

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS

2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3  
4 1000 FRIENDS OF OREGON,

5 *Petitioner,*

6  
7 vs.

8  
9 CITY OF ST. HELENS,

10 *Respondent.*

11  
12 LUBA No. 2001-004

13  
14 FINAL OPINION

15 AND ORDER

16  
17 Appeal from City of St. Helens.

18  
19 Michael K. Collmeyer, Portland, represented petitioner.

20  
21 Richard Appicello, St. Helens, represented respondent.

22  
23 BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member; BRIGGS, Board Member,  
24 participated in the decision.

25  
26 DISMISSED

02/11/2003

27  
28 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the  
29 provisions of ORS 197.850.

1 Bassham, Board Chair.

2 This appeal involved petitioner's challenge to the city's ordinance drafted to  
3 implement Ballot Measure 7 (2000) (the measure). This appeal was stayed while the Oregon  
4 Supreme Court decided the constitutionality of the measure. The Court held the measure was  
5 unconstitutional and the measure never took effect. *League of Oregon Cities v. State of*  
6 *Oregon*, 334 Or 645, 56 P3d 892 (2002). The city subsequently repealed its ordinance  
7 implementing the measure, thereby making this appeal moot. Petitioner has now moved to  
8 dismiss the appeal. The motion to dismiss is granted.

9 Petitioner also moves for recovery of its filing fee and deposit for costs as the  
10 prevailing party. However, petitioner subsequently withdrew the request for recovery of the  
11 filing fee. The board will return petitioner's deposit for costs.

12 This appeal is dismissed.