

1 Opinion by Holstun.

2 This case is on remand to us from the Court of Appeals, Kelley v. Clackamas County,
3 158 Or App 159, ___ P2d ___ (1999), for consideration of petitioner's assignments of error
4 that were not addressed in our earlier opinion. However, the parties have filed a "Stipulated
5 Motion for Remand," requesting that the Board remand the county's decision. The motion
6 requests remand so that the hearings officer can take evidence and make further
7 determinations limited to whether a threshold "hardship" exists in addition to the criteria set
8 forth in Clackamas County Zoning and Development Ordinance 1205.02(A).

9 The parties' motion for remand is granted.

10 The county's decision is remanded.