

**Oregon Alcohol and Drug Policy Commission
Prevention Committee**

Wednesday, February 26, 2025

10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

ADPC Prevention Committee’s definition of Primary/Universal Prevention: “Practice, programs, and policies designed to prevent and reduce the incidence and prevalence of alcohol and other drug use and consequent health, behavioral health, and social problems (National Academy of Medicine (NAM) - formerly Institute of Medicine).”

Supporting Meeting Materials

- Agenda
- SPF Prevention Recs Tool
- Summary of Assessment Findings

Time	Agenda Item	Staff Lead
10:30 – 10:40	1. Welcome, Intros, Overview of Agenda	Debby Jones
10:40 – 10:45	2. ADPC Director Updates	Annaliese Dolph
10:45 – 11:45	3. Prevention Committee Assessment, Capacity and Infrastructure Themes and Group Discussion on Next Steps for Comprehensive Planning	Third Horizon Team
11:45 – 11:50	4. Legislative Update	Wes Rivers
11:50 – 12:00	5. Formal Public Comment	Debby Jones

1.22.25 ADPC Prevention Subcommittee Recap Notes:

Members present: Caroline Cruz, Debby Jones, Pam Pierce, Dwight Holton, Jessica Neuwirth, Frankie Hupy, Lyndi Petty, Tony Vezina, Beth Stormshak, Darin Dale, Shauna Tominey, Alexis Drakatos Aimee Zimmerman, Ami Muilenburg

Welcome and Introductions

- Chair Debby Jones started the meeting with reading the prevention definition, welcomed everyone and started a round of introductions.
- Debby gave a quick update on prevention allocation of the Opioid Settlement Prevention, Treatment and Recovery Board with respect to grants made to counties, community based organizations, Regional Health Equity Coalitions and the contract to Oregon Coalition of Prevention Professionals/Oregon Council of Behavioral Health. OHA will continue to engage the Prevention Committee on providing technical assistance to grantees.
- Community-based organizations who did not receive the settlement dollars are eligible for separate OHA funding for capacity building.

Alcohol and Drug Policy Commission Director's Update

Annaliese Dolph provided a director's update:

- OHA has posted the Annual Spending Report on how the state local jurisdictions are spending Opioid Settlement dollars,
- Settlement Board still has some allocation for this biennium (research and evaluation), but their next meeting will focus on the Governor's request for the next biennium (2025-2027) – funding going in full to Save Lives Oregon.
- Timeline for comprehensive plan: Expectations from commissioners that committees have high level goals by end of march – not the “how” but the “what”.
- ADPC has finalized a contract with Third Horizon – consultant for our facilitation for the comprehensive plan process. Tym Rourke from Third Horizon introduced himself. Will transition in for the February meeting.

Alanna Russell, Alanna.russell@ode.oregon.gov, Oregon Department of Education Substance Use Prevention Education Coordinator came to her first meeting and introduced herself to the group.

Foundational Materials for Comprehensive Plan

- Staff went through the [foundational materials document](#) and where the materials can be found online. This document is baseline information that can provide further context for the prevention system in Oregon. All of the materials are available on the [Committee's Resource Page](#).

Small Group Work Sessions

- Staff prompted the group with a tool that walks prevention policy recommendations and objectives from last year through the SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework.
- In the fall – the group decided they wanted to orient their work on the SPF. Staff brought a version of the tool to the October meeting and assigned homework to the group to come up with high level objectives for 2030. The tool presented today incorporates commentary from the committee on the SPF process and those high-level 2030 objectives. The hope is to distill the objectives through the questions of the tool/SPF to determine next steps and solidify and prioritize goals – the “what.”
- The tool is divided into each of the five pillars of the SPF and each meeting this spring will concentrate on one pillar.
- The committee broke into thematic small groups to being working through the tool.
- Question/clarification: member stated that it seems like the plan is already written – hard to look at assessment if the plan is already written without looking at the data.
 - Staff/chair clarification: The draft objectives are high level ideas (just a starting point) of what the committee members saw as needs for the prevention system. Putting them through this framework clarifies if we have data or need data to back up those high-level thoughts and to support clarifying/calibrating objectives to actionable goals. We can discuss what data we don't have and how we collect it. It might feel like we are

- jumping in mid-stream – but that’s because this is an iterative process. A lot of the membership have done mini-assessments in providing these high-level objectives – we are asking you to show your work.
- Tym Rourke also clarified that SPF is not linear – it is visually in a circle because we are working in all of the phases/pillars all of the time.
 - Staff captured notes for each small group and then asked for report outs:
 - Public Awareness: Talked about how to mount an effective campaign, where there are gaps in evaluating effectiveness in certain groups, public awareness need to be stacked with other tactics.
 - K-12 Education: Talked a lot about the population assessments, screeners, and data that exists already for schools, some of their short-comings. Got a holistic view of all the data needs a District might have/employ for a prevention effort locally.
 - Process Objectives: Infrastructure to define primary prevention, gaps and capacity analyses and alignment of efforts. We need definitions to have a way to capture and align funding for those efforts. Data that we have is not available in one place.
 - Cultural Competency Objective: Base data that is available for foster youth, but more data is needed in terms of focusing on subpopulations as a whole within foster youth – almost all of that data is anecdotal.
 - Higher Education: Lot of data on most campuses – but similar problem – how do we collect that data in one place to support a profile of all Oregon higher ed students.
 - Prevention Hub: Data to suggest there is a need for technical assistance in prevention system and beyond. Lots of data and anecdotes to suggest this is a positive step – but how do we strategically frame it to support a fiscal ask for such a strategy. There are allied systems that are doing prevention work – how do we align those efforts to break down silos and support them when needed.

Legislative Updates

- Agenda item skipped for timing.

Public Comment:

- None