

2013

Oregon City Transportation System Plan

June, 2013

VOLUME I

Project Team

City of Oregon City

John M. Lewis

Laura Terway

Christina Robertson-Gardiner

Bob Cullison

Nancy Kraushaar

ODOT

Gail Curtis

Avi Tayar

DKS Associates

Carl Springer

Kevin Chewuk

Acknowledgements

The 2013 Oregon Transportation System Plan was a collaborative process among various public agencies, key stakeholders and the community. Input, assistance and outreach by the following helped make the Plan possible:

- **Alta Planning**

  Matt Berkow

  Drew Meisel

- **Angelo Planning Group**

  Darci Rudzinski

  Shayna Rehberg

- **Stakeholder Advisory Team**
- **Technical Advisory Team**

A special acknowledgement goes out to all the Oregon City residents, business owners, and visitors who attended community meetings or submitted comments on the project website. Your input helped make this Plan possible.

This project is partially funded by a grant from the Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) Program, a joint program of the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development. This TGM grant is financed, in part, by federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), local government, and the State of Oregon funds.

The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect views or policies of the State of Oregon.

Contents

the context **6**
The Challenge 6
The Transportation System Plan 6
What is a TSP? 7
the process **9**
TSP Website 10
The Public Review Process 10
the vision **12**
How do we reflect our Vision in the Plan? 13
the trends **23**
Snapshot of Oregon City in 2035 23
the standards **30**
Multi-Modal Street System 30
Design Types of Streets 34
Spacing Standards 35
Traffic Calming 36
Multi-Modal Connectivity 36
Mobility Standards 38
Truck Routes 39
the investments **42**
the funding **45**
Funding Shortfall 46
the plan **48**
Determining the investments that made the Likely to be Funded Plan 48
Likely to be Funded Transportation System 49
Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System 60
the outcome **68**
Tracking Performance of Transportation System Investments 68
Putting the Plan to the Test 69
To the Planning Horizon and Beyond 76

Volume 2

Section A. Plans and Policies Framework (DKS, 2011)

Section B. Project Goals, Objectives and Evaluation Criteria (DKS, 2011)

Section C. Street Network and Connectivity (DKS, 2011)

Section D. Existing Transportation Conditions (DKS, 2011)

Section E. Model Assumptions (DKS, 2012)

Section F. Future Traffic Performance on the Major Street Network (DKS, 2012)

Section G. Future Needs Analysis (DKS and Alta Planning, 2012)

Section H. TSP Funding Assumptions (DKS, 2012)

Section I. Likely to be Funded and Not Likely to be Funded Transportation Systems (DKS, 2012)

Section J. Performance Analysis of Likely to be Funded and Not Likely to be Funded Transportation Systems (DKS, 2012)

Section K. Implementing Ordinances (Angelo Planning Group, 2012)

Figures

Figure 1: TSP Update Process 9

Figure 2: Public Review Process 10

Figure 3: Transportation Solutions Identification Process..... 12

Figure 4: Reflecting our vision in the Plan 13

Figure 5: Household and Employment Growth (2010-2035)..... 25

Figure 6: Motor Vehicle Travel Growth (P.M. Peak) 27

Figure 7: 2035 Baseline Motor Vehicle Operating Conditions (P.M. Peak)..... 28

Figure 8: Multi-Modal Street System 33

Figure 9: The Components of Oregon City Streets 34

Figure 10: Multi-Modal Connectivity Plan 37

Figure 11: Truck Routes..... 40

Figure 12: Breakdown of the Projects and Expenses in the Plan 43

Figure 13: Expected Funding for the Plan 45

Figure 14: Eligibility of Plan Investments for SDC Funding 46

Figure 15: Funding for the Likely to be Funded Transportation System..... 49

Figure 16: Planned Intersection and Street Management Solutions..... 61

Figure 17: Planned Street Extensions 62

Figure 18: Planned Street and Intersection Expansions 63

Figure 19: Walking Solutions..... 64

Figure 20: Biking Solutions..... 65

Figure 21: Shared Walking and Biking Solutions 66

Figure 22: Safety is expected to improve despite the Current Trend 69

Figure 23: The Expected Trend for Vehicle Delay 70

Figure 24: The Expected Trend for Truck Delay 72

Figure 25: Oregon City Non-Single Occupant Vehicle Mode Shares 73

Figure 26: Comparison of 2001 and 2013 TSP Investments 74

Figure 27: The Expected Trend for Vehicle Miles Traveled.....75

Tables

Table 1: Spacing Standards 36

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System 50

Section I



THE CONTEXT

the context



Located along the shores of the Willamette and Clackamas Rivers near the scenic Willamette Falls, Oregon City is the oldest incorporated City west of the Rockies. With a population of around 34,000, the City is characterized by topography that rises sharply from the riverfront and downtown to reach 250 feet, above the Willamette River. The two to three blocks wide downtown is located at the base of a basalt bluff where the McLoughlin Conservation District is found, one of two of the City's historic neighborhoods. At higher elevations and further south from downtown, newer neighborhoods and commercial development has developed over the past 50 years. Today, the City is comprised of 12 unique neighborhoods as illustrated by the Neighborhood Associations (see Figure in the TSP Volume 2, Section D).

In recent years, the City has made great strides at investing in the Downtown Regional Center and the 7th Street-Molalla Avenue corridor and becoming a regional destination for employment, shopping and education. These characteristics make Oregon City unique, as well as define the key transportation issues that the City seeks to overcome.

The Challenge

Oregon City, like many jurisdictions, faces the challenge of accommodating population and employment growth while maintaining acceptable service levels on its transportation network. Moreover, the City must also balance its investments to ensure that the existing transportation system adequately serves all members of the community and is well maintained.

The Transportation System Plan

Oregon City is aware of these challenges and strives to keep the City's Transportation System Plan (TSP) up to date in an effort to prepare for and accommodate the future growth within the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) in the most efficient manner possible. Without the big picture that the TSP provides, maintaining acceptable transportation network performance could not be achieved in an efficient manner.

What is a TSP?

The TSP provides a long term guide for City transportation investments by incorporating the vision of the community into an equitable and efficient transportation system.

The plan evaluates the current transportation system and outlines policies and projects that are important to protecting and enhancing the quality of life in Oregon City through 2035. Plan elements can be implemented by the City, private developers, and state or federal agencies.

A TSP is required by the State of Oregon, to help integrate our plans into the statewide transportation system. The plan balances the needs of walking, bicycling, driving, transit and freight into an equitable and efficient transportation system. The TSP can also be a tool for reflecting community values and protecting what makes Oregon City a great place to call home, do business, and visit.

The TSP provides a long term guide for City transportation investments.



Section 2

THE PROCESS

the process

The Oregon City TSP Update was a collaborative process among various public agencies, key stakeholders and the community. Throughout this project, the project team took time to understand multiple points of view, obtain fresh ideas and resources, and encourage participation from the community.

Project staff conducted over a dozen small group meetings, hosted stakeholder and technical group meetings, held regular meetings with decision makers, and conversed informally with members of the community.



At key stages, project staff also held four community meetings that gave residents an opportunity to learn about the project and contribute their concerns on how the transportation system might be improved (as shown in Figure 1).



Figure 1: TSP Update Process

TSP Website

Throughout the project, a website was maintained for the TSP where all project news, documents and meeting notices were posted. The website also featured a comment map, where residents could tell the project team what they thought about the transportation system in the city. Nearly 200 comments were submitted to the project team with this feature.

The Public Review Process

The development of the Transportation System Plan involved gathering information and ideas from residents, business owners and stakeholders in Oregon City.

The process was been broken into 12 manageable pieces. Each piece entailed a Technical Memorandum discussing specific topic areas and key findings ranging from existing transportation conditions to funding assumptions to transportation solutions.

Each memorandum was posted to the project website (as shown in Figure 2), giving residents an opportunity to provide feedback and keep up to date with the project.

A project technical advisory team, comprised of agency technical staff, and a stakeholder advisory team, with local residents and business representatives, was also formed. These groups represented the interests and perspectives of their constituencies by reviewing and commenting on each of the memorandums and meeting with the project team at key stages during the project. These groups also helped the project team find consensus agreement on project issues.

The project team would then revise the Draft Memorandums based on the feedback received from these groups and the public and the documents were reposted to the TSP website. These memorandums were ultimately utilized to create the Draft TSP.

Subsequent public hearings with the Planning Commission and City Commission on the Draft TSP ultimately led to adoption of the 2013 Oregon City Transportation System Plan.

Figure 2: Public Review Process

Section 3



THE VISION



Oregon City understands that transportation funding is limited and recognizes the importance in being fiscally responsible in its approach to enhancing the transportation system. In the past, a typical response to congestion was to expand streets to add additional travel lanes, etc. This created significant barriers to walking and biking and detracted from the livability, health, safety and fiscal wellbeing of the community.

Oregon City's approach to the TSP placed more value on investments in smaller cost-effective solutions for the transportation system rather than larger, more costly ones where practical. As required by the Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan, the approach emphasized a multi-modal network-wide approach to identifying transportation system solutions by following a five-step process, as shown in Figure 3, that considered solutions from top to bottom until a viable solution was identified.

This enabled more cost-effective solutions to increase transportation system capacity and helped to encourage multiple



Figure 3: Transportation Solutions Identification Process

travel options, increase street connectivity and promote a more sustainable transportation system.

How do we reflect our Vision in the Plan?

Eight transportation goals and associated objectives were developed for the TSP to provide direction for the future of the transportation system. The goals were ranked by project stakeholders from most valuable to least valuable. Using the weighted goals, the transportation solutions were evaluated and compared to one another, placing more value on those project stakeholders felt were most important to the community. The following goals (listed in order of importance to the community), were utilized to assess the performance of the transportation solutions:

- Enhance the health and safety of residents
- Emphasize effective and efficient management of the transportation system
- Foster a sustainable transportation system
- Provide an equitable, balanced and connected multi-modal transportation system
- Identify solutions and funding to meet system needs
- Increase the convenience and availability of pedestrian, bicycle, and transit modes
- Ensure the transportation system supports a prosperous and competitive economy
- Comply with state and regional transportation plans

Each transportation solution was assigned a time frame for the expected investment need, based on a project's contribution to achieving the transportation goals of Oregon City. The investment recommendations balanced implementation considerations with available funding. Complex and costly capital projects were disfavored compared with implementation of low cost projects that can have more immediate impacts and can spread investment benefits citywide.

Figure 4: Reflecting our vision in the Plan

Goal 1: Health and Safety

Objectives

Objective A. Identify improvements to address high collision locations.

Objective B. Identify necessary changes to street design guidelines to support context sensitive design solutions.

Objective C. Reduce impervious street surfaces through "Green Streets."

Objective D. Provide a network of family-friendly walking and biking routes.

Goal1. Enhance the health and safety of residents

Ensure that the transportation system maintains and improves individual health, safety and security by maximizing the comfort and convenience of walking, biking and transit transportation options, public safety and service access.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Improves safety of the transportation system.
- Encourages active living and physical activity.
- Minimizes transportation related pollution.

