Report #									
Ŋ	Year:	S	tate .	Histor Repo	ric Preservation Office ort Cover Page				
	Title:								
ORT	Author(s):								
REF	Agency/Clie	nt:							
	District/Con	tractor:							
	Agency/Clie	nt Report#:			Project Ac	res:	Survey Acres:		
LOCATION	County(ie Township:	es): Range:	Section	n(s):	Township:	Range:	Section(s):		
NG	Archaeologi	cal Permit N	umber(s)	:		Accession	Number:		
TESTI	Reports sub Report Add	omitted to: resses Testi	Tribes: ng:	UOMNCH:	LCIS:	Curation:			
TATION	Have tribes contacted or	been [.] consulted?		List tribes:					
CONSUL	List any oth contacted or	er groups r consulted:							
PA/	POP Report is	associated	with: PA	M	IOA				

REPORTS WITHOUT A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE COVER PAGE AND APPROPRIATE ADDITIONAL PAGES MAY BE RETURNED. CHECK THE SHPO WEBSITE TO MAKE SURE YOU HAVE THE MOST CURRENT VERSION.

Report #

State Historic Preservation Office Report Location Continuation Sheet

County(ies):

Township: Range: Section(s):

10/24/2017

	Repo	rt#											
			State Historic Preservation Office										
				Report Summary of Resources									
			and NRHP Eligibility										
OURCES	Count:	Ar Site	chaeolo e:	ogical: Isolate:	Built Er	nvironme	nt:	TCP:	HPRCSIT	: Other:			
RES		*P	lease	be sure all	archaeo	logical fo	rms ha	ve been	submitted	on-line			
	OregonEVALUATE PROPERTIES UNDER ALL FOUR CRITERIAOn LinoBE SURE TO INCLUDE JUSTIFICATION IN THE REPORT									'RIA. 'ORT			
	Form #:	Trino	mial: T	emp# or Na	ame: Crit	erion A:	Criter	ion B:	Criterion C	Criterion	D:		

CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY OF THE

MULINO STATE AIRPORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT,

CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON

Prepared For Century West Engineering Corporation

Portland, Oregon

February 27, 2018

REPORT NO. 3973

Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc.

3510 NE 122nd Ave. • Portland, OR • 97230

Phone 503 761-6605 • Fax 503 761-6620

CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY OF THE MULINO STATE AIRPORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON

PROJECT:	Grading improvements, tree removal, and fence installation
ТҮРЕ:	Cultural Resource Survey
LOCATION:	Sections 1 and 26, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian
USGS QUAD:	Molalla, Oreg., 7.5-minute, 1954
CITY:	Mulino
COUNTY:	Clackamas
PROJECT AREA:	240 acres
AREA SURVEYED:	240 acres
FINDINGS:	 Archaeological Resources: One archaeological site was identified (temporary resource number 17/2634-1). The site consists of the remnants of a historic-period railroad alignment. The archaeological site is recommended to be not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Historic Resources: Only two historic-period buildings remain at the Mulino State Airport, which was established in 1949. These two individual historic buildings and the potential Mulino State Airport Historic District are recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP. A finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" is recommended if a small portion of the APE on the east side of the Molalla River that was not
PREPARERS:	surveyed is avoided. Ron L. Adams, Ph.D., R.P.A., Andrea Blaser, M.S., and
	Lucie Tisdale, M.A., R.P.A.

INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Department of Aviation is proposing to improve the Mulino State Airport as part of the airport's five year capital improvement program. The multiyear improvements consist of grading of the Runway 14 Runway Safety Area (2018), obstruction removal near the north detention pond (2018), the removal of trees in the Runway 14-32 south approach and transitional surfaces (2019), and the installation of fencing (2020) (Figures 1 and 2). The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has requested that an Environmental Assessment (EA) be conducted in order to evaluate the potential impacts of these proposed improvements.

Century West Engineering Corporation subcontracted with Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. (AINW), to perform a cultural resource survey for the Mulino State Airport EA. The cultural resources survey was done to meet the federal standards under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and its implementing regulations under 36 CFR 800. The survey was also conducted in accordance with state laws addressing significant archaeological sites (ORS 358.910) and significant buildings and structures that are publicly owned (ORS 358.653). AINW professionals who meet the professional qualifications of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation performed the work.

AINW conducted a pedestrian survey of the project's Area of Potential Effects (APE). The 240-acre APE encompasses the location of planned airport improvements (Figure 2) as well as locations identified for obstruction removal (Figure 2). The APE is primarily located on state-owned land. However, a small portion of the APE along the bank of the Molalla River is located on privately owned land. This area was not surveyed for archaeological or historic resources due to landowner access issues (Figure 2).

LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The project area is in the hamlet of Mulino in the southern portion of Section 17 and the northern and eastern portions of Section 20, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian (Figure 1). The project area encompasses river terrace landforms on the north and south sides of the Molalla River, a major waterway of the Willamette River drainage system that flows into the Pudding River near the city of Canby approximately 13 kilometers (km) (8 miles [mi]) northwest of Mulino. The portion of the APE on the north side of the Molalla River is a largely treeless expanse covered with grasses on a high terrace, while the APE on the south side of the river is on a lower terrace with a mix of wooded areas with native trees and areas covered with non-native grasses and invasive vegetation.

More broadly, the project area is located within the northeastern portion of the Willamette Valley Physiographic Province, which, as described by Franklin and Dyrness (1973), is characterized by broad alluvial flats that surround the Willamette River and its tributaries from Cottage Grove, Oregon, in the south to the mouth of the Willamette River in the north. The Willamette Valley Physiographic Province is part of a continental shelf comprised of pre-Tertiary rocks that are overlain by successive layers of alluvium (Orr et al. 1992). The valley was formerly a marine basin that gradually became exposed as the uplifting Coast Range Mountains moved the ocean shoreline further to the west and completely exposed the valley by the Miocene geological epoch. During the Pleistocene epoch, the Willamette Valley was

repeatedly inundated when an enormous ice dam periodically broke, causing large amounts of water, ice, and rubble from an expansive ice-age lake in present-day Montana to flood the region all the way to the southern end of the Willamette Valley. These massive flood events, known as the Missoula Floods, deposited large quantities of silts, sand, clay, and ice-rafted erratic boulders throughout the valley (Orr and Orr 1996:323, 326, 334-335).

Prior to the beginning of Euroamerican settlement in the Willamette Valley in the mid-nineteenth century, prairie and oak woodlands dominated the landscape. This oak savannah landscape was found throughout the Willamette Valley and was, in part, the result of centuries of annual burning of grasslands on the part of Native peoples. These burning episodes created large prairies interspersed with stands of fire-resistant white oaks and riparian woodlands along the floodplains of major drainages. Annual burning also promoted the growth of important food plants, and the fresh growth of grasses and forbs that followed the fires would have attracted wild game. Native peoples in the area likely practiced annual burning for 3,000 years or more prior to the arrival of Euroamericans (Bowen 1978; Boyd 1986; Franklin and Dyrness 1973).

Native vegetation currently present within the APE and its vicinity has been altered due to historic-period and modern agricultural activities, which has led to the dominance of introduced cultivated crops and associated invasive species of weedy grasses. Non-native grasses associated with the landscaping of the airport were prevalent within the northern portion of the APE. Stands of native trees, such as Douglas-fir, bigleaf maple, and western redcedar, were present within the south side of the APE on the south side of the Molalla River, although much of this area was also covered with invasive, non-native vegetation.

CULTURAL SETTING

Native Peoples – Prehistoric Period

Until recently, the earliest pre-contact occupation of the project vicinity was generally considered to have begun approximately 12,000 years ago based on the presence of Clovis fluted projectile points that have been found in the Willamette Valley (Ozbun and Fagan 1996). However, relatively recent evidence from other locations in the region suggests the earliest human presence in western Oregon was before 12,000 years ago (Haynes 1991; Kenady et al. 2001; Kopperl, Miss, and Hodges 2010; Kopperl, Taylor, Miss, Ames, and Hodges 2015; Waters et al. 2011). Based on evidence from very early archaeological sites (dating to the Clovis- and pre-Clovis periods) in other parts of North America, it is likely that people during these times were highly mobile and relied on large and small game hunting and gathering wild plant foods for subsistence (Ames and Maschner 1999:66; Carlson 1990:60).

In the Pacific Northwest, the period following the Clovis- ad pre-Clovis-periods is generally referred to as the Archaic period (11,000 to 5500 B.P.). Archaeological deposits in the region that date to the early part of the Archaic period are typically referred to as dating to the Windust Phase, and are marked by the presence of broad, stemmed Windust projectile points, large scrapers, flaked cobble tools, and rare items such as lanceolate points, burins, and bone tools (Leonhardy and Rice 1970:4). The Windust Phase was followed by the Cascade Phase "…named for its hallmark artifact, the lanceolate Cascade projectile point" (Leonhardy and Rice 1970:6).

Archaeological evidence indicates that for the majority of the Archaic period, people practiced a broad spectrum subsistence strategy that emphasized terrestrial resources. Commonly found material culture dating to this period includes dart points that would have been hafted on spears and launched with an atlatl or throwing stick. As the climate changed towards the end of the Archaic period, people's subsistence strategy shifted towards a greater use of riverine resources (Ames 1994:64-66; Ames and Maschner 1999:67-86).

In the nearby Portland Basin, the Archaic period is represented by upland sites that have been dated based on artifact typology that resembles Early Archaic period assemblages elsewhere. The suite of artifacts thought to characterize this period includes large stemmed (Windust and Mahkin shouldered types) and lanceolate (Cascade type) projectile points, flaked cobbles (choppers), debitage, fire-cracked rock (FCR), and a few less common artifact types such as bola stones and edge-ground cobbles. The typical position of these Portland Basin sites on higher-elevation Pleistocene-age landforms supports, but does not confirm, this typological dating.

In the Pacific Northwest, the period following the Archaic period is referred to as the Pacific period (5500 B.P. to A.D. 1775); this period was marked by the emergence of complex hunter-gatherers who lived in large, semi-permanent villages. Warmer and drier conditions of the early Holocene gave way to cool and wet climates, and oceans rose to approximately modern levels. These changes produced environments similar to those we know today in the region, and pre-contact people adapted to the use of resources associated with temperate rain forests and productive fisheries. The Pacific period is characterized by a shift from semi- to full sedentism (Ames 1994), and villages were located in places with abundant resources. Some resource procurement activities took place away from the main residential areas; however, these resources were generally transported back to the main camps. The material cultural of this period included a continuation of the dart points associated with the Archaic period, which was followed by the introduction of smaller notched points indicative of bow and arrow technology, bone tools, and ground stone milling equipment (Ames and Maschner 1999:88-96). Subsistence during this period became increasingly focused on seasonally abundant food resources, such as salmon and camas, and by the development of storage technology for preserving food for the winter months (Wessen 1990).

Native Peoples – Contact Period

The project APE lies within the area traditionally inhabited by the Northern Molala people. As a group, the Northern Molala inhabited the northern part of the Cascade mountain region in Oregon, and their territory extended into the northeastern end of the Willamette Valley, encompassing the area around the Molalla River. Several winter village locations inhabited by the Northern Molala were located adjacent to the Molalla River within approximately 5 km (3.1 mi) of the current project area (Zenk and Rigsby 1998:439, 440).

Based on ethnohistoric documentation and historic observations, deer and elk appear to have been the most important food resources of the Northern Molala. Various smaller animals, such as coyotes, bobcats, and birds, were also hunted. Salmon, steelhead, camas, tarweed seeds, hazelnuts, and huckleberries were prominent among the seasonally available food resources procured by the Molalla. Meat, fish, and berries were all dried for purposes of preservation and storage for later consumption (Zenk and Risby 1998:440, 441). The largest settlements occupied by the Northern Molala were winter villages located in sheltered, low-lying areas inhabited by extended family groups living in rectangular plank houses made from cedar and hemlock bark. Each winter village contained at least one of these houses. Individuals and small family groups dispersed to different locations at other times of year when they occupied smaller camps situated in resource procurement areas, such as hunting grounds in the Cascade Mountains and prairies in lowland areas (Zenk and Rigsby 1998:441).

The patterns of traditional life had begun to change prior to the permanent presence of Euroamericans in the region. The introduction of diseases, such as smallpox and malaria in the late 1700s and early 1800s, had a devastating impact on native groups, causing mortality rates of 90% or higher for groups in the Willamette Valley (Hajda 1994). Euroamerican settlement of the region increased, and in the 1850s, the Northern Molala signed treaties which ceded ownership of most of their traditional lands to the United States government (Zenk and Rigsby 1998:44, 445).

