)).

There are a number of possible reasons why there has been a decrease in the number of district reported alternative programs; however, shrinking district resources is likely the primary reason for the decrease in district alternative education services. Staff at traditional schools are left to respond to the needs of an increased number of alternative education students and attempt to do so through personalized learning experiences in accordance with their education plan and profile. A number of school districts have responded by offering differing types of online learning and intervention programs for students.

Alternative Education Services in Oregon
By Type of Program Service -- Number of Programs

| School Year | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Operation | Number <br> of <br> Programs | Percent <br> of <br> Programs | Number <br> of <br> Programs | Percent <br> of <br> Programs | Number <br> of <br> Programs | Percent <br> of <br> Programs |
| Resident School District | 191 | $39.50 \%$ | 173 | $41.00 \%$ | 165 | $40.94 \%$ |
| Another School District | 24 | $5.00 \%$ | 12 | $2.80 \%$ | 15 | $3.72 \%$ |
| Private Program | 151 | $31.20 \%$ | 125 | $29.60 \%$ | 117 | $29.03 \%$ |
| Community College | 63 | $13.00 \%$ | 68 | $16.10 \%$ | 63 | $15.63 \%$ |
| Educational Service District (ESD) | 37 | $7.60 \%$ | 28 | $6.60 \%$ | 23 | $5.71 \%$ |
| Other Program | 12 | $2.50 \%$ | 7 | $1.70 \%$ | 6 | $1.49 \%$ |
| Terminated Program | 6 | $1.20 \%$ | 9 | $2.10 \%$ | 14 | $3.47 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 4}$ |  | 422 |  | 403 |  |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection


## Type of Program Services Statewide

Alternative education programs often provide more than one type of program service and are designed to best serve students' educational needs and interests. School districts now have the option to report student data regarding participation in an alternative education program, alongside student participation in talented and gifted (TAG) and English language learning (ELL) programs. Contact your resident school district for additional information about the availability and reporting of alternative education programs.

Alternative Education Services in Oregon
By Type of Program Service -- Number of Services

|  | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> TYPES OF PROGRAM SERVICES STATEWIDE | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> 2009 | Number of <br> Services Provided <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Students with At-Risk Behaviors | 335 | 296 | 281 |
| Remediation, Credit Recovery, or GED | 274 | 270 | 240 |
| Pregnant or Parenting Students | 99 | 95 | 85 |
| Students Advanced Beyond Standards | 74 | 73 | 67 |
| Other Programs | 31 | 29 | 29 |
| Total Number of Services | $\mathbf{8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection
Alternative Education Services in Oregon

| By Grade Range - Number of Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE RANGE | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2009 | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2010 | Number of <br> Students Using <br> Services 2011 |
| Secondary | 20,146 | 13,519 | 11,993 |
| Elementary | 1,415 | 1,860 | 1,581 |
| Total Services | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 7 4}$ |

Source: ODE Alternative Education Data Collection

For information about alternative education programs:
http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/AlternativeEd
Links to Referenced Resources
Effective Behavioral and Instructional Support Systems (E.B.I.S.S): http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1389
Data Partnerships: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=1991
Modified Diploma, Extended Diploma \& Alternative Certificates: http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2047
Oregon Diploma: www.ode.state.or.us/go/Diploma
Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS): http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=553
Response to Intervention (RTI): http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=315

Visit topic pages for topic contact information. Topic contacts are generally listed at the bottom of the page on ODE sites.

