



PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION, Center for Health Protection
 Health Care Regulation and Quality Improvement Section
 Health Facility Licensing and Certification Program
 Kate Brown, Governor



Facilities Planning and Safety Unit
 800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 465
 Portland, OR 97232
 Voice: (971) 673-0540
 Fax: (971) 673-0556
 TTY: 711

<http://www.healthoregon.org/nursestaffing>
mailbox.nursestaffing@state.or.us

Nurse Staffing Advisory Board – Quarterly Meeting Agenda

Presiding Co-Chair: Debbie Robinson

Date: October 27th, 2021
 Time: 1:00 PM – 5:00 PM

To receive meeting login information, register for the meeting here:
https://www.zoomgov.com/meeting/register/vJlftuGppjksGIB_mBExFrkBKHUDeZ071Q

Note for virtual meetings: OHA will open the Zoom meeting 15 minutes prior to the meeting’s official start time. You may be required to wait in the Zoom waiting room or receive a message that the meeting has not yet started if you attempt to join the meeting before this time. If you are unable to join the meeting after this time, please contact the meeting host at the email or phone number provided below.

The time and order of agenda items are subject to change at the discretion of the Board Co-Chairs

Time	Agenda Item	Materials Provided	Presenter
1:00 PM	Item 1 – Call to Order		Debbie Robinson
1:00 PM – 1:05 PM	Item 2 - Minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> July 2021 meeting minutes September 2021 meeting minutes 	Kimberly Voelker

Nurse Staffing Advisory Board
Quarterly Meeting Agenda
October 27th, 2021

1:05 PM – 1:45 PM	Item 3 – Rules Advisory Committee: HB 3016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Rules 	Anna Davis
1:45 PM - 2:30 PM	Item 4 – Open Action Item: Hospital Surge <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation 		Nick May (OHA HSPR)
2:30 PM – 2:35 PM	Item 5 – Membership updates		Kimberly Voelker
2:35 PM – 2:50 PM	Item 6 – Status Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nurse Staffing Surveys • Nurse Staffing Complaint Investigations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey dashboard • Complaint dashboard 	Kimberly Voelker
2:50 PM – 3:35 PM	Item 7 – Open Action Item: Hospital Waiver Request <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation • Questions & Discussion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Curry General Hospital Nurse staffing waiver request 	OHA & Curry General Hospital
3:35 PM – 3:40 PM	Item 8 – Break		
3:40 PM – 3:50 PM	Item 9 – Committee updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSAB Civil Monetary Penalties Committee 		Kimberly Voelker & Debbie Robinson
3:50 PM – 4:10 PM	Item 10 – Proposed statute changes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSAB’s Proposed Statute Changes slides 	Anna Davis
4:10 PM – 4:30 PM	Item 11 - Nurse Staffing Surveyor discusses survey activities		Client Care Surveyor

4:30 PM – 4:45 PM	Item 12 – Emerging issues in nurse staffing NSAB members raise new issues that are emerging as nurse staffing concerns across the state		Debbie Robinson
4:45 PM – 5:00 PM	Item 13– Public Comment Members of the public may speak for up to two minutes on the meeting’s agenda and other topics.		
5:00 PM	Meeting Adjourned		

Upcoming Meetings

- *NSAB Civil Monetary Penalties Committee – November 1, 2021:
1:30 PM – 3:30 PM*
- *NSAB Civil Monetary Penalties Committee – November 19, 2021:
10:00 AM – 12:00 PM*

Everyone has a right to know about and use Oregon Health Authority (OHA) programs and services. OHA provides free help. Some examples of the free help OHA can provide are:

- Sign language and spoken language interpreters
- Written materials in other languages
- Braille
- Large print
- Audio and other formats

If you need help or have questions, please contact Kimberly Voelker at 971-803-0914, 711 TTY or kimberly.n.voelker@state.or.us at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Oregon Nurse Staffing Advisory Board (NSAB)

Wednesday, July 28, 2021

1:00 PM – 5:00 PM

Meeting Minutes

Cochairs	Susan King, MS, RN, CEN, FAAN (presiding); Debbie Robinson, RN, MSN
Members present	Zennia Ceniza, RN, MA, CCRN, ACNP-BC, NE-BC; Uzo Izunagbara, RN; Jenni Word, RN; Rick Rhoton, MHA, RN, BSN; Barbara Merrifield, MSN, RN; Joel Hernandez, RN;
Members absent	Kelsey Betts, RN; Rob Campbell, CP, ADN, RN
PHD staff present	Dana Selover, MD, MPH; Anna Davis, JD; Matt Gilman, MPPA; Kimberly Voelker, MPH; Karyn Thrapp, RN; Michelle Ingram, RN

Guests present	Nancy Mitchell (Santiam Memorial Hospital); Beth Dimler (Lower Umpqua Hospital District); Danielle Meyer (OAHHS); Donell Owens (Kaiser Sunnyside Medical Center); Nancy Deyhle (Sacred Heart Riverbend); Belle Shepherd (OHA); Christy Simila (ONA); Jesse Kennedy (ONA); Lace Velk (OHSU); Steve Hardin (St. Anthony Hospital); Therese Hooft (ONA); Rachelle Lyons-Schatz (Legacy Meridian); Robin Reed (Nursingale); Matthew Freeman (Kaiser Westside Medical Center); Matt Calzia (ONA); Lynne Terry (The Lund Report); Shavon Albee (Providence Portland); Kyle Furukawa (Good Shepherd); Erica Drury (Maxim Healthcare Services)
----------------	--

Agenda Item 1	<i>Call to Order</i>
The meeting was conducted as an online Zoom meeting with computer and phone audio options. The meeting was called to order and members confirmed their presence on the meeting via roll call. All other individuals present identified themselves.	

Agenda Item 2	<i>Minutes</i>
----------------------	----------------

Board co-chair asked if the board had any corrections, additions, or questions about the minutes from the April 28, 2021 meeting.

Motion to approve April minutes as written: Susan King
Seconded: Debbie Robinson
Motion passed

Agenda Item 3	<i>Membership Updates & Program Updates</i>
----------------------	---

K. Voelker stated that there were two nurse manager and one direct care position open. She stated that R. Rhoton had accepted a new position that made him ineligible for the board and that this would be his final meeting on the NSAB. She thanked R. Rhoton for his service on the board and stated that after this meeting, there would be three nurse manager positions open.

Board co-chair asked for an update with candidate applications.

K. Voelker stated that OHA had recommended candidates to the Governor's Office.

D. Selover stated that OHA had received applications from three direct care candidates and ten nurse manager candidates. She stated that the Governor's Office was attempting to get more applications to the board and explained that OHA preferred to have a pool of candidates to choose from.

