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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The I-5 Exit 127 Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) examines how the interchange and surrounding street system operate both now and over the next 20 years. The IAMP identifies strategies to preserve and improve safety and capacity of the interchange for the foreseeable future.

IAMP Goals and Objectives

The goal of this IAMP is to develop a plan for improvements for I-5 Exit 127 and the surrounding system that can be implemented over time to maximize the function of the existing interchange and address the long-term needs of Roseburg and other nearby Douglas County communities. Eight objectives provide more specific direction for the IAMP.

Regulatory Framework

The I-5 Exit 127 IAMP establishes mobility and access management standards for the interchange itself based on policy in the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) policies. City of Roseburg standards for mobility and access spacing apply to IMSA roadways and intersections that are not under state jurisdiction.

Baseline Conditions and Identified Deficiencies

Baseline conditions were evaluated to understand land use, identify potential environmental constraints, and determine existing (year 2012) and future (year 2035) transportation deficiencies. Identified deficiencies include:

- Adjacent public road intersections do not meet interchange spacing standards
- High crash frequency at one local intersection (Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway)
- Future operations show forecast demand would exceed available capacity at one local intersection (Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway)
- Queuing issues were identified at three intersections (Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway, Edenbower Boulevard/Aviation Drive, Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street)

IAMP Improvements

The I-5 Exit 127 IAMP improvements address identified deficiencies, improve multimodal functionality, and accommodate traffic, including freight, safely and efficiently into the future. Figure ES-1 indicates the location of IAMP improvements and includes a brief description of the project along with a general priority. Detailed project sheets have been prepared for each improvement.
IAMP Projects

1. **Edenbower Boulevard Signal Timing Coordination**: Maintain signal coordination from the I-5 southbound ramp terminal through Stephens St (Ongoing)
2. **Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway Sight Distance Improvements**: Mitigate the existing sight distance limitations that restrict visibility for drivers traveling through the intersection on the eastbound (Stewart Pkwy) and northbound (Edenbower Blvd) approaches (Medium Priority)
3. **Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street Intersection Improvements**: Extend eastbound and northbound left-turn bays (Medium Priority)
4. **Edenbower Boulevard/I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection Improvement**: Install traffic signal (Low Priority)
5. **Edenbower Boulevard/I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Pedestrian Improvement**: Improve pedestrian crossing on north side (High to Medium Priority)
6. **Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway Intersection Improvements**: Add a second left-turn lane on the eastbound approach of Stewart Pkwy and add a second northbound receiving lane by widening Edenbower Blvd (Medium Priority). This project could be constructed in phases.
7. **Edenbower Boulevard/Aviation Drive Intersection Improvements**: Modify the northeast corner of the intersection to extend the existing westbound right-turn bay (Low Priority)

IAMP Monitoring Actions

A. **Edenbower Boulevard**: Reassess travel and posted speeds between Stewart Parkway and the I-5 southbound ramp terminal following the implementation of Project 6
B. **Northbound On Ramp**: Monitor crashes on the WB-to-NB ramp for patterns that may be mitigated with treatments that improve channelization and merging behavior
C. **Edenbower Boulevard**: Conduct a traffic analysis to identify the preferred location for transit stops for any future fixed-route bus service along Edenbower Boulevard.

Legend

- Transportation System Management Improvements
- Infrastructure Improvements
- Transportation System Monitoring Actions

**I-5 Exit 127 (North Roseburg) IAMP**

Figure ES-1

Locations of Recommended IAMP Improvements
All travel modes were considered in the development of the IAMP improvements. One pedestrian facility improvement was identified to augment the existing multi-modal transportation network. Considerations for future transit needs were also incorporated into the IAMP.

In addition to the IAMP improvements, other management actions are included to protect and extend the life of the interchange. These actions are summarized in Section 4. Management Strategies and include an access management plan, transportation demand and system management measures, and land use management measures.

**Implementation**

Implementation of the I-5 Exit 127 IAMP will need to occur at the local and state level. The plan will be adopted as an amendment to the Oregon Highway Plan by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC). It will also be adopted as part of the City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan. The elements recommended for formal adoption as part of this IAMP are specified below.

**State Actions:**

- After the City of Roseburg IAMP adoption, the OTC will adopt the I-5 Exit 127 (North Roseburg) IAMP as a transportation facility plan—an amendment to the OHP, per PLA 01, ODOT Transportation Facility Plan Adoption Process effective October 12, 2006.
- ODOT will continue to coordinate with the City of Roseburg as planning documents get updated and amended and during the development review process to ensure the interchange is protected.

**City of Roseburg Actions:**

- Adopt this IAMP as a refinement plan to its TSP (City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan amendment).
- Retain, through adoption of the IAMP, current adopted Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Ordinance designations and regulations to ensure that the land uses within the IAMP study area remain supportive of the function of the interchange.
- When future land use actions are proposed, continue to coordinate with ODOT to ensure that actions and improvements are consistent with the defined function of the IAMP.

Adoption of provisions of the City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan and LUDO by reference into this IAMP ensures that there would be no violation of the mobility performance standards for the interchange and related facilities. No amendments to the City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan, TSP, or LUDO are recommended, including overlay zones.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) encourages the development of Interchange Area Management Plans (IAMPs) to maintain and improve freeway performance and safety by improving system efficiency and management before adding capacity. The development of this IAMP is intended to protect the function of the interchange for the foreseeable future.

1.1. Interchange Function

I-5 Exit 127 is an urban interchange that serves north Roseburg in Douglas County. The interchange ramps connect with Edenbower Boulevard, which is one of four east-west local arterial routes that provide access over I-5 in Roseburg. Edenbower Boulevard connects with Stephens Street (Old Highway 99) east of the interchange and Stewart Parkway southwest of the interchange. Stephens Street is a north-south arterial that runs the entire length of Roseburg parallel to the freeway. Stewart Parkway is a Roseburg arterial that, with Edenbower Boulevard, provides a north-south arterial serving areas of Roseburg west of the freeway.

Edenbower Boulevard provides access to the Roseburg Regional Airport and Mercy Medical Center from I-5. It also connects to the community of Winchester and a Costco to the north via Stephens Street (Old Highway 99), and provides access to residential and commercial lands.

Land uses around the interchange vary. Properties west of the interchange are primarily commercial, including several supermarkets and other big box retail, with some low and medium density residential neighborhoods. Properties east of the interchange are zoned primarily mixed use and industrial, but some have been developed as residential neighborhoods, bounded by commercial uses (home improvement centers) and the airport.

The greatest areas of undeveloped land lie north of the interchange, within the Roseburg urban growth boundary (UGB), but mostly outside of the city limits. These areas are primarily zoned for industrial development with some residential designations. Access to these undeveloped lands is limited to Aviation Drive and Hooker Road, which crosses the railroad tracks to connect with Stephens Street.

1.2. Problem Statement

Two primary issues affect the long-term operations of I-5 Exit 127:

1. Existing access spacing does not meet state standards; nearby public road intersections are too close to the ramp terminals. The northbound and southbound ramp terminals are spaced approximately 550 feet apart with nearby intersections only 400 feet to either side of the ramps. The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) recommends a minimum spacing of 1,320 feet. As traffic volumes continue to grow, the proximity of these intersections could affect the safe and efficient function of the interchange area.
Measures to manage operations of the closely spaced network and future access are identified in this IAMP.

2. Growth and development will place increased demand on the interchange and supporting arterial street system. Evaluation conducted as part of this IAMP preparation indicates that the interchange ramp terminal intersections should have enough capacity to meet future demand based on adopted City of Roseburg and Douglas County population growth rates. Some of the local intersections are anticipated to have operational issues but would not impact the interchange.

A review of plans and policies related to the interchange identified two documents that listed geometric and operational concerns at the interchange. The issues raised and findings from the IAMP preparation are presented below:


- The curve on Edenbower Boulevard west of the interchange is sharp for the posted speed. *A review of crash patterns on Edenbower Boulevard did not reveal any crashes associated with the sharp curve in the roadway.*

- The deceleration lane length is too short on both the northbound and southbound exit ramps. *The interchange was originally constructed as a standard diamond layout but a northbound looping on ramp was added in 2007. While the southbound ramp terminal is controlled with a traffic signal, also added in 2007, the northbound ramp terminal remains STOP-controlled. Both northbound and southbound ramp terminals have multi-lane approaches to Edenbower Boulevard. The deceleration length of the exit ramps was reassessed in 2007 and found acceptable. The bridge over I-5 is three lanes wide with sidewalks and bike lanes on both sides.*

- Adjacent public road intersections are too close to the ramp terminals. The current intersection spacing does not meet ODOT standards. *A review of crash patterns did not reveal any crashes related to the proximity of these intersections; however, signal coordination to manage congestion and queuing must be maintained to avoid future issues near the interchange.*

**City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan (dated June 2006, adopted December 2006)**

- According to the Transportation System Plan (TSP), both the northbound and southbound ramp terminals experience long delays during weekday peak hours. *The traffic signal installed at the southbound ramp terminal has alleviated congestion. Signal coordination along Edenbower Boulevard at Aviation Drive and the southbound ramp terminal currently provides gaps in traffic flow so that the STOP-controlled northbound ramp terminal is not congested during peak hours. The interchange is expected to operate well in the future too based on analysis of traffic forecasts using the currently adopted population growth rates for the City of Roseburg and Douglas County.*

Although congestion is not an issue at the interchange itself under either existing or forecast conditions, access to the interchange is affected by traffic delays on the City of Roseburg’s
supporting arterial network that are anticipated to worsen over the next 20 years. The intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway is currently congested with queues for some traffic movements that exceed the length of the turn bays. Traffic in these turn lanes sometimes has to wait through several green cycles in order to travel through the intersection; this condition is particularly true for the eastbound left turn on Stewart Parkway.

