State of Oregon

Department of Human Services

Children, Adults and Families

Dashboard Report - District and Statewide

The Children Adults and Families Cluster of the Oregon Department of Human Services seeks to continuously improve how it helps those it serves achieve good outcomes. For Child Welfare, this means the safety and permanency of all the children it serves. For Self Sufficiency it means promoting independence and timely, accurate eligibility determination. Measurably improving the specific areas of work reported in the DHS Dashboard is a major way of demonstrating improved services to Oregonians.

May 2015

Table of Contents

Primary Performance Measures

Combined

Percent of Goals met - CW and SS 3
Rankings – CW and SS 4

Child Welfare

Rankings – CW only 5
Adoptions – Median Months 6
CPS Assessments – Timeliness 7
CPS Response – Timeliness 8
Face-to-face Contact – Children 9
Foster Care -Disproportion by race 10
Foster Care - Entries and exits by race 11
Foster Care - In Home(Includes Trial Reunif) and Out of Home 12
Foster Care - Relative care 13
Mental Health – Assessments 14

Self Sufficiency

Rankings – SS Only 15
SNAP – Accuracy of Eligibility Determination 16
TANF – Family Stability 17
TANF – Validated JOBS Placements 18

Vocational Rehabilitation Services

Employment Plans - Number written 19
Employment Outcomes - Number employed 19

Secondary Performance Measures

Child Welfare

Adoptions - Percent achieved in 24 months or less 21
CPS Timeliness – 24 Hour and 5 Day, percent met 22
CPS Timeliness – District Table, response by type 23
Face-to-face Contact – Adults 24
Foster Care – Reunification and Re-entry 25
Re-abuse – Percent of recurrence 26

Self Sufficiency

SNAP – Timeliness of Issuance 27
SNAP – Timeliness of Issuance - Expedited 28
SNAP – Timeliness of Issuance - Non Expedited 29
SNAP – Use by those in poverty 30

History

Child Welfare

Adoptions – Median Months 32
CPS Assessments – Timeliness 33
CPS Response – Timeliness 34
Face-to-face Contact – Children 35
Foster Care – First time placements with relative 36
Foster Care - In Home and Out of Home 37
Foster Care - Relative Care 38
Mental Health - Assessments History 39

Combined

Definition of Measures 45

Self Sufficiency

SNAP and Medical Prgs – Accuracy of Eligibility Determina 40
TANF – Family Stability 41
TANF – Validated JOBS Placements 42

Vocational Rehabilitation Services

Employment Plans - Number written 43
Employment Outcomes - Number employed 44

Notes and Changes to the Dashboard during 2014

The statewide dashboard is now available via the internet, click here: http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/data/.
Analysts are working to create new CW reports, the Dashboard is being updated as reports become available.
UPDATE: Please see new appendices for unavaliable Primary CW Dashboard measures

Please direct any questions or comments to SSP.Reporting@state.or.us

Primary Performance Measures

Average Rank for CW and SS Measures

Oregon

Self Sufficiency March 2015: FS Accuracy, TANF Placements	*Child Welfare* *April 2015: CPS Assessments Timeliness* *April 2015: F2F Contact w/Children* *March 2014 - March 2015: Median Months to Adoption*





Average Rank for Child Welfare Measures and Ranking

March 2015 CPS Timeliness of Assessment, F2F Contact w/Children,
March 2014 - March 2015 Median Months to Adoption





Median Months to Adoption
Quarter Ending March 31, 2011

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Median Months	46.9	36.9	26	34.4	38.8	49.8	34.5	32.0	36.3	48.1	27.4	0	31.6	28.8	29.4	43.9	34.4
Target	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36

CPS Assessments - Timeliness
Effective May 1, 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Percent Overdue	44.3%	51.5%	35.5%	57.2%	54.5%	53.8%	53.8%	41.7%	65.6%	55.5%	48.1%	41.8%	45.7%	54.1%	38.3%	37.2%	48.4%
Target	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Timeliness of CPS Response
Percent of All Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline
June 2011

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
% Timely	87.7%	82.0%	84.0%	81.6%	81.5%	83.8%	86.2%	77.9%	87.0%	78.4%	88.5%	87.8%	91.4%	78.3%	88.1%	86.3%	83.6%

Face-To-Face Contact Within 30 Days - Children
June 2011

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Percent Contaced	86.3%	80.9%	93.2%	66.3%	76.6%	77.4%	77.4%	82.1%	71.9%	86.9%	82.2%	86.9%	87.6%	95.1%	71.4%	85.7%	81.5%

Children in Foster Care by Race compared to Oregon Children Ages 0-17 Population Demographics

Oregon

May 1, 2015



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
African American	1.4%	21.4%	2.7%	3.8%	5.2%	1.1%	1.1%	3.1%	0.0%	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%	2.0%	0.6%	3.1%	4.5%	6.6%
African American Oregon Population	1.5%	9.1%	1.8%	1.7%	2.6%	1.4%	1.5%	1.7%	1.2%	1.2%	2.2%	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%	1.9%	3.4%	3.3%



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.0%	1.7%	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	2.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%
Asian/Pacific Islander Oregon Population	1.9%	9.0%	2.9%	2.8%	3.4%	1.5%	1.6%	2.0%	1.7%	1.4%	1.2%	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%	5.5%	11.2%	5.2%