Historical Background

The Willamette Valley was one of the main destinations of the first Euroamerican settlers in the Pacific Northwest region beginning in the 1840s. The pattern of early settlement generally progressed from north to south along the fertile bottomlands and foothill prairies of the valley. Most settlements were made under the provisional government and the Donation Land Claim Act. By the 1850s, the Willamette Valley was seen as an oasis in the West, as its fertile soils and mild climate provided an impetus to traverse great distances to stake a claim and own land at no cost. The settled Willamette Valley lands were generally level wetlands or prairies that were divided into farms ranging in size from 160 to 1,000 or more acres.

The initial settlers in the Mulino area tended to arrive from Missouri, Illinois, and Indiana (Koler/Morrison Planning Commission 1990:6). Among the early homesteaders in the area were Richard and Cynthia Howard, who migrated to Oregon with their six children in 1846 (Oregon Historical Society 2017). Richard Howard built a flour mill in Mulino in 1851 on his Donation Land Claim adjacent to Mill Creek approximately 0.5 km (0.3 mi) northeast of the project APE (Lynch 1973:441; Oregon Historical Society 2017). Howard's Mill is listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and is the oldest industrial building in the state of Oregon (Koler/Morrison Planning Commission 1990:9).

The 1852 General Land Office (GLO) cadastral survey map of Township 4 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian, depicts a mill race at the approximate location of Richard Howard's mill in the eastern portion of section 17 and western portion of section 16. Tracts of cultivated land are also shown within approximately 0.8 km (0.5 mi) south and east of the project APE in the eastern portion of section 17 and southern portion of section 20 (GLO 1852). A road is also depicted extending the length of the airport property from north to south in sections 17 and 20, with two roads intersecting this road from opposite directions near the north bank of the Molalla River (GLO 1852).

Mulino remained relatively isolated into the beginning of the twentieth century, as railroad transport into the community did not occur until 1915, when the Willamette Valley Southern Railway, a subsidiary of the Portland Railway Light and Power Company, began operating an electric rail line between Oregon City and Mt. Angel (Thompson 2008:79-81). The Willamette Valley Southern has the distinction of being the last interurban railway constructed in the Willamette Valley. Passenger service

on the railway ceased in 1933, although freight service along the line persisted until 1938 (Thompson 2008:79).

The alignment of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway is depicted on the 1928 Metsker's Atlas of Clackamas County extending from north to south through the APE and over the Molalla River. By this time, the land within the APE had been subdivided into numerous private holdings (Metsker Maps 1928). The railroad tracks and associated bridge over the Molalla River were no longer present by the time of the 1954 U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute Molalla topographic quadrangle map, as it is labeled an "Old Railroad Grade" on the USGS map (USGS 1954). Portions of this remnant railroad grade are also visible on a 1953 aerial photo of the airport and the surrounding vicinity.

The airport in Mulino was built in 1949 as a private facility by Ralph Kappler, who operated a lumber mill in Molalla (Bellman and Chapman 1995). Kappler used airplanes to scout for new logging locations and to observe the status of his 15,000 acre tree farm (Bellman and Chapman 1995). During the mid-twentieth century, the airport had two intersecting turf runways that were each 640 meters (m) (2,100 feet [ft]) long (State of Oregon 2017).

A 1953 aerial photo of the Mulino Airport and a 1954 USGS map depicts many buildings and roads within the current airport property that are no longer extant (USGS 1953, 1954). Many of these buildings were removed during the 1980s when the Port of Portland, who took ownership of the airport in 1988, was preparing to construct the airport's current runway, Runway 14-32, west of the airport's original turf runways (USGS 1954; Wenzel 1987). The 1953 aerial photo and 1954 USGS map also indicate that the railroad tracks for the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway were no longer present by the early 1950s, although portions of the grade extending through the current airport property are present on the 1953 aerial and the grade is labeled on the 1954 USGS map (USGS 1953,1954).

The primary use of land surrounding the APE has been, and continues to be, farming and other agricultural-related activities, although small-scale commercial development is present in the central portion of Mulino around the intersection of Oregon Highway 213 and South Mulino Road. Hops, berries, peas, and flax were main market products from the 1870s through the early 1900s. The overall character and setting of the project area remains rural (*Canby Herald* 1997:77, 84; Koler/Morrison Planning Consultants 1990:11).

PREVIOUS CULTURAL RESOUCE STUDIES

Archaeological Resources

AINW reviewed archaeological site and survey records in the Oregon Archaeological Records Remote Access (OARRA) system maintained by the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and materials in the AINW library to determine if cultural resources have been identified in or near the project APE and to determine whether cultural resource surveys have been previously conducted in or near the APE. General Land Office and other historical maps, historical photographs, and other relevant documents were reviewed to determine the potential for historic-period archaeological resources within the project APE.

The records review indicates that there have been two previous surveys that have covered portions of the current APE, both of which were conducted for airport development by John Woodward. In 1980, Woodward conducted a pedestrian survey of the then proposed Mulino Airport that overlaps the current APE. The survey identified one archaeological site located approximately 200 m (660 ft) northeast of the current airport property, consisting of pre-contact lithic artifacts, although a site form was not prepared for these finds. Details of the site are very limited and include information from a local informant who had claimed to have collected spear points from the site (Woodward 1980).

The second survey of the airport was conducted by Woodward in 1987, and consisted of a pedestrian archaeological survey of the northeastern portion of the current airport property. No archaeological resources were identified during the survey (Woodward 1987).

The nearest archaeological survey to the current APE was conducted for the OR 213: Cascade Highway South at Mulino Project, which included areas to the immediate north and east of the Mulino Airport property. The work consisted of a pedestrian survey as well as subsurface reconnaissance and archaeological testing (Bland and Connolly 2006; Bland et al. 2009). The investigations resulted in the identification of three archaeological sites (35CL334, 35CL335, and 35CL336) and four archaeological isolates (Isolates 1 through 4). All three of the archaeological sites are located within 200 m (660 ft) to the east and northeast of the current APE (Bland et al. 2009).

The four archaeological isolates found during the archaeological work for the OR 213 project were found between 100 and 600 m (330 and 1969 ft) northeast of the current APE. These isolates were all found during shovel testing associated with the project (Bland et al. 2009).

Other archaeological sites in the general vicinity of the current APE are illustrative of the precontact use of the area. Included among these resources are two subsurface scatters of pre-contact lithic artifacts (35CL125 and 35CL126) containing cryptocrystalline silicate and obsidian flakes along with FCR fragments identified approximately 0.8 km (0.5 mi) northwest of the Molalla Airport property during archaeological investigations for the Northwest Pipeline system expansion project (Fagan et al. 1992). Additionally, a scatter of artifacts on a plowed field (35CL48) consisting of projectile points, pestle fragments, bone fragments, and historic-period artifacts was found during an archaeological survey for a paving company approximately 1.6 km (1 mi) northwest of the current APE (Woodward 1981).

Approximately 1.9 km (1.2 mi) south of the current APE, a resource consisting of a historic service station and associated residential buildings (Five Oaks Station), along with buried glass, metal, and ceramic artifacts (site 35CL288) was identified during an archaeological survey of Oregon highway 213: Liberal Way to Molalla Avenue (Schablitsky 2003).

Numerous other cultural resource studies have been conducted within 3.2 km (2 mi) of the current APE. These include surveys conducted for a Milk Creek bank stabilization project (Goodwin and Ogle 2017), a cultural resources inventory for a timber sale (Philipek 1985), a cultural resources survey for a cellular communications site (Stipe 2009); an archaeological survey for a fiber optic line installation (Craig and Tipton 2013), a cultural resource survey for a channel restoration (Hatz 2000), a cultural resource survey for a proposed railcar bridge (Buchanan and Ellis 2007), archaeological surveys for Oregon highway 213 improvements (Bland 2006; Connolly 1987), and cultural resource surveys for block

valve work locations for a natural gas pipeline (Adams et al. 2011). No cultural resources were identified for these studies.

The Mulino Airport vicinity has the potential to contain both pre-contact and historic-period archaeological sites due to historic developments that have occurred nearby and within the airport property as well as the presence within the APE terrace landforms adjacent to the Molalla River, which represent the kinds of settings at which pre-contact archaeological sites are typically found in the surrounding area. Because of this potential for archaeological resources, a pedestrian archaeological survey of the entire APE was conducted with particular emphasis on identifying areas where additional archaeological investigations may be needed.

Historic Resources

A search of the Oregon Historic Sites Database revealed that the Mulino State Airport has been previously documented as a historic resource. The airport was documented as eligible for listing in the NRHP for the OR 213 @ Mulino Road survey and inventory project in 2005. No inventory form is attached to this database record.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL FIELD SURVEY METHODS AND FINDINGS

The archaeological pedestrian survey was conducted on November 27 and December 4, 2017, by AINW supervising archaeologist/ethnologist Ron L. Adams, Ph.D., R.P.A., along with AINW staff archaeologists Joey Veysey, B.A., Lea Loiselle, B.A., Meghan Johnson, B.A., and Colin Skinner, B.S. Dr. Adams supervised the work in the field, and AINW Senior Archaeologist Lucie Tisdale, M.A., R.P.A., managed the project and provided general oversight.

The pedestrian survey was accomplished by walking parallel transects spaced no more than 20 m (66 ft) apart within the portion of the project's APE on Mulino State Airport property on the north and south sides of the Molalla River. The survey did not include land within an area of proposed tree removal on the east side of the Molalla River, which was on private property that was not accessible (Figures 2 and 3).

The surveyed area on the north sides of the Molalla River was uniformly covered with grasses. In these conditions, mineral soil visibility was greatest (5% to 30%) adjacent to the runways where grasses were shorter than in other parts of the project area (Photos 1 and 2). However, the areas adjacent to the runways also appeared to have been impacted the greatest as a result of past airport developments as the land was very level (likely the result of grading) and at a lower grade than much of the surrounding landscape (Photo 3). Tall weedy grasses and scattered patches of Himalayan blackberry covered much of the area further away from the runways in the southern and western portions of the APE on the north side of the Molalla River, limiting visibility to between 0% and 10% (Photo 4), although patches of bare sediment with 80% to 90% visibility were present near the north bank of the Molalla River. Small trees and shrubs, including Douglas-fir, non-native paper birch, pine, and scotch broom, were also present above the bank on the north side of the Molalla River (Photo 5). The northern end of the airport property was a cow pasture covered with pasture grasses and very low mineral soil visibility (0% to 5%) (Photo 6).

The potions of the APE on the southern side of the Molalla River consisted of grasses and variable coverage of trees and shrubs that included Himalayan blackberry, Scotch broom, western redcedar, big leaf maple, vine maple, Douglas-fir, and noble fir. Mineral soil visibility was variable in these conditions, ranging from 5% to 80%, with the greatest mineral soil visibility conditions encountered within a fenced horse pasture (Photos 7 and 8). Much of the ground within this southern portion of the APE appeared to be disturbed, as there were relatively thick patches of Scotch Broom in much of the area. John Wilson of the Oregon Department of Aviation, who escorted the AINW archaeological survey crew to the airport property on the south side of the Molalla River, stated that a Christmas tree farm was previously on the property, which likely accounted for the presence of noble fir trees and the apparently disturbed nature of much of the soil in this portion of the APE (Photo 9).

17/2634-1

The one archaeological resource identified during the survey is an archaeological site (temporary number 17/2634-1) consisting of remnants of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway, an electric railroad line for passenger service, which extended on a north-south alignment through Mulino on its route between Oregon City and Mt. Angel between 1915 and 1933; freight service along the railroad line continued until 1938 (Thompson 2008:79-81). The railroad line remnants include a downgrading trench cut into the landscape on the north side of the Molalla River at the location of the former railroad trestle approach on the former railroad alignment. This downward grade appears to have been created to better coincide with the substantially lower elevation of the south side of the Molalla River in comparison to the north side of the river. Other observed features associated with the railroad line include two concrete remnants of the former trestle within the Molalla River, a remnant of the railroad grade now used as a gravel road on the south side of the Molalla River, and a remnant cobble-covered levee segment on the south side of the Molalla River adjacent to the railroad alignment likely used for the protection of the grade from flood waters. A remnant pole was also found adjacent to the railroad grade on the south side of the river that may have been used to support the overhead electrical cables for the railroad line (Photos 10 through 14). A State of Oregon Archaeological Site Form for 17/2634-1 is appended to this report.