1.3. IAMP Study Area

The interchange management study area (IMSA) delineates the vicinity in which transportation facilities, land uses, and approaches may affect operations at the interchange. For interchanges on the interstate system, the IMSA should extend a minimum of ½ mile\(^1\) in all directions and should be large enough to “address both direct and indirect transportation and land uses.” As shown in Figure 1, the IMSA generally extends at least ½ mile along the existing roadway system in all directions.

The IMSA extends east of the interchange to include the intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stephens Street over 1,500 feet from the northbound ramp terminal. It also extends approximately ½ mile to the west and south of the interchange to the intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway. Most of the local traffic using I-5 Exit 127 passes through one of these two intersections. Understanding how these intersections operate and their relationship to the interchange traffic flow is a key part of the IAMP planning process.

Although the boundaries of the IMSA concentrate around the interchange, the potential growth of Roseburg and the surrounding rural areas both within and outside of the UGB are accounted for in analyzing future conditions. Land uses and population forecasts are based on the adopted Comprehensive Plans and Zoning for the City of Roseburg and Douglas County.

1.4. IAMP Goals and Objectives

The goal of this IAMP is to develop a plan for improvements for I-5 Exit 127 and the surrounding system that can be implemented over time to maximize the function of the existing interchange and address the long-term needs of Roseburg and other nearby Douglas County communities.

The objectives of the IAMP are to:

- Protect the function of the interchange and Edenbower Boulevard as specified in the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) and City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan.
- Develop concepts to improve safety and maximize operational efficiency of the freeway and existing interchange facility.
- Evaluate the need for capacity improvements to address future needs based on the adopted comprehensive land use plans of Roseburg and Douglas County.

\(^1\) Interchange Access Management Plan Guidelines, ODOT, April 2013.
- Identify potential local system enhancements that maintain connectivity and complement the interchange function.
- Incorporate bicycle and pedestrian elements, such as sidewalks, bike lanes, and pathways, as well as corresponding roadway crossings.
- Develop an access management plan that provides for safe and acceptable operations on the transportation network, and moves towards achieving the applicable access spacing standards in Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051.
- Coordinate planning efforts with other plans and projects in the study area.
- Prioritize IAMP improvements with consideration for potential funding mechanisms.

### 1.5. Planning Process

The IAMP for I-5 Exit 127 was developed through a series of technical analyses. Key elements of the process include:

- Evaluation of baseline conditions, such as existing and future traffic operations, environmental constraints, land use designations, and community facilities (Evaluation of Baseline Conditions)
- Alternatives development and evaluation (IAMP Improvements)
- Creation of the IAMP, including access management and local system improvements (Management Strategies)
- Implementation measures (Implementation)

This document provides a summary of each of these elements. A second volume provides the detailed analysis and supporting documentation that led to the development of the plan.

Four technical advisory committee (TAC) meetings were held for I-5 Exit 127 that included technical and City staff. ODOT and the City of Roseburg provided technical representation. The meetings included graphic presentations and facilitated discussion to solicit input. In addition to technical review and input, a citizen advisory committee (CAC), including area citizens, provided input. The meetings for these two committees occurred on:

- April 1, 2013 (CAC) and April 2, 2013 (TAC)
- August 1, 2013 (CAC) and August 2, 2013 (TAC)
- January 9, 2014 (Combined CAC and TAC)
- June 11, 2014 (Combined CAC and TAC)

In addition to the committee review, two public open houses were held during the process to allow the community input into the development of the plan. These meetings occurred on:

- April 1, 2013
- August 12, 2013
2. EVALUATION OF BASELINE CONDITIONS

This section summarizes baseline conditions in the IAMP study area including an overview of the regulatory framework that guides the process. Land use within the study area is presented and potential land use or environmental constraints are identified. Existing transportation system and traffic conditions in the study area are evaluated to identify deficiencies. Future traffic operations and safety are then assessed to determine how conditions may worsen.

2.1. Overview of the Regulatory Framework

State and local regulations, policies, and transportation and land use plans provided the legal framework for preparing the IAMP. (For a complete list of the guiding framework, refer to the summary description of all relevant plans and policies included in Technical Memorandum #1: Definition and Background in Volume 2 of this IAMP.) The language contained within these documents provides guidance to the state and local jurisdictions on how to manage transportation facilities and land uses in the study area to protect the interchange function, provide for safe and efficient operations, and minimize the need and expense for making major improvements to the interchange through the 2035 planning horizon.

Discussed below are the operational and access management standards. Operational standards and access management standards for study area roadway facilities are designated by ODOT and the City of Roseburg.

2.1.1. Operational Standards

The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP)\(^2\) has established several policies that enforce general objectives and approaches for maintaining highway mobility. Of these policies, the Highway Mobility Standards (Policy 1F) establish maximum volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio targets (or standards) for peak hour operating conditions for all highways in Oregon based on the location and classification of the highway segment being examined. The OHP policy also specifies that the v/c ratio standards be maintained for ODOT facilities through a 20-year horizon. For the concept evaluation, the mobility standards in the 2012 Highway Design Manual (HDM)\(^3\) were applied.

A v/c ratio of less than 1.00 indicates that the volume is less than capacity. When it is closer to 0.00, traffic conditions are generally good with little congestion and low delays for most intersection movements. As the v/c ratio approaches 1.00, traffic becomes more congested and unstable with longer delays.

---

\(^2\) Table 6, Volume-to-Capacity Ratio Targets for Peak Hour Operating Conditions, Oregon Highway Plan Policy 1F Revisions: Adopted December 21, 2011, Oregon Department of Transportation.

\(^3\) Table 10-2: 20 Year Design-Mobility Standards (Volume/Capacity [V/C] Ratio), 2012 ODOT Highway Design Manual, Oregon Department of Transportation.
Another standard for measuring traffic capacity and quality of service of roadways at intersections is level of service (LOS). Six standards have been established ranging from LOS A where there is little or no delay, to LOS F, where there is delay of more than 50 seconds at unsignalized intersections, or more than 80 seconds at signalized intersections.

The City of Roseburg also has established performance standards. The City of Roseburg identifies a dual performance measure in the city’s TSP. The dual performance measure specifies a v/c ratio based on roadway functional classification and a LOS performance standard based on traffic control (i.e., signalized or unsignalized).

The freeway and ramps falls under state jurisdiction but jurisdictional responsibility along the other roadways lies with the City of Roseburg. The resulting operational standards applicable to the freeway and the management area intersections are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Management Area Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Applicable Jurisdiction Performance Measures</th>
<th>ODOT</th>
<th>Roseburg¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-5 Mainline</td>
<td></td>
<td>V/C &lt;= 0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 SB Ramps at Edenbower Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td>V/C &lt;= 0.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 NB Ramps at Edenbower Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td>V/C &lt;= 0.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stewart Pkwy.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LOS D or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Broad St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LOS E or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Aviation Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LOS D or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stephens St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LOS D or better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. OHP, Policy 1F, Action 1F.1, sixth bullet establishes a “maximum volume to capacity ratio for the ramp terminals of interchange ramps that is the more restrictive volume to capacity ratio for the crossroad, or 0.85” and Table 6, Volume-to-Capacity Ratio Targets for Peak Hour Operating Conditions.
2. City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan, 2006, p.7-44.

### 2.1.2. Applicable Access Management Standards

The OHP also addresses access management with the most recent revisions adopted in March 2012⁴. More detailed requirements, action definitions, and the access spacing standards for state highways are specified in Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051 (Division 51): Highway Approaches, Access Control, Spacing Standards, and Medians⁵.

Elevated above I-5 at Exit 127, Edenbower Boulevard is not a state facility. However, ODOT does have jurisdiction of the section of roadway between Broad Street and just west of Aviation Drive. The City of Roseburg has jurisdiction of the roadway in the remainder of the study area.

---


The access management standards applicable to this project are summarized in Table 2.

### Table 2. Access Spacing Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment Characteristic</th>
<th>Access Spacing Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ODOT – Interchange Ramp Terminals - Fully Developed Urban</strong>¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from off-ramp to first approach on the right, right-turn movements only</td>
<td>750 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from off-ramp to first intersection where left turns are allowed</td>
<td>1320 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from last approach road to the start of the taper for the on-ramp</td>
<td>1320 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from last right in/right out approach road to the start of the taper for the on-ramp</td>
<td>990 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Public/Private Access Points</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburg - Arterial (Edenbower Blvd.)</td>
<td>500 feet³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Fully Developed Urban Interchange Management Area: Occurs when 85% or more of the parcels along the developable frontage area are developed at urban densities and many have driveways connecting to the crossroad. See definition in the Oregon Highway Plan.
2. Table 18 in the revised OHP Effective January 1, 2012 Amended May 3, 2012: Access Management Spacing Standards for Freeway Interchanges with Multi-Lane Crossroads

Ideally, a project includes provisions by which access can be made fully compliant with the spacing standards in Table 2. Currently, the existing public street network does not meet the interchange standards and this IAMP does not include projects that will relocate any roadways. However, opportunities to reduce access frequency and/or conflicts on Edenbower Boulevard should be pursued by the City of Roseburg whenever a public infrastructure or private development project is constructed. ODOT will not permit any new access points on Edenbower Boulevard between Broad Street and Aviation Drive.

