



**ODF Wildland-Urban Interface and Statewide Wildfire Risk Mapping
Rulemaking Advisory Committee (RAC)
February 10, 2022 Meeting Summary**

I. Agenda

Time	Agenda Item
8:45 – 9:00 a.m. (15 mins)	<i>Pre-Meeting: Project Team Joins Early</i>
9:00 – 9:15 a.m. (15 mins)	Welcome and Agenda <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Welcome and Updates from ODF Agenda Review
9:15 – 10:15 a.m. (60 mins)	Review and Discuss Final Draft OAR Language <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review sections with changes since 2/3/22 Meeting Review RAC written proposals
10:15 – 10:30 p.m.	Public Comment
10:30 – 10:40 a.m.	Break
10:40 – 11:30 (50 mins)	Continued Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Last Call Typos, Wordos, and Dangling Participles!
11:30 – 11:35	Stretch Break
11:35 – 12:35	Review and Discuss Final Draft Fiscal and Economic Impact
12:45 – 1:00 p.m. (15 mins)	Next Steps <i>Confirm action items, discuss follow-up, and share topics for next meeting.</i>
1:00 p.m.	Adjourn

II. Relevant Links

1. Oct. 14th, 2021 Official Meeting Record: See, <https://www.oregon.gov/odf/board/Pages/rac.aspx>
2. ODF RAC Website: <https://www.oregon.gov/odf/board/Pages/rac.aspx>
3. Oregon Explorer Natural Resources Digital Library: <https://oregonexplorer.info/topics/wildfire-risk?ptopic=62>
4. Oregon Explorer Statewide Map: https://tools.oregonexplorer.info/OE_HtmlViewer/index.html?viewer=wildfireplanning



III. RAC Discussion Protocol on ODF Recommendations

(Modified During 10-14-21 RAC Meeting)

1. State Question
2. ODF and/or OSU Recommendation(s)
3. Use: a) OAR, b) Implementation, or c) Both
4. Basis for Recommendation (e.g., the reasoning behind it.)
5. What the Recommendation Does NOT Mean
6. RAC Clarifying Questions
7. Member Discussion with Q&A
8. Preliminary and/or Final Polling
9. Document Result:
 - a) Consensus or No Consensus
 - b) Revisit Next Meeting or Later in Process
10. Reminder: Either Way, Opportunity for RAC Member Comments to Accompany ODF Staff Report to Board

IV. Participant Attendance List

Organization	RAC Member	Attended	RAC Alternate	Attended
1000 Friends	Mary Kyle McCurdy	X		
Associated Oregon Loggers	Amanda Astor		Rex Storm	
Association of Oregon Counties	Mallorie Roberts	X	Drenda Howatt	
Association of Oregon County Planning Directors	Holly Kerns	X	Lindsey Eicher or Jill Rolfe	
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Jason Robison		Tim Vrendenburg	
Department of Land Use & Conservation	Jon Jinings	X	Sadie Carney	
Hood River County Planning Commission	Leti Moretti			
Jackson County Fire	Robert (Bob) Horton	X		
League of Oregon Cities	Jim McCauley	X		
Office of the State Fire Marshal	Travis Medema	X	Chad Hawkins	X
Oregon Farm Bureau	Lauren Smith	X	Mary Anne Cooper	
Oregon Fire Chiefs Association	Nicole Palmateer Hazelbaker	X		
Oregon Fire Marshall's Association	Shawn Olson		Tanner Fairrington or Ryan Kragero	X



Oregon Forest Industries Council	Kyle Williams		Mike Eliason	
Oregon Home Builders	Mark Long	X	Karna Gustafson	
Oregon Property Owner's Association	Dave Hunnicut	X	Samantha Bayer	
Oregon Small Woodlands Association	Roger Beyer			
Oregon State University	Chris Dunn	X		
Oregon State University	Erica Fischer			
Sisters Fire	Roger Johnson	X	Garrett Mosher	
Special Districts Association	Michele Bradley		Jason Jantzi	
Sustainable Northwest	Dylan Kruse	X		
Tualatin Valley Fire	Les Hallman	X		
Western Environmental Law Center	Pam Hardy		Marlee Goska	X
The Nature Conservancy	Amelia Porterfield	X	Kerry Metlen	X
Oregon State University – Institute of Natural Resources	Megan Creutzburg			

Non-Voting Information Sources

ODF	Tim Holschbach	Jenna Trentadue
ODF	Derek Gasperini	Adam Meyer
ODF	Tom Fields	Levi Hopkins
USFS	Ian Rickert	
BLM	Richard Parrish	

Visitors

Jim Kelly—Chair, Board of Forestry
Al De Vos—ODF
Andy Boulton—BCD
Bailie Schultz, OFB
Bob Holstrom
Brett Taylor
Carine Arendes
Doug Grafe
Ed Keith
Garrett Mosher
Haley McKinnon
KDRV Newswatch 12
Mike Shaw—ODF



Myrica McCune
Phil
Rebecca Weber
Susan Millhauser, DLCD

Facilitator

Sam Imperati, ICMresolutions

VI. Meeting Chat

09:20:28 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

I would support Les' idea

09:22:17 From RAC Roger Johnson :

I support a mapping component and then separate out buildings requiring a permit or occupancy definition. I don't think the intent was to capture ag buildings and Dave stated

09:29:43 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

sorry have to step away for 30. BTW session sucks!

