

OREGON AVIATION PLAN AIRPORT SUMMARY BOARDMAN AIRPORT

In 2018, the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) updated the Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP v6.0) for the state airport system which includes 95 airports, one heliport and one seaplane base. The study area was statewide and considered both commercial service and general aviation airports. Airports outside of Oregon in proximity to the state were considered as well. The study includes Boardman Airport (M50 or the Airport). This section focuses on the system plan's individual findings and recommendations for this facility as well as documenting the various benefits the Airport provides in Oregon.

Aviation system plans are top down studies that must be implemented from the bottom up by individual airports. The ultimate success of the plan depends on each airport implementing recommendations from the study and following through on any identified improvement actions. Individual airport improvements will result in the enhancement of overall system performance.


Within the statewide system, the Boardman Airport has been designated as a Category IV – Local General Aviation Airport in the 2007 OAP. Within the OAP, a Category IV airport support primarily single-engine general aviation aircraft but are capable of accommodating smaller twin-engine general aviation aircraft. These airports support local air transportation needs and special-use aviation activities.

Some, but not all of the study airports also have federal role definitions from the FAA. Within FAA's ASSET Study and National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), the Boardman Airport is designated as an Unclassified Airport. The FAA updates the NPIAS every other year and reviews the status of the Unclassified airports within the report. The OAP v6.0 recommends that this airport's Unclassified status be reconsidered within the NPIAS should the Airport increase in activity such as based aircraft.

From a facilities standpoint, the Boardman Airport meets most of the objectives for an OAP Category IV Airport. It is worth noting, however, that the Airport's own capital improvement plan and/or master plan may recommend additional projects that it will be needed over the coming 10 years. The OAP also does not identify all maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacement costs that could be incurred by the Airport during this period.



EXISTING OREGON AIRPORT SYSTEM 2018



More information on the OAP can be obtained from the ODA Aviation website at https://www.oregon.gov/aviation/pages/index.aspx. In addition to the complete Technical Report, a statewide Executive Summary was produced to support the OAP. More information on all OAP-related products can be obtained from ODA.



OREGON AIRPORT ROLES/CATEGORIES

ODA's Oregon Aviation Plan was last published in 2007. This update to the OAP re-sets the bar for future system performance by evaluating each airport's facilities and services. Since 2007, a number of Oregon airports have made progress toward meeting various performance measures. As part of this study, airport infrastructure data, aviation activity projections and population growth in each airport's environs were used to determine whether the airport should be elevated to a higher OAP Category to improve overall system accessibility and performance. The OAP v6.0 also addressed the need for airports to support resiliency efforts related to a potential Cascadia Earthquake and Tsunami Event.

Recommended categories for airports in the Oregon Aviation Plan are shown below.

OAP AIRPORT CATEGORIES RECOMMENDED OREGON AIRPORT ROLES

Commercial Service Airport: These airports support some level of scheduled commercial airline service in addition to supporting a full range of general aviation aircraft activities. Commercial service includes both domestic and international destinations. Objectives call for a minimum runway length of 6,000 feet.
Urban General Aviation Airport : These airports support all general aviation aircraft and accommodate corporate aviation activity, including piston and turbine engine aircraft, business jets, helicopters, gliders, and other general aviation activity. The most demanding user requirements are business-related. These airports service a large/multi-state geographic region or experience high levels of general aviation activity. The minimum runway length objective for Category II airports is 5,000 feet.
Regional General Aviation: These airports support most twin and single-engine aircraft and may accommodate occasional business jets. These airports support regional transportation needs with a large and often sparsely populated service area. The minimum runway length objective for Category III airports is 4,000 feet.
Local General Aviation Airport: These airports support primarily single-engine general aviation aircraft but are capable of accommodating smaller twin-engine general aviation aircraft. These airports support local air transportation needs and special-use aviation activities. The minimum runway length objective for Category IV airports is 3,000 feet.
Remote Access/Emergency Services (RAES): These airports support primarily single-engine general aviation aircraft, special-use aviation activities, access to remote areas, or provide emergency service access. These airports should have at least 2,500 feet of runway.

Source: Jviation



BOARDMAN AIRPORT OVERVIEW


The City of Boardman is located on the Columbia River and Interstate 84 in Morrow County. Boardman Airport is located approximately four miles southwest of the City. The Airport is owned and operated by the Port of Morrow. The City is located approximately 164 miles east of Portland, and 25 miles west of Hermiston. Major employers in the area include lamb Weston, Oregon Potato Company, Portland General Electric, the Morrow County School District, and Boardman Foods. It is also home to several data centers owned by major tech companies.