### 2.2. Existing Land Use Designations and Zoning

This section summarizes existing land use within the IMSA. Figure 2 shows the Comprehensive Plan designations for the IMSA, and Figure 3 shows the zoning designations. The information in this section is taken primarily from published documents, maps, and GIS data.

The IMSA is within the City of Roseburg UGB, although only the southern portion is within the City of Roseburg city limits. East of the interchange, Comprehensive Plan designations are Industrial with some Public/Semi-Public lands and an area designated as Residential Open Space. West of the interchange, designations are primarily Residential (medium and high density) or Commercial.

For the most part, the zoning designations are consistent with the general Comprehensive Plan designations. Some Mixed Use zoning immediately east of the interchange has allowed development of some big box retail (Lowes and Home Depot). Other land uses of note in the IMSA include the Roseburg Regional Airport zoned as Airport District and Mercy Medical Center in the Public Reserve zoning along Edenbower Boulevard.
Figure 2
Comprehensive Plan Designations
2.3. Environmental, Community, and Cultural Resources

Research and mapping of environmental features and community resources in the I-5 Exit 127 IMSA was used to identify known issues and those that may pose potential challenges or barriers to transportation improvements. The information gathered was taken primarily from published documents and maps, GIS data, and conversations with appropriate professional contacts. The analysis is limited to “visual windshield validation.” Further resources may exist in the study area that are not yet documented or are not visually apparent. For more detailed information regarding this research, refer to Technical Memorandum #2: Existing Conditions Analysis in Volume 2 of this IAMP.

Environmental features researched in the IMSA include:

- Wildlife Habitat and Wetlands
- Threatened and Endangered Species
- FEMA Floodplain/Floodway

Community and cultural resources identified in the IMSA include:

- Historic and Archaeological Resources
- Sections 4(f) Resources

Socioeconomic data was also mapped to incorporate environmental justice considerations into the IAMP development.

2.3.1. Potential Design Constraints

Depending on the location of the preferred project, final design, and construction details, there will be specific permits, regulatory requirements, or authorizations required prior to construction of the project.

Special attention should be given to avoiding or minimizing impacts to Davis, Newton, and Sweetbriar creeks, as impacts to these may affect:

- Habitat and riparian corridors
- Wetlands
- T&E species habitat

In addition, impacts to environmental justice or transportation disadvantaged populations should be avoided or minimized.
2.4. Transportation System Inventory

The transportation system inventory examines the roadways, access points, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the study area. (For more detailed system inventory information, refer to Technical Memorandum #2: Existing Conditions Analysis in Volume 2.)

2.4.1. Roadway Inventory

I-5 Exit 127 is an urban interchange that serves North Roseburg in Douglas County. The interchange ramps connect with Edenbower Boulevard, which is one of four east-west local arterial routes that provide access over I-5. Edenbower Boulevard provides access to the Roseburg Regional Airport and Mercy Medical Center from I-5. It also connects to the community of Winchester to the north via Stephens Street (Old Highway 99), and provides access to residential and commercial developments. Table 3 presents an inventory of study area roadways and their general characteristics.

Table 3. IAMP 127 Study Area Roadway Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway/Highway Name</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>ODOT/Federal Functional Classification</th>
<th>City Functional Classification</th>
<th>Posted Speed (mph)</th>
<th>No. of Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline</td>
<td>ODOT</td>
<td>Interstate, NHS, NN, FR¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5 Exit 127 Ramps</td>
<td>ODOT</td>
<td>Interstate, NHS, NN, FR¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Boulevard</td>
<td>City of Roseburg</td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>Arterial²</td>
<td>40³</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Parkway</td>
<td>City of Roseburg</td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>40^</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Street</td>
<td>City of Roseburg</td>
<td>Urban Collector</td>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Drive</td>
<td>City of Roseburg</td>
<td>Urban Collector</td>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>40^</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephens Street</td>
<td>City of Roseburg</td>
<td>Principal Arterial</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>35-45⁴</td>
<td>4, 2⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronyms: NHS: National Highway System; NN: National Network (Federally Designated Truck Route); FR: State Freight Route

Notes:
1. Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) District Highway mobility standard is shown as NHS: National Highway System; FR: Freight Route; TR: Truck Route
2. The City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan (TSP) classifies Edenbower Blvd. as a minor collector south of Stewart Pkwy, and an arterial from Stewart Pkwy to Stephens St.
3. Edenbower Blvd. is posted at 25mph south of Stewart Pkwy.
4. The speed of Stephens St. (Old Hwy 99) varies between 35-45 mph within the IMSA.
5. Stephens St. reduces to 2 travel lanes north of the intersection with Edenbower Blvd.

I-5 is classified as a component of the National Highway System and the National Network of federal truck routes as well as an Oregon Freight Route.

The interchange itself has a standard diamond layout with a northbound looping on ramp. While the southbound ramp terminal is controlled with a traffic signal, the northbound ramp terminal remains STOP-controlled. Both the northbound and southbound ramp terminals have
multi-lane approaches to Edenbower Boulevard. The bridge over I-5 is three lanes wide with sidewalks and bike lanes on both sides.

The non-freeway facilities within the I-5 Exit 127 IMSA consist mainly of City arterial and collector streets leading directly to the interchange.

2.4.2. Existing Access Inventory

Access inventory data was obtained from aerial photography, the OPAL database, and site visits for Edenbower Boulevard from Stewart Parkway to Stephens Street. This data includes public street intersections and public/private approaches to Edenbower Boulevard. Aerial mapping depicting access locations is shown in Figure 4.

Edenbower Boulevard has 16 access points that intersect on the left side (west and north) and 18 that intersect on the right side (east and south). When compared to the applicable spacing standards, few of the driveway accesses meet current spacing standards based on existing average daily traffic (ADT) volumes, roadway jurisdiction, and speeds. There are eight access points within a quarter mile of the northbound and southbound ramp terminals. None of these access points meet the 1,320 feet (¼ mile) spacing standard set forth by ODOT.

The 500-foot spacing standards for arterial streets identified in the City of Roseburg Land Use and Development Ordinance applies to Edenbower Boulevard except for the section between Broad Street and Aviation Drive that is under ODOT access control. None of the accesses east or west of the interchange currently meet the City standards.

While ODOT requires approach permits for approaches to highways under its jurisdiction, many counties and cities do not. Edenbower Boulevard is not a highway and does not have specific approach permit requirements.
Figure 4

Existing Access Inventory
2.4.3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Inventory

The non-freeway facilities within the IMSA have sidewalks and marked bike lanes without the presence of on-street parking. All of the striped bike lanes in the IMSA are in good condition. The sidewalk conditions throughout the IMSA are also good. The sidewalks are generally six-feet wide and include ramps on the corners at study area intersections.

Sidewalks are located on both sides of Edenbower Boulevard through all of the study area and on most side streets.

Crosswalks are striped at most of the IMSA intersections, although not always across all of the intersection approaches. The crosswalk inventory for the Edenbower Boulevard intersections includes:

- Stewart Parkway: All Approaches (Signalized)
- Broad Street: None
- I-5 Southbound Ramp Terminal: All Approaches (Signalized)
- I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal: South Approach
- Aviation Drive: All Approaches (Signalized)
- Stephens Street (OR 99): All Approaches (Signalized)

2.4.4. Transit Inventory

Umpqua Transit serves Roseburg with Paratransit (U-Trans Direct), fixed route, and commuter bus service on weekdays. Bus routes run on Stewart Parkway and Stephens Street but there is currently no service provided along Edenbower Boulevard in the IMSA. Transit stops in the IMSA are located on Stewart Parkway west of Edenbower Boulevard and on Stephens Street/OR99 two blocks south of Edenbower Boulevard.

2.4.5. Rail Inventory

The Central Oregon and Pacific (CORP) Railroad line runs along the eastern boundary of the IMSA crossing Edenbower Boulevard at grade just west of Stephens Street. Currently, the railroad line is exclusively for freight, with 90 percent of their delivery consisting of forest products. Projects near Edenbower Boulevard and Stephens Street must coordinate with railroad and the interconnected warning system at the crossing.

2.4.6. Airport Inventory

Roseburg Regional Airport is adjacent to I-5 near Exit 127, with the aviation frontage road connecting to Edenbower Boulevard at Aviation Drive, just east of the interchange. Owned and operated by the City of Roseburg, the Roseburg Regional Airport does not have commercial flights. IAMP projects are unlikely to impact airport facilities or operations.
2.5. Existing Operations and Safety

The assessment of existing traffic conditions includes development of existing traffic volumes, traffic operations evaluation, and a review of historical crash patterns. For more detailed data and evaluation results, refer to *Technical Memorandum #2: Existing Conditions Analysis* in Volume 2 of this IAMP.

2.5.1. Volume Development

Traffic counts were collected in 2012 and seasonally adjusted to correspond to traffic volumes that are seen in the peak month of the year (July), also known as the Design Hourly Volume (DHV). The ODOT Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU) procedures were followed. After peak hour count data was seasonally adjusted, volumes were balanced to achieve a uniform dataset for analysis. These peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 5.

2.5.2. Existing Intersection Operations

Table 4 summarizes the analysis results for all study area intersections.