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
Hispanic (any race)	8.6%	18.2%	24.3%	11.6%	10.2%	7.3%	6.0%	12.5%	9.7%	9.5%	9.3%	29.3%	14.0%	44.2%	8.5%	26.6%	15.2%
Hispanic (any race) Oregon Population	13.8%	19.8%	34.6%	14.7%	15.0%	9.0%	12.4%	18.9%	35.5%	15.8%	20.2%	39.6%	7.2%	39.2%	14.1%	25.3%	21.6%



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
Native American	2.5%	6.3%	4.6%	5.2%	5.5%	5.9%	8.8%	5.8%	11.5%	2.2%	18.0%	4.8%	2.0%	4.5%	2.8%	2.4%	5.7%
Native American Oregon Population	1.7%	1.0%	1.5%	2.3%	1.7%	2.8%	4.7%	1.5%	2.4%	3.7%	5.4%	3.3%	1.5%	1.7%	0.9%	0.7%	1.6%



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
Caucasian	83.9%	50.9%	66.5%	73.9%	73.7%	82.9%	81.3%	75.7%	76.4%	85.8%	69.1%	61.9%	81.0%	50.0%	83.2%	64.2%	69.5%
Caucasian Oregon Population	81.2%	61.0%	59.1%	78.6%	77.4%	85.3%	79.8%	76.0%	59.1%	77.9%	71.1%	54.8%	87.9%	57.6%	77.6%	59.5%	68.2%



	DIST #01	DIST #02	DIST #03	DIST #04	DIST #05	DIST #06	DIST #07	DIST #08	DIST #09	DIST #10	DIST #11	DIST #12	DIST #13	DIST #14	DIST #15	DIST #16	State wide
Unknown/Other	2.8%	0.7%	1.0%	4.7%	4.1%	2.8%	2.1%	2.4%	2.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	0.9%	1.9%
Unknown/Other Oregon Population	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Monthly Foster Care entries and exits by race for all districts
June 2011

Oregon

African American



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	11%	12%	3%	0%	4%	8%	0%	5%	0%	18%	11%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	6%
Exit	0%	27%	2%	0%	8%	0%	7%	7%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	33%	14%	6%	11%

Asian/Pacific Islander



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Exit	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%

Hispanic (any race)



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	0%	12%	35%	10%	4%	0%	0%	9%	22%	0%	11%	29%	9%	0%	17%	32%	15%
Exit	9%	24%	27%	13%	19%	0%	7%	4%	33%	0%	16%	0%	0%	17%	0%	25%	16%

Native American



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	0%	9%	7%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	4%
Exit	27%	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	21%	13%	5%

Unknown/Other



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	22%	3%	0%	17%	32%	8%	64%	18%	0%	0%	16%	0%	0%	14%	10%	16%	12%
Exit	0%	3%	2%	7%	13%	25%	0%	7%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	6%

Caucasian



	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	State
Entry	67%	60%	56%	71%	57%	83%	36%	68%	67%	82%	51%	71%	91%	86%	60%	50%	62%
Exit	64%	40%	67%	80%	58%	75%	86%	78%	67%	100%	40%	100%	100%	50%	64%	50%	61%

Percent of Children In Home (includes Trial Reunification)/Subcare
May 1, 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
% In Home	22.4%	24.2%	28.7%	20.0%	28.1%	23.4%	23.6%	40.9%	15.4%	15.8%	20.7%	23.1%	19.0%	38.9%	21.6%	29.8%	26.6%
% in Subcare	77.6%	75.8%	71.3%	80.0%	71.9%	76.6%	76.4%	59.1%	84.6%	84.2%	79.3%	76.9%	81.0%	61.1%	78.4%	70.2%	73.4%

Children in Relative or Non-Relative Family Foster Care
May 1, 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16
% with Relative	48%	44%	36%	37%	51%	44%	44%	52%	46%	51%	46%	38%	35%	34%	50%	41%
% with Non-Relative	52%	56%	64%	63%	49%	56%	56%	48%	54%	49%	54%	62%	65%	66%	50%	59%

Children entering care rec'ving Mental Health Referral w/21 days; rec'ving Mental Health Assessment w/ 60 days Oct 2014-Jan 2015

REVISED

OREGON



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Statewide
% Referred within 21 Days	59%	15%	62%	66%	88%	80%	86%	92%	79%	81%	74%	95%	50%	53%	61%	81%	72%
% Assessed within 60 Days	32%	35%	65%	70%	72%	10%	64%	29%	79%	60%	6%	75%	28%	53%	67%	38%	50%

Average Rank for Self Sufficiency Measures and Ranking

Self Sufficiency
March 2015: FS Accuracy, TANF Validated Placements





Targeted SNAP Review - Percent of Reviews Without Errors March 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Snap Accuracy Rate	71.3%	75.0%	72.2%	83.8%	88.6%	86.0%	78.4%	85.0%	75.6%	75.3%	82.0%	71.4%	94.0%	86.0%	78.0%	79.4%	79.3%
Target	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
SNAP w/o errors	57	300	192	134	203	43	58	198	34	113	41	50	47	43	78	143	1,734
SNAP reviews	80	400	266	160	229	50	74	233	45	150	50	70	50	50	100	180	2,187



	2013 Q2	2013 Q3	2013 Q4	2014 Q1	2014 Q2	2014 Q3
Statewide % of Children on TANF who entered FC	0.63%	0.72%	0.62%	0.68%	0.73%	0.60%
Family Support &Connections % moved to FC	0.6%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	1.2%
% Total Children w/ FS&C	2.06%	1.08%	0.97%	0.96%	0.94%	2.91%