Board co-chair asked about OHA mandatory training requirements for board members and whether the training was for all board members or only new members.

K. Voelker was uncertain whether continuing board members were required to take the mandatory trainings and stated she would confirm who needed to take the trainings.

K. Voelker announced that that management of the NSAB support was moving from M. Gilman to A. Davis due to internal reorganization.

D. Selover stated that OHA was reorganizing because OHA had received funding for new positions and stated that OHA would give the board updates on the new positions. D. Selover also thanked M. Gilman for his work with the board and explained that he was still in the same program but now working on regulation of In-Home Care agencies.

Board co-chair asked what new positions OHA was going to fill first.

D. Selover stated that hiring additional surveyors was OHA's top priority and that OHA had already started the process to hire additional surveyors.

Action Item(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">OHA to confirm whether continuing board members are required to complete mandatory trainings
-----------------------	--

Agenda Item 4	<i>Status Updates</i>
<i>Nurse Staffing Waivers</i>	
<p>K. Voelker presented the nurse staffing waiver dashboard showing the number of initial, subsequent, renewal, partial denial, expired, and lapsed nurse staffing requests for large hospitals.</p>	
<p>Board member asked about the process for renewing waivers after the waivers had expired.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker explained that OHA requests hospitals to submit renewal requests one month before the waiver expires, and if the waiver expires while OHA is processing the renewal request, OHA will extend the expiration date for the existing waiver. She explained that any request initiated after a waiver's expiration would be considered a lapsed request.</p>	
<p>A. Davis clarified that hospitals only needed a waiver if the proposed staffing deviated from rule requirements. She explained that waiver approval letters contain the waiver's expiration date, but hospitals sometimes struggled with tracking that information, especially if there was a change in nursing leadership at the hospital.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker presented a chart showing the types of units covered by granted nurse staffing waivers and noted that most waivers covered procedural units. She stated that the "Other" category contained pediatric and medical/surgical units, as well as specialized care.</p>	
<p>Board co-chair asked whether hospitals were requesting psychiatric technicians to count towards the minimum number for units covered in the psychiatric category.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker confirmed that psychiatric units were requesting psychiatric technicians and mental health technicians to count towards minimum number requirements.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker announced that OHA had received a waiver request that would utilize technicians in a way that OHA had not seen and stated that OHA wanted the board's guidance on the waiver request.</p>	
<p>A. Davis stated that the request was for the entire hospital to use technicians in lieu of the second nursing staff member, and she stated that OHA had not seen this type of hospital-wide request except at specialty hospitals.</p>	
<p>Board co-chair stated that this could be a misapplication of the waiver and that she would like the board to discuss the waiver more.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker asked the board to confirm whether it wanted OHA to invite the hospital to discuss its waiver at the October 2021 board meeting. The NSAB confirmed that it wanted OHA to invite the hospital to present its waiver request at the next NSAB meeting.</p>	
<p>K. Voelker presented charts showing the initial, subsequent and renewal waiver requests by year. The board did not have any follow-up questions on these charts.</p>	

Nurse Staffing Surveys

K. Voelker presented the survey dashboard for Cycle 1 and explained that Wallowa had had its Plan of Correction (POC) accepted after the dashboard was created. She presented the Cycle 2 dashboard and explained that OHA had completed eight surveys during Cycle 2, which was the same number of surveys completed by July in Cycle 1. She stated that surveyors were not needing to delay the exit dates for Cycle 2; for Cycle 1, surveyors needed to delay the exit date for four out of eight surveys in the early months of survey.

Board co-chair noted that OHSU's POC looked like it was late, and she asked OHA to explain its process for checking-in with hospitals with overdue POCs.

K. Voelker stated that OHSU had requested an extension, which OHA had granted. She stated that OHSU had since submitted its POC and that OHA was in the process of reviewing it.

A. Davis clarified that because the dashboards are now sent out with the board packet, the information is not as up to date.

Nurse Staffing Complaint Investigations

K. Voelker presented the nurse staffing complaint investigation dashboard and highlighted new information, including the investigation completed at Legacy Emanuel Medical Center and the revisit survey completed at Good Samaritan Regional Medical Center. She stated that OHA had used the new process proposed by the Process Improvement Committee (PIC) for Good Samaritan Regional Medical Center's revisit survey.

A. Davis added that Good Samaritan Regional Medical Center's revisit survey reflected the hospital had returned to compliance and that the full report was posted on the nurse staffing website.

Board member asked for more information about the complaint intake process.

K. Voelker stated that when OHA receives complaints, it completes an initial review of the complaint to determine if more information is needed. If more information is needed, OHA contacts the complainant to get more information. Once OHA receives additional information, the complaint goes to the Hospital Complaint Triage Team for review, which will determine what actions OHA has the authority to take, such as an unannounced investigation. K. Voelker stressed the importance of complaints including the date of the problem, the name of the individual affected by the problem, the unit where the problem happened, and a clear description of the problem.

Board member asked how many complaints OHA closed because it did not receive additional information from the complainant.

K. Voelker stated that she did not have that information available, and she stressed the importance of responding when OHA asks follow-up questions. She stated that OHA usually keeps the complaint open for three weeks to let complainants respond, but that OHA eventually closes the complaint if it cannot get information needed to determine jurisdiction. She stated that OHA sends nurse staffing complainants a letter explaining why OHA closed the complaint.

A. Davis explained that if complainants send more information after OHA closes the complaint, OHA would reopen the complaint.

Board member asked if OHA made referrals if the complaint was not within HCRQI's jurisdiction.

A. Davis confirmed that OHA referred complainants to the correct group if it was not within HCRQI's jurisdiction. She clarified that referrals were more common for patient care complaints than nurse staffing complaints.

Board member asked how OHA processes complaints sent in by nursing unions.

A. Davis states that those complaints go through the same process as complaints sent in by individuals. She stated that if OHA receives the complaint from a third-party, OHA will need to find out who can provide additional information about the complaint.

K. Voelker stated that OHA's preference was to receive complaints from firsthand sources, but that OHA accepted complaints regardless of who sent them in.

Board member asked whether the Hospital Complaint Triage Team was a committee at OHA and asked if it maintained agendas and meeting minutes.

A. Davis stated that the Hospital Complaint Triage Team was made up of hospital surveyors and surveyors from other programs, like hospice and home health, but that the team focused on hospital complaints. She explained that the team did not keep minutes because they discussed complaints, which were confidential, and that the agendas were just lists of complaints they were reviewing. She explained that she was part of those meetings, as well as K. Voelker and an administrative support person.