**Table 4. Existing (2012) PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Analysis Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>V/C Ratio²</th>
<th>LOS²</th>
<th>Operational Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stewart Pkwy. (Signalized)</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS D/0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Broad St.</td>
<td>EB L/R</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS E/0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at SB Ramp Terminal (Signalized)</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at NB Ramp Terminal</td>
<td>NB L/T</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Aviation Dr. (Signalized)</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stephens St. (Signalized)</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronyms: NB = northbound, SB = southbound, EB = eastbound, WB = westbound, L = left-turn movement, T = through movement, R = right-turn movement. Two or more travel movements permitted in one lane group are indicated with a slash.

Notes:
1. At signalized intersections, the overall results are reported along with all individual movements, while at unsignalized intersections the results are reported for all movements that must stop or yield the right of travel to other traffic flows.
2. The v/c ratios and LOS are based on the results of the macrosimulation analysis using Synchro, which cannot account for the influence of adjacent intersection operations.
3. 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), Policy 1F applies to existing and no-build conditions through the planning horizon.
4. The Roseburg Transportation System Plan (TSP) designates the traffic operations standard on City facilities and defers to ODOT standards for intersections with state highways within the City.

Source: *Synchro HCM Intersection Analysis Report and SimTraffic microsimulation*

Analysis for the PM peak period shows that both of the interchange intersections would meet ODOT’s operational standards. Neither of these intersections has queuing concerns. None of the city intersections are expected to impact operations at the interchange.
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**Figure 5**

*Existing (2012) PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes*
The four city intersections all meet the City’s operational standards. However, at the signalized intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway, all approaches are expected to have lanes with queuing that exceed available storage lengths. The signalized intersection of Edenbower Boulevard at Stephens Street also has queuing that exceeds the available storage for the eastbound left-turn, and the eastbound thru/right queue blocks access to the storage facility on the southeast corner of the intersection. The remaining study intersections appear to have adequate capacity and storage for the current demand.

Field observations suggest that queuing in the westbound direction at the intersection of Aviation Drive and Edenbower Boulevard may be a concern. The analysis shows the westbound queue regularly extending back halfway between Aviation Drive and Stephens Street. Recent improvements done at that intersection have alleviated most of the operational issues at the intersection and queues generally dissipate within one signal cycle.

### 2.5.3. Merge and Diverge Operations

It is also important to evaluate how the interchange ramps interact with the mainline highway traffic on I-5 through an analysis of the points where traffic enters or merges onto the highway and where it exits or diverges from the highway. These analyses were conducted in accordance with ODOT procedures to determine v/c ratio performance. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction/Location</th>
<th>V/C Ratio¹</th>
<th>Design Hour²</th>
<th>Alternate Hour³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I-5 Northbound</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline South of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverge: Exit 127 Northbound Off Ramp</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between Off and On Ramps</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Eastbound to Northbound On Ramp</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between On Ramps</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Westbound to Northbound On Ramp</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline North of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I-5 Southbound</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline North of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverge: Exit 127 Southbound Off-Ramp</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between Off and On-Ramps</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Southbound On-Ramp</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline South of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. The v/c ratios for the merge/diverge analysis are calculated based on the methodologies outlined in ODOT’s Analysis Procedures Manual.
2. The design hour is the hour between 4:30 and 5:30 PM, which coincides with system peaking.
3. The alternate hour is AM peak hour, which occurs between 7:30 and 8:30 AM.
The merge and diverge analyses show that the freeway and the merge and diverge points associated with the I-5 Exit 127 ramps are currently operating well below the mobility standard of 0.80 during both the AM and PM peak hours.

### 2.5.4. Crash History Analysis

A crash history analysis was conducted to determine whether any significant, documented safety issues exist within the study area. The ODOT database (years 2006 through 2010) has 122 crashes in the management area including 27 crashes on I-5 mainline and ramps. Two of the reported crashes resulted in a serious injury, and 51 resulted in a minor injury(s). Very few of the reported crashes were attributed to speed or alcohol.

The intersection of Stewart Parkway and Edenbower Boulevard had 37 reported crashes and the highest crash rate within the management area, 0.83 crashes per million entering vehicles (mvm). This crash rate exceeds the critical crash rate for this intersection (described further in the following section), and had one serious injury reported, as well as 20 minor injury crashes. Approximately 65% of the reported crashes at this location involved a rear-end collision, with the next prevalent crash types being sideswipe (14%) and turning (11%).

There were no highway segments in the study area identified in the top 10 percent of the ODOT’s 2012 Safety Priority Index System (SPIS)\(^6\) database. Local roads are not included in the database.

### Critical Crash Rates

The Highway Safety Manual Part B describes the critical crash rate method as a means of identifying locations that warrant further investigation. The critical crash rate is based upon average crash rates at comparable sites, traffic volume, and a confidence interval.

Critical crash rates were calculated for signalized and unsignalized study intersections and compared with observed crash rates. Observed crash rates only exceeded the critical crash rate at one intersection, which is the intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway. The observed crash rate at the northbound ramp terminal is equal to the corresponding critical crash rate.

### 2.6. Future Baseline Conditions

The analysis of future baseline conditions examines long-term operational and safety concerns of the transportation system for a future baseline scenario. (Detailed discussions of existing

---

\(^6\) The SPIS is a method used by ODOT to identify safety problem areas along state highways. Highways are evaluated in approximately one-tenth mile increments (often grouped into larger segments). Each year these segments are ranked by assigning a SPIS score based on the frequency and severity crashes observed, while taking traffic volume into account. When a segment is ranked in the top 10% of the index, a crash analysis is typically warranted and corrective actions are considered.
conditions can be found in *Technical Memorandum #3: Future Baseline Traffic Conditions* in Volume 2 of this IAMP.) The future baseline analysis examines conditions where the transportation system has been improved by projects with programmed funding sources and where traffic volumes continue to grow based on population and employment forecasts in the City of Roseburg and nearby communities.

### 2.6.1. Land Use Scenario

The long-range traffic forecasts are based on the current Roseburg Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Map and recently adopted population growth forecasts for the city and its environs. The population growth forecasts assume an annual growth rate of 1.2 percent within the city and 1.0 percent outside the city.

The population growth was converted into estimates of households and employment using data derived from existing available census and employment data, aerial surveys of development, assessments of vacant and buildable lands, and discussions with the City of Roseburg and Douglas County planning staff.

Within the IMSA, the forecasted population growth occurs primarily along Edenbower Boulevard, where properties are designated Medium-Density Residential. The forecasted employment growth is highest at the southern end of the IMSA in properties designated Commercial along Stewart Parkway.

### 2.6.2. Future Baseline Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Future Baseline traffic volume forecasts were developed using the Roseburg travel demand forecasting model, which is based on the above long-range land use assumptions. Future traffic forecasts are shown in Figure 6.

### 2.6.3. Future Intersection Operations

The future baseline traffic analysis results are summarized in Table 6 for all major IMSA intersections.

The analysis results show that, under 2035 future baseline conditions during the PM peak period, both of the interchange intersections would meet ODOT’s operational standards. Neither of these intersections is anticipated to have queueing concerns. None of the city intersections are expected to impact operations at the interchange.
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**Table 6. Future (2035) PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Analysis Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>V/C Ratio&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>LOS&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Operational Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stewart Pkwy.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LOS D/0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Broad St.</td>
<td>EB L/R</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS E/0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at SB Ramp Terminal</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at NB Ramp Terminal</td>
<td>NB R</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Aviation Dr.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>LOS D/0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stephens St.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LOS D/0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronyms: NB = northbound, SB = southbound, EB = eastbound, WB = westbound, L = left-turn movement, T = through movement, R = right-turn movement. Two or more travel movements permitted in one lane group are indicated with a slash.

Notes:
1. At signalized intersections, the overall results are reported along with all individual movements, while at unsignalized intersections the results are reported for all movements that must stop or yield the right of travel to other traffic flows.
2. The v/c ratios and LOS are based on the results of the macrosimulation analysis using Synchro, which cannot account for the influence of adjacent intersection operations.
3. 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), Policy 1F applies to existing and no-build conditions through the planning horizon.
4. The Roseburg Transportation System Plan (TSP) designates the traffic operations standard on City facilities and defers to ODOT standards for intersections with state highways within the City.

Shaded results indicate where mobility standards are not met.

Source: Synchro HCM Intersection Analysis Report and SimTraffic microsimulation

One of the four city intersections, Edenbower Boulevard at Stewart Parkway, would not meet the City standards. Furthermore, this intersection is forecast to have demand that would exceed available capacity and extensive queuing is anticipated. The eastbound left-turn from Stewart Parkway would have queues that continue into the two-way left-turn lane and spill out into through traffic more than half of the peak hour. The westbound movements on Stewart Parkway would all experience queuing problems with the turn lanes spilling out into the through movement, and the through movement blocking the nearest access point or entrance into the turn lanes.

The other city intersection would meet operational standards with queuing issues similar to those identified for existing conditions.

### 2.6.4. Merge/Diverge Analysis

The future baseline operations of the interchange ramp interaction with the mainline highway traffic were also evaluated. The results of the analyses are summarized in Table 7.