TANF Placements - % of TANF Cases Placed in Employment

March 2015



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
% Validated	2.7%	5.4%	6.2%	5.2%	7.6%	5.4%	5.7%	5.4%	7.8%	5.9%	6.8%	3.8%	6.0%	1.7%	7.3%	5.9%	5.9%
Target	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
# Validated	9	220	159	42	123	39	32	119	6	46	17	14	15	4	63	80	1,036
# of Cases	332	4,105	2,556	805	1,626	726	559	2,204	77	784	251	371	252	232	864	1,347	17,696


Number of clients entering plans
April 2015
Number of clients
55
50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
Central Portland
North Portland
East Portland
Washington County
Clackamas
Eastern Oregon
Integrated Services
Central Oregon
Marion/Polk
Corvallis
West Eugene
Medford
Coos Bay
Springfield East


Number of employment outcomes
April 2015
Number of clients
20
15
10
5
0
Central Portland
North Portland
East Portland
Washington County
Clackamas
Eastern Oregon
North Salem
Central Oregon
South Salem
Corvallis
Eugene
Medford
Coos Bay
Springfield East

Secondary Performance Measures

Percent of Adoptions Achieved in 24 Months or Less
Quarter Ending June 30, 2011

OREGON



	Dist 01	Dist 02	Dist 03	Dist 04	Dist 05	Dist 06	Dist 07	Dist 08	Dist 09	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State wide
Percent <= 24 Months	33.3%	15.9%	30.8%	9.1%	6.9%	0.0%	25.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	15.6%
Target	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%

10/11/2011
Some data may contain cases from central office or Tribes

Timeliness of CPS Response
Percent of 24 Hour and 5 Day Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline
June 2011

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Twentyfour Hour	89.1%	82.2%	88.0%	86.0%	81.2%	83.1%	81.8%	75.0%	75.0%	74.5%	87.6%	87.5%	90.0%	70.6%	89.4%	84.8%	83.8%
Five Day	77.8%	81.8%	64.4%	66.7%	84.6%	100.0%	100.0%	92.6%	93.3%	82.0%	100.0%	88.0%	100.0%	100.0%	87.3%	90.7%	83.1%

Timeliness of CPS Response
Percent of 24 Hour and 5 Day Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline
June 2011

District percents above the statewide average performance in each category is highlighted

District	24 Hour		5 Day		Total
	Percent Met Timeliness	Percent of Total Referrals	Percent Met Timeliness	Percent of Total Referrals	Percent Met Timeliness
District 1	89.1%	87.7%	77.8%	12.3%	87.7%
District 2	82.2%	51.7%	81.8%	48.3%	82.0%
District 3	88.0%	81.4%	64.4%	18.6%	83.6%
District 4	86.0%	77.6%	66.7%	22.4%	81.6%
District 5	81.2%	92.0%	84.6%	8.0%	81.5%
District 6	83.1%	95.6%	100.0%	4.4%	83.8%
District 7	81.8%	75.9%	100.0%	24.1%	86.2%
District 8	75.0%	83.4%	92.6%	16.6%	77.9%
District 9	75.0%	34.8%	93.3%	65.2%	87.0%
District 10	74.5%	48.5%	82.0%	51.5%	78.4%
District 11	87.6%	93.3%	100.0%	6.7%	88.5%
District 12	87.5%	49.0%	88.0%	51.0%	87.8%
District 13	90.0%	85.7%	100.0%	14.3%	91.4%
District 14	70.6%	73.9%	100.0%	26.1%	78.3%
District 15	89.4%	37.5%	87.3%	62.5%	88.1%
District 16	84.8%	75.3%	90.7%	24.7%	86.3%
Statewide	83.8%	70.0%	83.1%	30.0%	83.6%

New data not available at this time. Report will be updated as soon as possible.

Includes Referrals still in assessment

Face-To-Face Contact Within 30 Days - Adults
June 2011

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Percent F2F Contact	51.0%	47.1%	89.4%	48.9%	58.1%	53.7%	68.3%	58.2%	63.6%	73.0%	68.0%	65.8%	70.8%	90.0%	44.8%	54.0%	58.6%

Reunification-Percent of children reunified in <12 months
Re-entry-Percent who did not re-enter in <12 months
Quarter Ending June 30, 2011

OREGON



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Reunification %	69.2%	58.0%	69.2%	79.3%	49.4%	25.0%	78.9%	68.1%	50.0%	40.0%	66.7%	70.8%	50.0%	33.3%	67.9%	46.4%	60.6%
Re-Entry %	97.6%	86.9%	88.2%	79.3%	96.8%	94.3%	98.1%	95.5%	93.3%	0.0%	93.8%	95.8%	90.9%	0.0%	94.8%	80.4%	90.5%
Reunification Target	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%
Re-entry Target	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%

Reabuse Through December 2010
(Initial Abuse Apr '10- June '10)

OREGON



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
Percent of Recurrance	0.0%	5.3%	3.8%	5.0%	2.3%	1.9%	4.9%	4.7%	0.0%	4.7%	6.1%	11.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.4%	1.8%	4.2%
Target	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%

SNAP Timeliness: 'New' Issuance -Expedited / Non-Expedited
March 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16
; Expedited Timely*	97.6%	95.0%	97.6%	97.8%	97.8%	96.3%	99.1%	97.7%	97.0%	99.2%	96.3%	99.0%	96.0%	98.8%	97.5%	97.6%
otal Expedited	169	1,077	453	178	538	219	117	555	66	266	82	104	50	85	360	414
xpedited Timely*	165	1,023	442	174	526	211	116	542	64	264	79	103	48	84	351	404
; Non-Expedited Timely*	100.0%	98.8%	99.8%	99.6%	98.4%	98.7%	98.8%	99.5%	100.0%	99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	99.6%
otal Non-Expedited	168	1,641	1,074	536	866	157	173	652	101	382	148	186	103	61	505	693
lon-Expedited Timely*	168	1,621	1,072	534	852	155	171	649	101	381	148	186	103	61	504	690

* Difference between top of bar and 100%=% of untimely issuance, over 7 days(expedited) or 30 days(non-exp).