Board co-chair noted that the OHA website included the Complaint Intake Form and the Nurse Staffing Addendum, and she asked whether OHA would accept a complaint if the complainant only submitted the Nurse Staffing Addendum.

K. Voelker confirmed that OHA would still accept the complaint and stated that OHA would follow-up with the complainant if any information was missing. She stated that OHA would also accept the complaint if the complainant only submitted the Complaint Intake Form and would also follow up to request any missing information.

D. Selover stated that if OHA receives multiple complaints for the same facility, OHA will combine those complaints into a single investigation and report. She also explained how hospitals are expected to address deficiencies hospital-wide, and not only in surveyed units.

Board member expressed concern about seeing meaningful staffing changes and noted that some direct care nurses were now filing concerns with the Bureau of Labor and Industries (BOLI) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid (CMS).

A. Davis clarified that BOLI and CMS had different areas of jurisdiction and were not responsible for enforcing the nurse staffing law.

Board co-chair proposed that OHA add time to the January 2022 meeting to discuss the difference between state and federal complaints.

A. Davis confirmed that OHA would add that topic as an agenda item for January 2022 and stated that OHA could also add it to the board member orientation.

Board member asked about the notification process for when an unannounced complaint investigation is combined with an announced triennial survey.

A. Davis stated that OHA announces the survey but not the complaint investigation, and OHA informs the hospital about the complaint investigation during the entrance conference.

K. Voelker updated the board on the status of Cycle 2 surveys and noted that OHA was in the process of creating additional Explanation Guides. She stated that OHA could create a nurse staffing survey process FAQ and asked the board whether that would be helpful.

Board co-chair asked whether there were additional questions not addressed in existing interpretive guidance.

K. Voelker stated that there were some questions that were not addressed in interpretive guidance that surveyors address while on site.

Board co-chair was uncertain whether the nurse staffing survey process FAQ would be helpful and stated that the board could ask the surveyors more about it later in the meeting.

Action Item(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• OHA to invite hospital to speak at the October 2021 quarterly meeting regarding its waiver request• OHA to add time to the January 2022 quarterly meeting to discuss the difference between state and federal complaints• OHA to add state and federal complaints discussion to the NSAB member orientation
-----------------------	---

Agenda Item 5	<i>Committee Updates</i>
----------------------	--------------------------

Acuity Committee

K. Voelker updated the board on the status of the Acuity & Intensity Interpretive Guidance and stated that OHA had distributed it via its listerv, emailed it directly to hospital CNOs and nurse staffing committee co-chairs, and shared the guidance with the Oregon Nurse Staffing Collaborative. She stated that OHA had not received any questions about the interpretive guidance.

Board co-chair stated the board could ask surveyors about utilization of the interpretive guidance later in the meeting.

Civil Monetary Penalties Committee

K. Voelker stated that the Civil Monetary Penalties (CMP) Committee had held its first meeting on July 26, 2021, during which the committee approved its charter and goals. She explained that the CMP Committee intended to fulfill its charter by the end of 2021 so it could present its work at the January 2022 NSAB meeting, and she stated that she would send the finalized charter to the full board.

Action Item(s)

- OHA to send NSAB finalized CMP Committee charter

Agenda Item 6

Open Action Items

Hospital Surge

D. Selover discussed the hospital surge and stated that OHA Incident Management Team, led by the Health Security and Preparedness (HSPR) program, met regularly to discuss hospital capacity. She noted how patients had delayed care during the pandemic, leading to higher acuity when they presented to the hospital for treatment. She stated that hospitals were seeing record patient volumes and record admissions, and that OHA was looking at solutions to help address the patient surge.

Board co-chair asked whether HSPR was a committee within OHA.

D. Selover explained that HSPR was the program at OHA responsible for managing disaster preparedness and response.

Board co-chair asked whether HSPR advised on the hospital surge and whether the board could expect any recommendations from the program.

D. Selover stated there were ongoing workgroups to address issues related to COVID, wildfires, and the hospital surge. She stated that the workgroup was unlikely to issue a formal recommendation and could communicate solutions to the Area Trauma Advisory Board (ATAB).

Board member noted that there were more patients who would normally be overnight being moved to same-day surgeries and asked whether OHA would provide any guidance to facilities on elective surgeries.

D. Selover stated that decisions related to individual patients' treatment were made by providers and facilities. She also stated that hospitals were cancelling some procedures that required inpatient beds and that those decisions are made by providers.

Plan of Correction (POC) Challenges

K. Voelker presented slides showing challenges with Plans of Correction (POCs) during the first survey cycle. For the three hospitals still in the Cycle 1 POC approval process, she showed how many POCs OHA had reviewed, how many tags the hospital still needed to correct, and whether OHA had a conference call with the hospital. She shared common challenges, how many hospitals had submitted five or more POCs, and how many tags each hospital with at least five POCs needed to correct per POC version. She stated that sometimes hospitals would get close to having an acceptable POC and then change previously approved tags, causing the hospital to have to re-address those tags.

Board member stated that tags that were closest to the patient were more significant and asked whether OHA could weight more significant tags.

K. Voelker stated that the Process Improvement Committee (PIC) had addressed that issue by recommending changes to how surveyors focused their time during triennial and revisit surveys. She explained that OHA does not weight tags differently and that any deficiency found during a survey would require a POC.

K. Voelker presented slides showing the most challenging tags for hospitals to address during the POC approval process, the reasons why hospitals struggled with these tags, and what conference calls focused on. She explained the ways OHA offers conference calls to hospitals struggling with their POCs.

Board co-chair stated that a change in nursing leadership should not result in difficulty getting a POC submitted since there were other regulatory requirements hospitals were used to adapting to during leadership changes.

Board member asked why Tag E646, related to meal and rest break requirements, was not included in the slides. He noted that the board had had many discussions about meal and rest breaks being a continuing challenge.

K. Voelker explained that Tag E646 was often cited during Cycle 1, but that hospitals had not struggled with correcting that deficiency during the POC approval process.

Board co-chair stressed the importance of completing a revisit survey to ensure that POCs are implemented.

K. Voelker stated that OHA planned to complete revisit surveys for the second cycle in line with statutory requirements.

Annual Legislative Report

K. Voelker provided an update on the status of the legislative report and stated that board co-chairs were currently reviewing the draft. She stated that OHA was going to add more information about HB 3016 and additional funding to the report.

Board co-chair explained the drafting process to new members and confirmed that the NSAB approved of the current drafting process.