The merge and diverge analyses for both the future design hour (PM peak hour) and the alternate hour (AM peak period) show that the freeway and the merge and diverge points associated with the I-5 Exit 127 ramps would operate below the mobility standard of 0.80 for the future 2035 baseline scenario.
Table 7. Future (2035) Freeway Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction/Location</th>
<th>V/C Ratio&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Design Hour&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Alternate Hour&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I-5 Northbound</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline South of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverge: Exit 127 Northbound Off Ramp</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between Off and On Ramps</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Eastbound to Northbound On Ramp</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between On Ramps</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Westbound to Northbound On Ramp</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline North of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I-5 Southbound</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline North of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverge: Exit 127 Southbound Off-Ramp</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline between Off and Ramps</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge: Exit 127 Southbound On-Ramp</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline South of Exit 127</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. The v/c ratios for the merge/diverge analysis are calculated based on the methodologies outlined in ODOT's Analysis Procedures Manual.
2. The design hour is the hour between 4:30 and 5:30 PM, which coincides with system peaking.
3. The alternate hour is AM peak hour, which occurs between 7:30 and 8:30 AM.

2.7. Summary of Deficiencies

Deficiencies identified through the inventory and analysis are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8. Summary of Deficiencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deficiency</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roadway Inventory</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Spacing</td>
<td>▪ Adjacent public road intersections do not meet interchange spacing standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Traffic Operations and Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Safety                        | ▪ The intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway had 37 crashes in a five year reporting period and was the only location to exceed the critical crash rate
|                               | ▪ Queuing issues were identified at two intersections:
|                               | - Edenbower Boulevard at Stewart Parkway (all approaches)
|                               | - Edenbower Boulevard at Stephens Street (northbound and eastbound approaches) |
| **Future Traffic Operations** |                                                                           |
| Operations                    | ▪ The intersection of Edenbower Boulevard and Stewart Parkway would have a v/c ratio of 1.02 indicating the forecast demand would exceed available capacity |
| Safety                        | ▪ Queuing issues were identified at three intersections:
|                               | - Edenbower Boulevard at Stewart Parkway (all approaches)
|                               | - Edenbower Boulevard at Aviation Drive (westbound approach)
|                               | - Edenbower Boulevard at Stephens Street (northbound and eastbound approaches) |
3. IAMP IMPROVEMENTS

This section discusses the conceptual development of improvements to address deficiencies within the I-5 Exit 127 IMSA as identified through existing and future baseline analysis and recommendations for the preferred alternative. The improvements were developed to meet the identified goals and objectives of this plan. (Detailed discussions of concept development can be found in Technical Memorandum #4: Concept Development and Evaluation and Technical Memorandum #5: Preferred Alternative in Volume 2 of this IAMP.)

3.1. Preliminary Concepts to Address Operational Deficiencies

After evaluating existing and future baseline conditions, a list of potential solutions was created to address operational deficiencies. These concepts were developed to provide an understanding of the diverse range of actions that could be implemented. Concepts initially targeted improvements unique to individual intersections knowing that different combinations of improvements could be paired together.

The concept analysis focused on four areas for consideration within the I-5 Exit 127 IMSA:

- **Intersection Improvements** – These concepts identify potential improvements to improve traffic flow, provide additional capacity, and/or address safety concerns at individual intersections within the IMSA.
- **Interchange Ramp Improvements** – These concepts address concerns raised about driver expectation and safety on interchange ramps.
- **Multimodal Improvements** – These concepts identify potential improvements to enhance safety, desirability, and continuity of facilities for non-auto users in the IMSA.
- **Additional Improvements** – These concepts focus on managing the transportation system for safety and long-term operations and complement the other concepts.

Operational analyses were performed at key intersections for some of the concepts to help determine how well each would address deficiencies. In addition, right-of-way needs, concept resource impacts, and preliminary-level cost opinions were prepared to compare the concepts to each other.

The results of the concept evaluation were presented in a variety of forums and recommendations were made based on feedback from the Technical Advisory and Citizen Advisory Committees, comments received at the Public Open House, and input from ODOT and City staff. The resulting recommendations for implementation are summarized in Table 9.
Table 9. Summary of IAMP 127 Concepts Considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intersection Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Concept 1 – Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway: Add Second Eastbound Left-Turn Lane | Medium Priority  
Could be constructed in phases with lane striping changes on Stewart Parkway (Option B or C) built initially and widening for the second left-turn lane (Option A) constructed at a later time |
| A - Add a second left-turn lane on the eastbound approach of Stewart Parkway by widening the roadway to the north |                                                                                                |
| B - Add a second left-turn on the eastbound approach of Stewart Parkway by converting a through travel lane to a shared left-through lane |                                                                                                |
| C - Add a second left-turn lane on the eastbound approach by converting the existing center through lane to a dedicated left-turn lane |                                                                                                |
| Concept 2 – Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway: Install Multi-Lane Roundabout | Not recommended                                                                                   |
| Concept 3 – Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway: Realign Intersection for Major Traffic Flow and Close South Approach | Not recommended                                                                                   |
| Concept 4 – Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway: Create “T” Intersection and Close South Approach | Not recommended                                                                                   |
| Concept 5 – Edenbower Boulevard/Aviation Drive: Extend Westbound Right-Turn Bay | Low Priority                                                                                      |
| Concept 6 – Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street: Extend Left-Turn Bays | Medium Priority                                                                                   |
| **Interchange Ramp Improvements**                                     |                                                                                                |
| Concept 7 – Westbound to Northbound On-Ramp: Gore Area Delineation      | Not recommended as project but ramp safety should be monitored                                   |
| Concept 8 – Westbound to Northbound On-Ramp: Install Visual Barrier     | Not recommended as project but ramp safety should be monitored                                   |
| **Multi-Modal Improvements**                                          |                                                                                                |
| Concept 9 – Northbound Ramp Terminal: Improve North Side Pedestrian Crossing | High to Medium Priority                                                                           |
| Concept 10 – Edenbower Boulevard from Broad Street to Stewart Parkway: Enhance Pedestrian Crossings | Not recommended                                                                                   |
| **Transportation System Management Improvements**                      |                                                                                                |
| Concept A-1 – Northbound Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection          | Low Priority                                                                                      |
| Concept A-2 – Edenbarger Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination              | Ongoing                                                                                           |
| Concept A-3 – Edenbarger Blvd: Speed Study                              | Not recommended as project but speeds should be reassessed after implementation of Concept 1     |
| Concept A-4 – Edenbarger Boulevard/Stewart Parkway: Provide Adequate Sight Distance | Medium Priority                                                                                   |

Notes: Concepts in **bold** are recommended.

3.2. Preferred Alternative

The preferred alternative consists of transportation system management (TSM) measures and infrastructure improvements at the interchange ramps or other IMSA intersections, totaling 7 projects. Table 10 provides descriptions and recommendations for general timing and triggers.
for implementation and Figure 7 illustrates the locations of the recommended improvement projects.

Table 10. Summary of Recommended IAMP Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Mobility</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Multimodal</th>
<th>Implementation Priority</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Related Projects</th>
<th>Estimated Cost&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Potential STIP&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Funding Category</th>
<th>Other Funding&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td>4: NB Ramp Terminal Signal</td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
<td>Enhance Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Provide Adequate Sight Distance</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>6: Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Second EB Left-Turn Lane</td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Edenbower Blvd/Stephens St: Extend Left-Turn Bays</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>1: Edenbower Blvd Signal Coordination</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. NB Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>1: Edenbower Blvd Signal Coordination (must occur with new signal)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High to Medium</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>4: NB Ramp Terminal Signal</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Add Second EB Left-Turn Lane</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>2: Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy Sight Distance</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Edenbower Blvd/Aviation Dr: Extend WB Right-Turn Bay</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>1: Edenbower Blvd Signal Coordination</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>Fix It</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Estimated costs exclude right of way acquisition, hazardous materials mitigation, natural resource mitigation, and utilities relocation.
2. Assumes projects that involve both state and local agency participation will have costs split at 70% from state/federal sources and 30% from local match. Assumes ODOT will fund 100% of state highway projects. Assumes local agencies will fund projects that are not within state jurisdiction.
3. The City of Roseburg and ODOT have an intergovernmental agreement (#26198) that the City will fund this project.

Acronyms: NB = northbound, SB = southbound, EB = eastbound, WB = westbound
IAMP Projects

1. Edenbower Boulevard Signal Timing Coordination: Maintain signal coordination from the I-5 southbound ramp terminal through Stephens St (Ongoing)

2. Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway Sight Distance Improvements: Mitigate the existing sight distance limitations that restrict visibility for drivers traveling through the intersection on the eastbound (Stewart Pkwy) and northbound (Edenbower Blvd) approaches (Medium Priority)

3. Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street Intersection Improvements: Extend eastbound and northbound left-turn bays (Medium Priority)

4. Edenbower Boulevard/I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Intersection Improvement: Install traffic signal (Low Priority)

5. Edenbower Boulevard/I-5 Northbound Ramp Terminal Pedestrian Improvement: Improve pedestrian crossing on north side (High to Medium Priority)

6. Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway Intersection Improvements: Add a second left-turn lane on the eastbound approach of Stewart Pkwy and add a second northbound receiving lane by widening Edenbower Blvd (Medium Priority). This project could be constructed in phases.

7. Edenbower Boulevard/Aviation Drive Intersection Improvements: Modify the northeast corner of the intersection to extend the existing westbound right-turn bay (Low Priority)

IAMP Monitoring Actions

A. Edenbower Boulevard: Reassess travel and posted speeds between Stewart Parkway and the I-5 southbound ramp terminal following the implementation of Project 6

B. Northbound On Ramp: Monitor crashes on the WB-to-NB ramp for patterns that may be mitigated with treatments that improve channelization and merging behavior

C. Edenbower Boulevard: Conduct a traffic analysis to identify the preferred location for transit stops for any future fixed-route bus service along Edenbower Boulevard

Legend

- Transportation System Management Improvements
- Infrastructure Improvements
- Transportation System Monitoring Actions

I-5 Exit 127 (North Roseburg) IAMP

Figure 7
Locations of Recommended IAMP Improvements
3.2.1. Operations with Recommended Improvements

Operations at relevant intersections for the preferred alternative network were evaluated for the future condition with results summarized in Table 11. All intersections would meet mobility standards with the 2035 forecasts.