Source: SNAP-2005

SNAP - Expedited: % of All 'New' Issuance/% Timely
March 2015



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State wide
% of All 'New' Issuance	50.1%	39.6%	29.7%	24.9%	38.3%	58.2%	40.3%	46.0%	39.5%	41.0%	35.7%	35.9%	32.7%	58.2%	41.6%	37.4%	38.9%
% Expedited Timely	97.6%	95.0%	97.6%	97.8%	97.8%	96.3%	99.1%	97.7%	97.0%	99.2%	96.3%	99.0%	96.0%	98.8%	97.5%	97.6%	97.1%
Total Issuance	337	2,718	1,527	714	1,404	376	290	1,207	167	648	230	290	153	146	865	1,107	12,186
Expedited Issuance	169	1,077	453	178	538	219	117	555	66	266	82	104	50	85	360	414	4,738
Expedited Timely	165	1,023	442	174	526	211	116	542	64	264	79	103	48	84	351	404	4,601

Source: SNAP-2005

SNAP - Non Expedited: % of All 'New" Issuance/% Timely
March 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	State Total
% Timely	16.7%	33.0%	16.1%	14.2%	17.6%	31.2%	20.8%	16.0%	22.8%	18.3%	33.8%	14.0%	13.6%	18.0%	20.8%	23.5%	21.8%
% of All New Issuance	49.9%	60.4%	65.4%	75.1%	61.7%	41.8%	59.7%	54.0%	60.5%	59.0%	64.3%	64.1%	67.3%	41.8%	58.4%	62.6%	61.1%
Total Issuance	337	2,718	1,641	714	1,404	376	290	1,207	167	648	230	290	153	146	865	1,107	12,186
Non-Exp Issuance	168	1,641	1,074	536	866	157	173	652	101	382	148	186	103	61	505	693	7,448
Non- Expedited Timely	28	542	173	76	152	49	36	104	23	70	50	26	14	11	105	163	1,623

SNAP Use Compared to Poverty Level
March 2015

Oregon



	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Dist 6	Dist 7	Dist 8	Dist 9	Dist 10	Dist 11	Dist 12	Dist 13	Dist 14	Dist 15	Dist 16	Stat Tota
Pct Served	141.2%	113.9%	128.5%	105.7%	106.9%	134.1%	133.2%	136.9%	116.8%	126.3%	146.8%	132.5%	123.7%	108.3%	134.3%	111.8%	121.6
Target	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115%	115
Poverty Level	16,000	137,021	86,139	48,575	73,471	20,585	16,121	54,430	8,421	33,258	13,354	14,319	8,090	10,579	37,313	59,829	637,5
Total persons	22,586	156,069	110,718	51,352	78,524	27,604	21,467	74,525	9,837	42,012	19,609	18,974	10,006	11,461	50,103	66,869	775,0

Population/Estimated Poverty Level data
was updated effective November 2013 report.

http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/assistance/data/main.shtml

Performance Measure History

Median Months to Adoption Quarterly - History

Oregon



New data not available at this time. Report will be updated as soon as possible.



CPS Assessments Timeliness - History

Oregon





Timeliness of CPS Response - History

Oregon





Face-to-Face Contact Within 30 Days - Children - History

Oregon





First Placement: Percent of Times Children Were Placed in Relative Care or Family Shelter Care on First Episode/First Placement

July 2002 through December 2010

NOTES: This data was adjusted to exclude those instances where SEFC is only a payment code.
SMED Service Type NOT included.