Agenda Item 7	<i>Break</i>
----------------------	--------------

Board co-chair called for a five-minute break.
--

Agenda Item 8	<i>Program Improvement</i>
----------------------	----------------------------

A. Davis presented slides about the rulemaking process and the role of Rules Advisory Committees (RACs). She explained that the NSAB members made up the RAC for HB 3016, but the board could form a committee to workshop the proposed rule. She stated that the board could propose other rule changes but due to the short timeline for HB 3016, OHA would only be able to address consensus proposals at this time.

Board co-chair asked about the possibility of creating temporary rules for HB 3016 so the board could have time to complete a comprehensive rule review.

A. Davis stated that temporary rules can only last six months, which may not be enough time for the board to complete a comprehensive rule review.

Board co-chair stated that the board should focus on HB 3016 now and create a new committee to complete a comprehensive rule review at a future date.

D. Selover suggested that members in the HB 3016 Rules Committee could continue serving in 2022 if they were still interested in reviewing other rules.

A. Davis discussed the requirements created by HB 3016 and highlighted what the RAC would need to address.

Board co-chair asked whether the board agreed with forming a Rules Committee to look at HB 3016 immediately and to address other rule proposals in the future.

Board co-chair wondered if the committee could look at the issue of allowing technicians to count towards the minimum number and asked OHA if that could be addressed under rule.

A. Davis stated that allowing technicians to count towards the minimum number would require a statutory change.

D. Selover stated that consensus proposals that did not have an associated financial impact could be added to the OHA housekeeping bill.

Board co-chair asked about the timeline for the OHA housekeeping bill.

D. Selover stated that the bill was presented for odd-year sessions, but that OHA should be aware of the NSAB proposals by the end of 2021.

Board co-chair requested that statute changes be discussed at the next NSAB meeting and stated she agreed with the other board co-chair that the Rules Committee should only focus on HB 3016.

Motion to form a Rules Committee for HB 3016 – Debbie Robinson

Seconded – Jenni Word

Motion passes

The following board members volunteered to participate on the Rules Committee for HB 3016: Joel Hernandez, Jenni Word, Susan King, Uzo Izunagbara, Debbie Robinson, and Zennia Ceniza.

Action Item(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSAB to discuss consensus statute change requests at October 2021 quarterly meeting • OHA to schedule meetings for Rules Committee for HB 3016
-----------------------	---

Agenda Item 9	<i>Nurse staffing surveyor discusses survey activities</i>
<p>A. Davis introduced surveyors K. Thrapp and M. Ingram to the board and noted the board had questions about onsite investigations, issues happening with onsite interviews, and surveyors' experience with tools that were being completed by the hospital.</p> <p>K. Thrapp stated that OHA had completed eight surveys during the second survey cycle, for a total of 22 units surveyed. She stated that the interviews on the units took about an hour to complete and that surveyors were receiving useful information during that interview that aligned with documentation the surveyors reviewed.</p> <p>Board co-chair noted she had heard confusion about minimum numbers and asked for the difference between minimum, core and target staffing.</p> <p>K. Thrapp stated that core staffing and target staffing could mean different things in different organizations, so OHA did not use that language and used the minimum number definition. She stated that surveyors encountered different language during surveys and that they worked with hospitals to determine how the numbers were being used.</p> <p>Board member suggested that nurse staffing plans be developed to state that nurses will not exceed a certain number of patients.</p>	

K. Thrapp clarified that that would be considered a staffing ratio and that the rules required nurse staffing plans to state the minimum numbers of nursing staff members on specified shifts. She clarified that hospitals could have a ratio in the plan as reference but that hospitals would not meet rule requirements if the plan did not also include the minimum numbers.

Board member asked whether surveyors had experienced difficulty enforcing the nurse staffing rules during the pandemic due to implementation of facility disaster plans.

K. Thrapp explained that there were varying levels of understanding of when hospitals implemented their facility disaster plans, and surveyors were finding that some hospital staff thought facility disaster plans had been implemented when they had not. She stated that most units that reported the facility disaster plan was implemented also reported that their unit was unaffected by the implementation.

A. Davis added that OHA had created a new Facility Disaster Plan tool to help surveyors assess whether a facility disaster plan was implemented.

Board member asked whether surveyors were seeing short-stay or pop-up units being created due to the pandemic, and whether those units had nurse staffing plans approved by the hospital nurse staffing committee.

K. Thrapp said that surveyors had not seen that very often, but that they had investigated a complaint about that earlier in the year.

Board member asked whether the temporary unit would require an approved nurse staffing plan before or after developing the unit.

K. Thrapp confirmed that the unit would need a nurse staffing plan before staffing the unit.

Board co-chair thanked the surveyors for their time speaking with the board.

Agenda Item 10	<i>Emerging Issues in nurse staffing</i>
-----------------------	--

Board member requested more information from nurse managers on the board about how their hospitals are managing the surge.

Board member discussed how his hospital had created surge-related nurse staffing plans well in advance of the current surge but was still having difficulty finding spaces for patients due to patient census throughout the region. He explained how his hospital had twice daily huddles to plan for staffing.

Board member stated that her hospital was having difficulty finding beds for patients and I was struggling with transferring patients due to the strain throughout her region. She explained that her hospital was on divert due to lack of nursing staff.

Board co-chair asked if there were any other emerging issues the board would like to bring forward.

Board member asked how hospitals staffed for units that had RNs in dual roles who were pulled off the unit to respond to events in other hospital units, especially for long-term situations.

Board members had not encountered this at their hospitals. A. Davis stated that some Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) had waivers that allowed the unit to staff with one RN and one technician if another RN was called away from the unit, but she had not seen any waivers that addressed what would happen if the RN was required to be off the unit for an extended period of time.

Agenda Item 11	<i>Public comment</i>
-----------------------	-----------------------

Board co-chair invited members of the public to speak and reminded the board to listen intently but not engage in dialogue during public comment.

M. Calzia (ONA) responded to the board member's previous question about staffing with dual-role RNs and noted that hospitals he worked at typically provided extra resources to plan for a code. He also thanked the NSAB for discussing the surge and making time for public comment. He stated that nurses were fatigued and demoralized, and that the problem of not having enough staff had existed for some time. He requested the NSAB include in its legislative report how bedside nurses were facing more injury, and he called on OHA to provide more enforcement of the nurse staffing law. He requested that the board and OHA create a clear definition of minimum numbers.

