Oregon Adults Who Had Any Kind of Health Insurance, Oregon, 2014-2017						
Adulto 19 voore	Unadjusted			Age-Adjusted		
Adults 18 years & older	%	95% Confidence Interval ¹		%	95% Confidence Interval ¹	
& Oldel	70	L. limit %	U. limit %	70	L. limit %	U. limit %
STATEWIDE	91.3	90.9	91.7	90.5	90.1	91.0
BAKER	94.5	89.9	97.1	94.3	88.7	97.2
BENTON	93.5	90.6	95.5	93.6	90.6	95.7
CLACKAMAS	92.6	91.2	93.7	91.8	90.3	93.1
CLATSOP	89.9	85.6	93.0	89.9	85.0	93.3
COLUMBIA	92.4	88.4	95.2	91.1	86.1	94.4
COOS	89.9	86.2	92.7	88.1	83.1	91.7
CROOK	82.6	73.7	88.9	75.4 ~	64.0	84.0
CURRY	87.4	80.3	92.2	83.3	72.0	90.7
DESCHUTES	89.8	87.4	91.7	88.4	85.6	90.6
DOUGLAS	90.7	88.3	92.6	88.3	85.2	90.9
GRANT	93.8	86.7	97.2	91.8	81.7	96.6
HARNEY	88.8	76.7	95.1	85.5	70.6	93.5
HOOD RIVER	81.1	71.5	88.0	79.6 ~	69.6	86.8
JACKSON	90.1	88.0	91.8	88.0	85.5	90.2
JEFFERSON	90.5	80.7	95.6	89.8	79.4	95.2
JOSEPHINE	92.4	89.7	94.5	90.5	86.8	93.2
KLAMATH	88.6	84.8	91.5	87.2	82.6	90.7
LAKE	90.7	80.3	95.9	90.1	76.3	96.2
LANE	91.9	90.6	93.1	91.5	90.0	92.8
LINCOLN	91.0	86.8	94.0	86.5	80.3	91.0
LINN	89.9	87.4	91.9	88.9	86.2	91.2
MALHEUR	86.9	79.6	91.9	85.4	77.3	91.0
MARION	92.1	90.5	93.4	91.7	90.1	93.1
MORROW	96.2	90.2	98.6	96.0	88.9	98.7
MULTNOMAH	91.7	90.7	92.6	91.8	90.8	92.7
POLK	90.2	86.7	92.8	89.4	85.7	92.3
TILLAMOOK	90.9	85.9	94.2	88.3	81.5	92.8
UMATILLA	87.5	82.8	91.0	86.5	81.6	90.3
UNION	91.6	86.4	94.9	90.7	84.7	94.5
WALLOWA	93.7	85.3	97.4	90.5	78.4	96.1
WASHINGTON	91.9	90.6	93.1	91.8	90.4	93.0
WHEELER	91.8	71.6	98.0	91.8	66.5	98.4
YAMHILL	90.9	87.8	93.2	90.4	87.1	93.0
GILLIAM/WASCO						
/SHERMAN	93.2	88.7	96.0	91.2	85.4	94.9
* +1						

^{*} This number is suppressed because it is statistically unreliable.

Source: Oregon Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System 2014-2017 county combined NOTE: Age adjustment is based on three age groups: 18-34; 35-54; and 55+, per U.S. Standard Million Population.

[~] Statistically Significant: significantly higher or lower than the statewide rate.

¹ Confidence intervals provide a measure of how much an estimate might vary due to chance. We present 95% confidence intervals, which means that if we conducted this survey over and over, 95% of the confidence intervals calculated would contain the true population value. Wider intervals suggest the estimate is more unreliable and should be interpreted with caution, however it is the best estimate available.