

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

JORDAN LAUB, )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
CITY OF PORTLAND, )  
 )  
Respondent, )

LUBA No. 98-086  
FINAL OPINION  
AND ORDER

Appeal from City of Portland.  
Thane W. Tienson, Portland, represented petitioner.  
Ruth M. Spetter, Portland, represented respondent.

GUSTAFSON, Board Chair; HANNA, Board Member, participated in the decision.

DISMISSED 09/08/98

You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.  
Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1 Gustafson, Board Chair.

2 The petition for review in the appeal was due August 13,  
3 1998. The petition for review has not been filed, nor has an  
4 extension of time to file the petition for review been  
5 granted.

6 ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be  
7 filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. OAR  
8 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

9 "The petition for review together with four copies  
10 shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after  
11 the date the record is received or settled by the  
12 Board. \* \* \* Failure to file a petition for review  
13 within the time required by this section, and any  
14 extensions of that time under \* \* \* OAR 661-10-  
15 067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal \* \*  
16 \*."

17 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the  
18 petition for review may be extended only by written consent of  
19 all the parties.

20 The deadline for filing the petition for review is  
21 strictly enforced. See Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assn. v. City  
22 of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995);  
23 Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).

24 Because a petition for review was not filed within the  
25 time required by our rules, and petitioner did not obtain  
26 written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for  
27 review under OAR 661-10-067(2) beyond August 13, 1998, ORS  
28 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss this  
29 appeal.

30 This appeal is dismissed.