09:30:31 From RAC Bob Horton, Fire Dist 3 :

We want to make sure we are not seeing different regulatory bodies needing to define "their" version of structure... We'd like to see us get to a settled structure definition here to inform the other pieces.

09:48:42 From RAC -Mary Kyle McCurdy 1KF (she/her) :

I needed to step away for a phone call

09:50:29 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Please do not feel like I was trying to interpret the authority of other agencies. That is their purview. Not mine.

09:52:43 From RAC Bob Horton, Fire Dist 3 :

Maybe oversimplified for a rule, but a "structure is" and a "mapped structure is"

10:02:45 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

I think it is clear that these definitions are specific to these rules:

10:02:56 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :



629-044-1005

Definitions

(1) The definitions set forth in ORS 477.001, shall apply.

(2) The following words and phrases, when used in OAR 629-044-1000 to 629-044-1040, shall mean the following:

10:12:45 From RAC Roger Johnson :

Thanks for that perspective Doug. Great to have you in the meeting!

10:14:59 From bob Holmstrom :

I would like to speak

10:20:36 From Alt RAC - Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

OFMA supports the changes in 1010(1) and 1010(2). This was a great catch!

10:24:00 From Alt RAC | Kerry Metlen | The Nature Conservancy :

Good change

10:48:47 From Tim Holschbach :

(b) Development within an urban growth boundary or unincorporated community boundary anticipated by local comprehensive plans because the subject property is no longer protected under statewide planning goals 3 or 4 and that meets the criteria in (a)(A) or (a)(B);

Or

(b) Development within an urban growth boundary or unincorporated community boundary anticipated by local comprehensive plans because the subject property is no longer protected under statewide planning goals 3 or 4 and that meets the criteria in (a)(B);

10:58:58 From RAC -Mary Kyle McCurdy 1KF (she/her) :

I agree with Holly on that point about no need to add "no longer protected under Goal 3 or 4." If the land is in a UGB or unincorporated community, it by definition is not protected by Goal 3 and 4.

11:06:05 From Holly Kerns, AOCPD - RAC :

I think the simplification could look like this: (b) Areas within an urban growth boundary or unincorporated community boundary.

11:06:52 From Holly Kerns, AOCPD - RAC :



"Lands identified for development" is still at best a term of art... it's confusing to my small brain.

11:08:51 From RAC - Chris Dunn, Oregon State University :

Keep in mind, we need spatial datasets that represent this. I know we have UGB data. Do we have the other information in a spatial format? If so, please let me know what those are. Going city to city is not a practical option.

11:16:41 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thanks, everyone, for your thoughts and comments. Jim - I agree that the Sisters Ranger Station property might not have been the best example. It was one that just came to mind.

11:17:42 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

This proposal will likely include all lands within the UGB because undeveloped properties will meet the 50% vegetative fuels language in (1)(a). I believe this will include parks in Lake Oswego, Portland, Medford, John Day etc. to be included in the WUI.

11:21:01 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thanks, Dave. Of course, I disagree. However, this was why we are willing to explore only applying (1)(a)(B) rather than both (1)(a)(A) & (1)(a)(B).

11:22:48 From RAC-Lauren Smith, OFB :

Agree with Dave

11:24:38 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

Sorry Sam - made my comments to the wrong section.

11:27:43 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

1030 - We continue to hope efforts will be made to include notice to as many parties as possible.

11:28:10 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

Agree with Lauren but it's worse than that - we will have a ranch house and barn in the WUI on 10,000 acres because the house and barn are on different tax lots. Is that really what we intend?

11:28:14 From RAC -Mary Kyle McCurdy 1KF (she/her) :

Agree with Jon on notice

11:29:19 From RAC - Jon Jinings, DLCD :

Thousands of acres of ranch land is, I hope, not a candidate to be included in the WUI.

11:29:19 From Holly Kerns, AOCPD - RAC :



+1 to Jon and Mary Kyle's comments regarding notice

11:29:30 From Alt RAC - Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

Dave - if the barn is excluded in the definition of structure, would that help with the issue you brought up?

11:29:39 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

+1 to the notice comments. residents deserve to be informed as well as property owners

11:30:28 From RAC -Mary Kyle McCurdy 1KF (she/her) :

We continue to want notice to the property address, too, not just owner

11:30:54 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

I would hope not too Jon - we agree on the policy. But that's what the language requires, which is why many of us have consistent been trying to change it. Yes Tanner, a more refined definition of "structure" would be helpful.

11:51:11 From RAC: Dylan Kruse, SNW :

And thank you to the agencies for stating their positions and points for clarification clearly.

11:55:39 From RAC - Dave Hunnicutt - OPOA :

Thank you Tim for all the work on this issue

11:55:55 From RAC - Amelia Porterfield - TNC :

Thanks for all your hard work Tim, and thanks to the rest of you for the robust discussion!

11:56:26 From RAC - Jim McCauley, LOC :

Appreciate the work on the rule-making process. wish other agencies would follow a similar transparent and collaborate effort.

11:57:03 From Alt RAC - Tanner Fairrington - OFMA :

Thank you, everyone, for all of the effort and great discussions!

11:57:39 From RAC -Mary Kyle McCurdy 1KF (she/her) :

Thank you!

11:58:15 From Holly Kerns, AOCPD - RAC :



Thank you Tim, and thank you Sam, for all your work to keep us on track and moving forward through thorny issues.

11:58:24 **From McCune, Myrica Muir :**

Thank you Sam!