Site 17/2634-1 has no known associations with significant people that would potentially make it eligible under Criterion B. The features present at the site (the levee, trestle remnants, remnant grade, and downgrading trench) do not embody distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction that would make them potentially eligible under Criterion C. Under Criterion D, the site does not yield significant data that would supplement the written documentation of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway and qualify it as eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Given its distinction as the last interurban railway constructed in the Willamette Valley and its overall association with the pattern of developing interurban railroad lines throughout the Willamette Valley in the early twentieth century, existing remnants of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway would in general have the potential to be eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A (Thompson 2008). However, the remnants recorded as site 17/2634-1 are in a very fragmented state and likewise do not convey integrity of feeling and association. As such, site 17/2634-1 is recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP, although remnants of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway elsewhere on its former alignment may retain better integrity and therefore may be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Areas of Expected Archaeological Resources

In addition to site 17/2634-1, three areas were identified where additional archaeological investigations may be needed within the surveyed APE. The areas include terrace landforms on the north and south sides of the Molalla River (Areas 1 and 2) (Figure 3). These terrace landforms are characteristic of the type of setting where many pre-contact archaeological sites have been identified in the general vicinity of the APE. A third identified area consists of the eastern portion of the cow pasture at the north end of the APE. The cow pasture is to the west of a previously identified pre-contact site that was observed during a 1980 survey for the Molalla airport but was never formally recorded on a site form (Woodward 1980) (Figure 3). The eastern portion of the cow pasture has a higher probability of containing artifacts given its proximity to this site and the fact that it has been impacted by airport development to a lesser degree than other nearby portions of the APE.

In addition to the three identified areas, there is an area on the eastern bank of the Molalla River that will need to be surveyed once landowner access is granted (Figure 2). Dependent on how or where a tree is removed in this area will determine if shovel tests will be needed.

HISTORIC RESOURCES FIELD SURVEY AND FINDINGS

Methodology

AINW Senior Architectural Historian/Historian Andrea Blaser, M.S, completed a survey of the project APE on December 5, 2017, to identify and document historic resources. For the purposes of this survey, historic resources are considered to be buildings, structures, sites, objects, and districts that are 45 years in age or older (i.e., constructed in or before 1972). Although historic resources must typically be at least 50 years in age to be eligible for listing in the NRHP, including resources that will reach or surpass this threshold in five years, extends the validity of AINW's survey results through planning and construction phases of the project.

Prior to conducting the field survey a review of historical information, maps, and aerial photographs was done to pinpoint the locations of historic resources in the APE. These resources were then photographed to document their current context, and notes were taken pertaining to their physical features. Surrounding modern infrastructure at the airport was also observed and photographed, but was not documented for this project.

Clackamas County Assessment and Taxation records indicate that two 1960s buildings may be located on land parcels that overlap the southern portion of the APE that was not surveyed (Figure 2). If tree clearing is proposed on these parcels, AINW recommends that the area should be surveyed to document and evaluate historic resources.

Results

There are only two buildings at the Mulino State Airport that were constructed during the historic period: a hangar constructed circa 1949 and a Pilot Lounge/Airport Management Office that was constructed circa 1968 (Photos 15 and 16). Both of these historic resources are located on South Airport

Road, and are documented in a baseline table that is attached to this report (Table 1). The baseline table includes the name, description, discussion of historical integrity, NRHP eligibility recommendation, and representative photograph(s) for each of these resources. Historic resource locations are mapped on Figure 4, along with the locations of primary buildings and structures at the Mulino State Airport that were constructed during the modern period.

Discussion on NRHP Eligibility of Historic Resources and the Mulino State Airport

The two individual historic resources identified at the Mulino State Airport are recommended to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP. Each of these buildings have been modified since their original date of construction, diminishing their historical integrity and the strength of their potential associations with significant events under Criterion A and their potential to be good examples of types, periods, and/or methods of construction under Criterion C. Neither of these buildings has a known association with a significant person of the past under Criterion B.

In addition to the modifications that have occurred to each of these two historic buildings, the surrounding landscape of the Mulino State Airport has been extensively modified since the airport was first established in 1949. During the mid-twentieth century, the airport was privately owned and featured minimal infrastructure. The focal point of the airport was two turf runways, Runway 2/20 and Runway 12/30, which crossed one another in the area between OR 213 to the east and South Airport Road to the west (Photo 17). These runways have since been abandoned and replaced by a much larger runway, Runway 14-32, which was constructed circa 1990 in an area west of the original runways. Several buildings were removed to make way for this new runway during the 1980s (Wenzel 1987).

The construction of Runway 14-32 corresponds with the airport's transition from private ownership to public ownership under the Port of Portland in 1988 (Oregon Department of Aviation 2013). Between 1988 and 1992, the Port oversaw the completion of a parallel taxiway to Runway 14-32, aircraft parking ramps, and utility and lighting updates (Century West Engineering 2015). Private facility developments were also completed under the Port's tenure of ownership, including the first of three Thangars that are located near the intersection of South Airport Road and South Mulino Road. The other two T-hangers were constructed after ownership of the airport was transferred from the Port of Portland to the Oregon Department of Aviation in 2007 (Photo 18). Also since this change in ownership, two historic-period T-hangers were removed from their location adjacent to South Airport Road (Photo 19), and a historic building to the north was removed and replaced with the current Oregon Pilot's Association building (Photo 20).

It is AINW's opinion that the Mulino State Airport, a potential historic district, is not eligible for listing in the NRHP. Aforementioned changes to the airport's layout and infrastructure have left only two historic-period buildings at an airport that no longer reflects its historical appearance. These modifications have also diminished the airport's historical integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association. This diminished historical integrity limits the airport's potential to be eligible for listing in the NRHP for associations with significant events (Criterion A) or with important people of the past (Criterion B), or for architectural or engineering significance (Criterion C).

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

AINW has completed a cultural resource survey for the Mulino State Airport Environmental Assessment. A pedestrian archaeological survey of the project APE resulted in the identification of one archaeological site consisting of the remnants of a historic-period railroad (17/2634). This resource is recommended to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP and no further work is recommended for the site.

However, three areas of expected pre-contact archaeological resources were identified within portions of the APE. No ground disturbance is currently planned for these locations. For any future work at these locations, subsurface archaeological testing is recommended to determine whether buried archaeological sites and/or isolates are present. Likewise, archaeological pedestrian survey and possibly shovel testing is recommended if ground disturbing work is planned for the portion of the APE on private property that was not surveyed for the current report.

A historic resource survey of the Mulino State Airport identified two historic-period buildings that are recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP. The airport itself, which was established in 1949, has been extensively modified and is not eligible for listing in the NRHP as a historic district.

A small portion of the APE where tree removal may take place was not surveyed due to a lack of landowner permission. If this area is avoided by the project, then AINW recommends no further work for cultural resources and a finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" for the Mulino State Airport Environmental Assessment. If tree removal is proposed at this location, an archaeological pedestrian survey and the documentation of historic resources is recommended.

REFERENCES

Adams, Ron L., Terry L. Ozbun, and Elizabeth J. O'Brien

2011 Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Molalla Capacity Replacement Project, Clackamas and Marion Counties, Oregon, Addendum Two: Supplemental Survey and Site Evaluation. Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 2703. Prepared for Northwest Pipeline GP, Battle Ground, Washington.

Ames, Kenneth M.

1994 *Archeological Context Statement: Portland Basin.* Wapato Valley Archaeological Project Report No. 4, Department of Anthropology, Portland State University, Oregon. Submitted to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, Salem.

Ames, Kenneth M., and Herbert D. G. Maschner

1999 Peoples of the Northwest Coast: Their Archaeology and Prehistory. Thames & Hudson, New York.

Bellman, Mickey, and Judith S. Chapman

1995 A Tale of Three Mills. *Timber Then and Now*. Special publication of *The Molalla Pioneer* [Molalla, Oregon], 15 November:6.

Bland, Richard L

2006 *Pedestrian Survey of OR 213: Molalla Avenue-Meadow Avenue, Clackamas County, Oregon.* Oregon State Museum of Anthropology Report No. 2006-73. Submitted to Oregon State Highway Division, Salem, Oregon.

Bland, Richard L., and Thomas J. Connolly

 2006 Pedestrian Survey of OR 213: Cascade Highway S. at Mulino, Clackamas County, Oregon (ODOT Key # 13723, Museum Report 2006-074). Museum of Natural & Cultural History, University of Oregon, Eugene.

Bland, Richard L., Thomas J. Connolly, and Christopher Ruiz

2009 Archaeological Survey, Subsurface Reconnaissance, and Testing for the OR 213: Cascade Highway South at Mulino Project, Clackamas County, Oregon:; Including Archaeological Sites 35CL334, 35CL335, and 35CL336 (ODOT Key 13723; OSMA Report 2008-039; SHPO Report #21964). Museum of Natural and Cultural History & State Museum of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene.

Bowen, William A.

1978 *The Willamette Valley: Migration and Settlement on the Oregon Frontier.* University of Washington Press, Seattle.

Boyd, Robert Thomas

1986 Strategies of Indian Burning in the Willamette Valley. Canadian Journal of Anthropology 5:65-86.

Buchanan, Kelsey W., and David V. Ellis

2007 *Cultural Resource Survey of the Proposed Nunn Property Railcar Bridge Project in Molalla, Oregon.* Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 1956. Prepared for PACE Engineering, Inc., Oregon City, Oregon.

Canby Herald

1997 Canby, Oregon, The Early Years. Pediment Publishing, Portland, Oregon.

Carlson, Roy L.

1990 Cultural Antecedents. In *Northwest Coast*, edited by Wayne Suttles, pp. 60-69. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 7, William C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Century West Engineering

2015 Draft Mulino State Airport Master Plan. Electronic document, http://www.centurywest.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Mulino-AMP-CH-1-Intro_ Draft-Updated-4.13.15-dmedit-formatted.pdf, accessed December 22, 2017.

Connolly, Thomas J.

1987 Archaeological Survey of the Spangler Hill – Mulino Section of the Cascade Highway (OR 213), Clackamas County. Oregon State Museum of Anthropology Letter Report, University of Oregon, Eugene. Submitted to Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, Oregon.

Craig, Don, and Katherine Tipton

2013 Interim Cultural Resources Report #9 Clackamas Broadband Initiative Project, Clackamas County, Oregon. Cardno ENTRIX, Portland, Oregon. Prepared for Clackamas County Technology Services, Oregon City, Oregon.

Fagan, John L., Robert M. Burnett, David V. Ellis, Melissa Lee, Jo Reese, Billy Ray Roulette, Douglas C. Wilson, Julia J. Wilt, and John S. Witherow

1992 Northwest Pipeline System Expansion Project: Cultural Resources Assessment Report: Oregon Segments. Phase 2: Testing, Site Evaluation, and Impact Assessment. Archaeological Investigations Northwest Report No. 23. Submitted to Northwest Pipeline Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Franklin, Jerry F., and C. T. Dyrness

1973 *Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington*. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, U.S. Forest Service, Portland, Oregon.

General Land Office (GLO)

1852 *Plat of Township No. 4 South, Range No. 2 East, Willamette Meridian.* Electronic document, https://www.blm.gov/or/landrecords/survey/yPlatView1_2.php?path=POR&name=t040s020e_001. jpg, accessed November 28, 2017.

Goodwin, Matt, and Todd Ogle

2017 *Cultural Resources Survey of the Mill Creek Bank Stabilization Project, Clackamas County, Oregon.* Willamette Cultural Resource Associates, Ltd. Report Number 17-22. Prepared for Clackamas Soil and Water Conservation District, Oregon City, Oregon.

Hajda, Yvonne P.

1994 Ethnography and Ethnohistory. In *Archaeological Context Statement, Portland Basin,* edited by Kenneth M. Ames, pp. 32-48. Wapato Valley Archaeological Project Report No. 4. Department of Anthropology, Portland State University, Portland. Submitted to Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, Salem.

Hatz, Russell

2000 *Knudsen/Wagon Wheel Channel Restoration*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Services, State Office, Portland, Oregon.

Haynes, C. Vance, Jr.

1991 Contributions of Radiocarbon dating to the Geochronology of the Peopling of the New World. In *Radiocarbon After Four Decades*, edited by R. E. Taylor, A. Long, and R. S. Kra, pp. 355-374. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Kenady, Stephen M., Michael C. Wilson, Randall F. Schalk, and Robert R. Mierendorf

2011 Late Pleistocene butchered *Bison antiquus* from Ayer Pond, Orcas Island, Pacific Northwest: Age confirmation and taphonomy. *Quaternary International* 233(2):130-141.

Koler/Morrison Planning Consultants

1990 *Clackamas County, Oregon Historic Context Statement.* Koler/Morrison Planning Consultants, Oregon City. Prepared for Clackamas County, Oregon City, Oregon.

Kopperl, Robert E., Christian J. Miss, and Charles M. Hodges

2010 *Results of Testing at the Bear Creek, Site 45-KI-839, Redmond, King County, Washington.* Northwest Archaeological Associates, Inc., Seattle, Washington. Prepared for The City of Redmond, and David Evans and Associates, Inc.

Kopperl, Robert E., Amanda K. Taylor, Christian L. Miss, Kenneth M. Ames, and

Charles M. Hodges

2015 The Bear Creek Site (45KI839), a Late Pleistocene-Holocene Transition Occupation in the Puget Sound Lowland, King County, Washington. *PaleoAmerica* 1(1):116-120.