		Percent with Relative Care on First Placement																
Branch	Branch Description	July - Dec. 2002	Jan. - June 2003	July - Dec 2003*	Jan. - June 2004	July - Dec. 2004	Jan. - June 2005	July - Dec. 2005	Jan - Jun 2006	July - Dec. 2006	Jan - Jun 2007	July - Dec. 2007	Jan - Jun 2008	Jul - Dec 2008	Jan - Jun 2009	Jul- Dec 2009	Jan - Jun 2010	Jul - Dec 2010
1	BAKER	0.0%	11.8%	10.5%	0.0%	26.7%	25.0%	12.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	36.4%	0.0%	50.0%
2	BENTON	0.0%	30.8%	10.0%	9.5%	14.3%	11.8%	7.1%	20.0%	11.1%	57.1%	0.0%	50.0%	20.0%	37.5%	33.3%	11.1%	10.0%
3	CLACKAMAS	9.1%	15.6%	18.1%	10.5%	8.9%	14.0%	16.0%	13.6%	13.1%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	17.2%	27.3%	28.8%	24.5%
4	CLATSOP	10.0%	4.3%	21.9%	25.7%	0.0%	7.7%	11.5%	11.1%	31.3%	4.0%	9.1%	5.9%	26.7%	18.2%	35.0%	45.0%	14.3%
5	COLUMBIA	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	31.8%	28.6%	4.5%	14.3%	14.3%	28.1%
6	COOS	0.0%	3.6%	10.5%	7.1%	3.8%	6.3%	14.6%	8.1%	3.6%	13.0%	28.6%	3.6%	9.7%	8.2%	18.2%	39.5%	22.4%
7	CROOK	10.0%	0.0%	14.3%	16.7%	31.3%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	40.0%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	28.6%	40.0%	44.4%
8	CURRY	30.0%	45.5%	18.2%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	9.5%	54.5%	13.0%
9	DESCHUTES	21.7%	2.6%	9.4%	18.9%	11.4%	22.7%	37.5%	5.3%	11.1%	12.0%	11.4%	15.6%	30.8%	15.0%	27.8%	25.0%	33.3%
10	DOUGLAS	0.0%	13.2%	12.5%	21.4%	23.1%	23.9%	10.1%	20.3%	21.6%	16.4%	21.2%	16.0%	17.0%	23.1%	0.0%	38.2%	25.4%
11	GILLIAM	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	GRANT	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	66.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	HARNEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	18.8%	0.0%	25.0%	11.1%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	44.4%	0.0%	40.0%
14	HOOD RIVER	25.0%	13.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7.7%	0.0%	25.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
15	JACKSON	7.8%	14.4%	5.5%	26.6%	17.9%	21.6%	17.7%	24.8%	20.7%	17.4%	23.5%	18.5%	23.2%	29.6%	35.1%	38.5%	46.8%
16	JEFFERSON	33.3%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	16.7%	15.0%	11.1%
17	JOSEPHINE	23.3%	18.0%	20.9%	31.7%	26.6%	26.3%	2.4%	20.0%	28.6%	14.0%	23.9%	3.9%	16.7%	24.4%	17.5%	22.7%	30.6%
18	KLAMATH	8.3%	20.5%	5.4%	20.5%	20.3%	6.8%	14.3%	23.6%	13.7%	17.3%	13.3%	14.5%	19.4%	13.0%	29.8%	16.1%	28.9%
19	LAKE	20.0%	14.3%	0.0%	81.8%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	27.3%
20	LANE	25.8%	16.4%	26.9%	28.8%	24.0%	27.8%	26.8%	25.6%	22.4%	29.2%	23.1%	30.6%	29.6%	33.3%	26.7%	28.7%	37.4%
21	LINCOLN	3.0%	3.2%	25.0%	6.0%	14.6%	18.4%	8.3%	31.8%	19.2%	23.8%	7.1%	4.3%	36.0%	7.1%	4.0%	20.7%	26.9%
22	LINN	18.4%	14.6%	3.4%	9.9%	16.8%	22.7%	10.1%	12.7%	18.3%	7.4%	14.1%	20.8%	13.2%	5.6%	25.0%	23.1%	12.5%
23	MALHEUR	0.0%	3.7%	23.3%	0.0%	11.1%	15.6%	7.5%	15.2%	3.0%	16.7%	12.5%	14.3%	4.0%	5.6%	18.2%	50.0%	37.5%
24	MARION	20.8%	17.5%	9.2%	5.1%	9.5%	12.3%	12.8%	9.9%	16.0%	8.5%	11.1%	7.6%	15.4%	14.5%	18.3%	13.1%	8.8%
25	MORROW	20.0%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	18.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	0.0%	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%
27	POLK	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	9.1%	32.5%	18.2%	13.5%	7.1%	11.8%	18.8%	13.3%	18.5%	6.3%	15.4%	23.5%	32.6%	23.3%
28	SHERMAN	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA	33.3%
29	TILLAMOOK	40.0%	20.0%	27.3%	20.0%	11.1%	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	19.2%	0.0%
30	UMATILLA	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	9.7%	9.6%	4.8%	6.7%	6.8%	2.7%	7.7%	15.8%	18.5%	30.0%	41.9%	23.5%	18.0%	17.2%
31	UNION	13.6%	22.2%	9.5%	20.8%	0.0%	22.2%	7.1%	53.3%	9.1%	8.3%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	50.0%	10.0%	0.0%	13.3%
32	WALLOWA	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA	50.0%	N/A
33	WASCO	5.6%	20.0%	8.7%	14.8%	10.5%	18.8%	22.2%	9.5%	16.0%	0.0%	27.3%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	66.7%	11.8%
34	HILLSBORO -WAS	24.6%	16.6%	21.6%	24.0%	12.1%	17.1%	17.4%	14.4%	14.7%	15.1%	36.7%	23.3%	8.6%	12.5%	21.5%	33.8%	21.6%
35	WHEELER	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	NA	NA	0.0%	N/A
36	YAMHILL	20.0%	17.6%	21.1%	22.6%	20.0%	3.7%	10.7%	14.3%	25.0%	0.0%	15.4%	16.7%	11.1%	17.9%	23.8%	9.7%	17.0%
38	HERMISTON	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	N/A
40	MULT ST JNS	21.6%	34.7%	4.7%	8.8%	11.9%	31.4%	25.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	N/A
42	MULT EAST	15.7%	3.9%	12.6%	29.9%	12.1%	21.8%	16.3%	27.9%	0.0%	20.0%	22.2%	10.3%	6.0%	7.1%	13.2%	24.1%	20.3%
43	MULT MIDTWN	16.3%	11.1%	16.4%	24.7%	14.5%	22.6%	12.0%	12.7%	30.2%	8.8%	17.2%	21.2%	19.6%	31.5%	31.0%	24.4%	45.8%
44	MULT NE	27.0%	3.9%	14.1%	16.3%	27.0%	17.2%	21.1%	7.0%	9.9%	10.3%	25.0%	21.8%	29.5%	24.6%	35.9%	40.4%	21.4%
46	ROCKWOOD	NOT ESTABLISHED						0.0%	60.0%	4.3%	7.1%	11.4%	7.4%	9.4%	6.8%	14.3%	16.2%	31.4%
47	GRESHAM	22.7%	12.6%	21.2%	25.4%	10.8%	16.2%	13.0%	12.5%	17.5%	16.3%	26.8%	9.2%	19.3%	18.6%	13.9%	37.7%	39.6%
49	NEW MARKET	14.3%	5.3%	5.9%	26.5%	16.2%	11.4%	10.0%	25.0%	22.2%	8.7%	0.0%	10.0%	11.1%	8.1%	16.7%	15.0%	20.8%
50	WOODBURN	0.0%	42.9%	20.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	NA	NA	N/A
70	BEAVERTON	NOT ESTABLISHED					7.9%	21.3%	15.6%	8.7%	9.3%	22.5%	26.7%	21.9%	26.0%	30.0%	34.9%	23.5%
75	TIGARD	NOT ESTABLISHED					6.9%	11.8%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	NA	NA	N/A
78	N CLACKAMAS	NOT ESTABLISHED								50.0%	12.5%	2.6%	26.3%	35.0%	2.3%	6.8%	4.1%	21.1%
85	GRAND RONDE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	NA	NA	
86	WARM SPRINGS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
87	UMATILLA TRIBE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.7%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA	NA		33.3%
88	COQUILLE																	100.0%
90	SILETZ	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	87.5%	50.0%	83.3%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	NA		100.0%	66.7%
State Percentages		**16.6%**	**14.3%**	**14.4%**	**18.1%**	**15.1%**	**17.4%**	**14.7%**	**15.3%**	**15.8%**	**12.6%**	**17.5%**	16.3%	18.3%	18.8%	21.7%	25.4%	25.8%