Table 11. Year 2035 Operations with Recommended Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Critical Movement</th>
<th>V/C Ratio</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Operational Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stewart Pkwy.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Broad St.</td>
<td>EB L/R</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at SB Ramp Terminal</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at NB Ramp Terminal</td>
<td>NB R</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Aviation Dr.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edenbower Blvd. at Stephens St.</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronyms: NB = northbound, SB = southbound, EB = eastbound, WB = westbound, L = left-turn movement, T = through movement, R = right-turn movement. Two or more travel movements permitted in one lane group are indicated with a slash.

Notes:
1. At signalized intersections, the overall results are reported along with all individual movements, while at unsignalized intersections the results are reported for all movements that must stop or yield the right of travel to other traffic flows. Signalized intersection results are based on HCM 2000 methodology, while unsignalized intersection results are based on HCM 2010 methodology.
2. The v/c ratios and LOS are based on the results of the macrosimulation analysis using Synchro, which cannot account for the influence of adjacent intersection operations.
3. 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), Policy 1F applies to existing and no-build conditions through the planning horizon.
4. The Roseburg Transportation System Plan (TSP) designates the traffic operations standard on City facilities and defers to ODOT standards for intersections with state highways within the City.
5. Operations reflect an assumption that 65 percent of the eastbound left-turning traffic will use the far left-turn lane while 35 percent will use the near left-turn lane and the merge lane on northbound Edenbower Boulevard.

Source: Synchro HCM Intersection Analysis Report and SimTraffic microsimulation

3.2.2. Cost Estimates

Cost estimates for the interchange and intersection improvements associated with the preferred alternative are summarized in Table 12. The estimates for the ten recommended projects were developed as part of this IAMP. These estimates are preliminary and include engineering and construction (with a contingency factor) for infrastructure, but do not include right-of-way costs, and may change as the design is refined. In addition, the estimates do not account for utility costs or the potential costs of environmental analyses or mitigation.
### Table 12. Preferred Alternative Preliminary Cost Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation System Management Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination</td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Provide Adequate Sight Distance</td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Edenbower Blvd/Stephens St: Extend Left-Turn Bays</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. NB Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$535,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. NB Ramp Terminal: Improve North Side Pedestrian Crossing</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Add Second EB Left-Turn Lane</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Edenbower Blvd/Aviation Dr: Extend WB Right-Turn Bay</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,695,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,230,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Cost estimates were prepared in year 2013 using present day dollars and are consistent with standard estimating methods.
4. MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

An integral part of the IAMP process is providing an action plan to protect the function of the interchange and its influence area. This plan explores a set of measures under the heading “management actions” that could be employed at or near I-5 Exit 127. Management actions can extend the life of the interchange and provide for incremental implementation of I-5 Exit 127 area improvements, allowing individual components to be funded and built when needed. Given the funding constraints and statewide demand for interchange improvements, it will likely require several years for ODOT and the City of Roseburg to develop a funding package and construct all the improvements recommended in the IAMP.

4.1. Access Management Plan

Access management is an essential tool for protecting the function of an interchange and must be included in the IAMP process. An access management plan must consider access to and from the interchange, maintaining capacity for traffic flow and operations, and safety.

Implementation of access management measures has the effect of protecting the public investment in an interchange and enabling it to accommodate traffic volumes safely and efficiently into the future while ensuring circulation necessary for good access to the freeway. This IAMP acknowledges the vital need of adjacent property owners to maintain roadway access to their businesses and residences. However, a proliferation of driveways and minor street intersections near an interchange multiplies the number of conflicts along a roadway segment, thus reducing the capacity of intersections, increasing the probability of crashes, and generally degrading service for all system users. Hence, the access management plan must balance the competing needs of compatible land uses, private access, and the function of the transportation system.

4.1.1. Access Management Standards

Both ODOT and the City of Roseburg have access management standards that apply to the IMSA. The access management standards applicable to this project are summarized in Table 13\(^7\). These standards are based on the OHP and the City of Roseburg Land Use and Development Ordinance.

\(^7\) Table 13 is the same as Table 2 presented in Section 2.1.2. Applicable Access Management Standards.
Table 13. Access Spacing Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment Characteristic</th>
<th>Access Spacing Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODOT – Interchange Ramp Terminals - Fully Developed Urban¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from off-ramp to first approach on the right, right-turn movements only</td>
<td>750 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from off-ramp to first intersection where left turns are allowed</td>
<td>1320 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from last approach road to the start of the taper for the on-ramp</td>
<td>1320 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from last right in/right out approach road to the start of the taper for the on-ramp</td>
<td>990 feet²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Public/Private Access Points</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburg - Arterial (Edenbower Blvd.)</td>
<td>500 feet³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Fully Developed Urban Interchange Management Area: Occurs when 85% or more of the parcels along the developable frontage area are developed at urban densities and many have driveways connecting to the crossroad. See definition in the Oregon Highway Plan.
2. Table 18 in the revised OHP-Effective January 1, 2012 Amended May 3, 2012 : Access Management Spacing Standards for Freeway Interchanges with Multi-Lane Crossroads

Currently, the existing public street network does not meet the interchange standards and this IAMP does not include projects that will relocate any roadways. However, opportunities to reduce access frequency and/or conflicts on Edenbower Boulevard should be pursued by the City of Roseburg whenever a public infrastructure or private development project is constructed. ODOT will not permit any new access points on Edenbower Boulevard between Broad Street and Aviation Drive.

4.1.2. Key Principles of Access Management Plan

The Access Management Plan for the IAMP was developed balancing the key principles of safety and mobility for all users with regional and local economic vitality. These principles were applied in the following manner:

1. **Safety**: Crash data was evaluated to identify locations where turning or angle collisions have occurred at accesses along the highway. These types of collisions generally result in more frequent and severe injuries.
   - **Recommended Actions**: No locations for safety-related access restrictions are currently identified but potential access modifications should be evaluated if future safety concerns are identified on the Exit 127 ramp connections to the freeway or along Edenbower Boulevard between Stewart Parkway and Stephens Street.
   - **Triggers**: Access modifications should be considered at locations with a continued pattern of turning and/or angle collisions that can be reduced through access restriction or when a location is in the worst 10% in the SPIS (only applicable at the interchange).
   - **Economic Considerations**: No access modifications to address existing safety issues are identified at this time. Future access restrictions would not be constructed
without reasonable alternate access unless an identified hazard that adversely affects public health, safety, or welfare prevails.

2. **Mobility**: Projects were identified that improve corridor mobility for all system users while maximizing the use of existing infrastructure.

   - **Recommended Actions**: The City of Roseburg project to improve the Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway intersection should consider access management to reduce the frequency of turning and merging conflicts on the east side of Edenbower Boulevard (for approximately 500 feet) with implementation of this project. The City of Roseburg extensions of the left-turn lanes at the Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street intersection may consider access management in areas with standing queues.

   - **Triggers**: Implementation of these projects would be triggered by congestion (v/c ratio > 0.85) or safety (crash patterns related to queuing).

   - **Economic Considerations**: Reducing congestion and queuing and/or improving safety realizes economic benefits (improved land values, vehicle costs, energy usage, and pollution).

### 4.1.3. Access Management Plan Implementation

The Access Management Plan for I-5 Exit 127 and Edenbower Boulevard from Stewart Parkway to Stephens Street includes a variety of measures identified that may be triggered as land use changes occur (new development or redevelopment), future improvement projects are implemented, or as safety and operational issues arise. Both ODOT and the City of Roseburg have responsibility for implementing the plan.

Access management policies and actions for I-5 Exit 127 and Edenbower Boulevard are illustrated in Figure 8 and identified below:

**Policy 1**: Access management techniques shall be applied with a desire to move towards achieving applicable access spacing standards over time.

**Policy 2**: Consolidation, closure, or modification of driveways shall be considered when any of the following conditions are met:

- Properties develop or redevelop and when reasonable access can be provided with a single access point or via a local street.
- Future roadway improvements move into design and construction.
- The annual accident rate is 20 percent greater than the statewide rate for similar roadways or a highway segment has an ODOT SPIS rating in the worst 10 percent.

**Policy 3**: Turn limitations shall be considered when any of the following conditions are met:

- Future roadway improvements move into design and construction.
- The annual accident rate is 20 percent greater than the statewide rate for similar roadways or a highway segment has an ODOT SPIS rating in the worst 10 percent.
Figure 8
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Specific access management actions include:

**Action 1:** Access management measures will be evaluated when design begins for the Edenbower Boulevard/Stewart Parkway improvements. The evaluation of potential measures should include:

- **Consolidation or closure of driveways on Edenbower Boulevard to reduce turning and merging conflicts along the east side of the roadway, extending 500 feet north of Stewart Parkway.**
- **Turn limitations on Edenbower Boulevard to reduce turning and merging conflicts along the east side of the roadway, extending 500 feet north of Stewart Parkway.**
- **Turn limitations on Edenbower Boulevard in the vicinity of standing queues.**

**Action 2:** Access management measures will be evaluated when design begins for the Edenbower Boulevard/Stephens Street turn lane extensions. The evaluation of potential measures should include:

- **Turn limitations on Edenbower Boulevard and Stephens Street in the vicinity of standing queues.**

Access management actions proposed in this plan may result in some restrictions or reduction of access for properties along Edenbower Boulevard; however, these access management actions would not prevent the properties from being used and developed in a manner consistent with their adopted comprehensive planning designations. Rather, access management will help to ensure that property owners continue to be able to utilize site advantages of the properties by improving traffic circulation, safety, and mobility.