Leonhardy, Frank C., and David G. Rice

1970 A Proposed Culture Typology for the Lower Snake River Region, Southeastern Washington. *Northwest Anthropological Research Notes* 4:1-29.

Lynch, Vera Martin

1973 Free Land for Free Men: A Story of Clackamas County. Artline Printing, Portland, Oregon.

Metsker Maps

1928 Metsker's Atlas of Clackamas County, Oregon. Charles F. Metsker, Portland, Oregon, and Tacoma, Washington.

Oregon Department of Aviation

2013 Oregon Department of Aviation Annual Report: July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. Electronic document, http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/meetings/ AVB_14_02_05_FY12_13_Annual_Report.pdf, accessed December 21, 2017.

Oregon Historical Society

2017 Mulino Flour Mill. Electronic document, https://oregonhistoryproject.org/articles/ historical-records/mulino-flour-mill/#.Wk0d1lWnFpg, accessed December 20, 2017.

Orr, Elizabeth L., and William N. Orr

1996 *Geology of the Pacific Northwest.* McGraw Hill Companies, Inc., New York, New York.

Orr, Elizabeth L., William N. Orr, and Ewart M. Baldwin

1992 Geology of Oregon. 4th ed. Kendall/Hunt, Dubuque, Iowa.

Ozbun, Terry L., and John L. Fagan

1996 Archaeological Testing and Evaluation of the Seneca Clovis Site (35DO634). Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. Report No. 102. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Roseburg, Oregon.

Philipek, Frances M.

1985 *Clackamas Resource Area FY87 Timber Sale Program: Cultural Resource Inventory Report.* Bureau of Land Management, Salem District Office.

Schablitsky, Julie

2003 *Region 1 Archaeological Survey Report for the Oregon Highway 213: Liberal Way-Molalla Avenue Project.* Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, Oregon.

State of Oregon

2017 Mulino (4S9). Electronic document, http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/Mulino.aspx, accessed December 20, 2017.

Stipe, Frank

2009 A Cultural Resources Survey for the POR Mulino Alt. 1 Cellular Communications Tower Site, Clackamas County, Oregon. Tetra Tech Divisions, Inc., Bothell, Washington. Prepared for Verizon Wireless.

Thompson, Richard

2008 *Images of Rail: Willamette Valley Railways*. Arcadia Publishing, Charleston, SC; Chicago, IL; Portsmouth, NH; and San Francisco, CA.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1953 Aerial Reconnaissance Photograph ID# AR1WN0000010118. Available, http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov, accessed December 20, 2017.
- 1954 *Molalla, Oreg.* 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle. On file, Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc., Portland, Oregon.

Waters, Michael R., Thomas W. Stafford, Jr., H. Gregory McDonald, Carl Gustafson,

- Morten Rasmussen, Enrico Cappellini, Jesper V. Olsen, Damian Szklarczyk, Lars Juhl Jensen,
- M. Thomas P. Gilbert, and Eske Willerslev
 - 2011 Pre-Clovis Mastodon Hunting 13,800 Years Ago at the Manis Site, Washington. *Science* 334:351-353.

Wenzel, Fritz

1987 17 houses make way for runway. The Oregonian 6 August:MS3. Portland, Oregon.

Wessen, Gary C.

1990 Prehistory of the Ocean Coast of Washington. In *Northwest Coast*, edited by Wayne Suttles, pp. 412-421. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 7, William C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Woodward, John

1980 Archaeological and Historical Examination of the Proposed Mulino and Estacada Airport Sites. Submitted to TRA, Seattle, Washington. Letter report on file, Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, Salem, Oregon.

Woodward, John

- 1981 Report on surface archaeological and historical examination of the proposed Mulino airport site. Letter report on file, Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, Salem, Oregon.
- 1987 An Archaeological Assessment of the Portland-Mulino Airport Project. Woodward and Associates, Portland. Oregon.

Zenk, Henry B., and Bruce Rigsby

1998 Molala. In *Plateau*, edited by Deward Walker, pp. 439-445. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 12, William C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

TABLE 1
HISTORIC RESOURCES IDENTIFIED IN THE APE

Name	Description	Integrity	NRHP Recommendation	Photograph
Hangar	This circa 1949 hangar building has an internal wood frame that has been supplemented with steel I-beams at oversized openings. The building is capped with a slight gable roof, and is clad with modern corrugated metal siding.	Modifications to the structural system and cladding of the building, in addition to changes to the Mulino State Airport, diminish its integrity of design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.	Recommended Not Eligible/ Non-Contributing	
Pilot Lounge/ Airport Management Office	This circa 1968 Ranch-style building is clad with T1-11 siding, has a mixture of vinyl and wood windows, and is capped with a cross gable roof with exposed beams and eave returns. The central crossing gable has been modified to allow for floor to ceiling windows, and the garage has been converted and infilled.	Modifications to the plan, fenestration, and siding of the building, in addition to changes to the Mulino State Airport, diminish its integrity of design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.	Recommended Not Eligible/ Non-Contributing	<image/>



Figure 1. Mulino State Airport EA project location.



Figure 2. Mulino State Airport EA APE showing locations of proposed airport improvements.



Figure 3. Mulino State Airport EA APE showing nearby previously recorded archaeological sites, archaeological site 17/2634-1, areas of expected archaeological resources, and no access area.



Figure 4. Mulino State Airport EA APE showing the airport features and two historic buildings (with yellow labels), both of which are recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP. The buildings marked with purple crosses are no longer extant.



Photo 1. Pedestrian survey adjacent to the north end of the airport runways at the location of the proposed runway safety area improvements. The view is towards the east.



Photo 3. View showing the elevation difference between the runway area at left and natural grade at right. The view is towards the southeast.



Photo 2. Pedestrian survey conditions northeast of the airport runways encompassing the airport stormwater detention pond and obstruction remocal area. The view is towards the east.



Photo 4. Tall grasses and patches of Himalayan blackberries on the north side of the Molalla River. The view is towards the south.



Photo 5. Pedestrian survey near the north bank of the Molalla River. The view is towards the northwest.



Photo 7. Typical pedestrian survey conditions on the south side of the Molalla River. The view is towards the south.



Photo 6. Pedestrian survey conditions at the northern end of the APE within the cow pasture. The view is towards the west.



Photo 8. Pedestrian survey conditions in the horse pasture on the south side of the Molalla River. The view is towards the north.



Photo 9. Open area with scattered noble fir trees (in background) on the south side of the Molalla River. The view is towards the south.



Photo 11. Concrete and wood remnant of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway trestle crossing the Molalla River (site 17/2634-1). The view is towards the north.



Photo 10. Downgrading trench feature on the north side of the Molalla River along the alignment of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway (site 17/2634-1). The view is towards the east-southeast.



Photo 12. Remnant grade of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway (site 17/2634-1) extending through the southwestern portion of the APE. The view is towards the north.



Photo 13. Cobble-covered levee remnant near the alignment of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway (site 17/2634-1). The view is towards the norheast.



Photo 14. Remnant pole adjacent to the remnant grade of the former Willamette Valley Southern Railway (site 17/2634-1). The view is towards the southeast.



Photo 15. A hangar constructed in 1949 is located near the airport entrance. The view is facing east.



Photo 16. A Pilot Lounge/Airport Office constructed circa 1968 is located adjacent to a modern taxiway used in association with Runway 14-32. The view is facing south.



Photo 17. Turf taxiways now cross through the former location of turf runways at the Mulino State Airport. The view is facing northwest from the Mulino EAA Chapter Building at South Darnell Road.



Photo 18. Modern T-hangers located southeast of the intersection of South Airport Road and South Mulino Road. The view is facing southeast.



Photo 19. Historic-period T-hangars were once located at the concrete pads where the plane at center is parked. The view is facing northeast from South Airport Road.



Photo 20. The current Oregon Pilot's Association building (at left) recently replaced a historic-period building at the Mulino State Airport. The view is facing south-southeast.

APPENDIX

STATE OF OREGON ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE RECORD

State of Oregon Archaeological Site Record

Administrative Data										
Smithsonian					Alt	Site Nbr	17/2634-1			
Site Name:							For	m Type:		New
Managing Off	Oregor describ)regon - State (general, escribe)			Cοι	unty:	Clackamas			
Owners(s):			Oregor	n - State (ge	eneral,	describe)			
Ownership/M	lanagement Notes	:	Oregor	n Departmer	nt of Av	/iation				
National Reg	ister Status:	Stat	us	Role		[Date		Aut	hor.
		Not	Eligible	Field	vorker	1	12/05	/2017	Roi	n Adams
			5	Site Identi	ficatio	n				
Site Type	• Other									
Features*:	 Bridge Historic Structure Other Railroad Grade/Tr 	Rema estle	ains	Cultural Periods(s)*:			Early 20th Century Depression/WWII (1)			(1900-1930) 929-1950)
Dimensions:		L	.ength	205	lidth	20 Un	its	Meters	Area	4100 Sq m
Depth of Cult	ural Deposits	0) cm							
General Age		F	listoric							
*				Location	Data					
	Township Ra		je	Section 1/4 1/4			1/4 DLC Mer			dian
Legal Description:	4 S	2 E		20	W	SW	N	E	Willa	imette
	4 S	2 E		20	NV	V NW	S	E	Willa	imette
υтм	Туре	East		North		Metho	bd		Zone	Datum
Coordinates	Centerpoint 5		32420	5006300 GF		GPS ·	iPS < 1m 10		10	83
Map References	Map Name/Year MOLALLA 7'			Revision Year 1985						
Access Description	From the intersection 460 meters (m) (15) for 125 m (410 ft) of 130 m (427 ft) to the Mulino Airport. approximately 1 kilos	on of S 09 fe until ro ne sou From omete	5. Mulino et[ft]) un eaching 5 uth and p this point er (km) (0	lino Rd. and Oregon Highway 213, proceed west on S. Mulino Rd. for) until reaching Landing Way. Continue straight (west) on Landing Way ng S. Airport Rd. Turn left (south) on S. Airport Rd. and proceed for id park vehicle in gravel parking lot on the north side of the gate to woint, proceed on foot (with prior permission from the airport) for n) (0.6 miles [mi]) to the north end of the site.						
			E	nvironmen	tal Da	ta				
Province			W	Willamette Valley						
Basin			W	Willamette						
Subbasin			M	MOLALLA R						
Drainage Nar	ne		M	Molalla River						
Elevation			Fr	From 213 To 258 ft						
Aspect			As	Aspect: NW						
Depositional	Environment		•	Alluvial Aeolian						
Soil Description				The site encompasses areas with dark brown silt loams and dark brown sandy loams.						
Vegetation D										
Culturally Sig	inificant Vegetatio	n	•	Oregon Gra Western Re	ipe ed Ceda	ar				
Water Source	N	lame Iolalla River	Type River	Stream T Perennia	ype I	Stream C 3	lass Dis 0 n	tance Direction neters 0 deg		

Site Setting		The site is oriented along a former railroad alignment that crosses the Molalla River and encompasses low terrace/floodplain environments on the south side of the river and a high terrace on the north side of the river.					
		Site Description					
Site Description	Angel between 1915 and 1938. The line accommodated passenger service fr 1915 to 1933, and freight service continued on the line until 1938 (Thompso 2008:79-81). The remnants of the railroad line that comprise the site includ downgrading trench on the north side of the Molalla River that represents a downgrade of the railroad alignment as it approached the former railroad crossing over the Molalla River. The trench measures approximately 5 m (16 ft) in width and 50 m (164 ft) in length. From north to south, the elevation of the trench descends from the natural grade to approximately 4 m (13.1 ft) below natural grade as it approaches the Molalla River. Other remnants of th former railroad consist of two bridge remnants within the Molalla River, one the north bank of the river and one near the south bank of the river. Both of bridge near the north bank of the river was nearly completely submerged by river and difficult to discern at the time of site recordation. The portion of the form bridge near the north bank of the river was larger and a large portion was exposed above water. This bridge remnant was made from pebble aggre concrete and wood pilings with wood boards on what was likely the bridge d Features identified on the south side of the Molalla River include a remnant of the railroad grade now used as a gravel road that measures 5.5 m (18 ft) in width at the top and is raised approximately 2.5 m (8.2 ft) above the surrounding landscape. The grade was topped with rounded pebbles and cobbles and extended a length of approximately 100 m (330 ft) from the poi where it tapers into the surrounding landscape in the north to the south end the investigated area. The gravel road on the former railroad grade continue for several hundred meters to the south beyond the investigated area. It see likely that the portion of the railroad alignment north of the grade was raise <u>a</u> a wooden trestle that continued to the north across the Molalla River. On the western slope of the grade was a remnant pole measuring 41 centimeers						
Dates of Use	From 1915	To 1938	BP/AD/BC AD	Method Historic Record			
Site Observations Present Wood Other		er	Quantity 26 2				
Estimated Counts	Prehistoric	: 0 Historic: 2	28				
·		Rock Art	:				
No Rock Art Specified							
		Site Condition					
Visit Date	12/04/2017						
Site Condition		Poor- Site Damage between 60% and 95%					
Field Recorder		Ron Adams, Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc.					
Artifacts Collected?		No					

Activities/Work	Performed		Pedestrian Survey							
Impacts/Impac	t Agents		Water/Inundated Erosion Other							
Protective Meas	sures Recom	nended	No protective measures are recommended for the site, as it is recommended to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP. There will likely be no impacts to the site resulting from proposed work associated with the Mulino Airport Master Plan for which the archaeological survey was conducted.							
		В	ibliograph	nic References						
Author	Publication Year	Title		Agency/Organization		Primary Reference	User Agency			
Metsker Maps	1928	Metsker's Atla Clackamas Co Oregon	as of ounty,	Charles F. Metsker, Portland, Oregon, and Tacoma, Washington		Yes				
Thompson, Richard	hompson, Images of Ra Vichard 2008 Willamette Va Railways			i: Iley Arcadia Publishing			Yes			
U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 1954 Molalla, Oreg 7.5-minute to quadrangle			ppographic U.S. Geological Survey Yes							
	Files Uploads									
 <u>Site 172634-1 Quad Location.pdf</u> <u>Site 172634-1 Sketch Map.pdf</u> <u>172634-1 Site Form Photos.pdf</u> 										
Form Entry Rec	order:	Ron Adams	5		Date: 12/05/2017					




Photos

Smithsonian Number:

Alt Site Numbers: 17/2634-1



Downgrading trench feature on the north side of the Molalla River at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the east-southeast.