Agenda Item 12	<i>Meeting Adjourned</i>
-----------------------	--------------------------

Survey & Certification Unit
 800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 465
 Portland, OR 97232
 Voice: (971) 673-0540
 Fax: (971) 673-0556
 TTY: 711

<http://www.healthoregon.org/nursestaffing>
mailbox.nursestaffing@state.or.us

Oregon Nurse Staffing Advisory Board (NSAB)
 September 9, 2021
 3:30 PM – 4:30 PM

Meeting Minutes

Cochairs	Susan King, MS, RN, CEN, FAAN (presiding); Debbie Robinson, RN, MSN
Members present	Zennia Ceniza, RN, MA, CCRN, ACNP-BC, NE-BC; Uzo Izunagbara, RN; Jenni Word, RN; Rick Rhoton, MHA, RN, BSN; Barbara Merrifield, MSN, RN; Joel Hernandez, RN; Kelsey Betts, RN
Members absent	Rob Campbell, CP, ADN, RN
PHD staff present	Dana Selover, MD, MPH; Anna Davis, JD; Kimberly Voelker, MPH

Guests present	Jesse Kennedy (ONA); Danielle Meyer (OAHHS); Nick May (OHA – PHD – HSPR); Christy Similar (ONA); Katie Shriver (SEIU); Colin Sanders (OHA Ombuds Office); Mallory Temple (OPCA); Donnell Owens (KSMC)
----------------	---

Agenda Item 1	<i>Call to Order</i>
The meeting was conducted as an online Zoom meeting with computer or phone audio options. The meeting was called to order and members confirmed their presence on the meeting via roll call. All other individuals present identified themselves.	

Agenda Item 2	<i>Review OHA announcement and recommend opportunities to clarify OHA’s message</i>
Board co-chair asked OHA to clarify its announcement and comment on the impact of pausing nurse staffing surveys on nurse staffing in hospitals.	
D. Selover clarified that the OHA Incident Management Team requested the pause due to the hospital surge and explained that during an emergency, OHA considers actions it can	

take to make regulatory activities less onerous and safer for everyone involved. She also stated that it is uncommon for OHA to seek guidance from advisory boards prior to implementing changes due to how quickly decisions needed to be made.

Agenda Item 3	<i>Discuss and recommend criteria for nurse staffing survey activity during emergency and disaster situations</i>
----------------------	---

D. Selover stated that N. May, from the OHA Public Health Division – Health Security, Preparedness and Response program was available to speak to the board about how hospital capacity is monitored and reported.

Board member asked whether OHA had looked at data for how pausing the nurse staffing plans and surveys would affect patient-related adverse events.

D. Selover stated that OHA did not have data about how pausing nurse staffing surveys would affect patient safety. She clarified that OHA had not paused any nurse staffing plans and that facilities were still required to implement their disaster plans prior to deviating from their approved nurse staffing plans.

Board member asked whether OHA has oversight of facility disaster plans.

D. Selover stated that OHA did not regulate when hospitals implement facility disaster plans, but that hospitals were still required to maintain compliance with state and federal regulations.

Board member asked what expectations OHA had for hospitals to involve nurse staffing committee in the implementation of disaster plans.

D. Selover stated that hospitals did not currently have an obligation to involve nurse staffing committees in the implementation of disaster plans, but OHA encouraged hospitals to collaborate with nurse staffing committees during the emergency. She stated that requirements would change when HB 3016 took effect in January 2022.

Board co-chair stated that hospitals were only allowed to deviate from the approved nurse staffing plan if there was an emergency declaration and if the facility had implemented its disaster plan. She stated that there was confusion about when a disaster plan was implemented and she asked OHA how it measured implementation of disaster plans.

A. Davis stated that OHA had created a new tool that gathers additional information when there is an indication that the hospital's facility disaster plan was implemented. The surveyors speak with the hospital's Incident Management Team to determine which units were affected by the emergency and when the hospital deviated from nurse staffing plans.

Board member stated that her hospital had implemented its facility disaster plan without experiencing any change and asked whether there was any accountability for those situations.

A. Davis explained that OHA would review documentation showing whether the disaster plan had been implemented and when nurse staffing plans were being discontinued, but she clarified that OHA does not have authority to determine whether implementing a disaster plan is appropriate. She stated that the OHA's guidance from last year encouraged hospitals to discuss low census scenarios and surges with nurse staffing committees.

Board member asked what type of documentation OHA would review to determine that a disaster plan had been implemented.

K. Voelker explained that the new tool asks the Incident Management Team leader about how the hospital is impacted by the disaster, when the disaster plan was implemented, and which units were affected by the disaster.

Board member expressed concern that direct care members would not be involved in this process.

D. Selover thanked board member for his comment and stated that the rules for HB 3016 will address how implementation of disasters plan are communicated to direct care nursing staff members.

Board member shared the importance of direct care nursing staff members' contributions to patient safety and proposed that hospitals that were not surveyed during the 30-day pause submit a report to OHA.

D. Selover cautioned against requiring extra reporting and stated that N. May would have more information about what data OHA could use to determine hospital capacity.

Board co-chair requested to hear more from nurse manager members about how hospitals were being affected by the surge.

Board member stated that her hospital had involved their nurse staffing committee in surge planning, although they had not had to deviate from any plan yet. She reminded the board that physicians and other hospital staff were affected by the surge and that everyone's priority at the hospital was patient safety.

Board co-chair asked whether that board member's hospital had implemented its facility disaster plan.

Board member confirmed her hospital had implemented its facility disaster plan.

Board member also confirmed that her hospital had implemented its facility disaster plan and clarified that there were different levels of response to the disaster. She explained that her hospital had a lot of travelers and that the hospital verified travelers' required qualifications, competencies and trainings.

Board member also confirmed that her hospital had implemented its facility disaster plan and explained that her hospital had deviated from its nurse staffing plan, but that the idea to do so had come from a direct care nursing staff member. She explained that they had deviated from their nurse staffing plan so they could handle multiple COVID patients or a patient surge, and that the deviation was necessary for safe patient care.

Board co-chair asked whether the board member's hospital had an increase in COVID patients.

Board member stated that her hospital had seen an increase in COVID patients in the Emergency Department, but that most of those patients were being treated as outpatients.

Board co-chair thanked the nurse manager board members for their insights and asked board member for clarification for his recommendation to OHA.

Board member proposed that OHA complete nurse staffing surveys at hospitals that have the capacity for a survey and hospitals that do not have capacity complete a report to OHA.

Board co-chair asked OHA whether there were some hospitals that would have received a survey during the pause.

D. Selover confirmed that OHA would have continued the nurse staffing surveys during that period of time.

Board co-chair asked whether OHA could require hospitals to complete a report instead of a full survey.

D. Selover stated that OHA would need to discuss with the Department of Justice (DOJ) whether that was an option.

Board member clarified that hospitals should only be allowed to complete a report instead of a full survey if its facility disaster plan was implemented.

D. Selover stated that OHA does not know whether a hospital has implemented its facility disaster plan prior to entering the facility. She stated that could be an indicator for a facility-specific pause and that N. May would have more information about what OHA could consider for hospital-specific and regional-specific pause indicators.