The Airport's primary runway, Runway 4/22, is 4,200 feet long and 100 feet wide. Runway 4/22 is equipped a partial parallel taxiway and MIRL. Approaches are visual. The Airport handles approximately 1,500 annual general aviation operations of which 80 percent are conducted by itinerant aircraft.



30-MINUTE DRIVE TIME SERVICE AREA AND POPULATION OAP CATEGORY IV AIRPORTS



Source: Jviation

Airport roles consider the characteristics of the area the airport serves. Analysis for the OAP was conducted using a geographic information system (GIS) and a 30-minute drive time for each airport. There are approximately 6,801 residents within a 30-minute drive of M50 and a labor force of approximately 3,611.

Boardman Airport					
Population					
2016 30-minute drive	6,801				
2016 Associated city	3,356				
Labor force					
2016 30-minute drive	3,611				

Source: US Census Bureau, Jviation Analysis, Oregon Zoomprospector.com, Oregon Population Center – Portland State University



RECOMMENDED ROLE FOR BOARDMAN AIRPORT

Each airport's level generally reflects the type of aircraft and customers the airport serves as well as the characteristics of the airport's service area. Boardman Airport will remain a Category IV – Local General Aviation Airport within the OAP.

As a Category IV airport, the OAP has identified certain facilities and services that should ideally be in place. These objectives are considered the "minimums" to which the Airport should be developed. Based on local needs and other justifications, it is quite possible that the Airport could exceed its minimum development objectives established in the OAP. Boardman Airport's specific objectives, as they pertain to the Airport's Category IV role in the state airport system, are listed below.

OBJECTIVES FOR CATEGORY IV – LOCAL GENERAL AVIATION MINIMUM STANDARD GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORT

Airside Facilities

» Airport ARC: B-I

» NPIAS: Not an Objective

» Based Aircraft: ≥10 (NPIAS Only); Not an Objective (Non-NPIAS)

» Runway orientation: 95% wind coverage (combined primary/secondary rwy)

» Runway Pavement Type: Bituminous, Concrete, Turf

» PCI: 60

» Runway Pavement Strength: ≥12,500 lbs. (Hard Surface Only)

» Runway length: Minimum 3,000 feet Paved; 2,500 feet Turf

» Runway width: 60 feet Paved; 120 feet Turf

» Taxiway: Exit Taxiway(s)

» Lighting systems: MIRL and MITL

» Approach: Visual

Visual Approach Aids: One Runway End

» Instrument Approach Aids: Not an Objective

» Runway Lighting: LIRL

» Taxiway Lighting: LITL/Reflectors

» Fencing: Not an Objective

General Aviation Facilities

» Rotating Beacon: Yes

» Weather reporting: Not an Objective

» Lighted Wind Indicator: Yes

» Hangared aircraft storage: 75% of based aircraft fleet

» Apron parking/storage: 30% of Daily Transient

» Terminal/Building: Not an Objective

» Auto parking: Minimal (tenant/public)

Fencing: Not an Objective

» Cargo: Not an Objective

» Deicing Facility: Not an Objective

Services

» Fuel: 100 LL

» FBO: Not an Objective

» Transportation: Not an Objective

» Food Service: Not an Objective

» Restrooms: Yes

» Pilot Lounge: Not an Objective

Snow Removal: Yes (coastal airports exempt)

» Telephone: Not an Objective



BOARDMAN AIRPORT PROJECTIONS OF AVIATION DEMAND

Over the past 10 years, general aviation has experienced a general decline on a nationwide basis and in Oregon. The high cost of acquiring and maintaining a general aviation aircraft, the cost to secure a private pilot's license, competing opportunities for allocation of disposable income, the economic recession, along with significant increases in the cost of aviation fuel, have all contributed to a contraction in general aviation demand.


Recent economic recovery and increased use of general aviation as a tool to improve business efficiency have helped to stabilize the general aviation industry. For most airports in Oregon, however, including Boardman Airport, anticipated growth in general aviation demand will be modest at best. The two graphs below show projections of based aircraft and annual general aviation operations for Boardman Airport as they were developed in the OAP v6.0.

Three based aircraft projection methodologies were developed in this forecast. The bottom-up methodology produced an average annual growth rate of 0.8 percent and the top-down methodology based on historical Per Capita Real GDP produced the highest average annual growth rate, of the three projections, at 1.6 percent. The alternative top-down methodology utilizing FAA Terminal Area Forecast (TAF) projections for NPIAS airports in Oregon produced more moderate growth rate. Comparing the results of the forecasts indicated that the historical Per Capita Real GDP projection had the strongest growth, but was considered to be overly optimistic, since sustaining a 1.6 percent GDP growth rate over the planning period is unlikely. Therefore, the more conservative bottom-up growth rate of 1.1 percent, which is based on FAA TAF growth rates for based aircraft, was chosen as the preferred forecast. Since there are no based aircraft (in 2017) at Boardman Airport there are none projected from 2017 to 2035.