View from area of former trestle approach looking south across the Molalla River along the former alignment of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway. A large remnant of the former concrete railroad trestle is visible near the south bank of the river.

Photos, continued

Smithsonian Number:

Alt Site Numbers: 17/2634-1



View of concrete railroad trestle remnant (denoted by red arrow) near the north bank of the Molalla River and the downgrading trench opening to the former bridge approach at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the northeast.



View of concrete railroad trestle remnant at 17/2634-1 near the south bank of the Molalla River. The view is towards the north.

Photos, continued

Smithsonian Number:

Alt Site Numbers: 17/2634-1



Remnant railroad grade on raised berm on the south side of the Molalla River at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the north.



Remnant wooden pole adjacent to remnant railroad grade on the south side of the Molalla River at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the southeast.

Photos, continued

Smithsonian Number:

Alt Site Numbers: 17/2634-1



Cobble-covered levee adjacent to the former railroad alignment at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the southwest.



Cobble-covered levee adjacent to the former railroad alignment at 17/2634-1. The view is towards the southeast.

From:	Callahan, Sean (FAA)
То:	thpo@grandronde.org; Robert Kentta (rkentta@ctsi.nsn.us); Ms. Roberta Kirk (roberta.kirk@ctwsbnr.org)
Subject:	Emailing: Map_Mulino Airport Obstruction Removal Project, Pjc Des_Mulino Obstruction Removal Project
Date:	Monday, January 08, 2018 12:56:00 PM
Attachments:	Map. Mulino Airport Obstruction Removal Project.pdf
	Pic Des Mulino Obstruction Removal Project.pdf

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) would like to initiate consultation with you in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and implementing regulations 36 CFR Part 800 for the aforementioned project. We are also initiating consultation in accordance with Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian and Tribal Governments and FAA Executive Order 1210.20, American Indian and Alaska Native Tribal Consultation Policy and Procedures. I have attached the APE and Project description for your review.

Sean Callahan Environmental Protection Specialist F.A.A. – Northwest Mountain Region Seattle Airports District Office 1601 Lind Ave SW - Suite 250, Renton, WA 98057-3356 425-227-2629

From:	Callahan, Sean (FAA)
То:	THPO@grandronde.org; Robert Kentta (rkentta@ctsi.nsn.us); Ms. Roberta Kirk (roberta.kirk@ctwsbnr.org)
Subject:	Government to Government Consultation
Date:	Friday, March 22, 2019 7:46:00 AM
Attachments:	Survey Rpt Mulino State Airport EA-CRS Rpt 3973.pdf

This letter is in furtherance of our consultation initiated with the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon, Warm Springs Reservation, and Siletz Indians on January 8, 2018, wherein an Area of Potential Effect (APE) and project description was prepared by a Consultant for the proposed obstruction removal and other improvements and was submitted to your office.

An Archaeological Resources Memorandum for the proposed obstruction removal was prepared by Ron L. Adams, Andrea Blaser, and Lucie Tisdale, Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc. to address the potential for archaeological resources. A Pedestrian survey included a walkover of the entire APE. The 240-acre APE encompasses the location of planned airport improvements as well as locations identified for obstruction removal. The APE is primarily located on state-owned land. However, a small portion of the APE along the bank of the Molalla River is located on privately owned land.

The survey of the project APE resulted in the identification of one archaeological site consisting of the remnants of a historic-period railroad (17/2634). This resource is recommended to be not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and no further work is recommended for the site. A historic resource survey of the Airport identified two historic-period buildings that are recommended not eligible for listing in the NRHP. The airport itself, which was established in 1949, has been extensively modified and is not eligible for listing in the NRHP as a historic district.

Based upon the findings and recommendations in the memorandum, we have determined that our Federal undertaking will have No Historic Properties Affected and request your concurrence. Should you have any questions or wish to discuss aspects of the project in further detail, please do not hesitate to contact me at the information below.

Sean Callahan Environmental Protection Specialist F.A.A. – Northwest Mountain Region Seattle Airports District Office 2200 S. 216th Street, Des Moines, WA. 98198 206-231-4143



Parks and Recreation Department

State Historic Preservation Office 725 Summer St NE Ste C Salem, OR 97301-1266 Phone (503) 986-0690 Fax (503) 986-0793 www.oregonheritage.org



April 18, 2019

Mr. Sean Callahan FAA - NW Mountain Region Seattle Airports Dist Off 1601 Lind Ave SW, Ste 250 Renton, WA 98057-3356

RE: SHPO Case No. 18-0039

FAA, MULINO STATE AIRPORT OBSTRUCTION REMOVAL PROJECTRemove trees and runway 14 RSA improvements, fencing26749 S Airport Rd Long 12235.41 Lat 4512.98, Canby, Clackamas County

Dear Mr. Callahan:

Our office recently received a report about the project referenced above. We have reviewed your report and find that we are not able to concur on your findings that the archaeological site 17/2634-1 (35CL419) is not eligible for the NRHP and thus project will have no effect on any known archaeological sites.

Our office does not agree that the resource has lost enough integrity to be considered not eligible. Since many of the accompaning land features remain and the general path of the RR has not been impeeded, our office feels that it retains significant integrity to convey its importance. Our office would advise that since all that is left of the RR is the grade and associated land features a case could easily be made for no adverse effect to the resource if those elements were not going to be impacted by the undertaking.

If you have not already done so, be sure to consult with all appropriate Indian tribes regarding your proposed project. If you have any questions regarding the information required to complete our review of this project, how to address any future discovery, or thus letter, feel free to contact our office.

This letter refers to archaeological resources only. Comments pursuant to a review for above-ground historic resources will be sent separately.

Sincerely,

an ZA

Jamie French, M.A. SHPO Archaeologist (503) 986-0729 Jamie.French@oregon.gov



Parks and Recreation Department

State Historic Preservation Office 725 Summer St NE Ste C Salem, OR 97301-1266 Phone (503) 986-0690 Fax (503) 986-0793 www.oregonheritage.org



April 18, 2019

Mr. Sean Callahan FAA - NW Mountain Region Seattle Airports Dist Off 1601 Lind Ave SW, Ste 250 Renton, WA 98057-3356

RE: SHPO Case No. 18-0039

FAA, MULINO STATE AIRPORT OBSTRUCTION REMOVAL PROJECTRemove trees and runway 14 RSA improvements, fencing26749 S Airport Rd Long 12235.41 Lat 4512.98, Canby, Clackamas County

Dear Mr. Callahan:

We have reviewed the materials submitted on the project referenced above, and we concur with the determination that the Mulino State Airport, Clackamas County is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. We also concur that the proposed undertaking will result in no historic properties affected. This letter refers to above-ground historic resources only. Comments pursuant to a review for archaeological resources were sent separately.

Unless project actions change, this concludes the requirement for consultation with our office under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (per 36 CFR Part 800) for above-ground historic properties. Local regulations, if any, still apply and review under local ordinances may be required. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions, comments or need additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Tracy Schwartz Historic Preservation Specialist (503) 986-0677 tracy.schwartz@oregon.gov



Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc.

 3510 N.E. 122nd Ave.
 Portland, Oregon 97230

 Phone (503) 761-6605
 Fax (503) 761-6620

Vancouver Phone (360) 696-7473 E-mail: ainw@ainw.com Web: www.ainw.com

May 21, 2019

Mr. Sean Callahan Environmental Protection Specialist Federal Aviation Administration – NW Mountain Region 2200 S 216th St Des Moines, WA 98198

Re: Mulino State Airport Obstruction Removal Project Mulino, Clackamas County, Oregon AINW Report No. 3973 SHPO Case No. 18-0039

Dear Mr. Callahan:

This letter is in response to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) letter addressed to you dated April 18, 2019, regarding the Mulino State Airport obstruction removal project. SHPO Archaeologist, Jamie French, M.A., reviewed our report and was not able to concur with your findings of "No Historic Properties Affected" for the project. I would like to discuss the project and the proposed work within and adjacent to site 35CL419 in hopes that Ms. French will change her no concurrence and concur with a "No Historic Properties Affected."

Within the approach air space of the Mulino State Airport are Runway Protection Zones (RPZ). RPZs function as protection and safety of people and property on the ground. Maintaining clearance of RPZs by removal of obstructions, or in the case of Mulino State Airport, trees above a certain height will be removed. All of the obstructions areas are located south of the Mulino River on private property that has an avigation easement (airspace right [aviation and navigation] over a particular defined ground area).

Site 35CL419 is the remnant of an electric railroad line called the Willamette Valley Southern Railway. All that remains of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway within one of the obstruction areas is the railroad grade, which is currently a graveled road. Ms. French states, "Since many of the accompanying land features remain and the general path of the RR has not been impeded, our office feels that it retains significant integrity to convey its importance." The SHPO may be making the argument for the railroad being eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A for its association with broad patterns of events regarding electric railroads and transportation in the Willamette Valley.

The only remaining portion of site 35CL419 is its alignment, which is currently a graveled road. Although remnants of the Willamette Valley Southern Railway may retain integrity elsewhere on its alignment outside of the current project's Area of Potential Effects, this portion of site 35CL419, within an May 21, 2019 Sean Callahan, Environmental Protection Specialist, FAA Mulino State Airport Obstruction Removal SHPO Case No. 18-0039

obstruction area, lacks integrity. The aspects of integrity that are important for archaeological sites nominated under Criterion A are location, design, materials, and association (National Register Bulletin 36: 35). The only aspect that conveys integrity in site 35CL419 is location. There is also no corroboration that the possible levee and pole found within the site are associated with the railroad.

However, to minimize ground disturbance around the site, the client is suggesting that trees adjacent to the railroad grade (since the grade is a graveled road and no trees are on it) will be cut flush to the ground surface with the roots left intact and that the trees are fallen in a direction away from the railroad grade, if possible. The trees will be cut into manageable sections on the ground and left where fallen or hauled out on trucks driven on the railroad grade. Since the railroad grade is already used as a graveled road, vehicles driven on the surface of the railroad grade will not substantially add damage to the site than what has already been impacted. Equipment used will be hand-tools, chain saws, etc. No chaining or dragging of the trees across the grade will be allowed. An archaeological monitor can be on-hand for monitoring of the work within and adjacent to site 35CL419.

It is AINW's opinion that the removal of trees in site 35CL419 would not impact or diminish the aspect that makes the railroad significant under Criterion A, and the role it played in providing passenger and freight service to Molalla. Since the planned removal of trees, which includes cutting at the ground level and leaving roots in place, will not involve impacts to the site, AINW recommends a finding of "No Historic Properties Affected." Please let me know if you or Ms. French need additional information.

Sincerely,

Lucie Sisdale

Lucie Tisdale, M.A., RPA Senior Archaeologist

<u>References</u>

Little, Barbara, et al.

2000 *Guidelines for Evaluating and Registering Archeological Properties*. National Register Bulletin No. 36. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

APPENDIX E

WETLAND RECONNAISSANCE MEMO



memorandum

date	January 31, 2019
to	Pete Murphy P.E., Century West Engineering
сс	Project file
from	Luke Johnson and Sarah Hartung, Environmental Science Associates
subject	Mulino State Airport Runway Protection Zone Wetland Reconnaissance

The Mulino State Airport, owned and operated by the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA), proposes to perform obstruction removal of trees near the southern approach of Runway 32. This project will be funded by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and therefore must comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The six study areas include locations where trees may need to be removed because they penetrate protected airspace.