NA - No children entered care during the 6 month period

Source: CSDM Casey Table

FC Children - In Home and Out of Home Care - History

Oregon



FC Children - In Relative and Non-Relative care, History Statewide

Oregon



Mental Health Assessments History

Oregon





Targeted SNAP and Medical Program Reviews- History

Oregon

=>Target 90%



	Apr 14	May 14	June 14	July 14	Aug 14	Sept 14	Oct 14	Nov 14	Dec 14	Jan 15	Feb 15	Mar 15
SNAP Accuracy	80.5%	79.8%	81.0%	77.9%	78.2%	76.4%	77.4%	78.4%	77.8%	76.6%	78.4%	79.3%
Target	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%

Target 100%



	Apr 14	May 14	June 14	July 14	Aug 14	Sept 14	Oct 14	Nov 14	Dec 14	Jan 15	Feb 15	Mar 15
SNAP Accuracy	89.4%	88.7%	90.0%	86.6%	86.9%	84.9%	86.0%	87.1%	86.4%	85.1%	87.1%	88.1%
Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

TANF Validated Placements - History

Oregon





TANF Family Stability
Statewide
History

Oregon



	2012 Q2	2012 Q3	2012 Q4	2013 Q1	2013 Q2	2013 Q3	2013 Q4	2014 Q1	2014 Q2	2014 Q3
% to FC	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%
FS&C % to FC	0.8%	1.4%	1.2%	0.8%	0.6%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	1.2%
% Total Children w/ FS&C	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	2.9%

Percent of Children on TANF who moved to Child Welfare within 60 Days

Percent of Children on TANF who received FS&C services & who moved to Foster Care w/in 60Days.

Percent of Children on TANF who received FS&C services


Clients Entering Plans, History
50
40
30
20
10
0
Central Portland
North Portland
East Portland
Washington County
Clackamas
Eastern Oregon
Integrated Services
Central Oregon
Marion/Polk
Corvallis
West Eugene
Medford
Coos Bay
Springfield East
Nov 14
Dec 14
Jan 15
Feb 15
Mar 15
Apr 15


Employment Outcomes, History
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
Central Portland
North Portland
East Portland
Washington County
Clackamas
Eastern Oregon
Integrated Services
Central Oregon
Marion/Polk
Corvallis
West Eugene
Medford
Coos Bay
Springfield East
Nov 14
Dec14
Jan 15
Feb 15
Mar 15
Apr 15

Dashboard Reports - Definition of Measures
Contact: SSP.Reporting@state.or.us

Child Welfare Program Improvement Plan

Face-to-Face Contact within 30 days-Children

This report is identical to that for adults except that it reports the number of children for whom a 30-day face-to-face contact is required and for whom a contact was documented during the reporting period.

For More Data:
https://orkids.dhs.sdc.pvt/Reports/Pages/Folder.aspx?ItemPath=%2fOperational+and+Program%2fWellbeing%2fWorker+Face+to+Face+Contact&ViewMode=List (requires username and password)

Median Months to Adoption

Our goal for this measure is to achieve adoption for a child in 36 months or less. This quarterly report captures children adopted within this quarter, and measures the length of time from the date of removal to adoption finalization. As the goal of this measure is to have fewer months on the rankings charts the higher the bar, the worse the rank (it runs "backward").

For More Data: SEE APPENDIX (requires username and password) Adoption Report.

