

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 J.C. REEVES CORPORATION,)
5)
6 Petitioner,)
7) LUBA No. 94-027
8 vs.)
9) FINAL OPINION
10 CLACKAMAS COUNTY,) AND ORDER
11)
12 Respondent.)

13
14
15 On remand from the Court of Appeals.

16
17 William C. Cox, Portland, represented petitioner.

18
19 Michael E. Judd, Chief Assistant County Counsel, Oregon
20 City, represented respondent.

21
22 KELLINGTON, Referee; HOLSTUN, Chief Referee; SHERTON,
23 Referee, participated in the decision.

24
25 REMANDED 02/27/95

26
27 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
28 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
29 197.850.

1 Kellington, Referee.

2 In J.C. Reeves Corp. v. Clackamas County, 131 Or App
3 615, 625 ____ P2d ____ (1994), the court of appeals directed
4 the following concerning the appealed LUBA decision:

5 "Reversed and remanded with instructions to remand
6 to county for further proceedings concerning
7 conditions for improvements on S.E. 122nd Avenue *
8 * *."

9 The county's decision is remanded consistent with the
10 court's directive expressed above.