1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS	
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
3		
4	NORMAN McDOUGAL,	
5	Petitioner	
6		1/18 an10:05 LUBA
7	Vs.	
8		
9	LANE COUNTY,	
10	Respondent,	
11	•	
12	and	
13		
14	LANDWATCH LANE COUNTY,	
15	Intervenor-Respondent.	
16		
17	LUBA No. 2018-025	
18		
19	FINAL OPINION	
20	AND ORDER	
21		
22	Appeal from Lane County.	
23		
24	Bill Kloos, Eugene, represented petitioner.	
25		_
26	H. Andrew Clark, Lane County Counsel, Eugene, represented	respondent.
27		
28	Andrew Mulkey, Eugene, represented intervenor-respondent.	
29		NO D 1
30		DIO, Board
31	Member, participated in the decision.	
32	DIGMIGGED 11/06/2019	
33	DISMISSED 11/26/2018	
34	Way are antifled to indicial manifes of this Onder Table 1	1 !=
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial	review is
36	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.	

13

14

LUBA 557 (1993).

- 2 Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, Lane County 3 withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on April 4, 2018. On October 23, 2018, the Board received the County's decision on 4 5 reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until 6 November 11, 2018, to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this 7 matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received 8 a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).¹ 9 OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to 10 appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in 11 12 [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."

This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or

¹ Landwatch Lane County appealed the county's decision on reconsideration, and that appeal is LUBA No. 2018-134.