



# Alcohol and Drug Policy Commission

## Prevention Committee Meeting

Wednesday March 26, 2025 10:30 AM –12:00 P

ZOOM Meeting link: [CLICK HERE TO JOIN MEETING](#)

Find your local number: <https://www.zoomgov.com/join/abuvBqduSe>

**Note:** The Subcommittee may choose to take agenda items out of order, pull, defer or shorten presentation time of agenda item(s) to accommodate unscheduled business needs. Anyone wishing to be present for an item should arrive when the meeting begins to avoid missing an item of interest.

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours before the meeting to: Corina Vasquez at [corina.vasquez@oha.oregon.gov](mailto:corina.vasquez@oha.oregon.gov).

#	Item	Time	Presenter
1	Welcome, Intros, Overview of Agenda	10:30 – 10:40	Debby Jones
2	ADPC Director's Update	10:40-10:50	Annaliese Dolph
3	Legislative Update	10:50-11:00	Wes Rivers
4	Prevention Committee Priorities/Goals Memo Work Session and Vote	11:00 – 11:50	Third Horizon
5	Public Comment	11:50 – 12:00	Debby Jones

## 2.26.25 ADPC Prevention Subcommittee Recap Notes:

Members present: Debby Jones, Pam Pearce, Luis Pimentel, Jessica Neuwirth, Frances Hupy, Lyndi Petty, Tony Vezina, Dr. Beth Stormshak, Darin Dale, Alexis Drakatos, Ami Muilenburg

## Welcome and Introductions

- Chair Debby Jones started the meeting with reading the prevention definition, welcomed everyone and called the roll.

## Alcohol and Drug Policy Commission Director's Update

Annaliese Dolph provided a director's update:

- The Oregon Opioid Prevention Treatment and Recovery Board considered the Governor's request to allocate the entirety of the next biennium's allocation to Save Lives Oregon – but opted to hold on that decision, provide proportional funding to Save Lives Oregon for now, and continue to consider the fuller continuum of care.
- At the full commission meeting in March, the ADPC will consider support for the continuance of the 50 cent surcharge on distilled spirits that is levied by Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission. This will likely lead to a fuller conversation on revenue over the next few months.
- Full commission will also consider amendments to HB 2929, ADPC's statutory fix bill. There will be room for public comment if you want to tune in and provide input.
- April is Alcohol Awareness Month:
  - OHA: Please join partners across the state to kick off Alcohol Awareness Month on March 19th at 10:30 am. [Register Here](#)
  - During this meeting, OHA will check out the updated Alcohol Awareness Month toolkit and connect with partners across the state.
  - What do you want to see RTD accomplish in 2025?
    - OHA is currently scoping out RTD plans through the end of 2025 including our statewide campaign plans for Summer and Fall. Learn more and provide feedback on the 2025 roadmap at the March 19th meeting!
    - The OHA Alcohol Team is work with an evaluation contractor to finalize the evaluation report of the 23-24 Winter campaign. Keep an eye out for that data this Spring.
  - Email Rebecca Garza to learn more: [rebecca.garza@oha.oregon.gov](mailto:rebecca.garza@oha.oregon.gov)

## Legislative Updates

- ADPC Staff gave an update on current prevention legislation:
  - HB 3321 and HB 3375 are tentatively scheduled for Mid-March in the Joint Addiction and Community Safety Response Committee. Both concepts have overlapping aims including prevention capacity and gaps analyses, definitions, and planning.
  - Compatible piece of legislation in HB 2502 will be heard in the same hearing – mostly dealing with youth treatment and recovery.
  - We will keep committee members up to date.

## Comprehensive Plan: Prevention Committee Assessment, Capacity and Infrastructure Themes and Group Discussion on Next Steps

- Tym Rourke and the Third Horizon Team led the group in a discussion of the results from the assessment small group exercise and the interim follow-up discussions with staff.
- The Third Horizon Team loves what the group has done with respect to using the SPF. Wants to chat where we go next with respect to process. Two things to think about how we approach what's next.
  - SPF is not linear – it only works linearly when you are building something from scratch. After that, one is living in the continuum of SPF all at once. We are going to continue to walk through the steps and review “assessment”, but also, the group may be pretty far along on Capacity.