Goal 2: Effective and Efficient

Goal 2. Emphasize effective and efficient management of the transportation system

Optimize travel capacity and improve travel conditions by better managing our own travel demands, meeting more of our daily needs within our own community, making our existing transportation facilities as smart and efficient as possible, and being strategic about transportation investments. The City should seek to find innovations and fine tuning of existing systems and policies and avoid or forestall costly major roadway capacity improvements.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Reduces need for major highway project construction.
- Implements Transportation Demand Management (TDM) or other strategies to create greater mobility, reduce auto trips, make more efficient use of the roadway system, and minimize air pollution.
- Improvement makes daily traffic capacity more reliable.
- Enhances travel for local trips off the state highway system.

Objectives

Objective A. Identify opportunities to reduce the use of state facilities and arterials for local trips.

Objective B. Seek to shift vehicle travel to off-peak periods.

Objective C. Maintain the existing transportation system assets.

Objective D. Identify opportunities to improve travel reliability and safety with TSMO solutions.

Goal 3: Sustainable

Objectives

Objective A. Support alternative vehicle types by identifying potential electric vehicle plug-in stations and developing implementing code provisions.

Objective B. Identify existing and future expected VMT levels within the City of Oregon City, and consider opportunities and actions needed to meet RTP targets.

Objective C. Encourage alternatives to daily single-occupancy vehicle commuting.

Objective D. Develop and support alternative mobility standards on state facilities and City streets where necessary.

Objective E. Identify areas where alternative land use types would significantly shorten trip lengths or reduce the need for motor vehicle travel within the City.

Objective F. Minimize impacts to the natural environment.

Goal 3. Foster a sustainable transportation system

Build a transportation system that is environmentally and fiscally sustainable and that focuses on decreasing vehicle emissions and transportation related greenhouse gas emissions.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Emphasizes the movement of people over vehicles, which reduces the citywide vehicle-miles-travelled (VMT).
- Minimizes impact to the natural environment.
- Supports alternative land use types.

Goal 4: Equitable, Balanced and Connected

Goal 4. Provide an equitable, balanced and connected multi-modal transportation system

Provide a complete transportation system throughout Oregon City that provides travel options and connects people to jobs, schools, services, recreation, social and cultural institutions within the City.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Improves access to underserved or vulnerable populations.
- Reduces total transportation and housing costs.
- Enhances system efficiency.
- Satisfies multiple objectives.

Objectives

Objective A. Ensure that the transportation system provides equitable access to underserved and vulnerable populations.

Objective B. Reduce total housing and transportation costs for residents.

Objective C. Identify new or improved system connections to enhance system efficiency.

Objective D. Give priority to connections that help to advance other goal areas.

Objective E. Assure the Oregon City Municipal Code supports a balanced and connected multi-modal transportation system.

Goal 5: Fundable

Objectives

Objective A. Identify stable revenue sources for transportation investments to meet the needs of the City, as documented in the updated TSP.

Objective B. Consider costs and benefits when identifying project solutions and prioritizing public investments.

Objective C. Identify new funding sources to leverage high priority transportation projects.

Goal 5. Identify solutions and funding to meet system needs

The City will identify transportation investments that can be made with available funding to ensure that system needs can be delivered for growth planned within the community.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Available funding sources exist to implement projects in a timely fashion.
- Assumed project benefits exceed project costs.

Goal 6: Convenient and Available

Goal 6. Increase the convenience and availability of pedestrian, bicycle, and transit modes

Strengthen the pedestrian and bicycle systems in all areas of the City. In addition, identify areas that have existing or future transit-supportive densities and amenities and work with local transit providers such as TriMet, Canby Area Transit (CAT), South Clackamas Transportation District (SCTD), etc. to cost-effectively improve coverage and frequency to achieve greater ridership productivity.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Adds bikeway and walkways that fill in system gaps, improve system connectivity, and are accessible to all users.
- Improves access to transit facilities. Promotes transit as a viable alternative to the single occupant vehicle.
- Improves the basic provision of services to encourage higher levels of usage for walking and biking trips.

Objectives

Objective A. Identify projects to close gaps and address deficiencies in the pedestrian and bicycle system.

Objective B. Provide safe, comfortable and convenient transportation options.

Objective C. Identify necessary changes to land development code to ensure connectivity between compatible land uses for pedestrian and bicycle trips.

Objective D. Identify areas that support additional transit services, and coordinate with transit providers to improve the coverage, quality and frequency of services.

Objective E. Consider the potential access needs for candidate High Capacity Transit and frequent service bus routes.

Goal 7: Prosperity

Objectives

Objective A. Freight access and truck travel reliability.

Objective B. Increase the distribution of travel information to maximize the reliability and effectiveness of existing major roadway facilities.

Objective C. Reinforce growth and multi-modal access to 2040 Target Areas.

Objective D. Seek to advance travel strategies that are identified in the Metro Regional Mobility Corridors.

Goal 7. Ensure the transportation system supports a prosperous and competitive economy

Support a prosperous and competitive economy by preserving and enhancing business opportunities, and ensuring the efficient movement of people and goods.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Improves freight access/connectivity.
- Implements strategies to provide stable and reliable auto and truck traffic flows on major facilities.
- Improves access in the Metro 2040 Target Areas.

Goal 8: Compliant

Goal 8. Comply with state and regional transportation plans

The City will meet the requirements of the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule, the Oregon Highway Plan, the Metro 2035 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the Metro Regional Functional Transportation Plan (RFTP).

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria implementing the goal and objectives include:

- Compatible with other jurisdiction's plans and policies, (including adjacent cities, counties, Metro or ODOT).
- Consistent with the standards of the City, Region, and State as a whole.

Objectives

Objective A. Meet the mobility standards for state highways, or develop and propose alternative standards, consistent with Oregon Highway Plan provisions.

Objective B. Develop TSP policy and municipal code language to implement the TSP update.

Objective C. Consider regional needs identified in the Metro RTP, including those identified with the mobility corridors.

Objective D. Consider and evaluate transportation solutions and strategies consistent with the guidelines and priorities of the Metro RFTP.

Section 4



THE TRENDS

the trends

Before it was determined what investments were needed for the City's transportation system, the current travel conditions were reviewed and future growth and travel trends were forecasted through 2035. It was assumed that only the likely to be funded short-term construction projects would be built and no further investments would be made. The following sections explain where growth is expected, how the transportation system will perform, and where solutions will be needed.



Snapshot of Oregon City in 2035

Today, Oregon City is home to over 13,000 households and accounts for over 14,500 jobs. Between now and 2035, household growth is expected to increase nearly 2.4 percent a year, slightly outpacing the rate of employment growth over the same period (2.3 percent). The City is expected to be home to over 23,000 jobs and almost 21,000 households by 2035, a 58 and 61 percent increase respectively from 2010. With more people and more jobs in Oregon City, the transportation network will face increased demands.

More People, More Jobs

As shown in Figure 5, much of the population and employment growth is expected to occur around the undeveloped edges of Oregon City. Employment growth is expected to be highest around the Oregon City Regional Center, including downtown Oregon City and the area bounded by the Clackamas River to the north, Abernethy Road on the south, OR 213 on the east and the Willamette River to the west. High employment growth is also anticipated to occur at the southeast end of the City, around OR 213 and Beavercreek Road.

Household growth is expected to be highest towards the south west end of the City, along South End Road, Central Point Road, Leland Road and Meyers Road. High household growth is also expected to occur on the north and east side of the City, along Maple Lane Road, Holcomb Boulevard and Redland Road. Much of the planned growth along the edge of the City requires voter approval to bring these lands into the city limits. This represents roughly one quarter of the planned growth by 2035.

More Walking, Biking and Transit Usage

The traditional travel demand methodology used for predicting motor vehicle activity does not easily apply to bicycle and pedestrian travel for a number of reasons. Since the number of daily biking and walking trips in a community tends to be much smaller than the number of vehicular trips, data on walking and biking is typically too small to develop accurate models. Additionally, the method of choosing routes when walking or biking tends to be much more complicated than driving (i.e., motorists tend to take the shortest routes while bicycles may trade directness to avoid a hill or travel on a less busy street). The nature of bicycle and pedestrian travel and decision-making is not well understood, and is the subject of current national and local research efforts to incorporate bicycle and pedestrian travel into future traditional travel models.

Other sources of information on bicycle and pedestrian activity, such as the U.S. Census tend to undercount the actual number of walking and biking trips made in a community. This is because Census data focuses on the mode of travel used for work trips, which typically make up less than 20 percent of an individual's travel. The Census also requires that respondents choose the one travel mode used most often during the survey week. As a result, the Census does not capture the bicycle and pedestrian activity of people who bicycle or walk to access transit, to conduct personal business, to socialize, or for recreation.

Therefore, the future needs for walking, biking and transit in Oregon City were determined by reviewing major growth areas of the City and seeing how they were served by existing facilities. In addition, the areas of the City in close proximity to key destinations (such as schools, parks, transit stops, shopping and employment) with potential to attract significant walking and biking trips and areas with existing deficiencies were identified and reviewed by the project team and the community to determine locations for prioritized walking, biking or transit investments.

Areas of the City in close proximity to key destinations (such as schools, parks, transit stops, shopping and employment) that have the potential to attract significant walking and biking trips and areas with existing deficiencies were reviewed to determine locations for prioritized walking, biking or transit investments.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 5

Household and Employment Growth (2010 - 2035)

Legend

Household Growth between 2010 and 2035 (by Zone)

- Increase of less than 500 households
- Increase between 500 and 1,000 households
- Increase of more than 1,000 households

Job Growth between 2010 and 2035 (by Zone)

- Increase of less than 500 jobs
- Increase between 500 and 1,000 jobs
- Increase of more than 1,000 jobs

- # Zone Number
- Zone
- River
- Planned Roadways
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary

Estimating Future Travel

A determination of future transportation system needs in Oregon City required the ability to accurately forecast travel demand resulting from estimates of future population and employment for the City and the rest of the Metro region.

The travel demand forecasting process generally involves estimating travel patterns for new development based on the decisions and preferences demonstrated by existing residents, employers and institutions around the region.

More information on the travel demand forecasting process can be found in the TSP Volume 2, Sections E and F.

More Driving

With more jobs and people, the street network in Oregon City must accommodate an additional 21,000 motor vehicle trips during the evening peak hour (see Table A1 in the TSP Volume 2, Section G). Today, the street network in Oregon City is generally able to handle the estimated 33,000 evening peak hour vehicle trips. However, these trips are expected to increase by 3 percent a year, surpassing 54,000 trips by 2035.

Figure 6 shows the estimated increase in motor vehicle trips on the street network during the evening peak hour. As shown, much of the increased demand is expected along the regional roadways, such as I-205, OR 99E and OR 213. These roadways generally connect the Portland metropolitan area to the employment areas in Oregon City. Other roadways that are expected to see significant traffic increases (according to the Metro travel demand model) include Abernethy Road, Beavercreek Road, Holly Lane, Maple Lane Road, Molalla Avenue, Redland Road and South End Road. Each of these roadways connects a major residential and/or employment growth area in the City to the regional roadway network.