### 4.2. Transportation Demand Management Measures

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures are designed to reduce vehicle demand, especially for commuter trips in the peak periods. Goals and policies of the State of Oregon, and the City of Roseburg contain provisions that embrace TDM measures.

The I-5 Exit 127 IAMP supports TDM efforts through maintenance of existing bicycle and sidewalk facilities and one specific pedestrian improvement (Project 5. NB Ramp Terminal: Improve North Side Pedestrian Crossing). The IAMP also supports a future transit route along the Edenbower Boulevard but requires that transit stops must not be located where they could impact the safe and efficient operations of the interchange ramp terminals.

### 4.3. Transportation System Management Measures

Transportation System Management (TSM) measures are designed to make maximum use of existing transportation facilities. Four specific TSM projects have been included in the preferred alternative:

- Project 1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination
In addition to these four projects, three additional monitoring actions are recommended for the long-term management of the transportation system within the IMSA:

- **Action A.** Reassess travel speeds and posted speeds on Edenbower Boulevard between Stewart Parkway and the I-5 southbound ramp terminal following the implementation of Project 6. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Add Second EB Left-Turn Lane. The reasons for the speed reassessment are the existing curve between I-5 and Broad Street and the lane merge that would be created with the Project 6. A formal speed study would be needed to determine if a speed reduction is ultimately appropriate. The speed study would need to be formally requested by the City of Roseburg.

- **Action B.** Monitor crashes on the westbound-to-northbound on ramp for patterns that may be mitigated with treatments that improve channelization and merging behavior. These treatments may include striping the existing gore with chevron markings (to more clearly delineate when merging will occur), a concrete barrier with glare shields (to prevent drivers from looking towards I-5 before it is time to start merging), or similar treatments. The monitoring and implementation of ramp safety would be the responsibility of ODOT.

- **Action C.** Conduct a traffic analysis to identify the preferred location for transit stops if Umpqua Transit were to add fixed-route bus service along Edenbower Boulevard. Transit stops must not be located where they could impact the safe and efficient operations of the interchange ramp terminals. Umpqua Transit would be responsible for preparing the traffic analysis.

Each of these actions responds to project implementation (intersection improvements or new transit route) or ongoing safety concerns (discernible crash patterns). The actions and agency responsible for implementation are listed above.

### 4.4. Land Use Management Measures

Transportation modeling draws guidance from comprehensive plans, but requires making assumptions about the type, intensity and location of development that can occur within each zone. Changes to the current land use zoning could dramatically affect the number of trips generated, trip patterns, and traffic volumes at intersections and the interchange. As a result, traffic operations at the interchange may approach capacity more rapidly than anticipated, shortening the life of the updated interchange and hastening the need for costly investments for additional interchange improvements.

Vehicle trip generation associated with potential future growth in the region could cause traffic operations at I-5 Exit 127 to exceed ODOT mobility standards within the 20-year planning
horizon. The intensity, timing and location of actual development may result in more congestion than is estimated by the model.

ODOT is relying on the currently adopted plans, policies, designations and codes to ensure that the land uses remain supportive of the function of the interchange. This management strategy is essentially a reaffirmation by the City of Roseburg that their Comprehensive Plan and TSP remains valid or, if changes are needed, the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) requirements will be met and the City will notify ODOT and jointly undertake an evaluation of impacts to the interchange. The TPR provides specifications on what must be addressed by agencies when seeking a comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning. Technical Memorandum #1: Definition and Background, Appendix A – Review of Plans and Policies and Technical Memorandum #2: Existing Conditions Analysis cite the standards that the IAMP relies on for consistency and implementation and associates them with the applicable IAMP sections. Specifically, these are:

- City of Roseburg Comprehensive Plan: Comprehensive Plan Map (July 7, 2014)
- City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan, Goal 3. Transportation and Land Use (December 2006)
- City of Roseburg Land Use and Development Ordinance (March 11, 2013) and Zoning Map (April 28, 2014)
5. IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of the I-5 Exit 127 (North Roseburg) IAMP will need to occur at the local and state level. The plan will be adopted as an amendment to the Oregon Highway Plan by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC). It will also be adopted as part of the City of Roseburg Transportation System Plan.

The elements recommended for formal adoption as part of this IAMP are specified below. Some actions are to be adopted by the OTC as a “facility plan” that implements the OHP. Other actions are adopted by the City of Roseburg.

5.1. State Actions

Adoption of the OHP is a state responsibility. After the City of Roseburg IAMP adoption, the OTC will adopt the I-5 Exit 127 (North Roseburg) IAMP as a transportation facility plan—an amendment to the OHP, per PLA 01, ODOT Transportation Facility Plan Adoption Process effective October 12, 2006.

ODOT will continue to coordinate with the City of Roseburg as planning documents get updated and amended and during the development review process to ensure the interchange is protected.

5.2. City of Roseburg Actions

The City of Roseburg will:

- Adopt this IAMP as a refinement plan to its TSP (City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan amendment).
- Retain, through adoption of the IAMP, current adopted Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Ordinance designations and regulations to ensure that the land uses within the IAMP study area remain supportive of the function of the interchange. The IAMP assumes that, within the study area the Roseburg will maintain their:
  - Current land use designations with current uses and densities
  - Plan and code amendment processes
  - Requirements for traffic impact studies
  - Processes for notification to ODOT regarding land use actions that may affect state transportation facilities
- When future land use actions are proposed, continue to coordinate with ODOT to ensure that actions and improvements are consistent with the defined function of the IAMP.

Adoption of provisions of the City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan and LUDO by reference into this IAMP ensures that there would be no violation of the mobility performance
standards for the interchange and related facilities. No amendments to the City of Roseburg Urban Area Comprehensive Plan, TSP, or LUDO are recommended, including overlay zones.

5.3. Future Interchange Design Changes

If an alternative interchange design is proposed in the future, additional traffic work would be needed to amend the IAMP. Additional measures would need to be considered, and City of Roseburg amendments may be needed.

If future changes of other circumstances in the IMSA result in the need for changes to the IAMP, ODOT and the City shall jointly prepare amendments to the IAMP management actions and an accompanying funding plan to implement those actions.

5.4. Project Funding

None of the projects listed in Table 10 currently has identified funding sources. Funding for plan projects is anticipated to come from a variety of public and private sources as projects develop during the planning period.

The inclusion of an improvement in the I-5 Exit 127 IAMP does not represent a commitment by ODOT to fund, allow, or construct the project. Projects on the state facilities are not considered “planned” projects until they are programmed into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). As such, local governments and/or private developers cannot rely upon the projects proposed in the IAMP to mitigate significant traffic effects unless they are programmed into the STIP, or a local Capital Improvement Program (CIP), or funded privately though a Cooperative Improvement Agreement (CIA) with ODOT. Highway projects that are programmed in the STIP to be constructed may have to be altered or cancelled at a later time to meet changing budgets or unanticipated conditions such as environmental constraints.”
APPENDIX – PROJECT SHEETS

Project sheets have been prepared for each IAMP improvements identifying:

- Name
- Location
- Description of recommended improvement
- Project purpose
- Existing/future deficiencies without project
- Result of improvements (i.e., how it addresses deficiencies)
- Considerations/potential impacts
- Cost opinion
- Implementation considerations (priority, phasing, triggers)
- Illustration (as appropriate)
### Project 1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Maintain signal coordination along Edenbower Blvd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>• Manage delays and queuing for safety and operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Roadway Characteristics | • Posted speed is 40 mph  
• Current (2012) ADT = 12,000-14,000 vehicles per day  
• Forecast (2035) ADT = 14,000-18,000 vehicles per day |
| **Existing/Future Deficiency** | |
| • Signals at Southbound Ramp Terminal and Aviation Dr. are currently coordinated to maintain gaps for traffic stopped at the Northbound Off Ramp  
• Cycle lengths for signals along Edenbower Blvd differ from cycle lengths for signals along Stephens St  
• Queuing between intersections occasionally occurs but generally dissipates within one cycle length; it does not currently interfere with operations but could change over time |
| With Improvement | |
| • Common cycle length may eventually be necessary to manage queuing on Edenbower between Aviation Dr and Stephens St  
• Traffic signal coordination could be maintained manually with consistent cycle lengths and phasing plans  
• Ultimately interconnected signal system may be desirable |
| Additional Considerations | |
| • Ongoing process that needs to respond to changing conditions over time  
• Infrastructure is in place for interconnected system  
• Coordination with railroad warning system interconnection will be necessary.  
• Potential additional signal at Northbound Off Ramp will need to be connected with rest of system |
| Cost Opinion | • Less than $10,000 assuming infrastructure is ready |
| Implementation | • Ongoing priority in response to changing conditions (delays and queues)  
• Related to Project 4. NB Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection |

### Preliminary Alignment Concept

- **Legend**
  - Existing Traffic Signal
  - Potential Future Traffic Signal

- Maintain coordination to manage delays and queuing
## Project 2. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Provide Adequate Sight Distance

