1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	ANDREW SOUTH and MARY SOUTH,
5	Petitioners,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF PORTLAND,
10	Respondent.
11	•
12	LUBA No. 2003-134
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Portland.
18	
19	Ty K. Wyman, Portland, represented petitioner.
20	-y
21	Linly F. Rees, Deputy City Attorney, Portland, represented respondent.
22	zamy 1. 11000, z opany ony 1. monacy, 1. oramica, 20prosonica 100prosonica
23	HOLSTUN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member,
24	participated in the decision.
25	participated in the decision.
26	DISMISSED 02/05/2004
27	02/03/2001
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
29	provisions of ORS 197.850.
_,	provisions of Ords 177.000.

- Holstun, Board Member.
- 2 Petitioners withdrew their notice of intent to appeal on January 23, 2004. We treat that
- 3 withdrawal as a motion to dismiss the appeal, and this appeal is dismissed.

1