More Congestion

More travel means more congestion. Evening peak hour motor vehicle trips beginning or ending in Oregon City, is expected to increase by 75 percent through 2035. Through travel, or trips that do not begin or end in Oregon City, is also expected to increase through 2035 and is generally representative of growth in Cities such as Molalla and Canby. Figure 7 shows the expected locations that will experience average travel speeds well below the posted limits on the street network in Oregon City if no additional investments are made to the transportation system, including along the regional roadways, such as I-205, OR 99E and OR 213. Congestion on I-205 and OR 213 would generally have less of an impact on Oregon City compared to that on OR 99E, which impacts surface street circulation around Downtown Oregon City and could potentially detract from shopping or other retail uses in the area. Other roadways that are expected to experience average travel speeds well below the posted limits during the evening include Beavercreek Road, Maple Lane Road, Redland Road and Washington Street.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 6

Motor Vehicle Travel Growth (P.M. Peak)

Legend

Roadway Traffic Volume Increase from 2010 to 2035

- Highest Growth in Traffic Volumes (increase of more than 500 vehicles during the p.m. peak hour)
- Moderate Growth in Traffic Volumes (increase between 250 and 500 vehicles during the p.m. peak hour)
- Smallest Growth in Traffic Volumes (less than 250 additional vehicles during the p.m. peak hour)
- River
- Parks and Open Spaces
- Planned Roadways (Conceptual Alignment)
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary

Note: Motor vehicle volumes on the roadways in Oregon City generally peak during the evening between 3:25 p.m. and 5:10 p.m.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 7

2035 Baseline Motor Vehicle Operating Conditions (P.M. Peak)

Legend

2035 Roadway Travel Speed compared to Posted Speed

- Congested, well below speed limit
- Slowing, well below speed limit
- Slowing, but near speed limit
- Uncongested, near speed limit

2035 Baseline Intersection Operations

- Good
- Marginal
- Substandard

- River
- Parks and Open Spaces
- Baseline Planned Roadways
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary

Note: The 2035 baseline motor vehicle opeations assumes no transportation system investments

Motor vehicle volumes on the roadways in Oregon City generally peak during the evening between 3:25 p.m. and 5:10 p.m.

Section 5

THE STANDARDS

the standards



Now that the vision for the transportation system in Oregon City has been established, standards and regulations must be developed to ensure future development or redevelopment of property is consistent with the vision.

Multi-Modal Street System

Traditional roadway designs focus on the safety and flow of motor vehicle traffic. The one size fits all design approach is less effective at integrating the roadway with the character of the surrounding area and addressing the needs of other users of a roadway. For instance, the design of an arterial roadway through a commercial area has often traditionally been the same as one through a residential neighborhood, both primarily focused on the movement of motor vehicles.

Oregon City recognizes that all roadways within the City should be multi-modal or complete streets, with each street serving the needs of the various travel modes. The City also realizes that not all streets should be designed the same. To account for this, Oregon City classified the street system into a hierarchy organized by function and street type (representative of their places). These classifications ensure that the streets reflect the neighborhood through which they pass, consisting of a scale and design appropriate to the character of the abutting properties and land uses. The classifications also provide for and balance the needs of all travel modes including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, motor vehicles and freight. Within these street classifications, context sensitive design may result in alternative cross-sections. The Oregon City multi-modal street system can be seen in Figure 8.

More detail on the multi-modal street system and design type of streets can be found in the TSP Volume 2, Section C.

Multi-Modal Street Function

The functional classification of roadways is a common practice in the United States. Traditionally, roadways are classified based on the type of vehicular travel it is intended to serve (local versus through traffic). In Oregon City, the functional classification of a roadway (shown in Figure 8) determines the level of mobility for all travel modes, defining its design characteristics (such as minimum amount of travel lanes), level of access and usage within the City and region. The street functional classification system recognizes that individual streets do not act independently of one another but instead form a network that works together to serve travel needs on a local and regional level. From highest to lowest intended usage, the classifications are freeway, expressway, major arterials, minor arterials, collectors and local streets. Roadways with a higher intended usage generally provide more efficient motor vehicle traffic movement (or mobility) through the City, while roadways with lower intended usage provide greater access for shorter trips to local destinations.

Multi-Modal Street Type

Oregon City further classifies the roadways within the City based on the neighborhood it serves and the intended function for pedestrians, bicyclists and transit riders in that specific area. Within the context of Oregon City's complete street system that will serve all modes, the street type of a roadway defines its cross-section characteristics and determines how users of a roadway interact with the surrounding land use. Since the type and intensity of adjacent land uses and zoning directly influence the level of use by pedestrians, bicyclists and transit riders, the design of a street (including its intersections, sidewalks, and transit stops) should reflect its surroundings.

The street types strike a balance between street functional classification, adjacent land use, zoning designation and the competing travel needs by prioritizing various design elements. Five street types were designated in Oregon City:

- **Mixed-Use Streets** typically have a higher amount of pedestrian activity and are often on a transit route. These streets should emphasize a variety of travel choices such as pedestrian, bicycle and transit use to complement the development along the street. Since mixed-use streets typically serve pedestrian oriented land uses, walking should receive the highest priority of all the travel modes. They should be designed with features such as wider sidewalks, traffic calming (see the traffic calming section later in this document), pedestrian amenities, transit amenities, attractive landscaping, on-street parking, pedestrian crossing enhancements and bicycle lanes.
- **Residential Streets** are generally surrounded by residential uses, although various small shops may be embedded within the neighborhood. These streets often connect neighborhoods to local parks, schools and mixed-use areas. They should be designed to emphasize walking, while still accommodating the needs of bicyclists and motor vehicles. A high priority should be given to design elements such as traffic calming (see the traffic calming section later in this document), landscaped buffers, walkways/ pathways/ trails, on-street parking and pedestrian safety enhancements.

- **Commercial Streets** are primarily lined with retail and large employment complexes. These uses serve customers throughout the City and region and may not have a direct relationship with nearby residential neighborhoods. These streets are somewhat more auto-oriented, but should still accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists safely and comfortably. Design features should include landscaped medians or a two-way left turn lane, sidewalks and bike lanes, pedestrian crossing enhancements and a buffer between the roadway and the sidewalk.
- **Industrial Streets** serve industrial areas. These streets are designed to accommodate a high volume of large vehicles such as trucks, trailers and other delivery vehicles. Pedestrians and bicyclists may be less frequent in these areas, but should still be accommodated safely and comfortably. Roadway widths are typically wider to accommodate larger vehicles. On-street parking should be discouraged.
- **Constrained Streets** are generally located in steep, environmentally sensitive, rural, historic, or development limited areas of the City. These streets may require different design elements that may not be to scale with the adjacent land use. Constrained elements may include narrower or limited travel lanes, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities, or accommodations that generally match those provided by the surrounding developed land uses. To the extent possible, pedestrian and bicycle accommodations should be provided on an adjacent roadway, via a shared-use path or shared within the right-of-way using distinctive design details.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 8

Multi-Modal Street System

Legend

Functional Classification

- Freeway
- Expressway
- Major Arterial
- Minor Arterial
- Collector
- Local Street

Planned Roadways (Conceptual Alignment)

- Planned Minor Arterial
- Planned Collector
- Planned Local Street

Street Type

- Commercial
- Industrial
- Residential
- Mixed-Use

- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary

Design Types of Streets

Design of the streets in Oregon City requires attention to many elements of the public right-of-way and considers how the street interacts with the adjoining properties. The four zones that comprise the cross-section of streets in Oregon City, including the context zone, walking zone, biking/on-street parking zone and driving zone, are shown in Figure 9. The design of these zones varies based on the functional classification and street type. Overall, there are 16 different design types, ranging from Mixed-Use Major Arterial to Residential Local Street. Note that a design type is not available for limited access roadways classified as Freeway or Expressway. The maximum design criteria for streets can be seen in Section 12.04.180 of the Oregon City Municipal Code. The City may also reduce or eliminate lower- priority design elements of the street along constrained streets located in steep, environmentally sensitive, rural, historic, or development limited areas of the City.

- **Context Zone:** The context zone is the point at which the sidewalk interacts with the adjacent buildings or private property. The purpose of this zone is to provide a buffer between land use adjacent to the street and to ensure that all street users have safe interactions.
- **Walking Zone**: This is the zone in which pedestrians travel. The walking zone is determined by the street type and should be a high priority in mixed-use and residential areas. It includes a clear throughway for walking, an area for street furnishings or landscaping (e.g. benches, transit stops and/or plantings) and a clearance distance between curbside on-street parking and the street furnishing area or landscape strip (so parking vehicles or opening doors do not interfere with street furnishings and/or landscaping). Streets located along a transit route should incorporate furnishings to support transit ridership, such as transit shelters and benches, into the furnishings/landscape strip adjacent to the biking/on-street parking zone.
- **Biking/On-Street Parking Zone:** This is the zone for biking and on-street parking, and is the location where users will access transit. It should include bike lanes or buffered bike lanes. The biking/on-street parking zone is determined by the street type and should be a high priority in mixed-use and residential areas.
- **Driving Zone:** This is the throughway zone for drivers, including cars, buses and

Figure 9: The Components of Oregon City Streets



trucks and should be a high priority in commercial/ employment and industrial areas. The functional classification of the street generally determines the number of through lanes, lane widths, and median and left-turn lane requirements. However, the route designations (such as transit street or freight route) take presentence when determining the appropriate lane width in spite of the functional classification. Wider lanes should only be used for short distances as needed to help buses and trucks negotiate right-turns without encroaching into adjacent or opposing travel lanes. Streets that require a raised median should include a pedestrian refuge at marked crossings. Otherwise, the median can be narrowed at midblock locations, before widening at intersections for left-turn lanes (where required or needed).

Determining Optimum Street Designs

The following steps should be used to determine the optimum cross-section for a street:

Step 1: Determine the functional classification and street type based on Figure 8.

Step 2: Determine the maximum street design as shown in Section 12.04.180 of the Oregon City Municipal Code.

Step 3: Determine if the street is located along a regional truck route, local truck route, or a transit route. If so, the through lane width should be a minimum of 12 feet along a truck route or 11 feet along a transit route. If not, the lane width can be reduced a minimum of 12 feet along major arterials, 11 feet on minor arterials, and 10 feet along collectors and local streets, as determined by the City.

Step 4: Determine if more than two through lanes are needed. More than two through lanes should only be considered if the street and parallel routes cannot effectively accommodate the travel demand.

Step 5: Determine if left-turn lanes are needed at intersections. Intersection design should generally try to minimize pedestrian crossing distance. If turn-lanes are warranted, consider the trade-offs between improved driving mobility and increased crossing distance.

Step 6: Compare the optimum street design to the available right-of-way. If the cross-section is wider than the right-of-way, identify whether right-of-way acquisition is necessary or reduce the width of or eliminate lower-priority elements as determined by the City.