The purpose of this memorandum is to identify potential jurisdictional wetlands and waterways within the study areas. Project activities in or adjacent to wetlands and waterways may require permitting with the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL) and the US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) if they cannot be avoided.

STUDY AREA

The study area covers six distinct reconnaissance areas, named study areas R1 through R6, totaling approximately 60 acres within the southern approach of Runway 32 (Figure 1, attached).

The legal location of the six study areas is Section 20, Township 4, Range 2 East in Clackamas County, Oregon. Surrounding land use consists primarily of agricultural and residential uses. A total of 26 tax parcels intersect the six study areas.

METHODOLOGY

ESA conducted a wetland investigation in the six study areas according to methods defined in the *Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and the *Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region Regional Supplement* to the 1987 Manual. The study was conducted based on a desktop analysis and a field visit where access was granted.

The desktop analysis involved reviewing existing data sources to determine the presence of potential wetlands, or those areas with a high probability of containing the three wetland parameters - hydric soils, hydrophytic plants, and wetland hydrology. These data sources included: geospatial data from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

National Wetland Inventory (NWI), the U.S. Geological Survey National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) State LiDAR consortium (2014), and aerial imagery (Google Earth 1994-2017). The probability of wetlands within the six study areas was rated into three categories (low, medium, and high) according to the presence of at least one of the following: topographic depressions, located above OHWL and within the floodplain, NWI wetlands, hydric soils, and non-hydric soils with hydric inclusions. Portions of the study area that did not have at least one desktop parameter were not rated and were assumed to have a very low probability.

A combination of a water resources delineation and a windshield survey of the six study areas, dependent on site access, was conducted on October 2, 2018 by a Professional Wetland Scientist and a wetland technician. For the properties where access was allowed, a water resources delineation was conducted. For sites where access was not allowed or not accessible, a windshield survey was conducted. Portions of three study areas (R1, R3, and R5) were not surveyed during the field investigation nor the windshield survey due to either no permission to access, posted no trespassing signs, or locked gates at time of survey (Table 1). The study areas accessed were evaluated for signs of wetland conditions including swales or low spots, hydrophytic vegetation, or indicators of wetland hydrology such as saturation or ponding. Four sample plots (SP) were taken among the six study areas to assess soils, hydrology, and vegetation on-site. The locations and descriptions of site conditions and features were recorded in the field using an iPad tablet and ESRI Collector for ArcGIS.

Study Area	Tax lot	Permission to access	Delineation conducted for entire area	Windshield conducted for portion area	Acres within Study Area
R1	42E20 00600U1	Yes	Yes	No	5.91
	42E20 NONTL	No	No	No	2.29
	42E20 01101	Yes	Yes	No	1.37
	42E20 01100	Yes	No	No	0.78
	42E20 01190	No	No	No	5.13
	42E20A 01500	No	No	No	0.05
	42E20DA00700	No	No	No	0.34
	42E20DA00800	No	No	No	0.36
	42E20DA00900	Yes	No	Yes	2.87
	42E20DA00901	No	No	No	3.23
DΥ	42E20DA01000	Yes	No	Yes	0.97
KZ	42E20DA01100	Yes	Yes	Yes	1.44
	42E20DA01300	Yes	No	Yes	3.24
	42E20DD00200	Yes	No	Yes	5.72
	42E20DD00400	No	No	Yes	10.23
	42E20DD00500	No	No	Yes	2.89
	42E20DD00600	Denied	No	No	3.54
	42E20DD00604	Denied	No	No	0.40
	42E20DD00700	No	No	Yes	0.69
	42E29A 00300	No	No	No	3.13
R4	42E29A 00403	Yes	Yes	No	0.44
R5	42E29A WATER	Not sure	No	No	0.24
K.J	42E29A 00300	Not sure	No	Yes	0.58

Table 1. Study Area Access Summary

Study Area	Tax lot	Permission to access	Delineation conducted for entire area	Windshield conducted for portion area	Acres within Study Area
R6	42E29A 00403	Yes	Yes	No	1.39

DESKTOP FINDINGS

Nine portions of three study areas were identified in the wetland probability rating. Six areas were rated with high probability of wetlands. Only portions of study areas where a wetland delineation could not occur were included in the rating. Areas where a wetland delineation could occur, as described above, are summarized in a wetland delineation report for the project. Results of the wetland probability rating are shown in **Figure 3** and summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Wetland Probability Rating

Study Area	Code	Probability Rating	Acres	Taxlots	Rationale
D 1	R1a	High	0.13	42E20 NONTL	NW/I watenda: Tonographia depressions:
KI	R1b	High	0.19	42E20 00600U1	Within floodplain
	R3a	High	1.23	42E20 01190	Mapped hydric soils; Within floodplain
				42E20 01190	Topographic depressions:
	R3b	Medium	3.70	42E20DD00400	Within floodplain
				42E29A 00300	1
	R3c	High	0.06	42E29A 00300	Mapped hydric soils; Within floodplain
				425200 400700	within noodplain
				42E20DA00700	
R3				42E20DA00800	
				42E20DA01000	
10				42E20DA01100	
	D24	T	17.96	42E20DA01300	Non-Hydric soils (5% hydric inclusions);
	R3d	Low	17.86	42E20DD00200	On river terrace
				42E20DD00400	
				42E20DD00500	
				42E20DD00600	
				42E20DD00604	
				42E20DD00700	
	R3e	High	0.07	42E29A 00300	Mapped hydric soils;
	RSC	Ingn	0.07		Within floodplain
D 5	R5a	Low	0.37	42E29A 00200	Non-Hydric soils (5% hydric inclusions); On river terrace
KJ	R5b	High	0.19	42E29A 00300	Mapped hydric soils; Within floodplain

NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY

Study areas R1 and R2 contain approximately two acres of three different NWI mapped wetland types (Figure 1). These features are freshwater forested (PFO1A), freshwater shrub (PSS1F), and riverine (R3UBG) wetlands within the Molalla River floodplain. Table 3 summarizes the NWI wetlands mapped within each study area.

Study Area	Map Unit Name	Acres	Percent of Study area
D 1	Freshwater forested/shrub (PFO1A)	0.67	8.1%
KI	Riverine (R3UBH)	1.00	12.0%
R)	Freshwater forested/shrub (PSS1F)	0.37	16.0%
K2	Riverine (R3UBH)	0.19	8.2%
R3 – R6	N/A	0	0

Table 3. Mapped NWI wetlands within the Study Areas

SOILS

Seven mapped soil units occur in the six study areas; only one mapped soil unit is considered hydric (NRCS, 2018). When combined with a local relief or hydrology that is conducive to wetland conditions, non-hydric soil units with greater than 2-percent hydric inclusions have a high likelihood to contain hydric soils. Five mapped soil units contain at least two-percent hydric inclusions. The one hydric soil within the study areas is Riverwash, which is a well-drained and frequently flooded soil comprised of stratified sand to gravel. Table 4 summarizes the mapped soil units within the study area.

Table 4. Map	ped Soil	Units in	the	Study	area
--------------	----------	----------	-----	-------	------

Map Unit		Hydric	Hydric Inclusions	Landform of hydric	
Symbol	Map Unit Name	Soil	Present?	inclusions	Study Areas
1A	Aloha silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	No	Yes - 5%	Terraces	R3
1B	Aloha silt loam, 3 to 6 percent	No	Yes - 5%	Terraces	R3, R5
11	Camas gravelly sandy loam	No	Yes-2%	Floodplains	R1, R2, R3, R4
19	Cloquato silt loam	No	Yes – 5%	Floodplains	R6
67	Newberg fine sandy loam	No	Yes – 3%	Floodplains	R1, R3
73	Riverwash	Yes	N/A	N/A	R2, R3, R5
92F	Xerochrepts and Haploxerolls, very steep	No	No	Terraces	R3, R5

TOPOGRAPHY

Four of the six study areas (R1, R2, R4, and R6) are on the west side of the Molalla River and are located within the floodplain. These four study areas range in elevation from approximately 215 - 235 feet above mean sea level. Using 2-foot elevation contours several depressions and swales were identified within the four study areas

located in the west floodplain. The northwest portions of study area R1 are have some of the lowest elevations of the six study areas, ranging from approximately 215 - 220 feet above mean sea level.

The majority of the two study areas located on the east side of the Molalla River (R3 and R5) range in elevation from approximately 225 - 280 feet above mean sea level. The southwest portions of study area R3 include a floodplain bench that ranges in elevation from 225 - 230.

FIELD SURVEY FINDINGS

A total of 0.27 acre of wetland was delineated in the field using routine delineation methods. These wetlands were included in the December 2018 Wetland Delineation Report for the Mulino State Airport. Additionally, 4.45 acres of a perennial river (Molalla River) was delineated. Wetlands S1 and S2 are located within study area R6. The ordinary high water line (OHWL) of the Molalla River was identified during the desktop analysis in five of the six study areas and was delineated in the field in three study areas (R1, R2, and R3). All of the water resources mapped by this investigation extend outside of the survey areas (Attachment 1, Figure 1). A total of three sample plots (SP) were taken during this wetland reconnaissance. Table 5 below summarizes the water resources delineated within the six study areas.

MOLALLA RIVER

The Molalla River is a 51-mile free-flowing tributary of the Willamette River that flows northwest from its headwaters near Table Rock Wilderness to its confluence near river-mile 36 of the Willamette. At the point that it enters study area R5, approximately river-mile 13, the Molalla River completes a north-west meander (Attachment 1, Figure 1).

Five of the six study areas contain a segment of the Molalla River OHWL (Table 5). The combined reach length of the Molalla River within the five study areas is approximately 4,000 linear feet (0.75 miles). The width of the river at ordinary high water (OHW) ranges from 180 to 215 feet. At the most upstream study area (study area R5), the river can be characterized as a large center channel scour pool downstream of a point bar along the west bank (Attachment 1, Figure 1). The most downstream portion of the OHWL (study area R1) is a river right scour pool that follows a two-channel riffle complex divided by an island.

The OHWL of the Molalla River was delineated in study areas R1, R2, and R4 using field indicators such as a vegetation break (a line indicating a shift in vegetation communities), deposition of debris, scour line, and water staining. For study areas where river access was not provided (R3, R5, R6), the OHWL was digitized during the desktop analysis using aerial photography and elevation contour data. The vegetation in the narrow, riparian corridor is composed principally of red alder (*Alnus rubra*, FAC), young cottonwood (*Populous balsamifera*, FAC), shrubby willow (*Salix* sp.), and several exotic species including extensive infestations of Himalayan knottweed (*Polygonum polystachyum*, FAC), English ivy (*Hedera helix*, NL), Himalayan blackberry, sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*, FACU), reed canary grass, Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*, NL), and vinca (*Vinca major*, NL).

Feature	Size (ac) in study	HGM	Cowardin Class	Poter Jurisdic	ntially ctional?*	Study
	area			DSL	Corps	Areas
Wetland S1*	0.17	Slope	Palustrine Scrub-Shrub	Yes	Yes	R6
Wetland S2*	0.10	Slope	Palustrine Scrub-Shrub	Yes	Yes	R6
Molalla River	4.45	Riverine	Riverine, Lower Perennial, Unconsolidated Bottom	Yes	Yes	R1, R2, R3, R4, R5

Table 5. Water resource delineation summary

*Included in the December 2018 Wetland Delineation Report

CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION

For areas of R1, R3, and R5 where a wetland delineation could not be conducted, the likelihood of wetland presence was assigned a low, medium or high probability rating based on NWI, soil mapping, and landform (Table 1). An on-site wetland determination and staking of potential wetland boundaries is recommended for medium and high probability areas if trees are proposed be removed (Figure 3).

REFERENCES

- Environmental Laboratory. (1987). "Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual," Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS., NTIS No. AD A176 912.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2007. Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States. Accessed October 11, 2018. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-02/documents/cwa_jurisdiction_following_rapanos120208.pdf
- Gerig, Allen J. U.S. Department of Agriculture. NRCS. Soil Survey of Clackamas County, Oregon. 1985. Available at: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_MANUSCRIPTS/oregon/ClackamasOR1985/ClackamasOR_19 85.pdf Accessed November 7, 2018.
- Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. Lidar Consortium. http://www.oregongeology.org/lidar/ Accessed March 31, 2018
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-28. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. NRCS Web Soil Survey data, http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app Website accessed November 7, 2018.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wetland Inventory. Updated March 15, 2018. https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html Website accessed November 7, 2018.
- U.S. Geological Survey National Hydrography Dataset. Updated August 3, 2017. https://nhd.usgs.gov/NHD_High_Resolution.html Website accessed November 7, 2018.

