



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization**

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E133628

CLAIMANTS:

Leo DeMonte¹
2446 Dunstan Street
Oceanside, CA 92054

Rosalie Roy²
2545 Ocotillo Avenue
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

The chart on the following page identifies the Measure 37 claim property. This claim has been split into 42 claims because the Measure 37 claim sought relief for non-contiguous parcels. Claim E133628A addresses the claimant's eligibility for Measure 49 relief on tax lots 3500 (T11S R11E S34) and tax lot 600 (T12S R11E S3) because those tax lots are contiguous. Each other claim contains one tax lot.

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Robert S. Lovlien
PO Box 880
Bend, OR 97709

¹ Information included with the election material indicates that claimant Leo DeMonte passed away on May 6, 2008. Under Measure 49, if a claimant dies on or after December 6, 2007, entitlement to prosecute the claim passes to the person who acquires the claim property by devise or by operation of law.

² Rosalie Roy was a claimant under Measure 37 but was denied relief because she was not an owner at that time. While Rosalie Roy acquired an interest in the property as of the date of Leo DeMonte's death as a trustee of an irrevocable trust, this final order considers the status of the property prior to that date so her interest is not analyzed.

This chart shows the splitting of the Measure 37 claim property into 42 claims (E133628A through E133628PP). The total acreage for all 42 claims is 5,512.49 acres.

Claim	Tax Lot Number	Township	Range	Section(s)	Acres	Current Zoning
A	3500	11S	11E	34	39.04	RL
A	600	12S	11E	3	39.40	RL
B	2000	11S	11E	26, 35	117.83	RL
C	3400	11S	11E	34	80.00	RL
D	300	11S	11E	32	208.10	RL
E	400	11S	12E	31	100.00	RL
F	1100	11S	12E	32	20.00	FM
G	400	12S	10E	24	40.00	FM
H	200	12S	11E	1	40.00	RL
I	1100	12S	11E	4	155.44	FM
J	1400	12S	11E	5, 8	120.00	FM
K	1500	12S	11E	5, 8	120.00	FM
L	1900	12S	11E	8	40.00	RL
M	2200	12S	11E	8	20.00	FM
N	2400	12S	11E	9, 15, 16	805.71	RL
O	3300	12S	11E	20, 21, 22	676.20	RL
P	3400	12S	11E	22	80.00	RL
Q	3700	12S	11E	23	39.09	RL
R	4000	12S	11E	23	80.00	RL
S	3800	12S	11E	23, 24	196.36	RL
T	4400	12S	11E	24	119.09	RL
U	5000	12S	11E	27	39.09	RL
V	5400	12S	11E	27, 28, 29	716.20	RL
W	5600	12S	11E	30	160.00	RL
X	4800	12S	11E	26	39.09	RL
Y	6000	12S	11E	34	80.00	RL
Z	6300	12S	11E	35	38.18	RL
AA	6500	12S	11E	35	39.09	RL
BB	600	12S	11E	12	39.55	RL
CC	100	12S	11E	14	39.09	RL
DD	300	12S	11E	14	78.60	RL
EE	1100	12S	11E	25	57.75	RL
FF	1700	12S	12E	21	40.00	RL
GG	1800	12S	12E	17	40.00	RL
HH	1200	12S	12E	18	9.78	RL
II	800	12S	12E	31	41.29	RL
JJ	800	13S	11E	1	26.34	RL
KK	1200	13S	11E	2	472.49	RL
LL	1600	13S	11E	3, 10	158.18	RL
MM	1900	13S	11E	4	61.43	FM
NN	3000	13S	11E	9	118.18	RL
OO	3100	13S	11E	9	40.00	RL
PP	700	13S	12E	6	41.90	RL

The claimant, Leo DeMonte, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 4, 2006, for property located near Culver, in Jefferson County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant elected supplemental review of his Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimant has requested twenty home site approvals in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state described the division of the property into smaller lots or parcels for residential development for all the properties referenced in the chart on page 2. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals on each claim property, but not more than twenty total home sites on the entire Measure 37 claim property, under Section 6 of Measure 49.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Leo DeMonte, filed a Measure 37 claim, M133628, with the state on December 4, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, 06-M37-84, with Jefferson County on December 1, 2006.

The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Jefferson County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deeds submitted by the claimant, Leo DeMonte is the owner of fee title to the property identified on the chart on page 2 of this final order as claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL and PP as shown in the Jefferson County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Jefferson County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property identified on the chart as claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL and PP.