Percentage of children served in home vs. in substitute care

This number is the statewide percentage of children receiving child welfare services at home with parents (including children who have been in foster care and have been reunified with their parents on a "trial" basis) as compared to the percentage of children receiving child welfare services in out-of-home care settings (This number includes children who are runaways at the time of the report).
(Goal is to increase the number of children receiving child welfare services safely at home) Currently there is no target.

Placement of children with relatives in family foster care

This number is the statewide percentage of children in family foster care who are living with relatives. Family foster care includes all foster care that occurs in a family setting (family shelter care, enhanced family shelter care, regular family foster care, relative shelter care, enhanced relative shelter care, relative family foster care, and Pre Adoptive Placement). Relative foster care includes relative shelter care, enhanced relative shelter care, relative family foster care, and Pre Adoptive Placement.
(Goal is to increase number of children safely placed with relatives)- Currently there is no target.

Foster Care Children entries and exits from care

The race of foster children is the first race recorded for foster children. Children who are of Hispanic origin are reported under "Hispanic (any race)."
This report is a monthly snapshot all children entering and exiting foster care during the report month.
An entry into one of these service types generates the start of an episode: When a child physically returns home, a child is considered to be in a trial home visit, until DHS care and/or custody is closed or for up to 182 days, at which time the child is considered to have exited from foster care.

Foster Care Children Disproportionality by race

The race of foster children is the first race recorded for foster children. Children who are of Hispanic origin are reported under "Hispanic (any race)."

Disproportionality is the level to which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower percents than their presence in the general child population.

For example disproportionality for African American children in the child welfare system is calculated by dividing the percent of African American children in the child welfare system by the percent of African American children in the general child population.

$$DISPROPORTIONALITY_{black} = \frac{\left(\frac{Black_in_ChildWelfare}{Total_in_ChildWelfare}\right)}{\left(\frac{Black_population}{Total_population}\right)}$$

The population demographics are developed and maintained by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Source: The Puzzanchera, C., Sladkey, A. and Kang, W. (2008). Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2009. Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp/ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/

Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of All Referrals Meeting Assigned Initial Contact Timeline

This chart indicates the number and percentage of CPS referrals that have met policy time frames for CPS initial contact. It includes those reports, which have been assigned a level of response by the screener and referred to a CPS worker for assessment during the 30-day reporting period. The response time is measured from the date and time that the department receives the report. It includes both levels of response whether 24 Hour or 5 Days, and requiring response. The target goal is 100% of cases will be completed within policy requirements.

For More Data: http://apps.dhs.state.or.us (requires username and password) Timeliness Report.

CPS Assessments – Timeliness

All open referrals that have not yet been completed, which includes supervisor review, which is open for more than 60 days after receiving the report. **For More Data:** Due and Overdue Assessments Report

Benefit Delivery

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) - Accuracy of eligibility determination.

This reports the number of cases in the targeted review process that do not have errors in Household Composition, Earned Income or Unearned Income. The statewide goal is an accuracy rate of 90% or greater.

For More Data: For reports through October 2006 http://apps.dhs.state.or.us (requires username and password) Food Stamp Review Tracker

For reports beginning November 2006 http://apps.dhs.state.or.us/art (requires username and password) All Review Tracker

Additional Information: Targeted SNAP Review Definitions effective 12-1-09.doc (request from rhenfin@state.or.us) Or http://www.dhs.state.or.us/training/foodstamps/webtools.htm

Food Stamp Benefit Timeliness

This report measures the timeliness of new food stamp issuances. There are two categories, expedited and non-expedited. 'New' is defined as; the case received a FS benefit for the report month, did not receive benefits in the two prior months and also has a cert begin date equal to the report month. The cases that met this criteria were then divided into Expedited and Non Expedited issuances. Example: the case received a FS benefit for the month of April 07, did not receive benefits for February 07 or March 07 AND had a 4/1/2007 cert begin date with a CRT or REC action.

Employment Placements- Validated

Percent of TANF Cases Placed in Employment

Once a placement has been entered on TRACS AND there is an open plan, it is then subjected to the following tests in the month in which the placement was recorded AND in the following 3 months. Any one of these conditions would validate the placement:

1. There is a reduction in the grant from the prior month (case was 2/82 CP in prior month and in current month).
2. Case has gone from 2/82 CP in prior month to CL or NA.
3. Case has gone from 2/82 CP in prior month to M5/P2, CP/VP.
4. Case has gone from P2 with PRE NR to P2 without PRE NR or Closed.
5. Case has gone from P2 PRE in prior month (open Pre-TANF date on JAS) to M5/P2 not PRE (PRE-Tanf has end date) or Closed

.If they do not meet **any** of the above criteria during the 4 potential months, they are then placed in a not counted file.

Under the validated placement criteria, the placement will count in the month it is validated. So, a "January" placement is one that may have been recorded in Oct, Nov, Dec, or Jan, and was VALIDATED by one of the above 5 steps, in January. The goal is placements of 10%.

Workforce and Employment Plans

The TANF Participation Rate Measure

This measure has been changed to a monthly report. 50% of TANF work eligible individual must meet the participation requirements. For details regarding the method of calculation please see the document Peggy Condron sent with her reports.

Management Tools

Rank and Average Percentage of Goals Met

These charts group the measures together to show each DISTRICT it's all over standing on one page. On the "Rank" chart it is possible for DISTRICTs to have the same rank, in which case the next rank down will not be used (if two DISTRICTs have the rank of 3, the next rank is 5). The "Percentage of Goals Met" chart measures how close each DISTRICT was to meeting the goal for the measure, if the DISTRICT exceeded the goal the percentage would be more than 100 percent.