Attachment 1:

Figure 1 – Overview Figure 2 – Soils Figure 3 – Wetland Detail



SOURCE: ESA, 2017; USDA NAIP, 2016; Open Street Maps, 2016; RLIS, 2017; DOGAMI LiDAR, 2014

NOTE: Study Areas R2, R4, and the east portion of R1 (within wetland delineation Study Area) were included in December 2018 Wetland Delineation Report.

160745.02 Mulino Airport Wetland Delineation

Figure 1: Study Areas Mulino State Airport Wetland Reconnaissance Clackamas County, OR

ESA



SOURCE: ESA, 2017; USDA NAIP, 2016; Open Street Maps, 2016; RLIS, 2017

160745.02 Mulino Airport Wetland Delineation

NOTES: Study Areas R2, R4, and the east portion of R1 (within wetland delineation Study Area) were included in December 2018 Wetland Delineation Report.

Figure 2: Soils Mulino State Airport Wetland Reconnaissance Clackamas County, OR

ESA



SOURCE: ESA, 2017; USDA NAIP, 2016; Open Street Maps, 2016; RLIS, 2017

ESA

160745.02 Mulino Airport Wetland Delineation

NOTE: Study Areas R2, R4, and the east portion of R1 (within wetland delineation Study Area) were included in December 2018 Wetland Delineation Report.

Figure 3: Potential Wetland Areas

Mulino State Airport Wetland Reconnaissance Clackamas County, OR

Attachment 2:

Datasheets

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Mulino State Airport			City/Coun	City/County: Clackamas Cou			Sampling Dat	e: 2-0	Oct-2018	
Applicant/Owner:	Oregon D	epartment of Aviation				State:	OR	Sampling Poir	nt:	SP219
Investigator(s): Sara	ah Hartung	and Luke Johnson		Section,	Township, Range:	T4S,R	2E,sec	20		
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, et	c.): Floodplain		Local relief	(concave, convex	, none):	Concav	e <u>s</u>	Slope (%):	1
Subregion (LRR):	A - Willam	nette Meridian	Lat:	45.198028	L	ong: <u>-12</u> 2	.58538	9 [Datum: NA	D83
Soil Map Unit Name	: Cloquat	to silt loam				NW	classif	ication: NA		
Are climatic / hydrol	ogic condi	tions on the site typica	l for this t	time of year? Year?	es X No	(If no	, explai	n in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	significa	antly disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumst	ances"	present' Yes	X No	
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	naturall	y problematic?	(If needed, e	xplain an	y answ	ers in Remarks	.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes Yes	No	X	Is the Sampled Area		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		X	within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks:						

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
1. Acer macrophyllum	40	1	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
2. Pseudotsuga menziesii	5		FACU			. ,
3. Fraxinus latifolia	5		FACW	Total Number of Dominant		
4.			·······	Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
	50	= Total Cover		-		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				Percent of Dominant Species		
1. Rubus armeniacus	55	1	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	50.00	(A/B)
2. Symphoricarpos albus	15	1	FACU	-		
3				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
4				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
5				OBL species x 1	1=	
	70	= Total Cover		FACW species x 2	2=	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' R)				FAC species x 3	3=	
1. Polystichum munitum	5		FACU	FACU species x 4	1=	
2. Phalaris arundinacea	30	1	FACW	UPL species x 5	5=	
3.				Column Totals: (A)		(B)
4.						
5.				Prevalence Index = B/A =	<u>0</u>	
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicat	tors:	
7				1- Rapid Test For Hydrophytic	c Vegetation	
8.				2- Dominance Test is >50%		
9.				3- Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
10.				4- Morphological Adaptations	¹ (Provide sup	porting
11.				data in Remarks or on a se	eparate sheet)	-
	35	= Total Cover		5- Wetland Non-Vascular Pla	nts ¹	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				6- Problematic Hydrophytic V	egetation ¹ (Exp	olain)
1.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we	tland hydrology	/ must
2.				be present, unless disturbed or	problematic.	
		= Total Cover		Hydrophytic		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 30				Vegetation Yes	No	х
				Present?		
Remarks:				1		

US Army Corps of Engineers

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast - Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: SP219

Profile Description: (Describe to the dep	oth needed to document the indicator or confir	rm the absence of indicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features	
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ L	_oc ² Texture Remarks
0-16 10YR 4/3 100		Si lo
<u> </u>		
· ·		
¹ Type: C-Concentration D-Depletion RN		Grains ² Location: PL-Pore Lining M-Matr
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all	LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)	2 cm Muck (A10)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matrix (S6)	Red Parent Material (TF2)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Other (Explain in Remarks)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	wetland hydrology must be present,
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions (F8)	unless disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):		
Туре:		
Depth (inches):	Hydric	c Soil Present? Yes No X
Remarks:		
HYDROLOGY		
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one require	ed; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR	Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,
High Water Table (A2)	1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B11)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	s (C3) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Denosits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	EAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B	7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	(B8)	_
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (Inches): NA	
Vvater Table Present? Yes	No A Depth (Inches): >16	Notland Hydrology Process? Vac No. Y
(includes capillary fringe)		weitand hydrology Present? Yes NoX
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, n	I nonitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections	s), if available
Remarks:		

US Army Corps of Engineers

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Mulino	State Airpo	ort		City/Co	unty: Clackama	as Co	unty		Sampling Dat	te:	2-Oct-2	018
Applicant/Owner:	Oregon De	partment of Aviation					State:	OR	Sampling Poi	nt:	SP22	:0
Investigator(s): Sara	h Hartung	and Luke Johnson		Section	n, Township, Ra	nge:	T4S,R	2E,sec	20			
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc	c.): Swale		Local reli	ief (concave, co	nvex	none):	Concav	e	Slope (%):	3
Subregion (LRR):	A - Willam	ette Meridian	Lat:	45.198113		_ L	ong: <u>-122</u>	.58544	7	Datum:	NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name	: Cloquate	o silt loam					NW	classif	ication: NA			
Are climatic / hydrole	ogic conditi	ons on the site typical	for this	time of year?	Yes X No		(If no	, explai	n in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	signific	antly disturbed?	Are "No	rmal	Circumst	ances"	present' Yes	Х	No	
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	natural	ly problematic?	(If need	ed, e	kplain an	y answ	ers in Remarks	.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	X X	No No No	X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	x	No
Remarks: Right Creek								

Used to have diversion flow from the Mollala. Moist but not saturated.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1	70 00001	Opecies:	Otatus	That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: 3 (A)
2				
3.	·			Total Number of Dominant
4.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
	·	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				Percent of Dominant Species
1. Rubus armeniacus	20	1	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00 (A/B)
2				
3	·			Prevalence Index worksheet:
4				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
5				OBL species x 1=
	20	= Total Cover		FACW species x 2=
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' R)				FAC species x 3=
1. Impatiens noli-tangere	50	1	FACW	FACU species x 4=
2. Phalaris arundinacea	50	1	FACW	UPL species x 5=
3.				Column Totals: (A) (B)
4.				
5.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = \underline{0}$
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1- Rapid Test For Hydrophytic Vegetation
8.				X 2- Dominance Test is >50%
9.				3- Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
10.				4- Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
11.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	100	= Total Cover		5-Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				6- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2.	·			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
		= Total Cover		Hydrophytic
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 30				Vegetation Yes X No
				Present?
Remarks: Right Creek				•

US Army Corps of Engineers

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast - Version 2.0

SOIL

Profile Des	scription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indicat	or or cor	nfirm the	e absence of in	dicators.)
Denth	Matrix		Red	ox Features				
Deptil				5x T catures	_ 1	. 2	_	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type'	Loc	Texture	Remarks
0-9	10YR 3/2	100						
9-16	10YR 3/2	92	10YR 3/6	8	С	М	Si lo	
<u> </u>								
¹ Type: C=	Concentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=I	Reduced Matrix, CS=C	overed or Co	oated Sa	nd Grain	is. ² Lc	cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matr
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicab	le to all LF	Rs, unless otherwise	e noted.)			Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	ol (A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)				2 cm Mucl	(A10)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Matrix (S6)				Red Parer	nt Material (TF2)
Black	Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky Minera	al (F1) (exce	pt MLRA	(1)	Very Shall	ow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hvdroo	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved Matrix	(F2)			Other (Exp	plain in Remarks)
Denlet	ed Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	、 /				,
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)	(/(II)) <u> </u>	- Bodox Dark Surface	(E6)			andicators of k	wdrophytic vogetation and
	Muslau Mineral (04)			(FO)				
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (ST)			ce (F7)			wettand ny	drology must be present,
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)	_	_Redox Depressions ((F8)			unless dis	turbed or problematic.
Restrictive	Laver (if present):							
Type:								
Type. Denth	(inches):		_		Hvo	tric Soil	Present? V	Yes No X
Dopin	(1101103).		_		iiye			
Remarks:								
HYDROL	DGY							
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:							
Primary In	dicators (minimum of on	e required	check all that apply)			_	Secondary	/ Indicators (2 or more required)
Surfac	e Water (A1)		Water-Stained Le	aves (B9) (e	except M	LRA	Water-	Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2.
High V	/ater Table (A2)		1 2 4A and 4	B)			44	and 4B)
Satura	tion $(\Lambda 3)$		Salt Crust (B11)	-,			Draina	na Patterns (B10)
Satura	Morko (P1)			otoo (P12)				acon Water Table (C2)
Seaim	ent Deposits (B2)		Hydrogen Sulfide	Odor (C1)				ion visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift D	eposits (B3)		Oxidized Rhizosp	heres along	Living Ro	oots (C3) <u>X</u> Geomo	orphic Position (D2)
Algal M	lat or Crust (B4)		Presence of Redu	uced Iron (C	4)		Shallov	v Aquitard (D3)
Iron De	eposits (B5)		Recent Iron Redu	ction in Tille	d Soils (0	C6)	X FAC-N	eutral Test (D5)
Surfac	e Soil Cracks (B6)		Stunted or Stress	ed Plants (D	1) (LRR	A)	Raised	Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Inunda	tion Visible on Aerial Im	agery(B7)	Other (Explain in	Remarks)			Frost-H	leave Hummocks (D7)
Sparse	ely Vegetated Concave S	Surface (B8	3)					
Field Obs	ervations:							
Surface W	ater Present? Yes	N	o X Depth (Inc	ches): N/	4			
Water Tab	le Present? Yes	N	o X Depth (Inc	ches): >1	6			
Saturation	Present? Yes	N	o X Depth (Inc	ches): >1	6	Wetla	nd Hydrology F	Present? Yes X No
(includes d	apillary fringe)							
Describe F	Recorded Data (stream of	gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial phot	tos, previous	inspecti	ons), if a	available	
		, , , ,	0 , Pro			,, -		
Remarks:	Lised to have diversion	flow from	the Mollela Moiet but	not saturator	4			
Komarka.					<i>.</i>			

US Army Corps of Engineers

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Mulino	State Airp	ort		City/Coun	ty: Clackamas Co	ounty		Sampling Dat	te: 2-C	ct-2018
Applicant/Owner:	Oregon D	epartment of Aviation				State:	OR	Sampling Poi	nt: S	P221
Investigator(s): Sara	ah Hartung	and Luke Johnson		Section,	Township, Range:	T4S,R	2E,sec	20		
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, e	tc.): Top of slope		Local relief	(concave, convex	, none):	Convex		Slope (%):	3
Subregion (LRR):	A - Willan	nette Meridian	Lat: 45	5.198069	L	ong: <u>-12</u> 2	2.58538	1 [Datum: NAE	083
Soil Map Unit Name	e: Cloqua	to silt loam				NW	l classif	ication: NA		
Are climatic / hydrol	ogic condi	tions on the site typica	l for this tim	ne of year? Ye	es X No	(If no	, explai	n in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	significan	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumst	ances"	present' Yes	X No	
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, e	xplain an	y answ	ers in Remarks	.)	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No	X	Is the Sampled Area		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		X	within a Wetland?	Yes	<u>No X</u>
Remarks: Top of natural swale call	ed Right Cree	ek				

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1. Acer macrophyllum	5	<u> </u>	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1	(A)
2. Pseudotsuga menziesii	30	1	FACU		· ·
3.				Total Number of Dominant	
4.				Species Across All Strata: 3	(B)
	35	= Total Cover			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				Percent of Dominant Species	
1. Rubus armeniacus	55	1	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.33 (A	√B)
2. Acer macrophyllum	5		FACU		
3.				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
4				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
5				OBL species x 1=	
	60	= Total Cover	_	FACW species x 2=	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' R)				FAC species x 3=	
1. Hypochaeris radicata	5	1	FACU	FACU species x 4=	
2.				UPL species x 5=	
3.				Column Totals: (A) (E	3)
4.					
5				Prevalence Index = B/A = 0	
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
7				1- Rapid Test For Hydrophytic Vegetation	
8.				2- Dominance Test is >50%	
9.				3- Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
10.				4- Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide suppo	orting
11.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	-
	5	= Total Cover		5- Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				6- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Expla	in)
1.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology n	nust
2				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
	;	= Total Cover		Hydrophytic	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 30				Vegetation Yes No X	
				Present?	
Remarks: Top of natural swale called Right Creek					