The results from the three general aviation operations projection methodologies developed in this forecast are compared in the graphs below. The bottom-up methodology produced an average annual growth rate of 1.1 percent while the top-down methodology based on FAA Hours Flown projections produced an average annual growth rate of 0.9 percent. The alternative top-down methodology based on historical GDP growth produced an average annual growth rate of 1.6 percent. The top-down growth rate of 0.9 percent was chosen as the preferred growth rate since it is based on FAA national average growth forecasted for hours flown. Annual general aviation aircraft operations at Boardman Airport are projected to increase from 1,500 to 1,794 by 2035.







Source: FAA TAF, Jviation analysis; * indicates preferred growth rate



BOARDMAN AIRPORT ECONOMIC IMPACT UPDATE

Annual economic impacts for 97 study airports were estimated as part of ODA's economic impact research. Total annual economic impacts for the Airport are attributed to one or more of the following four economic activity centers: airport management, airport tenants, average annual capital investment, and spending by visitors who arrive on general aviation aircraft. Construction impacts related to Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) are included in aggregate with other general aviation airports.

This study uses three primary measures to express both statewide and airport-specific annual economic impacts:

- » Employment
- » Annual Payroll
- » Sales/Output (or total annual economic activity)

Boardman Airport is owned and operated by the Port of Morrow. The Airport supports access to rural areas of Oregon as well as serves as an emergency landing facility. The total economic impact associated with the Airport is less than \$30,000 annually and there are no full-time jobs on-airport or within the visitor industry associated the Airport. While the economic impact is minimal, the Airport provides other benefits such as access for air ambulance, forest fire fighting, search and rescue, recreational activities, and government agency access.

BOARDMAN AIRPORT

	Direct	Indirect/Induced	Total		
Employment					
Tenant	0.0	0.0	0.0		
GA Visitor	0.3	0.1	0.4		
CIP					
Employment Total	0.3	0.1	0.4		
Payroll					
Tenant	\$-	\$-	\$-		
GA Visitor	\$9,999	\$7,852	\$17,851		
CIP					
Payroll Total	\$9,999	\$7,852	\$17,851		
Sales/Output					
Tenant	\$-	\$-	\$-		
GA Visitor	\$14,655	\$10,207),207 \$24,863		
CIP					
Sales/Output Total	\$14,655	\$10,207	\$24,863		

Source: Mead and Hunt, EDR Group, Jviation, IMPLAN econometric package



MUNICIPALITIES NEAR BOARDMAN AIRPORT WITH LAND USE CONTROLS

Having land uses adjacent to airports that are compatible with aircraft operations is imperative from a safety standpoint. Airports that accept state and/or federal grants are obligated to take steps to promote compatible land use and activities in the environs of their airport. For the OAP analysis, airports and their immediate or adjacent municipalities in the environs of the airport were identified. Analysis of each airport's airspace were compared to local jurisdiction boundaries on Google Earth. If a jurisdiction was entirely or partly under the airport's airspace local zoning ordinances were reviewed. County land use ordinances related to airports and height restrictions were also analyzed.

Research was undertaken for municipalities identified during the OAP to determine if the municipalities are taking steps to promote compatible land use and protect the operating environments for airports. Municipalities near Oregon airports were investigated to determine the following key land uses controls:

- » Has the municipality adopted land use zoning controls?
- » Does the municipality have an airport-specific overlay zone or district?
- » Does the municipality have a land use map that shows the location of the airport?
- » Has the municipality adopted some type of height zoning?

The following table shows municipalities near Boardman Airport and summarizes the status of land use controls for each. Municipalities and airports throughout Oregon should work together to help ensure airports are protected from incompatible land uses and from the encroachment of obstacles that pose a height hazard to safe airport operations.

LAND USE CONTROL SUMMARY FOR BOARDMAN AIRPORT

Time of Control	Jurisdictions Impacting Airport		
Type of Control	City of Boardman*	Morrow County	
Airport Zone	-	Yes	
Adopted Height Zoning Restrictions	-	Yes	
RPZ Protection	-	Yes	
Airport Safety Overlay Zone	-	Yes	

Source: Angelo Planning Group, Jviation

Note: *Information was not gathered for cities where 100% of the airport's horizontal surface is located outside the municipal boundary



AIRPORT REPORT CARD AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This section provides information on ODA facility/service objectives associated with a Category IV airport in the OAP. The "report card" on the following pages shows Boardman Airport's ability to meet its objectives. If the Airport does not meet an objective, an estimated cost to enable the Airport to meet the objective was developed. The report card for Boardman Airport, developed as part of the OAP, is shown below. Several projects are identified as necessary for improving the Airport to meet all the facility objectives.