Secondary Measure Descriptions

Child Welfare Program Improvement Plan

Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of 24 Hour and 5 Day Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline

This chart indicates the number and percentage of CPS reports that have met policy time frames for CPS assessment. It includes those reports, which have been assigned a level of response by the screener and referred to a CPS worker for assessment during the 30-day reporting period. The response time is measured from the date and time that the department receives the report. It includes both levels of response whether 24 Hour or 5 Days, and requiring response. The target goal is 100% of cases will be completed within policy requirements.

For More Data: http://apps.dhs.state.or.us (requires username and password) Timeliness Report.

Face-to-Face Contact with 30 days-Adults

This chart reports the number of adults on open plans for whom a face-to-face contact was required by policy and documented for the reporting period. The data is reported in this chart by DISTRICT and by branch within the DISTRICT. This report is compiled 45 days after the end of the reporting period to allow for data entry to occur. In order for this data to be captured, a face-to-face contact must be done by the caseworker assigned to the plan. The system accommodates policy variances including courtesy supervision, permanent foster care, and residential treatment. The goal for accomplishment of 30-day contact with adults is 100%.

Source: https://orkids.dhs.sdc.pvt/Reports/Pages/Folder.aspx?ItemPath=%2fOperational+and+Program%2fWellbeing%2fWorker+Face+to+Face+Contact&ViewMode=List (requires username and password)

Foster care re-entries

A State meets the national standard for this indicator if, of all children who entered foster care during the period under review, **8.6%** or fewer of those children re-entered foster care within 12 months of a prior foster care episode. Quarterly report.

Source: (requires username and password) Reentry Report.

Foster Care Placements

Percent of children in relative care on a point-in-time basis; count of children where the child's current service is Relative Foster Care , divided by the count of all children in subcare services (excluding Medical coverage only, children served via Seniors and Peoples with Disabilities in a developmentally disable foster home, children on trial home visits and children on runaway status).

Source: OR-Kids Report FC-1005-D Children in Foster Care by Duration Detail

Foster Care Placements

Six month data. First Placement; percent of times children were placed in relative care on first episode/first placement. Data was adjusted to reflect those instances where Family Shelter Care (SEFC) is only a payment code (i.e. placement counted as relative when the second listed subcare service is Relative Foster Care, but has the same provider number as the Family Shelter Care service listed as the first placement. (excluding Medical coverage only).

Source: OR-Kids Report FC-1005-D Children in Foster Care by Duration Detail

Adoption Achieved in less than 24 Months
Percentage of children whose adoptions were finalized in less than 24 months from the time of latest removal from home. The goal is 32 percent or greater. Quarterly report.
Source: PLEASE SEE APPENDIX (requires username and password) Adoption Report.

Reunification - Percent of Children Reunified Within 12 Months
This chart reports the number of children who were reunified with their parents during the quarter and the percentage of those, which were reunified within 12 months from the date of removal to substitute care. The goal is 76.2% or greater.
For More Data: ROM (requires username and password)

Reabuse
All Recurrences = Percentage of abused/neglected children who were reabused within six months of prior victimization. Quarterly report. The goal is 5.4% or less and is based on FFY 2004 National Outcomes. This report has a nine-month lag time.
Source: (requires username and password) Reabuse Report.

Food Stamp Benefit Delivery
Food Stamp Benefit Timeliness
This report measures the timeliness of new food stamp issuances. There are two categories, expedited and non-expedited. 'New' is defined as; the case received a FS benefit for the report month, did not receive benefits in the two prior months and also has a cert begin date equal to the report month. The cases that met this criteria were then divided into Expedited and Non Expedited issuances. Example: the case received a FS benefit for the month of April 07, did not receive benefits for February 07 or March 07 AND had a 4/1/2007 cert begin date with a CRT or REC action.

Client Access to Food Stamp Benefits Measure
These charts report the ratio of persons at or below 100% of the federal poverty level to those persons receiving food stamp benefits. Eligibility begins at the 130% of poverty level. The number of persons in poverty is based upon 2007 census information from the U.S. census bureau and does not include people in military barracks, institutional group quarters or children in foster care. The target is 115%.
For More Data: http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/assistance/data/papage.shtml

Workforce and Employment Plans
The TANF Participation Rate Measure
The requirement for adults is 30 hours per week in core or non-core activities of which 20 hour must be in core activities, the target for adult participation is 50%. Requirement for teen parents is to be enrolled in an educational activity and making satisfactory progress, the target for teens is 100%. Post TANF cases were added to the data October 2007.

Employment Placements
Percent of TANF Cases Placed in Employment
This measure compares the monthly placement total for clients identified as receiving a TANF grant or engaged in the Pre-TANF Program to the monthly sum of TANF JOBS mandatory adults and Pre-TANF program clients only (field "category" coded TANF or PRE45). This modified measure better focuses on the Department's success with the TANF population that is actively seeking employment. Higher percentages indicate better performance.

Composite History

Publish date will always be three months ahead of the composite data, for example; July 2007 meeting dashboard will contain April 2007 composite data and charts.

All nine primary measures are averaged. All months are actual month of activity. If there was no activity or reporting for a measure in a particular month the cell is blank so as not to effect averaging. The exception is quarterly measures; the results for quarterly measures are used for all three months of the quarter. Some months will have no data for the quarterly measures (example: the report released 07/07 does not have quarterly data for 04/07 through 06/07, the data will not be available until the 08/07 report).

A free copy of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded here: http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html, or contact your Help Desk for assistance.