Spacing Standards

Access spacing along Oregon City streets will be managed through access spacing standards. Access management is a broad set of techniques that balance the need to provide efficient, safe, and timely travel with the ability to allow access to individual destinations. Proper implementation of access management techniques will promote reduced congestion and accident rates, and may lessen the need for additional highway capacity.

Table 1 identifies the minimum and maximum street intersection and minimum driveway spacing standards for streets in Oregon City. Within developed areas of the City, streets not complying with these standards could be improved with strategies that include shared access points, access restrictions (through the use of a median or channelization islands) or closed access points as feasible. New streets or redeveloping properties must comply with these standards, to the extent practical (as determined by the City).

Table 1: Spacing Standards

	Mixed-Use or Residential				Commercial or Industrial			
	Major Arterial	Minor Arterial	Collector	Local	Major Arterial	Minor Arterial	Collector	Local
Maximum Block Size (Street to Street)*	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.	530 ft.
Minimum Block Size (Street to Street)	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.	150 ft.
Minimum Driveway Spacing (Street to Driveway and Driveway to Driveway)**	175 ft.	175 ft.	100 ft.	25 ft.	225 ft.	225 ft.	150 ft.	25 ft.

* If the maximum block size is exceeded, mid-block pedestrian and bicycle accessways must be provided at spacing no more than 330 feet, unless the connection is impractical due to existing development, topography, or environmental constraints.
** Single and two-family dwellings are exempt from the driveway to driveway spacing standard.

Traffic Calming

Traffic calming refers to street design techniques used to re-create safe, slow residential and mixed-use streets without significantly changing vehicle capacity and to mitigate the impacts of traffic on neighborhoods and business districts where a greater balance between safety and mobility is needed. Traffic calming seeks to influence driver behavior through physical and psychological means, resulting in lower vehicle speeds or through traffic volumes. Physical traffic calming techniques include:

- Narrowing the street by providing curb extensions or bulbouts, or mid-block pedestrian refuge islands
- Deflecting the vehicle path vertically by installing speed humps, speed tables, or raised intersections
- Deflecting the vehicle path horizontally with chicanes, roundabouts, and mini-roundabouts

Narrowing travel lanes and providing visual cues such as placing buildings, street trees, on-street parking, and landscaping next to the street also create a sense of enclosure that prompts drivers to reduce vehicle speeds.

Multi-Modal Connectivity

The aggregate effect of local street design impacts the effectiveness of the regional system when local travel is restricted by a lack of connecting routes, and local trips are forced onto the regional network.[1] Therefore, streets should be designed to keep through motor vehicle trips on arterial streets and provide local trips with alternative routes. Street system connectivity is critical because roadway networks provide the backbone for bicycle and pedestrian travel in the region. Metro's local street connectivity principal encourages communities to develop a connected network of local streets to provide a high level of access, comfort, and convenience for bicyclists and walkers that travel to and among centers.

A multi-modal connectivity plan for Oregon City is shown in Figure 10. It specifies the general location where new streets or shared-use paths could potentially be installed as nearby areas are developed or as the opportunity arises. The purpose of the plan is to ensure that new developments accommodate circulation between adjacent neighborhoods to improve connectivity for all modes of transportation.

[1] Metro 2035 Regional Transportation Plan, Local Street Network Concept



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 10

Multi-Modal Connectivity Plan

Legend

Street Connectivity

- Existing Street
- Planned Roadway Extension (Conceptual Alignment)
- Potential Street Extension

Trail Connectivity

- Existing Shared-Use Path
- Planned Shared-Use Path (Conceptual Alignment)
- Potential Trail Connection

- Steep Slope
- Building
- Stream
- River
- Park/Public Property
- Urban Growth Boundary
- Railroad

Mobility Standards

Establishing new mobility standards for streets and intersections in Oregon City will provide the City flexibility in the future with regards to how funds are allocated for intersection and roadway improvements. By allowing more flexibility in the mobility standards, the City will help encourage a sustainable transportation system (consistent with the TSP Update Goal 3) and will allow funds to be focused on higher priority multi-modal improvements rather than driving-focused improvements at locations that are operating below capacity but over the City standard.

In the past, streets were often designed to accommodate the traffic demand during a one-hour peak period without consideration given to the fact that they operated well below capacity for a majority of the day and to how wider streets and intersections may impact walking and biking. Having a mobility standard that encourages this is not sustainable, from a fiscal and environmental perspective. The new mobility standard will allow more congestion during the peak period of travel, but will also allow safer and more comfortable streets for multi-modal travel.

The following mobility standards are recommended for non-state owned streets in Oregon City. State owned streets should comply with the mobility targets included in the Oregon Highway Plan. However, for proposed development that is permitted, either conditionally, outright, or through detailed development master plan approval, the OR 99E/I-205 SB Ramps, OR 99E/I-205 NB Ramps, OR 213/ Beavercreek Road, and I-205/OR 213 Interchange intersections shall be exempt from meeting the state mobility targets until further solutions (beyond those included in the TSP) or alternative mobility targets are explored for the intersections.

For streets located outside the Oregon City Regional Center, and not designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network in the Regional Transportation Plan, the following mobility standards should be applied:

Signalized intersections:

- During the highest one-hour period of the day (typically, but not always during the evening peak period between 4 and 6 p.m.): LOS "D" or better will be required for the intersection as a whole and no approach operating at worse than LOS "E" and a v/c ratio not higher than 1.0 for the sum of the critical movements.
- For the second hour (either the hour before or hour after the peak hour): LOS "D" or better will be required for the intersection as a whole and no approach operating at worse than LOS "E" and a v/c ratio not higher than 1.0 for the sum of the critical movements.

Unsignalized intersections:

- During the highest one-hour period of the day (typically, but not always during the evening peak period between 4 and 6 p.m.): All movements serving more than 20 vehicles shall be maintained at LOS "E" or better. LOS "F" will be tolerated at movements serving no more than 20 vehicles during the peak hour.

For streets located outside the Oregon City Regional Center, but designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network in the Regional Transportation Plan, the following mobility standards should be applied:

- During the highest one-hour period of the day (typically, but not always during the

evening peak period between 4 and 6 p.m.): A maximum v/c ratio of 0.99 shall be maintained at all intersections. For signalized intersections, this standard applies to the intersection as a whole. For unsignalized intersections, this standard applies to the worst movement.

- For the second hour (either the hour before or hour after the peak hour): A maximum v/c ratio of 0.99 shall be maintained at all intersections. For signalized intersections, this standard applies to the intersection as a whole. For unsignalized intersections, this standard applies to the worst movement.

Since streets located in the Oregon City Regional Center should be designed to encourage walking, biking and transit usage, the following mobility standards should be applied:

- During the highest one-hour period of the day a maximum v/c ratio of 1.10 shall be maintained at all intersections. For signalized intersections, this standard applies to the intersection as a whole. For unsignalized intersections, this standard applies to the worst movement.
- For the second hour (either the hour before or hour after the peak hour) a maximum v/c ratio of 0.99 shall be maintained at all intersections. For signalized intersections, this standard applies to the intersection as a whole. For unsignalized intersections, this standard applies to the worst movement.

Truck Routes

Truck routes were designated in Oregon City to ensure trucks can efficiently travel through and access major destinations in the City. Efficient truck movement plays a vital role in the economical movement of raw materials and finished products. The designation of through truck routes provides for this efficient movement, while at the same time maintaining neighborhood livability, public safety, and minimizing maintenance costs of the roadway system. ODOT has identified I-205 as a freight route through Oregon City. While OR 99E is not classified by ODOT as a freight route, it is designated as a truck route by the federal government.

Much of the freight activity in Oregon City is related to the employment land located near the southeast corner of the City along OR 213, Beavercreek Road and Molalla Avenue and within the Oregon City Regional Center. To allow for efficient movement between these designated areas and regional freight routes, Metro has classified several roadways in the City as freight connectors. The connector roadways link I-205 with the employment areas and include OR 213, Beavercreek Road and OR 99E. Oregon City will designate these streets as local truck routes to ensure freight is adequately accommodated in the City. The Oregon City truck routes can be seen in Figure 11.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 11

Truck Routes

Legend

Truck Routes

- ODOT Freight Route
- Federal Truck Route
- Local Truck Route
- Planned Local Truck Route (Conceptual Alignment)

- River
- Parks and Open Spaces
- Planned Street (Conceptual Alignment)
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary

Section 6

THE INVESTMENTS

the investments

The Oregon City approach to developing transportation solutions placed more value on investments in smaller cost-effective solutions for the transportation system rather than larger, more costly ones. The approach helped to encourage multiple travel options, increase street connectivity and promote a more sustainable transportation system.

Taking the network approach to transportation system improvements, the projects in this plan fall within one of several categories:

- **Driving** projects to improve connectivity, safety and capacity throughout the City. Oregon City identified 95 driving projects that will cost an estimated $162.3 million to complete.
- **Walking** projects for sidewalk infill, providing seamless connections for pedestrians throughout the City. Oregon City identified 75 walking projects that will cost an estimated $14.7 million to complete.
- **Biking** projects including an integrated network of bicycle lanes and marked on-street routes that facilitates convenient travel citywide. Oregon City identified 66 biking projects that will cost an estimated $5.3 million to complete.
- **Shared-Use Path** projects providing local and regional off-street travel for walkers and bikers. The citywide shared-use path vision includes 53 projects totaling an estimated $30.2 million.
- **Transit** projects to enhance the quality and convenience for passengers. Oregon City identified four transit projects that will cost an estimated $1.3 million to complete.
- **Family Friendly** projects to fill gaps between shared-use paths, parks, and schools, offering a network of low-volume streets for more comfortable biking and walking throughout the City. The 33 family-friendly routes identified by the City will cost an estimated $5.2 million to complete.
- **Crossing** project solutions, proving safe travel across streets along key biking and walking routes. A total of

36 crossing projects were identified, totaling an estimated $2.8 million.

Overall, Oregon City identified 362 transportation solutions, totaling an estimated $222 million worth of investments. As shown in Figure 12, only about 25 percent of the improvements in the Plan are driving projects, yet these projects account for nearly 75 percent of the total project expenses of the Plan.

Identifying Transportation System Investments

The Oregon City approach placed more value on investments in smaller cost-effective solutions for the transportation system rather than larger, more costly ones where practical. The approach identified solutions to accommodate future travel demand by following a five-step process (shown previously in Figure 3).

See Section 3 of this Plan for more information.

Figure 12: Breakdown of the Projects and Expenses in the Plan



Projects in the TSP by mode



Project expenses in the TSP by mode

Section 7

THE FUNDING

the funding



With an estimated $222 million worth of transportation solutions identified, Oregon City must make investment decisions to develop a set of transportation improvements that will likely be funded to meet identified needs through 2035. Overall, Oregon City is expected to have the following funds available through 2035 after accounting for the expenditures (see Figure 13):

- Approximately $14.7 million is expected to be available for capital improvement needs after street operation and maintenance needs are met through 2035. These funds can be spent on non-SDC eligible project costs or other street improvements that are related to maintenance such as upgraded retaining walls and stairways, new guardrail, signal equipment replacement and upgrades, or curb and gutter.
- Over $109 million is expected to be available for System Development Charge (SDC) projects after reducing the planned SDC project expenditures through 2035. This includes about $2 million for pedestrian and bicycle SDC projects and over $107 million for street SDC projects. The improvement projects eligible for SDC funding may be continuously updated. It was assumed that the needed transportation system investments identified through the TSP update would be used to amend the existing SDC project list.