A. Davis stated that OHA would need to confirm with the DOJ whether OHA could require a report instead of a survey and questioned whether requiring a report that surveyors would need to review would take away resources from facilities that had capacity for a survey.

Board co-chair asked the NSAB for more feedback about the proposed recommendation.

Board member stated that if the hospital was too overwhelmed for a survey, it would be challenging to require a report and that there were other things nursing staff should be focused on during an emergency.

Board co-chair explained how her hospital was overwhelmed with COVID patients and how nursing leaders were focused on ensuring travelers had required trainings and orientations. She stated that her hospital had daily huddles and were shifting workloads to allow nursing leaders to be on the floor with direct care staff. She stated that she would prefer to participate and benefit from a full survey when her hospital was not overwhelmed rather than to try to write a report during the surge.

Board co-chair asked OHA to confirm whether hospitals that were not surveyed would still receive a full survey.

D. Selover and A. Davis confirmed that all hospitals would still receive a full survey and that hospitals that would have been surveyed in 2021 would still be surveyed at the end of 2021 or in early 2022.

Board member commented that it was disheartening to continue to pause the surveys and stressed the important of providing data for safe patient care.

Board co-chair noted that there was not support for the proposed recommendation and reiterated OHA's assurance that all hospitals would be surveyed. She asked that OHA keep the board informed about actions it was considering to address the surge.

Board member asked whether the guest from OHA would be able to summarize the hospital indicators for the board to consider.

N. May stated that because the board was already over its allotted meeting time, he was hesitant to rush through the data. He stated that he was willing to present to the board at a future meeting.

K. Voelker stated that she could coordinate with N. May to bring him to the October NSAB meeting.

Action Item(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">OHA to invite N. May (OHA – HSPR) to next NSAB quarterly meeting
-----------------------	--

Agenda Item 4	<i>Meeting Adjourned</i>
----------------------	--------------------------

Nurse Staffing Survey Dashboard: CYCLE 1 - 10/13/2021

#	Hospital	City	Status	Entrance Date	Report due (30 business days after exit)	Report Mailed	POC Due (30 business days after report received)	POC received by OHA	OHA POC Review Due (30 business days after POC received)	POC Approved	Survey to POC Approved
1	Southern Coos	Bandon	Revisit	7/16/19	9/9/19	9/9/19				7/1/21	513
2	Lower Umpqua	Reedsport	Revisit	9/24/19	11/18/19	11/19/19				8/16/21	495
3	Wallowa Memorial	Enterprise	Revisit	8/6/19	9/30/19	10/2/19				7/20/21	511
4	Salem Hospital	Salem	Revisit	11/12/19	1/9/20	10/1/20				8/5/21	453
5	Cedar Hills	Portland	Third	12/4/19	1/30/20	2/10/20	10/5/21				

Nurse Staffing Survey Dashboard: CYCLE 2 - 10/13/21

#	Hospital	City	Status	Entrance Date	Report due (30 business days after exit)	Report Mailed	POC Due (30 business days after report received)	POC received by OHA	OHA POC Review Due (30 business days after POC received)	POC Approved	Survey to POC Approved
1	Shriners	Portland	Revisit	3/22/21	5/13/21	5/13/21	6/25/21	5/14/21	6/28/21	6/28/21	71
2	OHSU	Portland	Second	3/30/21	5/21/21	5/21/21	11/4/21				
3	Legacy Emanuel	Portland	Second	4/12/21	6/4/21	6/4/21	10/28/21				
4	Samaritan Lebanon	Lebanon	Second	5/18/21	7/13/21	6/24/21	10/15/21				
5	Samaritan Albany	Albany	First	6/16/21	8/10/21	8/10/21	9/22/21	9/28/21	11/9/21		
6	Santiam Memorial	Stayton	First	6/29/21	8/23/21	8/23/21	10/27/21				
7	Curry General Hospital	Gold Beach	First	6/30/21	8/24/21	8/24/21	10/18/21				
8	Samaritan North Lincoln	Lincoln City	First	7/20/21	9/13/21	9/15/21	10/27/21				
9	Adventist Health Tillamook	Tillamook	First	8/10/21	10/13/21	10/13/21	11/24/21				
10	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Springfield	Survey in progress	10/5/21	12/1/2021*						

* Entrance date and report due date are based on projected entry and exit dates and are subject to change

Nurse Staffing Complaint Investigations - 10/13/2021

#	Hospital	City	Complaint received	Status	Investigation Entrance Date	Report Mailed	POC Due (30 business days after report received)	POC Received by OHA	OHA POC Review Due (30 business days after POC received)	POC approved
1	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Eugene	07/18/2016	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	10/04/16	05/15/17			02/10/00	03/05/18
2	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Eugene	08/30/2016	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	10/04/16	05/15/17	04/16/18		02/10/00	03/05/18
3	Columbia Memorial	Astoria	09/08/2016	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	10/27/16	06/15/17	05/16/18	05/11/18	5th	06/21/18
4	Providence Medford	Medford	08/12/2016	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	11/08/16	01/04/18	05/06/19	05/29/19	07/10/19	06/13/19
5	Vibra Specialty Hospital	Portland	02/16/2017	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	03/16/17	05/08/17	04/16/18		02/10/00	03/05/18
6	Samaritan Albany	Albany	02/15/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/19/17					
7	SCMC - Bend	Bend	05/12/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	06/19/17					
8	Providence Willamette Falls	Oregon City	06/28/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	08/28/17					
9	OHSU	Portland	08/31/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	09/25/17					
10	OHSU	Portland	04/03/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	09/25/17					
11	OHSU	Portland	02/27/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	09/25/17					
12	McKenzie Willamette MC	Springfield	09/26/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	01/08/18					
13	Samaritan Pacific Community Hospital	Newport	09/06/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	01/23/18					
14	Providence Milwaukie	Milwaukie	06/28/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	02/20/18					
15	Providence St. Vincent	Portland	01/16/2018	Closed - No further action required	2/23/18	7/8/20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	Bay Area Hospital	Coos Bay	11/08/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/17/18					
17	Vibra Specialty Hospital	Portland	12/12/2017	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	07/10/18	08/03/18	12/31/19	01/31/20	03/13/20	04/22/20
18	Providence Portland Medical	Portland	11/17/2017	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	07/11/18	08/03/18	01/21/19	01/25/19	03/08/19	05/30/19
19	Providence Newberg Medical	Newberg	10/30/2017	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	07/25/18	08/03/18	07/15/19	06/03/19	07/15/19	07/18/19
20	Good Samaritan RMC	Corvallis	12/14/2017	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	07/31/18	08/24/18	06/01/20	05/29/20	07/10/20	08/28/20
21	Providence Medford	Medford	01/04/2018	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	08/08/18	8/23/18	7/15/19	7/12/19	8/23/19	8/30/19
22	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	06/21/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
23	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	06/11/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
24	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	07/10/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
25	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	07/31/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					

