

Higher Education Coordinating Commission
Credit for Prior Learning Advisory Committee

February 17, 2015
9:00-12:00pm

Chemeketa Community College
4000 Lancaster Drive NE, Salem, OR 97309
Building 3, Room 254

MEETING NOTES

Members Present/Participating By Phone: Craig Kolins, Marilyn Davis, Vickie Burns, Karen Sanders, Shelly Chabon, Rebecca Mathern, Chris Brantley, Jeff Engh, Paul Moredock and Kathy Calise

Staff Present: Donna Lewelling, Committee Administrator

Others Present: Jodi Waters, SOU and Terry Walters, EOU.

Public Testimony

No public testimony was given.

Introductions and Membership

Jeff Engh will be replacing Jason Ferguson as the Private Career College representative. In order to make it official, a vote is needed to make a recommendation to the Student Success & Institutional Collaboration (SSIC) subcommittee for the HECC's consideration. Marilyn moved to appoint Jeff and Rebecca seconded. The motion passed unanimously. Donna will complete the necessary documents to move the recommendation forward to the SSIC and then the full HECC.

Approval of Minutes

The group reviewed the minutes – Rebecca noted that there needs to be a change within the record of the minutes. Rebecca “seconded” not “approved” the January minutes. Chris moved that the minutes be approved as amended, Karen seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Credit for Prior Learning Project:

Donna provided a brief history and overview of the project. She shared that 7 of the eleven institutions had turned in both data and implementation reports. She will be in contact with those institutions that have outstanding reports. The Funding & Cost Analysis Workgroup will be meeting next week to discuss the information received to date.

The group recommended that Donna go ahead a draft a summary report for the SSIC. During the March meeting, the Advisory Committee will review the data reports/feedback as well as the Funding and Cost Analysis Workgroup recommendations.

CPL Assessment/Portfolio Development Event:

The group reviewed the worksheet for the event. The CPL Advisory Committee was pleased with the worksheet that will be used. There was discussion about the luncheon conversation and how the groups will be broken out by functional areas. Donna will resend the link for registration for the event as registration closes on Friday.

SSIC Collaboration Subcommittee:

The group discussed topics to be covered during the quarterly update to the SSIC. Suggested topics included an update on the Pilot Project and the approval of Jeff's appointment. Donna suggested that the Advisory Committee share the 2015 CPL Advisory Committee Action Plan with the SSIC, the group agreed this was a good idea.

Rebecca suggested that we give an update on the SS Conference presentation. The presentation went very well and the outcome of the presentation was positive. Rebecca shared that attendees were having conversations that they were not previously happening. There was a large turnout and perhaps more importantly, there was not a request to rehash the Standards.

Marilyn's biggest take away from the Conference is that the pilot is doing what we set it out to do. The institutions very much appreciate the support system the pilot project has developed. It is a richer venture because they are doing it together. During the presentation, institutions shared that the Cross-Functional Teams were rekindling commitments to CPL that had previously died out due to retirements or staffing changes.

Chris felt that the questions that were asked were very general, which means that many of the institutions in the state will likely need additional shepherding.

Rebecca stated it was great time of sharing, attendees learned a lot. The four year publics were missing in the room unfortunately, even though PSU's voice was shared. It would be nice to see more engagement coming from the four year institutions.

OrACRAO Presentation

The group discussed that it will be important for Portland State University to participate in the presentation at OrACRAO. Shelly believes she should be able to participate. The group discussed that it will be helpful to get some academic representation on the panel as well, we should look to tweak the presentation to call out the assessment and faculty engagement (maybe we change the questions). Donna will work to pull together the presentation, the team to present and materials, etc.)

Action Work Plan:

Donna shared that the version before the Advisory Committee shows the changes made during the last meeting. The group discussed the importance of continued support of CPL professional development activities in the state.

After discussion, it was suggested that Donna move the first section to the back of the Action/Work Plan and retitle it "Important Questions".

Rebecca moved that the Advisory Committee adopt the action plan with changes as discussed, Karen seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

Next Meeting Planning:

Using the Action Work Plan to guide the conversation, the Advisory Committee discussed the topics for the March meeting. Items discussed included the need to revisit the Standards including the Implementation Timeline (which calls out 2015-16 implementation for standards) and Standards 4 & 8; continued support of CPL Pilot Project Activities; and in-depth look at the CPL Pilot Project Data and funding Cost Analysis Workgroup, etc. Donna will use the Work Plan to help pose discussion questions. In addition, the Advisory Committee will discuss questions we need to answer regarding proficiency.