MEETING SUMMARY

TPR MODELING AND ANALYSIS GUIDES UPDATE

APM USER GROUP MEETING #2
SEPTEMBER 26, 2023; 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

1. PROJECT TEAM INTRODUCTIONS / AGENDA OVERVIEW   10:00

- Project team introductions
  - Garth Appanaitis, DKS Associates
  - Zachary Horowitz, ODOT
  - Susan Wright, Kittelson & Associates
  - Molly McCormick, Kittelson & Associates
- Review agenda and meeting purpose

2. CONTEXT OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES/STANDARDS/TARGETS IN OAR   10:05

- Zachary Horowitz (ODOT) provided a contextual overview of terminology for today's meeting and where it is referenced in the OARs.
- Jessica Horning (ODOT) inquired if V/C was provided as a measure as an endorsement, or because it was easy to understand?
  - It was noted that the measure is one that everyone is familiar with but that we know through implementation of -0215 that we will be taking a broader look at other types of measures.

3. OVERVIEW OF TM#8 AND PRIOR WORK   10:15

- Molly McCormick (KAI) provided an overview of TM#8, which summarized prior work that was conducted on past projects.
- Jessica Horning noted that on the ped/bike measures and non-motorized data management strategy front that it has been difficult to identify resources to make progress towards those long-term recommendations and measures in the last 2-3 years since that work was completed. Hoping that CFEC can help make progress on that front.
- Joseph Auth (City of Hillsboro) wanted to know why 35 mph is used as the congestion breaking point for the Metro work rather than 45 mph. He has posed the question to Metro but hasn't seen a clear response.
  - Susan Wright noted that the speed was based on some research from HCM and other sources. Emissions were also considered.

- Peter Schuytema (ODOT) noted that ODOT TPAU with the Texas Transportation Institute is kicking off development of a congestion white paper that is meant to define congestion for ODOT including speed thresholds. This will be incorporated into the APM likely around mid-2024.
- Chris Melson (ODOT) noted that the congestion white paper would provide foundational information to define congestion and assist in defining and communicating speed thresholds. Performance Standards, etc. would be defined in the appropriate policy.

4. OVERVIEW OF TM#9 AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES TOOLBOX   10:40

- Susan Wright (KAI) provided an overview of TM9, which included 35 candidate measures to be screened and identified direct and secondary objectives that would be aligned with each measure. An evaluation process has been identified but has not been conducted (yet) to determine which measures would be included with additional guidance in TM10.
- David Boyd (ODOT) inquired if the intersection standard would also include private driveways or just public streets.
  - Susan Wright noted that this would depend on how the measure was implemented by the local agency. Discussion – Does this list capture potential standards?
- It was clarified that a performance standard only needs to meet one of the eight objectives in the OAR and not all of them.
- Peter Schuytema noted that data availability is an important consideration for applying performance measures. Also wondered if a yes/no evaluation process was enough because in some cases the criteria may be "yes", but some "yeses" could be better than others. In other cases, a measure may only partially meet a criterion or include caveats. He feels that TM9 shows a comprehensive list of potential standards and is a good place to start the vetting process.
- Joseph Auth inquired if the local jurisdictions have tools to measure the standard.
  - Garth Appanaitis noted that this process provides a menu of options that try to align with potential criteria that a local agency may consider. However, ultimately the local agency would select the measures and that could be based on tools or data that they have.

5. OVERVIEW OF MODEL REVIEW AND OMSC ENGAGEMENT   11:35

- Garth Appanaitis (DKS) provided a high-level overview of TM4 (Model Review) Findings and the engagement process with the OMSC Working Group, which is feeding into the TM5 Modeling Framework.

6. NEXT STEPS / ADJOURN   11:55

- Please provide comments by 10/10