Heavy Drinking, Oregon Adult Males, Oregon, 2014-2017

Heavy drinking among adult males: Had 2+ drinks of alcohol per day / 60+ drinks of alcohol in the past 30 days

Adults 18 years & older	Unadjusted			Age-Adjusted		
	%	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>		0/	95% Confidence Interval <sup>1</sup>	
		L. limit %	U. limit %	%	L. limit %	U. limit %
STATEWIDE	7.7	7.1	8.3	7.8	7.2	8.5
BAKER	*	*	*	*	*	*
BENTON	6.3	3.7	10.3	6.1	3.5	10.5
CLACKAMAS	7.2	5.6	9.1	7.0	5.4	9.1
CLATSOP	9.5	5.2	16.9	11.0	5.5	20.6
COLUMBIA	5.9	2.4	13.5	6.5	2.8	14.5
COOS	8.8	5.2	14.7	9.9	5.4	17.4
CROOK	*	*	*	*	*	*
CURRY	5.3	2.4	11.2	2.4 ~	1.1	5.1
DESCHUTES	8.3	5.9	11.7	8.5	5.9	12.3
DOUGLAS	8.0	5.3	12.0	8.1	5.1	12.7
GRANT	*	*	*	*	*	*
HARNEY	*	*	*	*	*	*
HOOD RIVER	17.9	9.1	32.3	18.1 ~	9.9	30.8
JACKSON	8.4	6.2	11.2	8.2	5.8	11.4
JEFFERSON	*	*	*	*	*	*
JOSEPHINE	10.9	7.2	16.1	10.9	6.9	16.9
KLAMATH	7.4	4.1	13.0	6.2	3.4	11.1
LAKE	*	*	*	*	*	*
LANE	9.1	7.2	11.5	9.4	7.4	12.0
LINCOLN	16.0	10.4	23.9	16.4 ~	10.2	25.3
LINN	5.5	3.5	8.6	5.7	3.5	9.1
MALHEUR	*	*	*	11.8	4.6	27.0
MARION	6.2	4.4	8.7	6.2	4.3	8.7
MORROW	*	*	*	*	*	*
MULTNOMAH	8.5	7.2	9.9	8.6	7.3	10.0
POLK	4.9	2.5	9.4	4.5	2.3	8.8
TILLAMOOK	11.2	5.4	21.5	10.2	4.6	21.1
UMATILLA	5.6	2.8	10.7	5.3	2.6	10.6
UNION	6.4	2.6	14.7	6.8	2.8	15.6
WALLOWA	13.3	4.8	32.0	*	*	*
WASHINGTON	5.2	3.9	6.7	5.2 ~	4.0	6.7
WHEELER	*	*	*	*	*	*
YAMHILL	9.8	6.3	14.9	9.9	6.3	15.3
GILLIAM/WASCO						
/SHERMAN	5.7	2.2	13.9	*	*	*

<sup>\*</sup> This number is suppressed because it is statistically unreliable.

Source: Oregon Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System 2014-2017 county combined NOTE: Age adjustment is based on three age groups: 18-34; 35-54; and 55+, per U.S. Standard Million Population.

<sup>~</sup> Statistically Significant: significantly higher or lower than the statewide rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Confidence intervals provide a measure of how much an estimate might vary due to chance. We present 95% confidence intervals, which means that if we conducted this survey over and over, 95% of the confidence intervals calculated would contain the true population value. Wider intervals suggest the estimate is more unreliable and should be interpreted with caution, however it is the best estimate available.