Nurse Staffing Complaint Investigations - 10/13/2021

#	Hospital	City	Complaint received	Status	Investigation Entrance Date	Report Mailed	POC Due (30 business days after report received)	POC Received by OHA	OHA POC Review Due (30 business days after POC received)	POC approved
26	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	07/25/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
27	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	07/26/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
28	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	08/08/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
29	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	10/18/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
30	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	10/09/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
31	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	10/22/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
32	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	11/08/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
33	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	11/08/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
34	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	11/08/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
35	Kaiser Foundation - Westside	Hillsboro	11/15/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	11/06/18					
36	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	06/14/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
37	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	07/24/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
38	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	07/27/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
39	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	07/27/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
40	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	07/31/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
41	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	10/02/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
42	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	10/12/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
43	Kaiser Sunnyside MC	Clackamas	11/08/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	2/4/19					
44	Legacy Meridian Park MC	Tualatin	02/13/2019	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	3/25/19					
45	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	06/15/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/08/19					
46	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	07/31/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/08/19					
47	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	08/02/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/08/19					
48	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	08/21/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/08/19					
49	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	11/06/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	04/08/19					
50	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	06/15/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	4/8/19					
51	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	07/31/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	4/8/19					
52	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	08/02/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	4/8/19					
53	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	08/21/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	4/8/19					

Nurse Staffing Complaint Investigations - 10/13/2021

#	Hospital	City	Complaint received	Status	Investigation Entrance Date	Report Mailed	POC Due (30 business days after report received)	POC Received by OHA	OHA POC Review Due (30 business days after POC received)	POC approved
54	Tuality Community Hospital	Hillsboro	11/06/2017	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	4/8/19					
55	Samaritan Pacific Community Hospital	Newport	08/10/2018	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	6/6/19	9/20/19	1/16/20	1/2/20	2/13/20	5/5/20
56	Providence Medford MC	Medford	03/08/2019	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	7/17/19					
57	Asante Rogue RMC	Medford	09/24/2018	Combined w/ Cycle 1 survey	7/22/19					
58	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Springfield	11/28/2018	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	8/27/19	9/20/19	11/1/19	10/30/19	12/16/19	12/3/19
59	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Springfield	06/27/2019	Closed - Unsubstantiated	8/27/19	9/20/19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
60	McKenzie Willamette MC	Springfield	04/29/2018	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	8/28/19	9/20/19	1/15/20	12/12/19	1/23/20	1/29/20
61	Good Samaritan RMC	Corvallis	10/15/2019	Revisit Survey Report in progress	8/12/20	9/15/20	10/27/20	10/2/20	11/16/20	12/1/20
62	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Springfield	10/29/2019	Third	10/1/20	11/13/20	7/2/21	6/22/21	8/4/21	
63	Sacred Heart Riverbend	Springfield	02/24/2020	Third	10/1/20	11/13/20	7/2/21	6/22/21	8/4/21	
64	Kaiser Westside	Hillsboro	10/29/2019	Second	12/14/20	2/5/21	6/4/21	6/21/21	8/3/21	
65	OHSU	Portland	12/16/2019	Combined w/ Cycle 2 survey	3/3/21					
66	OHSU	Portland	02/25/2021	Combined w/ Cycle 2 survey	3/30/21					
67	St. Charles Bend	Bend	01/06/2020	Revisit w/ Cycle 2 survey	4/8/21	6/4/21	7/19/21	7/15/21	8/26/21	8/5/21
68	Legacy Emanuel MC	Portland	01/06/2021	POC combined w/ NSS	5/24/21	7/8/21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
69	PeaceHealth Cottage Grove	Cottage Grove	09/16/2020	First	8/4/21	8/20/21	10/5/21	10/5/21	11/17/21	



PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION, Center for Health Protection
 Health Care Regulation and Quality Improvement Section
 Health Facility Licensing and Certification Program

Kate Brown, Governor



Survey & Certification Unit 800 NE Oregon
 Street, Suite 465 Portland, OR 97232

Voice: (971) 673-0540

Fax: (971) 673-0556

TTY: 711

<http://www.healthoregon.org/nursestaffing>
mailbox.nursestaffing@state.or.us

REQUEST FOR NURSE STAFFING WAIVER FROM STAKEHOLDER

OAR Chapter 333, Division 510, Rule 0110

(This form is for Stakeholder use.)

Facility/Agency: Curry Health Network

Hospital Address: 942220 4th Street, Gold Beach, OR 97444

Unit: Emergency Department & Acute Care Units

1. Individual requesting waiver:

CNO/CNE: Meghan Brace

Title: Chief Nursing Officer

Address: 94220 4th Street, Gold Beach, OR 97444

Phone: 541 247 3102 Cell: 541 290 1348

Email: meghan.brace@curryhealth.org

2. Oregon Administrative Rule(s) requesting to be waived:

Rule Number(s): OAR 333 510 002, ORS 4410.155 section f, ORS 441.179 section 4

Rule text: ORS 441.179 section 4 defines "Nursing Staff" to include "other assistive nursing personnel". OAR 333 510 002, however, limits Nursing Personnel to only include CNAs. ORS 4410.155 section f defines a Nurse Staffing Plan required element as "Must establish minimum numbers of nursing staff... provided that at least one registered nurse and one other nursing staff member is on duty in a unit when a patient is present."

3. Alternative solution proposed:

Curry Health Network Staffing Committee has defined a Patient Care Tech (PCT) as an "assistive nursing personnel", and therefore considers them to be the second nursing staff member required in a unit when one or more patients is present, in compliance with ORS 441.179 section 4. OAR 333-510-002 section 10, however, does not allow the same latitude, and requires all nursing staff to be licensed or certified by the State Board of Nursing. As Patient Care Techs are not certified or licensed by the OSBN, we are requesting a waiver from Oregon Health Authority to continue their use in our nursing units.

4. Days and times when the alternative solution proposed will govern unit staffing:

At all days and times, this minimum staffing guideline of at least 1RN and 1PCT being on duty in a department when one or more patients are present, would be in place.

5. Description of situations during the specified days and times when the alternative solution proposed would not govern unit staffing: (examples may be based on patient census, acuity, etc.)

