



OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND  
DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW  
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM  
Final Order of Denial

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E131485

CLAIMANTS:

Leona Jones<sup>1</sup>  
1540 Sunset Drive  
Ontario, OR 97914

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY  
IDENTIFICATION:

Township 10S, Range 39E, Section 29  
Tax lot 100  
Baker County

The claimant, Leona Jones, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 28, 2006, for property located at 18119 Sumpter Stage Highway, near Baker City, in Baker County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of her Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order of Denial is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

## I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

### A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimant has requested one home site approval in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes one home site. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of one home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

---

<sup>1</sup> Information provided by the claimant indicates that claimant William F. Jones passed away on October 30, 2008. Under Measure 49, if a claimant dies on or after December 6, 2007, entitlement to prosecute the claim passes to the person who acquires the claim property by devise or by operation of law.

## **B. Qualification Requirements**

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

### **1. Timeliness of Claim**

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

### **Findings of Fact and Conclusions**

The claimant, Leona Jones, filed a Measure 37 claim, M131485, with the state on November 28, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-05-50, with Baker County prior to December 21, 2005. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Baker County.

### **2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property**

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) if the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

### **Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

According to the deed submitted by the claimant, Leona Jones is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Baker County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Baker County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.

### **3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim**

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

### **Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

**4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City**

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Baker County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Baker City.

**5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling**

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The property is currently zoned Timber-Grazing (T-G) by Baker County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the property is “forest land” under Goal 4. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, provide standards for the establishment of a dwelling in a forest zone. In general and subject to some exceptions, those standards require that the property be a minimum of 80 acres.

The combined effect of the standards for the establishment of a dwelling in a mixed farm/forest zone is to prohibit the claimant from establishing a dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

**6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)**

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions**

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the one home site for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

**7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49**

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions**

Baker County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on October 12, 1976.

The claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property after adoption of the statewide planning goals, but before the Commission acknowledged Baker County's comprehensive plan and land use regulations to be in compliance with those goals pursuant to ORS 197.250 and 197.251. On October 12, 1976, the Measure 37 claim property was zoned Agriculture (A-1) by Baker County, which required at least 40 acres for the establishment of a dwelling. However, the Commission had not acknowledged that zone for compliance with the goals when the claimant acquired the property on October 12, 1976. Accordingly, the statewide planning goals, and in particular Goal 4, and ORS chapter 215 applied directly to the Measure 37 claim property when the claimant acquired it.

On May 16, 1986, the Commission acknowledged the application of Baker County's Timber-Grazing (T-G) zone to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission's acknowledgement of Baker County's T-G zone confirmed that zone's compliance with Goal 4 and ORS chapter 215. Baker County's acknowledged T-G zone required 80 acres for the establishment of a dwelling on a vacant lot or parcel. The claimant's property consists of 20 acres. Therefore, on the claimant's acquisition date, she could not have established any home sites on the Measure 37 claim property in the zone that was ultimately acknowledged to comply with the statewide planning goals and implementing regulations.

However, because of uncertainty during the time period between adoption of the statewide planning goals in 1975 and each county's acknowledgment of its plan and land use regulations regarding the factual and legal requirements for establishing compliance with the statewide planning goals, the 2010 Legislative Assembly amended Measure 49. Senate Bill (SB) 1049 (2010) specifies the number of home sites considered lawfully permitted, for purposes of Measure 49, for property acquired during this period unless the record for the claim otherwise demonstrates the number of home sites that a claimant would have been lawfully permitted to establish. Those amendments provide, in relevant part, that eligibility for home site approval is subject to consistency with local land use regulations in effect when the claimant acquired the subject property.

The Measure 37 claim property was subject to Baker County's A-1 zone on the claimant's date of acquisition. That zone included a fixed minimum acreage standard of 40 acres.

The Measure 37 claim property consists of 20 acres. Therefore, based on the analysis under SB 1049 (2010), the claimant was not lawfully permitted to establish any home sites on the Measure 37 claim property on her date of acquisition.

## **II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION**

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on January 12, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. No written comments were received in response to the 28-day notice.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

Based on the analysis above, the claimant does not qualify for a Measure 49 home site approval because the claimant was not lawfully permitted to establish a dwelling on the claimant's date of acquisition.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order of Denial is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND  
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT  
COMMISSION:



---

Judith Moore, Division Manager  
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development  
Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April 2010.

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF**

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.