- The deliverable for April is the “what” – the big buckets of what we are AIMING TO ACHIEVE. When we get to the How – we are going to need to reassess both assessment and capacity, as well as the other three components of SPF.
- Given that, we think that the assessment exercise unveiled some core components of the “what” and today we want to see if we are in agreement that we are close. Tym went through the [assessment summary found here](#).
  - Pay attention to the last column on the first slide: the gaps in assessment and infrastructure are also pointing to gaps in capacity. You have started the work already in the capacity bucket. There is more conversation that is needed on capacity – but we can likely tackle that in our conversations with respect to the “how” (Post April).
  - The second slide contains 5 foundational concepts that could be achieved in 5 years.
    - Process studies and assessments
    - Establishment of a Primary Prevention HUB
    - Defining and financing a school and community prevention ecosystem in K-12
    - Financing Higher Education Infrastructure
    - Support for Family and Community Level Interventions
  - These feel like good “what’s” – lets test that and talk about it.
- Reaction from the committee:
  - Overwhelming support from member on the larger buckets - members thought the high-level “whats” looked totally appropriate, tapped into the foundational areas, and captured the conversations of the last several months well.
  - Several members brought up the focus on 18-25 year olds and not solely focusing on Higher Ed. There was understanding that Higher Ed is a good place to start, but we can’t forget about youth who are going straight to work as opposed to higher ed – especially in rural areas.
  - A member remarked that it is important to highlight that often in K-12 and higher education things are mandated and not financed. Good to make that clear in our goals.
  - Would like to really define the role of the prevention HUB, ensuring robust TTA and differentiating from existing resources. May want to use an existing body as our HUB.
  - For K-12 – we will need to see what other states are doing in terms of financing. Example - Maryland is levying prevention dollars and providing those back to the school districts.
    - Third Horizon is here to help support assessing other state work and programs.
  - Family and community level interventions:
    - Several members remarked that they would like to see Early Childhood uplifted in the family and community level interventions.
    - Where do upstream approaches (alcohol pricing, taxes) fit?
      - Staff answered back: two fold - the Community and Family Level Interventions bucket and in the HOW conversation.
    - Some discussion that the group split up Community and Family Level Interventions. Interventions in education in family services (for example) seem quite different and potentially competing with community change ie. regulations, SRPF in community. A lot of agreement around this.
  - Discussion about the importance of definitions either in the legislature or in this work going forward. The hope is this process will solidify our common understanding and put to bed conversations around primary prevention definition. Lot of group support.
  - For HUB and prevention practice: want to ensure we consider “best practice” is often a proxy for interventions that have targeted support to the interests of dominant culture which often lacks cultural responsiveness and efficacy. Prevention must effectively recognize and be responsive to different cultural needs and goals. Lot of support on this.
  - Next steps:

- Third Horizon Team will spend some time solidifying another draft of the buckets. Get some of the data that we discussed.
- Team will draft a memo and bring back to the group to workshop language

### Public Comment:

- Jon Epstein: A) this is amazing progress and exciting to have something that can drive action towards realizing a vision. B) From conversations with other states, their "hubs" are not only libraries but technical assistance centers for counties and also play a large role in \$\$ grant decisions and oversight. Is this how you're thinking about it? C) Community interventions especially in Oregon should (for example) look at risk and protective factors given our vast commercialization of cannabis and alcohol...and drive/influence larger state policy, among other bodies of work. Does that fit there? Thank you for having this discussion and making it public. I assume it's too early to pick the top levels measures of success (guess that will happen in process leg later?). But, metrics can have a very strong catalyzing and aligning effect and it might be valuable to draft some idea of those for discussion. IE: reduced prevalence of use, reduced prevalence of SUD, X% k-12 receive evidence-base, perception of harm change, staffing, etc.