According to information submitted by Jefferson County, Leo DeMonte is not an owner of the property identified on the chart as claims A, C, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, M, N, Q, Y, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, MM, NN and OO for the purposes of Measure 49. These properties were transferred to third parties on September 16, 2009.³

3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

³ While heirs and devisees may prosecute the claim of a deceased claimant who had filed a claim after November 1, 2006, a third party may not. Although the department does not make determinations regarding who specifically may prosecute the claim of a deceased claimant, in this case it appears that the current owners of the tax lots transferred on September 16, 2009 are third party transferees.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Jefferson County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Culver.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All tax lots noted on the chart with RL zoning are currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use – Range Land (RL) by Jefferson County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 160 acres in size in an EFU zone that is designated rangeland, and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels. Counties may adopt lot sizes larger than 160 acres. Jefferson County’s RL zone requires 320 acres for the establishment of a dwelling on a lot or parcel. Those provisions also include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract.

All tax lots noted in the chart with FM zoning are currently zoned Forest Management (FM) by Jefferson County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the property is “forest land” under Goal 4. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a forest zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels.

The claimant’s property consists of the acreage noted on the chart for each claim. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on each claim of the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites that the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the twenty home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Jefferson County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on August 21, 1961.

On August 21, 1961, the Measure 37 claim property was not subject to any local or state laws that would have prohibited the claimant from establishing at least three lots or parcels and at least three dwellings on each property, respectively. The claimant was lawfully permitted to establish more than one dwelling on a tract on his date of acquisition. Therefore, the claimant lawfully could have established the three home sites on each claim identified on the chart that the claimant may qualify for, but not more than twenty total home sites on the entire Measure 37 claim property, under Section 6 of Measure 49.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on September 14, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Jefferson County submitted a comment stating that portions of the claim property were transferred since the date the preliminary evaluation was issued; those transfers of property are reflected in this final order.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant does not qualify for home sites on claims A, C, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, M, N, Q, Y, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, MM, NN and OO for the purposes of Measure 49 because the claimant is no longer an owner of the properties that were the subject of these claims.

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for up to three home site approvals on each of the properties that are the subject of claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL

and PP, but no more than twenty total home sites on the portions of the Measure 37 claim property still in the ownership of the claimant.

However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimant and information from Jefferson County, the Measure 37 claim property includes 17 lots or parcels and no dwellings. There is no contiguous property under the same ownership. Therefore, the three home site approvals the claimant qualifies for on each property respectively under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimant to establish up to two additional lots or parcels and three dwellings on each of the properties included in claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL and PP, but not more than twenty total home sites on the Measure 37 claim property that is still in the ownership of the claimant.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for three home site approvals on each of the claim properties listed in claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL and PP in the chart above.

Leo DeMonte is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals statewide under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49. The claimant may decide which of these approvals to use to seek development approvals from Jefferson County, but the claimant may not receive more than 20 home site development approvals statewide on all of his claim property under Measure 49. Also, under OAR 660-041-0170, "the county with land use jurisdiction over property for which a Measure 49 Authorization has been issued must provide written notice to DLCDC of any land use application that seeks approval of one or more home sites under the Measure 49 Authorization, and all final written decisions on home site approvals based on a Measure 49 Authorization."

As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimant is authorized for up to two additional lots or parcels and three dwellings on each of the claim properties listed in claims B, D, K, O, P, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Z, JJ, KK, LL and PP in the chart above up to a total of twenty home sites, on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).
3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimant has developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimant is no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.
4. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If, based on the information available to the department, the department has calculated the number of currently existing lots, parcels or dwellings to be either greater than or less than the number of lots, parcels or dwellings actually in existence on the Measure 37 claim property or contiguous property under the same ownership, then the number of additional lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be adjusted according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.
5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.
6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.
7. The claimant may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site. If the number of lots, parcels or dwellings existing on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under a home site authorization, the claimant may select which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may

reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number of home site approvals.


8. The claimant may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However, so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted to an authorized home site.
9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimant may be required to alter the configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.
10. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone and a forest zone, the home site authorization does not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction, the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site authorization will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or parcels are located on high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a ground water restricted area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two acres.
11. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone and a forest zone, Measure 49 requires new home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.
12. If the claimant transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals. Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire, except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant's spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the

claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

13. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:



Judith Moore, Measure 49 Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 17th day of November 2009.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.