Oregon Adults Who Had Any Kind of Health Insurance, Oregon, 2012-2015						
Adulto 19 years	Unadjusted			Age-Adjusted		
Adults 18 years & older	%	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>		%	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>	
& Oldel	70	L. limit %	U. limit %	70	L. limit %	U. limit %
STATEWIDE	85.7	85.2	86.2	84.4	83.9	85.0
BAKER	85.7	78.4	90.9	85.6	76.5	91.5
BENTON	87.6	84.2	90.3	87.4	83.8	90.4
CLACKAMAS	88.4	86.8	89.8	87.3 ~	85.4	88.9
CLATSOP	88.5	84.3	91.6	87.7	82.8	91.3
COLUMBIA	88.7	84.4	91.9	86.1	80.9	90.1
COOS	83.3	79.3	86.6	80.0	74.8	84.3
CROOK	80.1	71.3	86.8	75.9	65.0	84.2
CURRY	81.5	73.9	87.2	76.8	67.0	84.4
DESCHUTES	83.9	81.1	86.3	81.3	78.1	84.2
DOUGLAS	84.0	81.2	86.5	80.0 ~	76.2	83.3
GRANT	86.6	77.9	92.2	83.8	71.8	91.3
HARNEY	80.6	69.0	88.6	74.5	62.0	84.0
HOOD RIVER	76.8	67.3	84.2	74.7 ~	64.8	82.6
JACKSON	84.8	82.5	86.9	82.0	79.1	84.5
JEFFERSON	84.6	75.1	90.9	82.3	71.4	89.6
JOSEPHINE	85.7	82.6	88.3	83.2	79.1	86.7
KLAMATH	81.1	76.5	85.1	78.4 ~	73.2	82.9
LAKE	85.7	76.1	91.9	87.0	76.0	93.4
LANE	86.2	84.4	87.7	85.1	83.2	86.9
LINCOLN	86.7	82.4	90.1	82.8	76.9	87.4
LINN	83.6	80.5	86.4	81.8	78.3	84.9
MALHEUR	80.5	72.8	86.5	78.0	69.6	84.6
MARION	81.6	79.2	83.8	80.4 ~	77.8	82.7
MORROW	81.4	67.1	90.4	79.5	63.8	89.5
MULTNOMAH	87.0	85.8	88.2	87.0 ~	85.7	88.1
POLK	89.1	85.1	92.1	88.4	84.0	91.7
TILLAMOOK	83.5	75.6	89.3	77.2	67.3	84.8
UMATILLA	78.8	73.4	83.4	77.6 ~	71.9	82.4
UNION	88.5	82.8	92.5	87.0	80.4	91.6
WALLOWA	89.3	78.2	95.1	88.7	77.1	94.8
WASHINGTON	87.1	85.5	88.6	86.8 ~	85.2	88.3
WHEELER	91.5	74.2	97.6	93.7	78.4	98.4
YAMHILL	86.8	83.2	89.8	85.8	81.9	89.0
GILLIAM/WASCO						
/SHERMAN	89.5	84.6	93.0	87.5	81.3	91.8

<sup>\*</sup> This number is suppressed because it is statistically unreliable.

Source: Oregon Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System 2012-2015 county combined NOTE: Age adjustment is based on three age groups: 18-34; 35-54; and 55+, per U.S. Standard Million Population.

<sup>~</sup> Statistically Significant: significantly higher or lower than the statewide rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Confidence intervals provide a measure of how much an estimate might vary due to chance. We present 95% confidence intervals, which means that if we conducted this survey over and over, 95% of the confidence intervals calculated would contain the true population value. Wider intervals suggest the estimate is more unreliable and should be interpreted with caution, however it is the best estimate available.