

1 Opinion by Hanna.

2 **DISCUSSION**

3 The challenged decision addresses an application for a
4 variance to reduce a front yard setback from the required 20
5 feet to 19 feet 6 inches, and a garage entrance setback from
6 the required 25 feet to 3 feet 4 inches. The challenged
7 decision approves the front yard variance but denies the
8 garage entrance variance. Petitioner appeals the approval
9 of the front yard variance which reduces the required
10 setback by six inches.

11 Petitioner makes seven assignments of error in which he
12 challenges the adequacy of the decision. He does not
13 explain whether he challenges the adequacy of the findings
14 or the substantiality of the evidence. Petitioner has not
15 established any basis for remand or reversal of the county's
16 decision. We find that none of petitioner's assignments of
17 error merits remand or reversal, and all are, therefore,
18 denied

19 The county's decision is affirmed.