Category IV Performance Criteria		M50	Boardman Airport	Во	ardman
Facilities	Basic Criteria	Actual	Action Needed to Meet Criteria	Estim	nated Cos
Airside Facilities					
FAA – ARC	B-I	B-I			
NPIAS	Not an Objective	Yes			
Based Aircraft	≥10 (NPIAS Only); Not an Objective (Non-NPIAS)	0	2		
Runway Orientation	95% wind coverage	Yes			
Runway Length	3,000 feet Paved; 2,500 feet Turf	4,200		\$	-
Runway Width	60 feet Paved; 120 feet Turf	100		\$	
Runway Pavement Type	Bituminous, Concrete, Turf	Bituminous			
	≥12,500 lbs. (Hard Surface Only)	30,000		\$	-
Runway Pavement PCI	60	74			
Taxiways	Exit Taxiway(s)	Partial Parallel			
Approach Type	Visual	Visual			
Visual Approach Aids	One Runway End	None	Install visual approach aids		
Instrument Approach Aids	Not an Objective	None	mistan visual approach alas		
Runway Lighting	LIRL	MIRL			
Kullway Lighting	LIKE	IVIINL			
Taxiway Lighting	LITL/Reflectors	Reflectors		\$	-
General Facilities	1				
Rotating Beacon	Yes	Yes		\$	100,000
Lighted Wind Indicator	Yes	wind Cone, Lighted		\$	-
Weather Reporting	Not an Objective	None			
Hangared Aircraft Storage	75% of Based Aircraft	0%	No Based AC		
Apron Parking/Storage	30% of Daily Transient	100%		\$	_
Terminal Building	Not an Objective	No			
Auto Parking Spaces	Minimal (tenant/public)	0	Provide tenant/public auto parking spaces	\$	30,000
Fencing	Not an Objective	Partial fencing only near terminal area			
Cargo	Not an Objective	0			
Deicing Facility	Not an Objective	None			
Services					
Fuel	100 LL	No	Provide 100 LL	\$	200,000
FBO	Not an Objective	No			
Ground Transportation	Not an Objective	None			
Food Service	Not an Objective	No			
Restrooms	Yes	No	Provide restrooms		
Pilot Lounge	Not an Objective	No			
Snow Removal	Yes	No	Provide snow removal	F	xempt
Telephone	Not an Objective	No		_	
		110			-

Source: Jviation, Century West, Marr Arnold Planning



OTHER IDENTIFIED FACILITY IMPROVEMENT COSTS

Projects identified in the deficiencies analysis from the OAP represent a portion of the total development and maintenance costs that Oregon airports could require in the near term. In order to have a better picture of total investment needs for Oregon's airport system, it is important to also consider projects identified in each airport's current Statewide Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) and in Oregon's most recent Statewide Pavement Maintenance Program (PMP).

SCIP: Current SCIPs were reviewed to provide ODA with a general understanding of what projects are already being considered on the local level that would address deficiencies noted in the OAP. A review was performed to ensure project costs were not duplicated between the OAP and current SCIP projects for each airport. Analysis of 2018 SCIP data indicates that no improvements funds for Boardman Airport are identified in the SCIP over the next five to ten years.

PMP: ODA's Pavement Maintenance Program (PMP) identifies maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation projects needed to sustain functional pavements at Oregon airports. The PMP program provides some level of pavement maintenance for all paved airports across the state. For NPIAS airports receiving federal monies, this work assists the airports in meeting their grant assurances. Projects in the PMP for Boardman Airport are estimated at more than \$234,000 between 2018 and 2023.

Cost Summary: The OAP v6.0 summarized the Airport's development needs over the next five to ten years. Costs to improve and maintain the Airport over that time frame consider not only projects identified by the OAP, but also projects from ODA's Pavement Maintenance Program and the Airport's own locally generated capital improvement plan reported to ODA (SCIP). These three sources indicate an estimated \$564,000 will be needed to maintain and improve the Airport over the next ten years.

As ODA's Statewide Economic Impact Study has shown, on an annual basis The Boardman Airport supports an estimated \$25,000 in economic benefit. The Airport's annual economic impact helps to offset the Airport's need for investment identified in the state system plan to maintain and improve the Airport.