# Effectiveness Monitoring Program At-A-Glance

## Juniper Removal

### Results from the latest effectiveness monitoring indicate:

| | Condition | Trend | Info Quality |
|---|---|---|---|
| Native vegetation increased in diversity and density after western juniper removal. | + | + | ● |
| Western juniper removal restored critical habitat for a majority of wildlife species. | + | + | ● |
| A few sites did not progress as planned and will need further efforts to restore native plant communities. | +/− | +/− | ● |

### A few lessons from this effectiveness monitoring are:

In cases where desired native vegetation is sparse, post-project seeding may be necessary to enhance plant diversity.

A better inventory of the pre-project conditions may help deliver healthier post-treatment rangelands.

### How will OWEB use this information:

OWEB has used this effectiveness monitoring to produce a field guide for western juniper management and conducted workshops to emphasize the steps for successful western juniper removal.

**Mays Watershed June 2005. Courtesy of Tim Deboodt**

**Mays Watershed June 2006. Courtesy of Tim Deboodt**

INDICATOR LEGEND

| CONDITION: | + | +/− | − |
|---|---|---|---|
| PROGRESS TOWARDS DESIRED STATUS: | GOOD | MIXED/FAIR | POOR |
| TREND: | + | +/− | − |
| CURRENT STATUS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS STATUS: | IMPROVING | UNCERTAIN/ NO CHANGE | DECLINING |
| INFORMATION: | ● | ◐ | ○ |
| DATA COVERAGE, QUALITY, RELIABILITY: | ADEQUATE | MIXED QUALITY | CONDITION |

Indicator symbology is adapted from the Oregon Department of Forestry's, Oregon Indicators of Sustainable Forests.

*Updated 2012*