None

6. Description of training and experience of any staff members who would provide direct care in place of nursing staff members when the alternative solution proposed will govern unit staffing:

Patient Care Techs are required to be signed-off on core PCT competencies prior to being allowed to perform the task independently. These competencies are retained by HR.

7. Is BLS or ACLS certification required for this position? Yes No

From: [Mailbox Nurse Staffing](#)
To: [Meghan Brace](#); [Mailbox Nurse Staffing](#)
Subject: RE: Curry General Hospital Nurse Staffing Waiver Request
Date: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:00:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Meghan,

Thank you for providing this additional information about the proposed alternate staffing at Curry General Hospital. I will include your responses as part of your nurse staffing waiver application and be sure to contact you if our team has any other questions.

Best wishes,

Kimberly N. Voelker, MPH

Nurse Staffing Policy Analyst

OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY

Public Health Division

Health Facility Licensing & Certification

800 NE Oregon St., Ste 465 | Portland, OR 97232

Kimberly.N.Voelker@dhsoha.state.or.us | www.healthoregon.org/nursestaffing

Direct: (971)-803-0914

From: Meghan Brace <mbrace@curryhealth.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:43 AM
To: Mailbox Nurse Staffing <Mailbox.NurseStaffing@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: Curry General Hospital Nurse Staffing Waiver Request

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Greetings,

Thank you for providing me with an opportunity to answer these questions. Please don't hesitate to reach out if you require additional details or clarification.

Q. When would this alternative proposed staffing (1 RN + 1 PCT) **not** govern unit staffing?

A. This minimum staffing model provides the baseline for staffing during times of typically low volume and/or acuity level (for example during the hours of 2300 – 1100 in the Emergency Department, when typically, very low volumes of patients are seen).

When census and/or acuity increases, additional RNs are obtained through the following steps:

1. The House Supervisor RN responds to assist
2. Additional RN staff are floated from other departments to assist

3. On-Call RN staff are brought in
4. Staff Resource lists are used to obtain additional RN staff
5. Nursing leadership personnel are called in to assist

Q. Please describe what these PCT competencies are and how it makes them appropriate to provide direct care to patients on these units.

A. The Curry Health Network Patient Care Technician Competency List includes the following delegatable tasks:

- Stock and Set up Patient Care Rooms
- Check patients for continence and assist to bathroom
- Change incontinent patients
- Assist patient with safe transfers (car to wheelchair, wheelchair to bed/gurney, etc.)
- Support and Assist with Activities of Daily Living
- Personal hygiene assistance
 - Oral care every morning & evening (includes Denture care)
 - Shower or bed bath support
 - Hand hygiene offered
- Offer nutrition, fluids, and refreshments, as allowed by diet
- Calculate & record intake & output (I&O)
- Linens changed
- Reposition non-ambulatory patients
- Ambulate patients per nursing/physician order
- Foley care every shift and prn
- Record all output and intake in EHR
- May perform the following tasks under RN or LPN Delegation
 - Point of Care Blood Sugar Testing
 - Bladder Scanning
 - In & Out Catheter Placement
 - Obtain EKG
 - Simple Dressing application
 - Simple wound cleanse
 - Discontinue IV
 - Collect & Record Vital Signs
 - Clean room after patient discharge
- Ensure all personal belongings go with patient
- Ensure all body fluids are removed and properly disposed of
- Ensure all IV fluids and patient care supplies are properly disposed of
- Place linens in appropriate receptacle

- Notify housekeeping of discharge when necessary

-

CHN Patient Care Tech MAY NOT perform the following tasks:

- Suture or Staple Removal
- Patient Assessment
- Patient Education
- Administer medications
- Perform invasive procedures other than as expressly identified
- Splinting

-

These lists of allowable and non-allowable tasks were developed following review of applicable laws and regulations. Additionally, CHN Nursing leadership considered the needs of the departments when developing this list, as well as considering the ability for CHN to reliably evaluate competency of these tasks. Before transitioning to a PCT staffing model in 2017, CHN employed both CNA I and CNA II staff members. The continual variation in the CNA personnel scope of practice, depending upon whether the CNA I or the CNA II was working on a given day set our nursing staff up for errors in delegation, and potentially delegating tasks to a CNA I that was outside of their scope of practice. By standardizing both the training and delegation expectations for PCTs, CHN was able to eliminate the variation and instead developed a standard that was safe and effective for the departments where PCTs work as UAP.

Best,

Meghan

Meghan Brace, RN BSN MBA

Chief Nursing Officer

Curry Health Network

94220 4th Street

Gold Beach, OR 97444

office: 541-247-3102
cell (phone or text): 541-290-1348
e-mail: meghan.brace@curryhealth.org



Integrity | Compassion | Accountability | Stewardship | Teamwork | Excellence

From: Mailbox Nurse Staffing <Mailbox.NurseStaffing@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 1:08 PM
To: Meghan Brace <mbrace@curryhealth.org>
Cc: Mailbox Nurse Staffing <Mailbox.NurseStaffing@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: Curry General Hospital Nurse Staffing Waiver Request

**WARNING: This email originated outside of Curry Health Network.
DO NOT CLICK links or attachment unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Ms. Brace,

I hope you and your team are doing well. I am reaching out to you in regards to the nurse staffing waiver request you submitted for the Curry General Hospital Emergency Department and Acute Care Unit. Your waiver requests the use of 1 RN + 1 patient care technician for the minimum number of nursing staff members on these units.

Before we can move forward with processing your request, we need a little more information about how the patient care technicians would be used. In particular, please address:

1. When this alternative proposed staffing (1 RN + 1 PCT) would not govern unit staffing. For example, if the patient census increased or there was a sudden increase in patient acuity and/or nursing care intensity, would these units continue to be staffed with only 1 RN + 1 PCT? Your application mentions that a House Supervisor is available as a

resource to these units; is it your proposed plan to have the House Supervisor always respond in the event of increased demand, or would there be situations in which RNs are called?

2. You state that the PCTs have completed PCT core competencies. Please describe what these competencies are and how it makes them appropriate to provide direct care to patients on these units.

Thank you,

Kimberly N. Voelker, MPH

Nurse Staffing Policy Analyst

OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY

Public Health Division

Health Facility Licensing & Certification

800 NE Oregon St., Ste 465 | Portland, OR 97232

Kimberly.N.Voelker@dhsosha.state.or.us | www.healthoregon.org/nursestaffing

Direct: (971)-803-0914

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including attachments, may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or his/her authorized agent, the reader is hereby notified that any use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail, including attachments, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please IMMEDIATELY (1) Forward the email and all file attachments to compliance@curryhealth.org to notify the Curry Health Network Compliance office AND (2) permanently delete the message and any file attachments.