Figure 13: Expected Funding for the Plan



Funding Shortfall

Over $162 million worth of motor vehicle, over $50 million worth of pedestrian, bicycle and shared-use path improvements and $9 million worth of transit, street crossing and family-friendly route projects were identified by the City. Of those project costs (as shown in Figure 14), approximately $100 million of the motor vehicle and $23 million of the pedestrian, bicycle and shared-use path project costs are needed to accommodate new development, and therefore are eligible for SDC funding. This leaves about $63 million in motor vehicle and $27 million in pedestrian, bicycle and shared-use path project costs to serve existing transportation deficiencies. These project costs, in addition to the transit, street crossing and family-friendly route project costs, are not eligible to utilize SDC funds and must be funded through other means, such as the Street Fund or other State or Federal grants.

Unless additional funds are developed, Oregon City will be expected to have a little over $14.7 million (from the Street Fund) to cover the $63 million in motor vehicle, $27 million in pedestrian, bicycle and shared-use path, and $9 million in transit, street crossing and family-friendly route project costs that are not eligible for SDC funds (based on the current revenue and expenditure forecasts). In other words, about $84.3 million worth of projects would be unfunded.

Figure 14: Eligibility of Plan Investments for SDC Funding



Funding Shortfall for Transportation System Investments

The total cost of transportation system projects needed is greater than the City's ability to raise funding.

Unless additional funds are developed, Oregon City will be expected to have $84.3 million worth of unfunded projects.

For more detailed funding information, see the TSP Volume 2, Section H.

Section 8



THE PLAN

As detailed in the Funding section, the City is expected to have approximately $14.7 million to cover the $99 million in project costs that are not eligible for SDC funds. Clearly, most of the transportation solutions identified for the City are not reasonably likely to be funded through 2035. For this reason, the transportation solutions were divided into two categories. Those reasonably expected to be funded by 2035 were included in the Likely to be Funded Transportation System, while the projects that are not expected to be funded by 2035 were included in the Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System.

Determining the investments that made the Likely to be Funded Plan

Using the eight goals (see Section 2), the transportation solutions were evaluated and compared to one another. Greater value was placed on the projects stakeholders felt were most important to the community.

Each transportation solution was assigned a time frame for the expected investment need, based on a projects contribution to achieving the transportation goals of Oregon City. The investment recommendations attempted to balance implementation considerations. Complex and costly capital projects were disfavored compared with implementation of low cost projects that can have more immediate impacts and can spread investment benefits citywide.

Project evaluation scores can be found in Table A1 of the TSP Volume 2, Section I.

Likely to be Funded Transportation System

The Likely to be Funded Plan identifies the transportation solutions reasonably expected to be funded by 2035 and have the highest priority for implementation. Transportation solutions within the Likely to be Funded Transportation System were recommended within several different priority/time horizons:

- Short-term: projects recommended for implementation in within 1 to 5 years.
- Medium-term: projects recommended for implementation in within 5 to 10 years.
- Long-term: projects likely to be implemented beyond 10 years from the adoption of this plan. These projects are important for the development of the City transportation network, but are unlikely to be funded in the next 10 years.

The Likely to be Funded Transportation solutions are summarized in Table 2 and illustrated in Figures 16 to 21. The projects numbered on Figures 16 to 21 correspond with the project numbers in Table 2. The project numbers are denoted as follows:

- Driving ("D")
- Walking ("W")
- Biking ("B")
- Shared-use path ("S")
- Transit ("T")
- Street crossing ("C")
- Family-Friendly route ("FF")

Planning level cost estimates for the projects can be found in Table A1 of the TSP Volume 2, Section I.

Over $73 million worth of investments are included in the Likely to be Funded Transportation System. As shown in Figure 15, about 80 percent (or $58.6 million) of these investments were eligible to utilize SDC funding. All expected City revenue for non-SDC eligible expenses (about $14.7 million) will be needed to fund the remaining 20 percent of the Likely to be Funded Transportation System investments.

The Likely to be Funded Transportation System includes over $73 million worth of investments.

Figure 15: Funding for the Likely to be Funded Transportation System



Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
Further Study				
D0	OR 213/Beavercreek Road Refinement Plan	OR 213 from Redland Road to Molalla Avenue	Identify and evaluate circulation options to reduce motor vehicle congestion along the corridor. Explore alternative mobility targets.	Short-term
D00	I-205 Refinement Plan	I-205 at the OR 99E and OR 213 Ramp Terminals	Identify and evaluate circulation options to reduce motor vehicle congestion at the interchanges. Explore alternative mobility targets, and consider impacts related to a potential MMA Designation for the Oregon City Regional Center.	Short-term
Driving Solutions (Intersection and Street Management- see Figure 16)				
D1	Molalla Avenue/ Beavercreek Road Adaptive Signal Timing	Molalla Avenue from Washington Street to Gaffney Lane; Beavercreek Road from Molalla Avenue to Maple Lane Road	Deploy adaptive signal timing that adjusts signal timings to match real-time traffic conditions.	Short-term
D7	Option 1: 14th Street Restriping	Option 1: OR 99E to John Adams Street	**Option 1:** Convert 14th Street to one-way eastbound between McLoughlin Boulevard and John Adams Street: • Convert the Main Street/14th Street intersection to all-way stop control (per project D13). • From McLoughlin Boulevard to Main Street, 14th Street would be restriped to include two 12-foot eastbound travel lanes, a six-foot eastbound bike lane, a six-foot westbound contra-flow bike lane, and an eight-foot landscaping buffer on the north side • From Main Street to Washington Street, 14th Street would be restriped to include two 11-foot eastbound travel lanes, a five-foot eastbound bike lane, a five-foot westbound contra-flow bike lane, and an eight-foot on-street parking lane on the north side • From Washington Street to John Adams Street, 14th Street would be restriped to include one 12-foot eastbound travel lane, a six-foot eastbound bike lane, a six-foot westbound contra-flow bike lane, and an eight-foot on-street parking lane on the north and south side • Add a bicycle signal, with detection at the McLoughlin Boulevard/14th Street intersection. Add bicycle detection to the traffic signal at the Washington Street/14th Street intersection.	Short-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
	Option 2: Main Street/14th Street Intersection Widening	Option 2: Main Street/14th Street	**Option 2:** Convert the Main Street/14th Street intersection to all-way stop control (per project D13). Widen 14th Street to include shared through/left-turn and through/right-turn lanes in both directions	
D8	15th Street Restriping	OR 99E to John Adams Street	Convert 15th Street to one-way westbound between Washington Street and McLoughlin Boulevard: • From John Adams Street to Washington Street, 15th Street would be striped as a shared-roadway (per project B6). • From Washington Street to Main Street, 15th Street would be restriped to include two 11-foot westbound travel lanes, a five-foot westbound bike lane, a five-foot eastbound contra-flow bike lane, and an eight-foot on-street parking lane on the south side. Complete the sidewalk gaps on the north side of 15th Street between Main Street and Center Street, and on the south side between Center Street and Washington Street (per project W75). • From Main Street to McLoughlin Boulevard, 15th Street would be restriped to include two 12-foot travel lanes, a six-foot westbound bike lane, and an eight-foot on-street parking lane on the south side. Add a 12-foot shared-use path with a two-foot buffer adjacent to the on-street parking lane. Add bicycle detection to the traffic signal at the Washington Street/15th Street intersection.	Included with project D7
D11	Optimize existing traffic signals	Citywide	Optimize the existing traffic signals by updating the existing coordinated signal timing plans, upgrading traffic signal controllers or communication infrastructure or cabinets.	Short-term
D12	Protected/permitted signal phasing	Citywide	Incorporate protected/permitted phasing for left turn movements at traffic signals.	Short-term
D13	Main Street/14th Street Safety Enhancement	Main Street/14th Street	Convert to all-way stop control to be consistent with the traffic control at surrounding intersections on Main Street.	Included with project D7
D14	Southbound OR 213 Advanced Warning System	Southbound OR 213, north of the Beavercreek Road intersection	Install a queue warning system for southbound drivers on OR 213 to automatically detect queues and warn motorists in advance via a Variable Message Sign	Short-term
D27	OR 213/Beavercreek Road Operational Enhancement	OR 213/Beavercreek Road	Lengthen the dual left-turn lanes along Beavercreek Road to provide an additional 200 feet of storage for the eastbound	Short-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
			approach	
D28	Washington Street/12th Street Safety Enhancement	Washington Street/12th Street	Install a traffic signal with dedicated left turn lanes for the 12th Street approaches to Washington Street.	Medium-term
D30	Molalla Avenue/Division Street-Taylor Street Safety Enhancement	Molalla Avenue/Division Street-Taylor Street	Install a single-lane roundabout	Medium-term
D32	South End Road/Warner Parrott Road Operational Enhancement	South End Road/Warner Parrott Road	Install a traffic signal with dedicated left turn lanes for the South End Road approaches to Warner Parrott Road	Medium-term
D33	South End Road/Lafayette Avenue-Partlow Road Operational Enhancement	South End Road/Lafayette Avenue-Partlow Road	Install a single-lane roundabout	Medium-term
D40	Main Street/Dunes Drive Extension Operational Enhancement	Main Street/Dunes Drive Extension	Install a single-lane roundabout	Long-term
D41	South End Road/Buetel Road Extension Operational Enhancement	South End Road/Buetel Road Extension	Install a single-lane roundabout	Medium-term
D42	South End Road/Deer Lane Extension Operational Enhancement	South End Road/Deer Lane Extension	Install a single-lane roundabout	Long-term
D43	Holcomb Boulevard/Holly Lane North Extension Operational Enhancement	Holcomb Boulevard/Holly Lane North Extension	Install a single-lane roundabout	Long-term
D44	Beavercreek Road/Loder Road Extension Operational Enhancement	Beavercreek Road/Loder Road Extension	Install a roundabout	Medium-term
D45	Meyers Road Extension/ Loder Road Extension Operational Enhancement	Meyers Road Extension/ Loder Road Extension	Install a single-lane roundabout	Medium-term
Driving Solutions (Street Extensions- see Figure 17)				
D46	Meyers Road West extension	OR 213 to High School Avenue	Extend Meyers Road from OR 213 to High School Avenue as an Industrial Minor Arterial. Create a local street connection to Douglas Loop.	Short-term
D47	Meyers Road East extension	Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension	Extend Meyers Road from Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension as an Industrial Minor Arterial. Between the Holly Lane and Meadow Lane extensions, add a sidewalk and bike lane to the south side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on north side per project S19. Modify the existing traffic signal at Beavercreek Road	Medium-term
D48	Holly Lane North extension	Redland Road to Holcomb Boulevard	Extend Holly Lane from Redland Road to Holcomb Boulevard as a Residential Minor Arterial. Create local street	Long-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
			connections to Cattle Drive and Journey Drive.	
D49	Swan Avenue extension	Livesay Road to Redland Road	Extend Swan Avenue from Livesay Road to Redland Road as an Residential Collector	Long-term
D50		Redland Road to Morton Road	Extend Swan Avenue from Redland Road to Morton Road as an Residential Collector	Long-term
D51	Deer Lane extension	Rose Road to Buetel Road	Extend Deer Lane from Rose Road to Buetel Road as a Residential Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the east side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on west side per project S32.	Long-term
D52		Buetel Road to Parrish Road	Extend Deer Lane from Buetel Road to Parrish Lane as a Residential Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the east/north side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on west/south side per project S33. Create a local street connection to Finnegans Way Install a roundabout at South End Road (per project D42).	Long-term
D53	Madrona Drive extension	Madrona Drive to Deer Lane	Extend Madrona Drive to Deer Lane as a Constrained Residential Collector	Long-term
D54	Clairmont Drive extension	Beavercreek Road to Holly Lane South Extension	Extend Clairmont Drive from Beavercreek Road to the Holly Lane South extension as an Industrial Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the south side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on north side per project S17.	Long-term
D55	Glen Oak Road extension	Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension	Extend Glen Oak Road from Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension as a Residential Collector. Install a roundabout at Beavercreek Road (per project D39)	Long-term
D56	Timbersky Way extension	Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension	Extend Timbersky Way from Beavercreek Road to the Meadow Lane Extension as a Residential Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the south side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on north side per project S20.	Long-term
D57	Holly Lane South extension	Maple Lane Road to Thayer Road	Extend Holly Lane from Maple Lane Road to Thayer Road as a Residential Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S14. Install a roundabout at Maple Lane Road (per project D37).	Medium-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
D58		Thayer Road to Meyers Road	Extend Holly Lane from Thayer Road to the Meyers Road extension as an Industrial Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S15.	Medium-term
D59		Meyers Road to the Meadow Lane Extension	Extend Holly Lane from the Meyers Road extension to the Meadow Lane Extension as a Mixed-Use Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S16.	Long-term
D60	Meadow Lane extension	Meadow Lane to Meyers Road	Extend Meadow Lane to the Meyers Road Extension as a Mixed-Use Collector. Between Old Acres Lane and the Glen Oak Road extension, add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S21.	Long-term
D61		Meyers Road to UGB (north of Loder Road)	Extend Meadow Lane from the Meyers Road Extension to the UGB (north of Loder Road) as an Industrial Collector	Medium-term
D62	Dunes Drive Extension	OR 99E to Agnes Avenue	Extend Dunes Drive from OR 99E to Agnes Avenue as a Mixed-Use Collector. Install a roundabout at the Dunes Drive/Agnes Avenue intersection (per project D40). Will require redevelopment of the Oregon City Shopping Center.	Medium-term
D63	Washington Street to Abernethy Road Connection	Washington Street to Abernethy Road	Connect Washington Street to Abernethy Road with a Mixed-Use Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S5. This street should be a public access road built to City standards but maintained by a private entity.	Long-term
D64	Loder Road Extension	Beavercreek Road to Glen Oak Road	Extend Loder Road from Beavercreek Road to Glen Oak Road as an Industrial Collector. Add a sidewalk and bike lane to the west side of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on east side per project S18. Create a local street connection to Douglas Loop. Install a roundabout at Meyers Road (per project D45).	Short-term
D65	Parrish Road Extension	From Parrish Road east to Kolar Drive	Complete the gap between Parrish Road as a Constrained Residential Collector.	Long-term
D66	Washington Street Realignment	Home Depot Driveway to Clackamas River	Washington Street Realignment associated with the OR	Under