### Description
Provide adequate sight distance to maintain safe intersection operations

### Purpose
- Address sight distance concerns and improve safety

### Roadway Characteristics
- Posted speed is 40 mph
- Current (2012) ADT = 24,300 vehicles per day
- Forecast (2035) ADT = 31,700 vehicles per day
- 37 crashes during a 5-year study period (mostly rear-end or turning collisions)

#### Existing/Future Deficiency
- Obstructions in southwest quadrant limit eastbound sight distance
- Eastbound Stewart Parkway has supplemental signal head to offset limitations
- Drivers on northbound Edenbower Boulevard making a right turn on red have limited sight distance but no crash pattern present at this time

#### How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies

#### With Improvement
- Improved sight distance
- Potentially fewer eastbound rear-end collisions
- Potentially fewer angle collisions between eastbound and northbound vehicles

### Additional Considerations
- “No turn on red” could be implemented on northbound Edenbower Blvd
- Removal of roadside obstructions may be necessary

### Cost Opinion
- Less than $10,000

### Implementation
- Medium priority
- Crash pattern of rear-end collisions
- Crash pattern of angle collisions between eastbound and northbound movements
- Related to Project 6. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Add Second EB Left-Turn Lane

### Preliminary Alignment Concept

- Stewart Parkway looking eastward to Edenbower Boulevard
- Edenbower Boulevard looking westward along Stewart Parkway
### Project 3. Edenbower Blvd/Stephens St: Extend Left-Turn Bays

#### Description
Extend striped eastbound left-turn bay on Edenbower Blvd and northbound left-turn bay on Stephens St

#### Purpose
- Address queuing concerns

#### Roadway Characteristics
- Posted speed is 40 mph
- Current (2012) ADT = 19,850 vehicles per day
- Forecast (2035) ADT = 22,800 vehicles per day
- 12 intersection related crashes during a 5-year study period, the majority being rear end and turning.

#### How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing/Future Deficiency</th>
<th>With Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Queuing sometimes interferes with adjacent travel lane</td>
<td>Prevents queues building up and spilling into through travel lane which can give rise to safety concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions will worsen in future as traffic volumes grow; however, the queues are not expected to interfere with interchange operations</td>
<td>Reduces conflicts between through movements and excessive queuing from left-turn lanes which improves safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May reduce delay for other travel movements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional Considerations
- Project completed within the existing paved roadway
- Could be paired with turn restrictions at some accesses within influence of left-turn bays

#### Cost Opinion
- $15,000

#### Implementation
- Medium priority
- Queues interfering with adjacent travel lanes
- Crash patterns related to queue buildup
- Related to Project Project 1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination

---

### Preliminary Alignment Concept

- **Extend eastbound left-turn bay**
- **Extend northbound left-turn bay**

---
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## Project 4. NB Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection

### Description
Install a traffic signal at the northbound off-ramp

### Purpose
Addresses long-term traffic operations and safety

### Roadway Characteristics
- Current ADT = 16,500 vpd
- Forecast ADT = 19,700 vpd
- 13 crashes during a 5-year study period (majority of crashes occurred before or during reconstruction of northbound loop-ramp)

### Existing/Future Deficiency
- Preliminary traffic signal warrants not met within next five years and forecasts do not show long-term need

### With Improvement
- A signal may be warranted if land use changes direct more traffic to the interchange or the frequency of turning or angle collision increases

### How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies
- A new traffic signal must be approved by the state traffic engineer
- Project completed within the existing paved roadway
- Traffic signals have tradeoffs in both vehicular delay and crash patterns and should not be installed without meeting warrants
- Signal interconnection and coordination along Edenbower Blvd necessary to maintain traffic flow

### Additional Considerations
- $500,000

### Implementation
- Low priority
- Signal warrants met
- Project 1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination must be implemented concurrently with Project 4
- The City of Roseburg and ODOT have an intergovernmental agreement (#26198) that the City will fund this project

### Preliminary Alignment Concept
- Install traffic signal when warrants are met
### Project 5. NB Ramp Terminal: Improve North Side Pedestrian Crossing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Improve east-west pedestrian crossing across NB on-ramp by adding a raised island or extending existing curb and sidewalk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>• Improve safety and continuity of pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Roadway Characteristics | • Current (2012) ADT = 1,150 vehicles per day entering ramp  
• Forecast (2035) ADT = 1,950 vehicles per day entering ramp |

#### Existing/Future Deficiency

- Pedestrian crossing is remnant of ramp design when eastbound left-turn movements were permitted
- To minimize crossing distance, pedestrians must walk out of direction to where ramp narrows to a single lane

#### With Improvement

- Shortens distance pedestrian has to cross intersection with raised island or curb and sidewalk extension
- Minimizes out of direction travel for pedestrians
- Reduces pedestrian exposure with vehicular traffic
- Could include striped crosswalk to further increase driver awareness of pedestrian activity

#### How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies

- No reduction in vehicular capacity; vehicle carrying capacity similar to other on-ramps at interchange
- May further discourage illegal left-turns onto ramp

#### Additional Considerations

- Project completed within the existing paved roadway
- Construction of raised island or curb extension needs to meet geometric requirements for westbound right turn and northbound through movement from off-ramp
- Crosswalk striping must be approved by the state traffic engineer
- Crosswalk striping might not be implemented until intersection is signalized

#### Cost Opinion

- $100,000

#### Implementation

- Medium priority
- Existing deficiency
- Related to Project 4. NB Ramp Terminal: Signalize Intersection
### Project 6. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Add Second EB Left-Turn Lane

**Description**: Widen Stewart Parkway northwards to add a second eastbound left-turn lane and widen Edenbower Blvd to add second northbound receiving lane.

**Purpose**
- Plan for long-term capacity needs
- Address safety concerns associated with queuing on the eastbound approach

**Roadway Characteristics**
- Posted speed is 40 mph
- Current (2012) ADT = 24,300 vehicles per day
- Forecast (2035) ADT = 31,700 vehicles per day
- Forecast PM peak eastbound left-turns = 890 vehicles
- 37 crashes during a 5-year study period (mostly rear-end or turning collisions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing/Future Deficiency</th>
<th>Preliminary Alignment Concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forecast PM peak v/c ratio = 1.02 and LOS E</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive queuing, especially eastbound</td>
<td><strong>Legend</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="" /></td>
<td>Proposed Lane Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="" /></td>
<td>Existing Lane Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="" /></td>
<td>Approximate Boundary of Impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="" /></td>
<td>Proposed Striping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies**
- Forecast v/c ratio = 0.77 with LOS C
- Reduces conflicts between through movements and excessive queuing from left-turn lanes
- Provides protected left turns for all approaches, potentially reducing turning movement and angle crashes associated with left turns

**Additional Considerations**
- Access control may be needed on Stewart Pkwy and Edenbower Blvd
- Does not address sight distance on Stewart Pkwy
- Roadway widening on both Stewart Pkwy and Edenbower Blvd impacts several parking lots
- Widening Stewart Pkwy northward would bring roadway closer to Sweetbrier Creek corridor
- Widening on Stewart Pkwy east of intersection could extend to Newton Creek corridor
- Project may have to demonstrate it will be consistent with applicable FEMA and local floodplain standards
- Additional ROW needed

**Cost Opinion**
- $1.6 million (excluding ROW, utility relocation, or costs to address potential hazardous waste)

**Implementation**
- Medium priority
- Persistent congestion (v/c ratio > 0.85)
- Queues interfering with through travel lanes
- Could be constructed in phases with Edenbower Blvd widening and lane striping changes on Stewart Pkwy (two options possible) constructed initially and widening for the second left-turn lane constructed at a later time
- Related to Action A. Reassess travel speeds and posted speeds on Edenbower Boulevard between Stewart Parkway and the I-5 southbound ramp terminal
- Related to Project 2. Edenbower Blvd/Stewart Pkwy: Provide Adequate Sight Distance
### Project 7. Edenbower Blvd/Aviation Dr: Extend WB Right-Turn Bay

#### Description
Extend westbound right-turn bay on Edenbower Blvd

#### Purpose
- Address queuing concerns

#### Roadway Characteristics
- Posted speed is 40 mph
- Current (2012) ADT = 16,700 vehicles per day
- Forecast (2035) ADT = 19,800 vehicles per day
- 10 crashes during a 5-year study period (mostly rear end collisions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How Improvement Addresses Deficiencies</th>
<th>Existing/Future Deficiency</th>
<th>With Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term queuing expected to block bike lane and interfere with through travel lane</td>
<td>Extending turn bay improves safety by allowing the right-turn traffic to get out of the way of through traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased storage length reduces the number of vehicles blocking the bike lane during queuing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional Considerations
- Requires additional ROW on north side of roadway
- Requires cutting into and stabilizing hillside next to Edenbower Blvd
- Lighting and sidewalks need to be relocated

#### Cost Opinion
- $75,000 (excluding ROW acquisition costs or environmental mitigation)

#### Implementation
- Low priority
- Queues interfering with through lane or bike lane
- Crash pattern related to queues
- Prior to implementation, traffic signal timing may be used to manage queues
- Related to Project 1. Edenbower Blvd: Maintain Signal Coordination

---

**Legend**

- Proposed Lane Configuration
- Existing Lane Configuration
- Approximate Boundary of Impacts
- Proposed Striping
- Proposed Extension

---

Extend westbound right-turn bay

---
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