US Army Corps of Engineers

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast - Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: SP221

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to document the indicator or Redox Features	confirm the	absence of inc	licators.)
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Typ	e ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-16 10YR 4/3 100			Si lo	
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=I	Reduced Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated	Sand Grains.	. ² Lo	cation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matr
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LF	Rs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)		2 cm Muck	(A10)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		Red Parent	t Material (TF2)
Black Histic (A3)	_ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except ML	_RA 1)	Very Shallo	bw Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	-	Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)		3Indicators of h	vdrophytic vegetation and
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)		wetland hy	drology must be present,
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions (F8)		unless dist	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):				
Type:		Hydric Soil B	Prosont? V	os No X
Remarks:				
HYDROLOGY				
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required)	check all that apply)		Secondary	Indicators (2 or more required)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11)	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S 4A, a	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required) Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) re Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomo	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iran Deposits (B5)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Cali	t MLRA	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (L1)	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow FAC-Ne Raised	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7)	 check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomo Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) - Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3)	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomon Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3)	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomon Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes N	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3)	t MLRA g Roots (C3) ls (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3) o X Depth (Inches): >16 o X Depth (Inches): >16	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A)	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomon Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B4) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes Naturation Present? Yes Naturation Present? Yes	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3) o X Depth (Inches): >16 o X Depth (Inches): >16	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A) Wetland	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomon Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He d Hydrology P	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) - Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7) resent? YesNoX
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) N Water Table Present? Yes N Water Table Present? Yes Naturation Present? Yes No Saturation Present? Yes Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, morthice) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, morthice)	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3) o X Depth (Inches): >16 o X Depth (Inches): >16	t MLRA g Roots (C3) is (C6) RR A) Wetland	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He d Hydrology P	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) e Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) eutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7) resent? YesNoX
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery(B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) N Water Table Present? Yes N Saturation Present? Yes N Saturation Present? Saturation Present? Yes N Saturation Present? Saturation Present? Yes N Saturation Present? </td <td>check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3) o X Depth (Inches): >16 o X Depth (Inches): >16</td> <td>t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A) Wetland</td> <td>Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He d Hydrology P</td> <td>Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) the Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) sutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7) resent? YesNoX</td>	check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (excep 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LI Other (Explain in Remarks) 3) o X Depth (Inches): >16 o X Depth (Inches): >16	t MLRA g Roots (C3) s (C6) RR A) Wetland	Secondary Water-S 4A, a Drainag Dry-Sea Saturati Geomol Shallow FAC-Ne Raised Frost-He d Hydrology P	Indicators (2 or more required) Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, and 4B) the Patterns (B10) ason Water Table (C2) on Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) rphic Position (D2) • Aquitard (D3) sutral Test (D5) Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) eave Hummocks (D7) resent? YesNoX

US Army Corps of Engineers

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Mulino	State Airpo	ort		City/County:	Clackamas Co	unty		Sampling Da	ate: 2-	Oct-20	018
Applicant/Owner:	Oregon De	partment of Aviation		•		State:	OR	Sampling Po	oint:	SP22	2
Inv Investigator(s):	Sarah Har	ung and Luke Johns	on	Section, T	ownship, Range	e: T4S	,R2E,se	ec20			
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc	c.): Hillslope		Local relief (co	oncave, convex	, none):	None		Slope (%)		25
Subregion (LRR):	A - Willam	ette Meridian	Lat: 45.20	6093	L	ong: <u>-12</u> 2	2.58273	3	Datum: N	AD83	
Soil Map Unit Name	: Xerochr	epts and haploxerolls	, very steep			NW	l classif	ication: NA			
Are climatic / hydrol	ogic conditi	ons on the site typica	al for this time of	f year? Yes	X No	(lf nc	, explai	n in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	significantly d	listurbed?	Are "Normal	Circums	tances"	present' Yes	X No	C	
Are Vegetation	Soil	or Hydrology	naturally prob	plematic?	(If needed, e	xplain ar	iy answ	ers in Remark	s.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	X X X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No	x	
Remarks: Dave's property								

Dry rocky slope next to roadfill, no soil pit

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pl	lants.			
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Acer macrophyllum	30	1	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2. Pseudotsuga menziesii	40	1	FACU	
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
	70	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				Percent of Dominant Species
1. Rubus armeniacus	55	1	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.00 (A/B)
2. Sambucus racemosa	10		FACU	
3. Symphoricarpos albus	10	·	FACU	Prevalence Index worksheet:
4.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
5.				OBL species x 1=
	75	= Total Cover		FACW species x 2=
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' R)				FAC species x 3=
1. Schedonorus arundinaceus	5	1	FAC	FACU species x 4=
2. Daucus carota	10	1	FACU	UPL species x 5=
3				Column Totals: (A) (B)
4				
5.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 0
6.				Hvdrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				1- Rapid Test For Hydrophytic Vegetation
8	·			2. Dominance Test is >50%
9.				3. Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
10				4. Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
11				data in Demarks or on a separate sheet)
^{11.}	15	= Total Cover		5- Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' R)				6- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1 (1.00 0.201 <u></u>				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
^{1.}	·······			be present unless disturbed or problematic
^{2.}				
		= Total Cover		Hydrophytic
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 30				Vegetation Yes <u>No X</u>
				Present?
Remarks: Dave's property				

US Army Corps of Engineers

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast - Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: SP222

Depth Matrix	Red	ox Features			
(inches) Color (moist)	% Color (moist)	% Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletic	on, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=C	overed or Coated Sa	nd Grains.	² Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matr
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable	to all LRRs, unless otherwise	e noted.)		Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)			2 cm Muck (A	A10)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matrix (S6)		-	Red Parent N	Aterial (TF2)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamv Mucky Miner	al (F1) (except MLR A	<u> </u>	Very Shallow	Dark Surface (TF12)
Hvdrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamv Gleved Matri	x (F2)	, -	Other (Explai	n in Remarks)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A	11) Depleted Matrix (F3)		-		······,
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface	(F6)	2	Indicators of hvd	rophytic vegetation and
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surfa	ce (F7)		wetland hydro	plogy must be present,
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions	(F8)		unless distur	bed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):					
Type:		16.0	Inia Cail D	verent 2 Ver	No. Y
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to re	padfill, no soil pit	Нус	tric Soil P	resent? Yes	No X
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro	padfill, no soil pit	Нус	dric Soil P	resent? Yes	No X
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	padfill, no soil pit	Нус	fric Soil P	resent? Yes	No X
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply)	Нус	fric Soil P	resent? Yes	dicators (2 or more required)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M	Iric Soil P	resent? Yes Secondary In Water-Sta	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B)	Iric Soil P	resent? Yes Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marke (B1)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11)	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B)	tric Soil P	Secondary In 	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) po Water Table (C2)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro PYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposite (B2)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply)Water-Stained Le1, 2, 4A, and 4Salt Crust (B11)Aquatic Invertebr	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13)	dric Soil P	Secondary In Water-Sta Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturation	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le Salt Crust (B11)Aquatic InvertebrHydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Bhizosc	eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) : Odor (C1) theres along Living R	LRA	<u>Secondary In</u> <u>Water-Sta</u> <u>4A, an</u> Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorn	No X dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) o Visible on Aerial Imagery (C2) pic Position (D2)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) e Odor (C1) oheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4)	LRA	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturatior Geomorpi Shallow A	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C nic Position (D2) quitard (D3)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Let Nater-Stained Let 	eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) • oheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (i	LRA	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturatior Geomorpi Shallow A FAC-Neut	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) i Visible on Aerial Imagery (C nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Let 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red Recent Iron Redu Stunted or Stress	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) • oheres along Living R uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR	LRA 000ts (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturation Geomorpi Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) nt Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro YDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red Recent Iron Redu Stunted or Stress pery(B7) Other (Explain in	eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) •heres along Living R- uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks)	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturation Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) v Visible on Aerial Imagery (C nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) nt Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red Recent Iron Redu Stunted or Stress pery(B7) Other (Explain in rface (B8)	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) : Odor (C1) wheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (i sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks)	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturatior Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) in Visible on Aerial Imagery (Ca nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) it Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one 	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Let 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red Recent Iron Redu Stunted or Stress Jery(B7) Other (Explain in rface (B8)	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) eates (B13) e Odor (C1) oheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks)	LRA 000ts (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturatior Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) i Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) it Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) 	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) e Odor (C1) oheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks) ches): NA	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A)	resent? Yes Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Seaso Saturatior Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) in Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 hic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) it Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) 	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) • oheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (i sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks) ches): <u>NA</u> ches): <u>NA</u>	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturation Geomorpi Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) o Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) nt Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) 	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) wheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks) ches): <u>NA</u> ches): <u>>16</u> ches): <u>>16</u>	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturation Geomorph Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) o Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) nt Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Le 1, 2, 4A, and 4 Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebr Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizosp Presence of Red Recent Iron Redu Stunted or Stress pery(B7) Other (Explain in rface (B8) No X Depth (In No X Depth (In No X Depth (In	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) wheres along Living Re uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils (f sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks) ches): <u>NA</u> ches): <u>>16</u> ches): <u>>16</u>	LRA oots (C3) C6) A) Wetland	Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturatior Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) in Visible on Aerial Imagery (Ca nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) it Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) sent? YesNoX
Type: Depth (inches): Remarks: Dry rocky slope next to ro IYDROLOGY Netland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Image Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes	padfill, no soil pit required; check all that apply) 	Hyd eaves (B9) (except M B) ates (B13) • Odor (C1) oheres along Living R- uced Iron (C4) uction in Tilled Soils ((sed Plants (D1) (LRR Remarks) ches): <u>NA</u> ches): <u>>16</u> ches): <u>>16</u> tos, previous inspecti	LRA Doots (C3) C6) A) Wetland	resent? Yes Secondary In Water-Sta 4A, an Drainage Dry-Sease Saturation Geomorpl Shallow A FAC-Neut Raised Ar Frost-Hea Hydrology Pre ailable	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 d 4B) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) v Visible on Aerial Imagery (C4 nic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) nt Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) sent? YesNoX

US Army Corps of Engineers

Attachment 3

Photos










Department of State Lands

775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301-1279 (503) 986-5200 FAX (503) 378-4844 www.oregon.gov/dsl State Land Board

November 30, 2018

Oregon Department of Aviation Attn: Matthew Maass 3040 25th Street SE Salem, OR 97302

Re: WD # 2018-0480 Wetland Delineation Report for Aurora State Airport Improvements; Marion County; T4S R1W Sec. 2A, Tax Lots 90008, 90009, 90012, 90016 and Portions of 500, 501, 700, 90000, and 90010; Marion County; T4S R1W Sec. 2D, Portions of Tax Lots 100 and 200 Kate Brown Governor

Dennis Richardson Secretary of State

> Tobias Read State Treasurer

Dear Mr. Maass:

The Department of State Lands has reviewed the wetland delineation report prepared by ESA for the site referenced above. Please note that the study areas include only a portion of the tax lots described above (see the attached map). Based upon the information presented in the report, and additional information submitted upon request, we concur with the wetland boundaries as mapped in Figure 5 of the report. Please replace all copies of the preliminary wetland map with this final Department-approved map.

Within the study area, two wetlands were identified. However, the wetlands are exempt per OAR 141-085-0515 (7)(c); therefore, neither feature is subject to the requirements of the state Removal-Fill law.

This concurrence is for purposes of the state Removal-Fill Law only. Federal or local permit requirements may apply as well. The Army Corps of Engineers will determine jurisdiction for purposes of the Clean Water Act.

This concurrence is based on information provided to the agency. The jurisdictional determination is valid for five years from the date of this letter unless new information necessitates a revision. Circumstances under which the Department may change a determination are found in OAR 141-090-0045 (available on our web site or upon request). In addition, laws enacted by the legislature and/or rules adopted by the Department may result in a change in jurisdiction; individuals and applicants are subject to the regulations that are in effect at the time of the removal-fill activity or complete permit application. The applicant, landowner, or agent may submit a request for reconsideration of this determination in writing within six months of the date of this letter.

Thank you for having the site evaluated. Please phone me at 503-986-5271 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Daniel Evans, PWS Jurisdiction Coordinator Approved by

Peter Ryan, PWS Aquatic Resource Specialist

Enclosures

ec: Sarah Hartung, ESA Marion County Planning Department (Maps enclosed for updating LWI) Andrea Wagner, Corps of Engineers Mike De Blasi, DSL