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
		Drive	213/Washington Street Jug-handle Project.	Construction
D72	Hampton Drive Extension	Hampton Drive to Atlanta Drive	Extend Hampton Drive to Atlanta Drive as a Residential Local Street.	Long-term
Driving Solutions (Street and Intersection Expansions- see Figure 18)				
D73	McLoughlin Boulevard Improvements - Phase 2	Dunes Drive to Clackamas River Bridge	Boulevard and gateway improvements, including pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Access management improvements just north of the I-205 southbound ramps.	Under Construction
D80	Division Street Upgrade	7th Street to 18th Street	Improve to Collector cross-section, as a constrained street	Long-term
D81	Beavercreek Road Upgrade	Clairmont Drive (CCC Entrance) to Meyers Road	Improve to Industrial Major Arterial cross-section	Medium-term
D82		Meyers Road to UGB	Improve to Residential Major Arterial cross-section	Long-term
D89	South End Road Upgrade	Partlow Road-Lafayette Road to UGB	Improve to Residential Minor Arterial cross-section	Medium-term
D92	Washington Street Upgrade	11th Street to 7th Street	Improve to Minor Arterial cross-section, as a constrained street. Add curb-ramps at intersections	Medium-term
Walking Solutions (see Figure 19)				
W5	Washington Street Sidewalk Infill	Washington Street-Abernethy Road Extension to Abernethy Road	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W11	Holcomb Boulevard (East of OR 213) Sidewalk Infill	OR 213 overcrossing to Swan Avenue	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Medium-term
W12		Longview Way to Winston Drive	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Medium-term
W13		Barlow Drive to UGB	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Medium-term
W34	Molalla Avenue Sidewalk Infill	Gaffney Lane to Sebastian Way	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Included with project W74
W35	Leland Road Sidewalk Infill	Warner Milne Road to Meyers Road	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W41	Warner Milne Road Sidewalk Infill	Leland Road to west of Molalla Avenue	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W42	Beavercreek Road Sidewalk Infill	Warner Milne Road to east of Kaen Road	Complete sidewalk gaps on the east side of the street	Short-term
W47	South End Road (south of Partlow) Sidewalk Infill	Partlow Road to Buetel Road	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Included with project D89
W48		Buetel Road to UGB	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Included with project D89
W54	South End Road (north of Partlow) Sidewalk Infill	Partlow Road to Barker Avenue	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W56	Warner Parrott Road Sidewalk Infill	King Road to Marshall Street	Complete sidewalk gaps on the north side of the street	Short-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
W62	Linn Avenue Sidewalk Infill	Ella Street to Charman Avenue	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W64	Brighton Avenue-Creed Street Sidewalk Infill	Charman Avenue to Waterboard Park Road	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W65	Brighton Avenue-Park Drive Sidewalk Infill	Charman Avenue to Linn Avenue	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Short-term
W70	Division Street Sidewalk Infill	7th Street to 18th Street	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street	Included with project D80
W73	Molalla Avenue Streetscape Improvements Phase 3	Holmes Lane to Warner Milne Road	Streetscape improvements including widening sidewalks, sidewalk infill, ADA accessibility, bike lanes, reconfigure travel lanes, add bus stop amenities.	Medium-term
W74	Molalla Avenue Streetscape Improvements Phase 4	Beavercreek Road to OR 213	Streetscape improvements including widening sidewalks, sidewalk infill, ADA accessibility, bike lanes, reconfigure travel lanes, add bus stop amenities.	Medium-term
W75	15th Street Sidewalk Infill	OR 99E to Washington Street	Complete sidewalk gaps on both sides of the street, with a shared-use path to be added on south side between OR 99E and Main Street per project S53.	Included with project D8
Biking Solutions (see Figure 20)				
B1	7th Street Shared Roadway	OR 43 Bridge to Railroad Avenue	Add wayfinding and shared lane markings	Short-term
B2	Railroad Avenue-9th Street Shared Roadway	OR 99E to Main Street	Add wayfinding and shared lane markings	Short-term
B3	Main Street Shared Roadway	OR 99E to 15th Street	Add wayfinding and shared lane markings	Short-term
B5	12th Street (west of Washington Street) Shared Roadway	OR 99E to Washington Street	Add wayfinding and shared lane markings	Short-term
B6	15th Street (west of John Adams) Shared Roadway	Washington Street to John Adams Street	Add wayfinding and shared lane markings	Included with project D8
B12	Holcomb Boulevard (East of OR 213) Bike Lanes	Longview Way to UGB	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term
B29	Beavercreek Road Bike Lanes	Pebble Beach Drive to UGB	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Included with project D82
B32	Fir Street Bike Lanes	Molalla Avenue to 1,500 feet east	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term
B33	Leland Road Bike Lanes	Marysville Lane to Meyers Road	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term
B35	Meyers Road Bike Lanes	Leland Road to Autumn Lane	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
B37	Molalla Avenue Bike Lanes	Gales Lane to Adrian Way	Complete bike lane gaps on both sides of the street	Included with project W73
B42	South End Road (south of Partlow) Bike Lanes	Buetel Road to UGB	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Included with project D89
B53	Holmes Lane Bike Lanes	Linn Avenue to Rilance Lane	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term
B55	Pearl Street Bike Lanes	Linn Avenue to Molalla Avenue	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Medium-term
B60	Division Street Bike Lanes	7th Street to 18th Street	Add bike lanes to both sides of the street	Included with project D80
B65	14th Street Bike Lanes	OR 99E to John Adams Street	Add an eastbound bike lane and a westbound contra-flow bike lane	Included with project D7
B66	15th Street Bike Lanes	OR 99E to Washington Street	Add a westbound bike lane and an eastbound contra-flow bike lane, with a shared-use path to be added on south side of 15th Street between OR 99E and Main Street per project S53.	Included with project D8
Shared-Use Path Solutions (see Figure 21)				
S14	Maple Lane-Thayer Shared-Use Path	Maple Lane Road to Thayer Road	Add a shared-use path on the east side of the Holly Lane extension between Maple Lane and Thayer.	Long-term
S15	Thayer-Loder Shared-Use Path	Thayer Road to Loder Road	Add a shared-use path on the east side of the Holly Lane extension between Thayer and Loder.	Long-term
S18	Loder Road Shared-Use Path	Glen Oak Road to Holly Lane Extension	Add a shared-use path on the south/east side of the Loder Road extension between Glen Oak Road and the Holly Lane extension.	Long-term
S24	Gaffney Lane Elementary Shared-Use Path	Eastborne Drive to Falcon Drive	Add a shared-use path along the northern boundary of Gaffney Lane Elementary School between the Eastborne Drive path and Falcon Drive	Long-term
S36	Tumwater-4th Shared-Use Path	Tumwater Drive to 4th Avenue	Add a shared-use path through Old Canemah Park connecting 4th Avenue to the Tumwater/South 2nd intersection	Long-term
S53	15th Street Shared-Use Path	OR 99E to Main Street	Add a shared-use path on the south side of 15th Street between OR 99E and Main Street.	Included with project D8
Transit Solutions				
T1	Molalla Avenue Transit Signal Priority	Washington Street to Gaffney Lane	Provide priority at traffic signals for buses behind schedule. This includes the use and deployment of Opticom detectors	Short-term