No Child Left Behind Act<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=75<br>Highly Qualified Teachers http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=102<br>Adequate Yearly Progress<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc<br>h/page/?=1193<br>Unsafe School Choice Option<br>(USCO) and Persistently<br>Dangerous Schools<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc<br>h/page/?id=1319<br>Oregon School \& District Report<br>Cards and Adequate Yearly<br>Progress (AYP)<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/ reportcard/reports.aspx<br>Quality Education Model<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=166

## School Funding <br> and Finance

State School Fund
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/results/?id=168
K-12 Stimulus (ARRA)
http://stimulus.k12partners.org/

## Special Education Funding

http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/page/?id=894

## Student Information

Fall Membership Report
http://www.ode.state.or.us/wma/ teachlearn/testing/fallmembershi p2010-11finalpub.xls

Historical Student Enrollment and Demographics
http://www.ode.state.or.us/data/ reports/toc.aspx\#Students

Limited English Proficient
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=106

School Nutrition/Free and Reduced Price Lunch
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=207

## Teacher Information

Teacher Licensure
http://www.tspc.state.or.us
Highly Qualified Teachers
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc
h/results/?id=102

## Special Programs

and Information

Alternative Education<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=78<br>Charter Schools<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=124<br>Early Childhood<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?=252<br>Homeless Students<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?=113<br>Special Education Programs<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc<br>h/results/?id=40<br>Talented and Gifted<br>http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=76

## Title I

Title I-A : Improving Basic Programs
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=95

Title I-B1: Reading First http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=96

Title I-B2: Early Reading First
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=97
Administered by U.S. Dept of Education, not ODE

Title I-B3: Even Start Family Literacy
http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=98

Title I-C: Migrant Education http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=99

Title I-D: Neglected and
Delinquent or At-Risk Children http://www.ode.state.or.us/searc h/results/?id=100

## Student <br> Achievement

Oregon Statewide Assessment
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/res ults/?id=169

National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP)
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/res ults/?id=163
http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard
Proficiency-Based Admissions
Standards System - Oregon University
System
http://www.ous.edu/
Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT)
http://www.collegeboard.com
American College Testing (ACT)
http://www.act.org

High School Completers
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/?id=878

Cohort Graduation Rate
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/?id=2644

Dropout Reports
http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/pag e/?id=1

## Data Collections

https://district.ode.state.or.us/apps/info/

# Other Resources and Topic Area Information 

http://search.ode.state.or.us/default.aspx


[^0]:    *In 2009-10, the definitions used in collecting race/ethnicity data changed per new federal requirements. Hispanic ethnicity includes students who are MultiRace/Ethnicity. Any student included in the Multi-Race/Ethnicity subgroup did not report Hispanic origin.
    **Declined to Report was removed from the reporting categories in 2010-11.

[^1]:    Sources: Fall Membership and Staff Data Collection

[^2]:    Due to budget constraints most 4th and 7th grade writing assessments were only scored using one rater (versus the two rater system that is generally employed).
    This may affect comparability to previous years' assessments.

[^3]:    Legend
    ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
    \# Rounds to zero

    * Value is significantly different from OR

[^4]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

[^5]:    Legend
    ~ Not reported by U.S. Department of Education
    \# Rounds to zero

    * Value is significantly different from OR

[^6]:    * Value is significantly different from the value for the same jurisdiction in 2011.

[^7]:    Source: Cohort Media File 2008-2009 posted April 25 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2011$ at http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/page/?id=2644. The file also provides district and school-level

[^8]:    * This category includes students in corrections, home school, separate schools, parentally placed in private schools, hospital programs, homebound, public and private facilities.
    **Previously known as Mental Retardation.
    Note: not all columns sum to total due to rounding

[^9]:    * The number of "Children Eligible for Services" is calculated using Census data and information from the American Community Survey. Numbers for 2006-2007 through 2009-2010 were estimated based on data from the 2000 census. The number for 2010-2011 is based on new data from the 2010 census and the 2009 American Community Survey, and reflects an increase in the number of young children in Oregon living in poverty due to significant economic factors in the past several years.
    ** Starting in 2009-2010, the number of children served and the percent served/not served calculations do not include children from over-income families.
    Source: Oregon Department of Education, Office of Student Learning and Partnerships Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten Annual Estimates of Eligible 3 and 4 Year-Olds

[^10]:    *Declined to report was no longer an option for the 2010-11 collection.
    **Students may be TAG-identified while also being identified for Special Education, or "Twice Exceptional"
    Source: All student data based on Spring Student Membership