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
			at traffic signals and emitters on buses.	
T2	OR 99E Transit Signal Priority	Dunes Drive to 10th Street		Short-term
T3	Bus Stop Amenity Enhancement	Citywide	Add amenities at bus stops as needed, including bus shelters, landing pads, benches, trash/recycling receptacles and lighting	Short-term
Street Crossing Solutions (see Figure 21)				
C11	Beavercreek Road/Loder Road Shared-Use Path Crossing	Beavercreek Road/Loder Road intersection	Install crosswalk and pedestrian activated flasher on Beavercreek Road	Long-term
C35	John Adams/7h Family Friendly Route Crossing	7th Street/John Adams Street intersection	Install crosswalk and pedestrian activated flasher on 7th Street	Long-term
Family-Friendly Routes (see Figure 19 or 20)				
FF13	Leland-Warner Parrot Family Friendly Route	Leland Road to Warner Parrot Road	Add sidewalks on both sides of the street. Add wayfinding, traffic calming and shared lane markings. Route via Hampton Drive, Atlanta Drive, Auburn Drive and Boynton Street. Includes Hampton Drive extension to Central Point Road	Long-term
FF19	Warner Parrot-Barker Family Friendly Route	Warner Parrot Road to Barker Avenue	Add sidewalks on both sides of the street. Add wayfinding and shared lane markings. Route via Woodlawn Avenue and Woodfield Court.	Long-term
FF20	Barker Avenue Family Friendly Route	South End Road to Telford Road	Add sidewalks on both sides of the street. Add wayfinding, traffic calming and shared lane markings. Route via Barker Avenue	Long-term
FF23	Charman Avenue Family Friendly Route	Telford Road to Linn Avenue	Add sidewalks and bike lanes on both sides of the street. Add wayfinding and traffic calming	Long-term
Citywide and Programmatic Improvements				
N/A	Family Friendly Routes	Citywide	Program to systematically implement the Neighborhood Greenway network on a yearly basis	N/A
N/A	Sidewalk Infill Program	Citywide	Capital program to systematically design and construct missing sidewalks along prioritized pedestrian routes. Provide sidewalks on local, residential streets that lead to roadways with transit service.	N/A
N/A	Develop Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Guidelines	Citywide	Develop bicycle and pedestrian design guidelines that establish preferred designs that represent best practices. Key	N/A

Table 2: Likely to be Funded Transportation System

Project #	Project Description	Project Extent	Project Elements	Priority
			treatments include pedestrian crossing design and bicycle accommodation at intersections (i.e. bike boxes, bicycle detection, etc.).	
N/A	ADA/Curb Ramp Upgrade Program	Citywide	Upgrade curb ramps and eliminate gaps in ADA access along prioritized pedestrian routes near key destinations.	N/A
N/A	Pedestrian Wayfinding Signage	Citywide	Pedestrian wayfinding tools can include signs and walking maps indicating walking routes to destinations and transit stops, as well as digital applications for smart phones.	N/A
N/A	Bicycle Parking Program	Citywide	Implement bicycle rack design and placement standards; review development applications for compliance; coordinate with sidewalk installation by developments or in city projects.	N/A
N/A	Bike Lane Re-striping Schedule	Citywide	Develop a bike lane re-striping schedule.	N/A
N/A	Bicycle Wayfinding Signage	Citywide	Implement a bicycle wayfinding signage program to assist bicyclists in choosing comfortable routes and to help visiting bicyclists navigate through the city.	N/A
N/A	Stop Here For Pedestrians signage	Citywide	Add Stop Here For Pedestrians signage at existing and new crosswalks. State standards require installation of a stop line in advance of the crosswalk to use this sign.	N/A
N/A	Bicycle/Pedestrian Connections to Transit	Citywide	Coordinate infrastructure upgrades near transit stops and park and rides to improve access and amenities targeted at increasing ridership.	N/A
N/A	Repaving policy	Citywide	Ensure repaving projects extend the full width of the road, including the full shoulder or bike lane.	N/A
N/A	Streetscape Enhancements	Citywide	Develop projects to create a pedestrian buffer zone on key pedestrian routes, including those that provide access to transit. Streets that would benefit from a buffer zone include Molalla Ave and Warner Milne Rd.	N/A
N/A	Safe Routes to Schools Curriculum	Citywide	Leverage ODOT Safe Routes Program with local investment to bring Safe Routes curriculum to all area K-8 schools.	N/A

Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System

The projects and actions outlined within the Likely to be Funded System will significantly improve Oregon City's transportation system. If the City is able to implement a majority of the Likely to be Funded System, nearly two decades from now Oregon City residents will have access to a safer, more balanced multimodal transportation network.

The Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System identifies those transportation solutions that are not reasonably expected to be funded by 2035, but many of which are critically important to the transportation system. Some of the projects will require funding and resources beyond what is available in the time frame of this plan. Others are contingent upon redevelopment that makes it possible to create currently missing infrastructure, such as street connections.

The Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System solutions are illustrated in Figures 16 to 21 and summarized in the TSP Volume 2, Section I. The project numbers are denoted as follows:

- Driving ("D")
- Walking ("W")
- Biking ("B")
- Shared-use path ("S")
- Transit ("T")
- Street crossing ("C")
- Family-Friendly route ("FF")

The Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System includes about $149 million worth of investments. Planning level cost estimates for the projects can be found in Table A1 of the TSP Volume 2, Section I.

Transportation solutions within the Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System were recommended within several different priority/time horizons:

- Long-term Phase 2: Projects with the highest priority for implementation beyond the projects included in the Likely to be Funded Transportation System, should additional funding become available.
- Long-term Phase 3: Projects with the next highest priority for implementation beyond the projects included in the Likely to be Funded Transportation System, should additional funding become available.
- Long-term Phase 4: The last phase of projects to be implemented, should additional funding become available.

The Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System includes about $149 million worth of investments.

Detailed descriptions for investments included in the Not Likely to be Funded Transportation System can be found in Section I of the TSP Volume 2.



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 16

Planned Intersection and Street Management Solutions

Legend

Planned Intersection Management Solutions

- Planned Traffic Signal
- Planned All-way Stop Control
- Planned Roundabout
- Planned Turn Lane
- Planned Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO)

Planned Street Management Solutions

- Planned Street Restriping
- # Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)
- # Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)
- Planned Street Extension (Conceptual Alignment)
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 17

Planned Street Extensions

Legend

Existing Functional Classification

- Freeway
- Expressway
- Major Arterial
- Minor Arterial
- Collector
- Local Roadway

Planned Street Extensions (Conceptual Alignment)

- Planned Minor Arterial
- Planned Collector
- Planned Local Street

Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)

Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)

- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 18

Planned Street and Intersection Expansions

Legend

Planned Street and Intersection Expansion Solutions

- Planned Intersection Widening
- Planned Street Widening
- Planned Street Realignment
- Planned Street Upgrade

Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)

Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)

- Planned Street Extension (Conceptual Alignment)
- Railroad
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 19

Walking Solutions

Legend

Existing Streets

- Existing Sidewalk
- Planned Sidewalk Infill- One Side of Street
- Planned Sidewalk Infill- Both Sides of Street

Planned Street Extensions (Conceptual Alignments)

- Planned Street Extension
- Planned Street Extension with Sidewalk on one Side
- Planned Street Extension with Sidewalks on both Sides
- # Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)
- # Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)

Shared Walking and Biking Improvements (See Figure 21)

- Planned Family Friendly Route
- Street Crossing Improvement
- Planned Shared-Use Path (Conceptual Alignment)
- Existing Shared-Use Path
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



OREGON CITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN

FIGURE 20

Biking Solutions

Legend

Existing Streets

- Existing Bike Lanes
- Planned Bike Lane- One Side of Street
- Planned Bike Lanes- Both Sides of Street
- Planned Shared Roadway

Planned Street Extensions (Conceptual Alignments)

- Planned Street Extension
- Planned Street Extension with Bike Lane on one Side
- Planned Street Extension with Bike Lanes on both Sides
- # Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)
- # Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)

Shared Walking and Biking Improvements (See Figure 21)

- Planned Family Friendly Route
- Street Crossing Improvement
- Planned Shared-Use Path (Conceptual)
- Existing Shared-Use Path
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



FIGURE 21

Shared Walking and Biking Solutions

Legend

Shared Walking and Biking Improvements

- Planned Family Friendly Route
- Street Crossing Improvement

Shared-Use Paths

- Existing Shared-Use Path
- Planned Shared-Use Path (Conceptual)

- # Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Table 2)
- # Not Likely to be Funded System Project # (See Section I of the TSP Volume 2)

- Planned Street Extension (Conceptual Alignment)
- City Limit
- Urban Growth Boundary



Section 9

THE OUTCOME

the outcome

The Oregon City TSP employed a performance based approach, focusing on measurable outcomes of investments to the transportation system. The approach allows the City to measure the degree to which its investments support regional and City-wide priorities. In this manner, the City is able to track how its investment decisions impact a set of performance objectives through 2035. While the performance objectives do not represent the complete picture, they do offer a baseline against which to assess how the policies, investments and planning decisions made in this plan may affect the future.

Tracking Performance of Transportation System Investments

Oregon City developed measures for safety, congestion, freight reliability, walking, biking, transit and non-single occupant vehicle (SOV), and climate change to help translate investment decisions to the community priorities of the TSP update. The performance measures included the following:

Safety

- Reduce fatalities and serious injuries by 50% from 2010 for drivers, walkers and bikers.

Congestion

- Reduce vehicle hours of delay per person by 10% from 2010.
- Work towards meeting mobility targets for streets and intersections.[2]

Freight Reliability

- Reduce vehicle hours of delay for truck trips by 10% from 2010.

Walking, Biking, Transit and Non-SOV

- Work toward achieving the non-SOV mode share targets of 45 to 55 percent for the Oregon City Regional Center and the 7th Street-Molalla Avenue Corridor and 40 to 45 percent for other areas of the City.
- Triple walking, biking and transit mode share from 2010.

[2] The Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan includes Mid-day and PM peak mobility standards in the Regional Mobility Policy, Table 3.08-2

Climate Change

- Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita by 10 percent compared to 2010.

Putting the Plan to the Test

How will investment decisions of the TSP, an estimated $222 million worth, improve the performance of the transportation network in Oregon City? To answer this question, the plan's investment decisions were evaluated against the performance measures to identify long-term trends through 2035. The results are presented in the following sections.

Safety is expected to improve despite the Current Trend

The future trend for total fatalities and severe injuries resulting from collisions along the transportation system in Oregon City is expected to decrease despite what recent collision data suggests.[3] Although we are unable to forecast future collisions along the transportation system, with investments in improved street crossings, walking and biking facilities, and to high collision locations and congested intersections, the trend is expected to be more in line with the safety objective of the TSP (reducing fatalities and serious injuries by 50% from 2010).

Overall, there were two fatalities and 15 severe injuries in 2010. Pedestrians were involved in eight collisions, with two pedestrians sustaining severe injuries. While there were nine collisions involving a bicyclist in 2010, none of the cyclists sustained severe injuries. By 2035, Oregon City hopes to limit total fatalities and severe injuries to less than 10 in a year.

Figure 22: Safety is expected to improve despite the Current Trend



[3] The current trend was developed based on collision data between 2005 and 2010

Progress is expected to be made towards meeting the Congestion Targets

To reduce congestion, Oregon City identified over $162 million worth of projects to improve driving, and approximately $60 million to enhance walking, biking and transit usage.

Vehicle hours of Delay[4]: The same dynamics that make Oregon City an attractive place to live and open a business- its access to major regional transportation routes including I-205, OR 213, OR 99E, and OR 43- pose a challenge for meeting this performance measure. The TSP objective envisions decreasing delay by approximately ten percent through 2035, to fewer than two minutes per person during the evening peak period. However, the future trend for delay along Oregon City streets during the evening peak period (after assuming the planned system investments) is expected to increase slightly through 2035, from about two minutes to just under three minutes per person. This is generally associated with increased delay along the regional routes (such as OR 99E and OR 213), a side effect of local and regional population and employment growth. Since these routes serve outlying communities such as Molalla and Canby, trips that have origins and destinations outside of Oregon City are expected to significantly contribute to the increased delay in Oregon City.

With delay increasing, even after nearly $222 million worth of transportation system investments, the limitations of relying on infrastructure improvements as a means of meeting this objective are evident as the benefits are difficult to assess.

However, the City is working towards meeting this objective by decreasing delay nearly 15 percent from what would be expected without the transportation system investments (see the Baseline System Trend).

Figure 23: The Expected Trend for Vehicle Delay



[4] Delay is defined as the amount of time spent in congestion greater than 0.90 v/c, page 5-7, 2035 Metro RTP

Mobility Targets for Streets: Metro's regional travel demand model was used to estimate if streets in Oregon City could handle the increased travel demand through 2035 assuming the TSP investments.[5] While transportation system investments were recommended throughout the City, financially feasible solutions could not be identified for the routes connecting Oregon City across the Willamette and Clackamas Rivers. These routes, including the Oregon City-West Linn Arch Bridge, OR 99E and I-205, are expected to be congested by 2035 (operating above a v/c of 1.00), and will likely meter traffic coming into the City during peak hours. Once demand exceeds the available capacity along these routes, drivers will be forced to adjust their travel to directly before or after the evening peak hour. Therefore, the evening peak hour congestion that Metro's regional travel demand model is forecasting throughout the Oregon City Regional Center and along routes connecting to it, including OR 99E, OR 213, South End Road, Singer Hill Road and Redland Road, is not expected to occur since the travel demand across the rivers will be spread over more than one hour. Even with the excess travel demand across the rivers, the remaining streets in the City (beyond those mentioned above) are forecasted to comply with the Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan mobility targets during the evening peak period. Overall, the street system investments in the TSP are expected to help the City work towards meeting mobility targets during the evening peak period.

During the midday peak hour[6], all streets in Oregon City are expected to comply with the mobility targets of the Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan, with the exception of the routes connecting Oregon City across the Willamette River, including the southbound direction of the Oregon City-West Linn Arch Bridge and portions of I-205.

Mobility Targets at Intersections: 2035 intersection operations assuming the transportation system investments (Likely to be Funded and Not Likely to be Funded Systems) are shown in Table A1 in TSP Volume 2, Section J. With over $162 million worth of improvements to the street system, nearly all intersections reviewed are expected to meet mobility targets through 2035 during the evening peak period. Despite the investments in the transportation system, three of the intersections reviewed are still expected to be substandard by 2035 during the evening peak period (see Section J of the TSP Volume 2 for more detail), including the OR 99E/I-205 SB Ramps, OR 99E/I-205 NB Ramps and OR 213/Beavercreek Road intersections.

With the recommended improvements to the OR 99E/I-205 SB Ramp and OR 99E/I-205 NB Ramp intersections, compliance with the mainline mobility target (v/c of 1.10) is expected; however, the intersections would still be expected to operate above the freeway ramp terminal mobility target (v/c of 0.85). The investment decisions of the TSP allow these intersections to work towards meeting mobility targets and reduce the vehicle spillback onto the off-ramps from I-205 during the evening peak period,

[5] The raw model v/c plots for the mid-day and evening peak periods were reviewed as a qualitative assessment for this objective but detailed link capacity analysis was not performed.

[6] Metro's regional travel demand model was reviewed with RTP investments only during the midday peak period. Not all improvements from the Oregon City TSP were included, however, they will likely not impact travel patterns during the midday period due to limited congestion.

meeting the congestion objective of the TSP.

In addition, several projects have been previously planned that would reduce congestion at the OR 213/Beavercreek Road intersection. A planned project to replace the OR 213/Beavercreek Road intersection with an interchange was eliminated due to livability, multi-modal access and funding constraints within the 2035 planning horizon. The project should be reconsidered beyond the planning horizon since the intersection is expected to operate above the mobility target by 2035. The investment decisions of the TSP allow this intersection to work towards meeting mobility targets, satisfying the congestion objective of the TSP.

Progress is expected to be made towards reducing Freight Delay

Oregon City's access to major regional transportation routes including I-205, OR 213, OR 99E, and OR 43- pose a challenge for meeting this performance measure (similar to the vehicle hours of delay measure). The TSP objective envisions decreasing delay by approximately ten percent through 2035, to just over three minutes per truck trip during the evening peak period. However, the future trend for truck delay in Oregon City during the evening peak period (after assuming the planned system investments) is expected to increase slightly through 2035, from about three and a half minutes to four minutes per person. This is generally associated with increased delay along the regional routes, where most trucks trips occur. Since these routes serve outlying communities such as Molalla and Canby, drivers that have origins and destinations outside of Oregon City are expected to significantly contribute to the increased truck delay in Oregon City. However, the City is working towards meeting this objective by decreasing truck delay 15 percent from what would be expected without the transportation system investments (see the Baseline System Trend).

A Reduction in Single Occupant Vehicle Travel is expected

Non-single occupant vehicle (SOV) travel in Oregon City is expected to continue to increase through 2035.

Figure 24: The Expected Trend for Truck Delay



Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel: Metro's regional travel demand model was used to evaluate progress towards meeting transportation demand management (TDM) goals, specifically reducing reliance on the single occupancy vehicle.[7] Oregon City's non-SOV mode shares (outside of the Oregon City Regional Center) are expected to be above the TSP objective of 40 to 45 percent, with an estimated non-SOV mode share of 47 percent in 2005 and 48 percent in 2035. The non-SOV mode share in the Oregon City Regional Center is expected to remain steady through 2035, at around 42 percent, slightly below the TSP objective of 45 to 50 percent.

The TSP makes investment decisions that further help the City work towards achieving the non-SOV mode share targets. The City is expected to continue to increase trip share via walking, biking, carpooling or public transportation with investment decisions including a project that would help implement a Transportation Management Association (TMA) program with employers and residents within the Oregon City Regional Center.

The Oregon City TSP includes solutions to decrease single occupancy vehicle travel by focusing on investments that encourage multi-modal travel, including increased walking and bicycling facilities and transit stop access/amenity improvements.

The TSP also includes maximum public street spacing standards to allow for sufficiently spaced pedestrian crossings. Street connections to increase the convenience of walking and bicycling were also recommended throughout the City, including the Oregon City Regional Center.

Figure 25: Oregon City Non-Single Occupant Vehicle Mode Shares



[7] The Metro RTP Financially Constrained Plan was utilized for the non-SOV mode share analysis; therefore, not all of the projects included in the TSP were captured in the analysis.

Walking, Biking and Transit Mode Share: Oregon City has identified nearly $60 million worth of investments with over 260 walking, biking, transit or other shared-use path projects in its TSP. This accounts for over 75 percent of the projects in the 2013 TSP and represents an increase of more than 25 percent when compared to the projects in the 2001 TSP. While no data is available to quantify the impact of these walking, biking and transit investments in the City, they are expected to help the City work towards tripling the walking, biking and transit mode share between 2010 and 2035.

The City identified investments to complete walking and biking gaps along the major street system, and identified a network of low-volume more comfortable walking and biking routes off the major street system to further encourage walking and biking to key destinations throughout the City.

Figure 26: Comparison of 2001 and 2013 TSP Investments



Percent of TSP Projects by Travel Mode (2001 TSP)



Percent of TSP Projects by Travel Mode (2013 TSP)

The Plan is expected to outperform the Climate Change Target

Despite healthy local and regional population and employment growth, vehicle miles traveled in Oregon City is expected to be reduced more than the TSP objective through 2035. The TSP objective envisions decreasing vehicle miles traveled by approximately ten percent through 2035, to about 2.6 miles per person during the evening peak period.

However, the future trend for vehicle miles traveled in Oregon City during the evening peak period (after assuming $222 million worth of investments) is expected to decrease nearly 13 percent through 2035, from about 3 miles to 2.5 miles per person. This is likely representative of job growth in Oregon City, as more residents have the option to work closer to home. In addition, the $60 million worth of investments in over 260 walking, biking, transit or other shared-use path projects in the 2013 TSP help reduce the need to drive for local trips in the City.

Figure 27: The Expected Trend for Vehicle Miles Traveled



To the Planning Horizon and Beyond

In addition to the investment decisions of the 2013 Oregon City TSP, further issues will need to be explored through 2035 and beyond.

Multi-Modal Mixed-Use Areas

Oregon City intends to explore a multi-modal mixed-use area designation within the Regional Center. This TSP was developed with a framework to encourage multi-modal travel and with the ultimate goal to allow for dense pedestrian oriented development in and around downtown Oregon City.

Conforming Land Use Development and Congested Intersections

Despite the investments to the transportation system, intersection operating conditions at a few intersections (including the OR 99E/I-205 Northbound, OR 99E/I-205 Southbound, OR 213/Beavercreek Road, and I-205/OR 213 intersections) will be over the operating standard by 2035.

For purposes of evaluating the impact of proposed development that is permitted, either conditionally, outright, or through detailed development master plan approval, the OR 99E/I-205 SB Ramps, OR 99E/I-205 NB Ramps, OR 213/Beavercreek Road, and I-205/OR 213 intersections shall be exempt from meeting the state mobility targets until solutions (beyond those included in the TSP) or alternative mobility targets are explored for the intersections.

Freeway Ramp Queuing

While the 2013 Oregon City TSP will not solve all the congestion issues at major regional intersections, it is important to note that by 2035 queues from the OR 99E/I-205 Northbound, OR 99E/I-205 Southbound intersections will at times, approach the mainline of I-205 and the area of the ramp needed for deceleration from freeway speeds. Further solutions will likely need to be explored during the next TSP update or within another interim study.

Parking Management Plan

The City should pursue implementation of the parking management plan for the Oregon City Regional Center as the opportunity arises. This will help ensure that development within the Regional Center aligns with the objectives of this Plan and Region as a whole.

Geologic Hazards

All proposed street extensions included in this Plan are shown with conceptual alignments. These conceptual street alignments represent a planning level illustration that street connectivity enhancements are needed in these areas. Before construction of any of the projects can begin, more detailed surveys will need to be undertaken to identify hydrologic, topographic or other geological constraints that could hinder the alignment of the planned streets. Final street alignments will be identified after these surveys have been completed.